

## Module Amenability and Tensor Product of Semigroup Algebras

A. Bodaghi

Islamic Azad University-Garmsar Branch

**Abstract.** Let  $S$  be an inverse semigroup with an upward directed set of idempotents  $E$ . In this paper we prove that if  $S$  is amenable, then  $\ell^1(S) \widehat{\otimes} \ell^1(S)$  is module amenable as an  $\ell^1(E)$ -module. Also we show that  $\ell^1(S) \widehat{\otimes} \ell^1(S)$  is module super-amenable if an appropriate group homomorphic image of  $S$  is finite.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 46H25.

**Keywords and Phrases:** Banach modules, module derivation, module amenability, inverse semigroup.

### 1. Introduction

The notion of amenability of Banach algebras was introduced by Barry Johnson in [9]. A Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is *amenable* if every bounded derivation from  $\mathcal{A}$  into any dual Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -module is inner, equivalently if  $H^1(\mathcal{A}, X^*) = \{0\}$  for every Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $X$ , where  $H^1(\mathcal{A}, X^*)$  is the *first Hochschild cohomology group* of  $\mathcal{A}$  with coefficients in  $X^*$ . He proved in [9, Proposition 5.4] that if  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are amenable Banach algebras, then so is  $\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B}$  (see also [6, Corollary 2.9.62]). Also  $\mathcal{A}$  is called *super-amenable* (*contractible*) if  $H^1(\mathcal{A}, X) = \{0\}$  for every Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule  $X$  (see [6, 12]). It is known  $\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{B}$  is super-amenable if  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are super-amenable [12, Exercise 4.1.4].

For a discrete semigroup  $S$ ,  $\ell^\infty(S)$  is the Banach algebra of bounded complex-valued functions on  $S$  with the supremum norm and pointwise

multiplication. For each  $t \in S$  and  $f \in \ell^\infty(S)$ , let  $L_t f$  and  $R_t f$  denote the left and the right translations of  $f$  by  $t$ , that is  $\langle L_t f, s \rangle = \langle f, ts \rangle$  and  $\langle R_t f, s \rangle = \langle f, st \rangle$ , for each  $s \in S$ . Then a linear functional  $m \in (\ell^\infty(S))^*$  is called a *mean* if  $\|m\| = \langle m, 1 \rangle = 1$ ;  $m$  is called a *left (right) invariant mean* if  $\langle m, L_t f \rangle = \langle m, f \rangle$  ( $\langle m, R_t f \rangle = \langle m, f \rangle$ ), respectively for all  $s \in S$  and  $f \in \ell^\infty(S)$ . A discrete semigroup  $S$  is called *amenable* if there exists a mean  $m$  on  $\ell^\infty(S)$  which is both left and right invariant (see [7]). An *inverse semigroup* is a discrete semigroup  $S$  such that for each  $s \in S$ , there is a unique element  $s^* \in S$  with  $ss^*s = s$  and  $s^*ss^* = s^*$ . Elements of the form  $ss^*$  are called *idempotents* of  $S$ . For an inverse semigroup  $S$ , a left invariant mean on  $\ell^\infty(S)$  is right invariant and vice versa.

M. Amini in [1] introduced the concept of module amenability for a Banach algebra. He showed that for an inverse semigroup  $S$  with set of idempotents  $E$ , the semigroup algebra  $\ell^1(S)$  is  $\ell^1(E)$ -module amenable if and only if  $S$  is amenable.

This extends the Johnson's theorem [9, Theorem 2.5] in the discrete case) which asserts that for a discrete group  $G$ ,  $\ell^1(G)$  is amenable if and only if  $G$  is amenable. The author and Amini in [4] introduced the concept of module super-amenability and showed that for an inverse semigroup  $S$ , the semigroup algebra  $\ell^1(S)$  is module super-amenable if and only if the group homomorphic image  $S/\approx$  of  $S$  is finite, where  $\approx$  is an equivalence relation on  $S$ .

In part two of this paper, we show that when  $\mathfrak{A}$  acts trivially on  $\mathcal{A}$  from left then under some mild conditions, module amenability of  $\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}$  implies amenability of  $\mathcal{A}/J \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}/J$  and vice versa, where  $J$  is the closed ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$  generated by  $\alpha \cdot (ab) - (ab) \cdot \alpha$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$ . There is a similar result for super amenability.

Finally, we prove that if  $S$  is an amenable inverse semigroup with an upward directed set of idempotents  $E$ , then  $\ell^1(S) \widehat{\otimes} \ell^1(S)$  is module amenable as an  $\ell^1(E)$ -module. Also we show that  $\ell^1(S) \widehat{\otimes} \ell^1(S)$  is module super-amenable when the appropriate group homomorphic image  $S/\approx$  is finite.

## 2. Module Amenability of the Tensor Product of Banach Algebras

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{A}$  be Banach algebras such that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Banach  $\mathfrak{A}$ -bimodule with compatible actions, as follows

$$\alpha \cdot (ab) = (\alpha \cdot a)b, \quad (ab) \cdot \alpha = a(b \cdot \alpha) \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}).$$

Let  $X$  be a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule and a Banach  $\mathfrak{A}$ -bimodule with compatible actions, that is

$$\alpha \cdot (a \cdot x) = (\alpha \cdot a) \cdot x, \quad a \cdot (\alpha \cdot x) = (a \cdot \alpha) \cdot x, \quad (\alpha \cdot x) \cdot a = \alpha \cdot (x \cdot a) \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in X)$$

and the same for the right or two-sided actions. Then we say that  $X$  is a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{A}$ -module. If moreover  $\alpha \cdot x = x \cdot \alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in X$ , then  $X$  is called a *commutative*  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{A}$ -module. If  $X$  is a commutative Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{A}$ -module, then so is  $X^*$ , the first dual space of  $X$ , where the actions of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{A}$  on  $X^*$  are defined as follows

$$\langle \alpha \cdot f, x \rangle = \langle f, x \cdot \alpha \rangle, \quad \langle a \cdot f, x \rangle = \langle f, x \cdot a \rangle \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in X, f \in X^*)$$

and the same for the right actions.

Note that, in general,  $\mathcal{A}$  is not an  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{A}$ -module because  $\mathcal{A}$  does not satisfy in the compatibility condition  $a \cdot (\alpha \cdot b) = (a \cdot \alpha) \cdot b$  for  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}, a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  [2]. But when  $\mathcal{A}$  is a commutative  $\mathfrak{A}$ -module and acts on itself by multiplication from both sides, then it is also a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{A}$ -module.

It is well known that  $\widehat{\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}}$ , the projective tensor product of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Banach algebra with respect to the canonical multiplication defined by  $(a \otimes b)(c \otimes d) = (ac \otimes bd)$ . Also it is a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule and a Banach  $\mathfrak{A}$ -bimodule by the following usual actions:

$$\alpha \cdot (a \otimes b) = (\alpha \cdot a) \otimes b, \quad c \cdot (a \otimes b) = (ca) \otimes b \quad (\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}, a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}),$$

Similarly, for the right actions consider the module projective tensor product  $\widehat{\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{A}} \mathcal{A}}$  which is isomorphic to the quotient space  $(\widehat{\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}})/I$ , where  $I$  is the closed ideal of the projective tensor product  $\widehat{\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}}$  generated by elements of the form  $\alpha \cdot a \otimes b - a \otimes b \cdot \alpha$  for  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}, a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  [11]. Also we consider  $J$ , the closed ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$  generated by elements

of the form  $(\alpha \cdot a)b - a(b \cdot \alpha)$  for  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}, a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}/J$  is Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{A}$ -module when  $\mathcal{A}$  acts on  $\mathcal{A}/J$  canonically.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{A}$  be as in the above and  $X$  be a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{A}$ -module. A bounded map  $D : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow X$  is called a *module derivation* if

$$D(a \pm b) = D(a) \pm D(b), \quad D(ab) = D(a) \cdot b + a \cdot D(b) \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}),$$

and

$$D(\alpha \cdot a) = \alpha \cdot D(a), \quad D(a \cdot \alpha) = D(a) \cdot \alpha \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}).$$

Although  $D$  is not necessary linear, but still its boundedness implies its norm continuity (since it preserves subtraction). When  $X$  is commutative  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{A}$ -module, each  $x \in X$  defines a module derivation

$$D_x(a) = a \cdot x - x \cdot a \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

These are called *inner* module derivations. The Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is called *module amenable* (as an  $\mathfrak{A}$ -module) if for any commutative Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{A}$ -module  $X$ , each module derivation  $D : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow X^*$  is inner [1]. Similarly,  $\mathcal{A}$  is called *module super-amenable* if each module derivation  $D : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow X$  is inner [4].

We say the Banach algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  acts trivially on  $\mathcal{A}$  from left (right) if for each  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\alpha \cdot a = f(\alpha)a$  ( $a \cdot \alpha = f(\alpha)a$ ), where  $f$  is a continuous linear functional on  $\mathfrak{A}$ . The following lemma is proved in [3].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and Banach  $\mathfrak{A}$ -module with compatible actions, and  $J_0$  be a closed ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $J \subseteq J_0$ . If  $\mathcal{A}/J_0$  has a left or right identity  $e + J_0$ , then for each  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  we have  $a \cdot \alpha - \alpha \cdot a \in J_0$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{A}/J_0$  is commutative Banach  $\mathfrak{A}$ -module.*

Recall that  $\mathfrak{A}$  has a bounded approximate identity for  $\mathcal{A}$  if there is a bounded net  $\{\gamma_i\}$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that for each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\|\gamma_i \cdot a - a\| \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|a \cdot \gamma_i - a\| \rightarrow 0$ , as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach  $\mathfrak{A}$ -module with trivial left action and  $\mathcal{A}/J$  has an identity. If  $\widehat{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}}$  is module amenable (module super-amenable), then  $\mathcal{A}/J \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}/J$  is amenable (module super-amenable). The converse is true if  $\mathfrak{A}$  has a bounded approximate identity for  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

**Proof.** We prove the result for the module amenability. Let  $X$  be a unital  $\mathcal{A}/J\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{A}/J$ -bimodule and  $D : \mathcal{A}/J\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{A}/J \longrightarrow X^*$  be a bounded derivation (see [5, Lemma 43.6]). Then  $X$  is an  $\mathcal{A}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule with module actions given by

$$(a \otimes b) \cdot x := ((a+J) \otimes (b+J)) \cdot x, \quad x \cdot (a \otimes b) := x \cdot ((a+J) \otimes (b+J)) \quad (x \in X, a \in \mathcal{A}),$$

and  $X$  is  $\mathfrak{A}$ -bimodule with trivial actions, that is  $\alpha \cdot x = x \cdot \alpha = f(\alpha)x$ , for each  $x \in X$  and  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$  which  $f$  is a continuous linear functional on  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Since  $f(\alpha)a - a \cdot \alpha \in J$  (see Lemma 2.1.), we have  $f(\alpha)a + J = a \cdot \alpha + J$ , for each  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$ , and the actions of  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathcal{A}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{A}$  on  $X$  are compatible. Therefore  $X$  is commutative Banach  $\mathcal{A}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{A}$ -module. Consider  $\Phi : (\mathcal{A}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{A})/I \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}/J\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{A}/J$  defined by

$$\Phi((a \otimes b) + I) = (a + J) \otimes (b + J).$$

For each  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha \cdot a + J) \otimes (b + J) - (a + J) \otimes (b \cdot \alpha + J) &= (f(\alpha)a + J) \otimes (b + J) \\ &\quad - (a + J) \otimes (f(\alpha)b + J) \\ &= f(\alpha)(a + J) \otimes (b + J) \\ &\quad - f(\alpha)(a + J) \otimes (b + J) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We have used Lemma 2.1., in the first equality, hence  $\Phi$  is well defined. Obviously  $\Phi$  is  $\mathfrak{A}$ -bimodule morphism. We show that the map  $\overline{D} = D \circ \Phi \circ \pi : \mathcal{A}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow X^*$  is module derivation where  $\pi : \mathcal{A}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{A}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{A})/I$  is the projection map. For each  $a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{D}((a \otimes b)(c \otimes d)) &= D(((a + J) \otimes (b + J))((c + J) \otimes (d + J))) \\ &= D((a + J) \otimes (b + J)) \cdot ((c + J) \otimes (d + J)) \\ &\quad + ((a + J) \otimes (b + J)) \cdot D((c + J) \otimes (d + J)) \\ &= \overline{D}(a \otimes b) \cdot (c \otimes d) + (a \otimes b) \cdot \overline{D}(c \otimes d). \end{aligned}$$

For each  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  we have  $\overline{D}((a \otimes b) \pm (c \otimes d)) = \overline{D}(a \otimes b) \pm \overline{D}(c \otimes d)$ .

Also  $\mathcal{A}/J\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{A}/J$  is an  $\mathfrak{A}$ -bimodule, hence for  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{D}((a \otimes b) \cdot \alpha) &= D((a + J) \otimes (b \cdot \alpha + J)) \\ &= D((a + J) \otimes (f(\alpha)b + J)) \\ &= f(\alpha)D((a + J) \otimes (b + J)) \\ &= \overline{D}(a \otimes b) \cdot \alpha.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since the left  $\mathfrak{A}$ -module actions on  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $X$  are trivial,  $\overline{D}(\alpha \cdot (a \otimes b)) = \overline{D}(f(\alpha)(a \otimes b)) = \alpha \cdot \overline{D}(a \otimes b)$ . Therefore there exists  $x^* \in X^*$  such that  $\overline{D}(a \otimes b) = (a \otimes b) \cdot x^* - x^* \cdot (a \otimes b)$ , hence  $D((a + J) \otimes (b + J)) = ((a + J) \otimes (b + J)) \cdot x^* - x^* \cdot ((a + J) \otimes (b + J))$ , and so  $D$  is inner.

For the converse, we note that for every derivation  $D : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow X$  on unital Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  with identity  $e$ , we have  $D(e) = 0$  and without loss of generality we can assume that  $e \cdot D(a) = D(a) \cdot e = D(a)$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . We use this fact in the rest of the proof. Now, suppose that  $X$  is a commutative Banach  $\mathcal{A}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{A}$ -module. We consider the following module actions  $\mathcal{A}/J\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{A}/J$  on  $X$ ,

$$((a+J) \otimes (b+J)) \cdot x := (a \otimes b) \cdot x, \quad x \cdot ((a+J) \otimes (b+J)) := x \cdot (a \otimes b) \quad (x \in X, a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

For each  $a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $x \in X$ , and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{A}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}((\alpha \cdot ab - ab \cdot \alpha) \otimes (\beta \cdot cd - cd \cdot \beta)) \cdot x &= (\alpha \cdot ab \otimes \beta \cdot cd - \alpha \cdot ab \otimes cd \cdot \beta \\ &\quad - ab \cdot \alpha \otimes \beta \cdot cd \\ &\quad + ab \cdot \alpha \otimes cd \cdot \beta) \cdot x \\ &= \beta \cdot ((f(\alpha)ab \otimes cd) \cdot x) \\ &\quad - ((f(\alpha)ab \otimes cd) \cdot x) \cdot \beta \\ &\quad - \beta \cdot ((ab \cdot \alpha \otimes cd) \cdot x) \\ &\quad + ((ab \cdot \alpha \otimes cd) \cdot x) \cdot \beta = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly if  $a \in J$  or  $b \in J$ , we can show that  $(a \otimes b) \cdot x = 0$  and  $x \cdot (a \otimes b) = 0$ . Therefore  $X$  is a Banach  $\mathcal{A}/J\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{A}/J$ -bimodule. Suppose that  $D : \mathcal{A}\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow X^*$  is a module derivation, and consider  $\tilde{D} : \mathcal{A}/J\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{A}/J \longrightarrow X^*$  defined by  $\tilde{D}((a + J) \otimes (b + J)) := D(a \otimes b)$ , for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . Suppose

that  $e + J$  is identity for  $\mathcal{A}/J$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(a \otimes (\alpha \cdot cd - cd \cdot \alpha)) &= \alpha \cdot D(a \otimes cd) - D(a \otimes cd) \cdot \alpha \\ &= \alpha \cdot D(ae \otimes cd) - D(ae \otimes cd) \cdot \alpha \\ &= \alpha \cdot D(a \otimes c) \cdot (e \otimes d) + \alpha \cdot (a \otimes c) \cdot D(e \otimes d) \\ &\quad - D(a \otimes c) \cdot (e \otimes d) \cdot \alpha - (a \otimes c) \cdot D(e \otimes d) \cdot \alpha = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Although  $ae$  is not equal with  $a$ , but we have

$$D(a \otimes cd) = \tilde{D}((a + J) \otimes (cd + J)) = \tilde{D}((ae + J) \otimes (cd + J)) = D(ae \otimes cd).$$

By the above observation,  $\tilde{D}$  is also well-defined. Suppose that  $\mathfrak{A}$  has a bounded approximate identity  $(\gamma_i)$  for  $\mathcal{A}$ . Since  $f$  is bounded,  $\{|f(\gamma_i)|\}$  is a bounded sequence in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $f(\gamma_i) \rightarrow 1$ , as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Then for each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  we have

$$e \cdot (\lambda \gamma_i) - f(\gamma_i)e = (\lambda e) \cdot \gamma_i - f(\gamma_i)e \rightarrow \lambda e - e$$

in norm. Since  $J$  is a closed ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\lambda e - e \in J$ . Next, for each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , and  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}((\lambda a + J) \otimes (b + J)) &= \tilde{D}((a + J) \otimes (b + J))(e + J) \otimes (\lambda e + J) \\ &= \tilde{D}((a + J) \otimes (b + J)) \cdot ((e + J) \otimes (\lambda e + J)) \\ &\quad + ((a + J) \otimes (b + J)) \cdot \tilde{D}((e + J) \otimes (\lambda e + J)) \\ &= \lambda \tilde{D}((a + J) \otimes (b + J)) \cdot ((e + J) \otimes (e + J)) \\ &\quad + ((a + J) \otimes (b + J)) \cdot \tilde{D}((e + J) \otimes (e + J)) \\ &= \lambda \tilde{D}((a + J) \otimes (b + J)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\tilde{D}$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear, and so it is inner. Therefore  $D$  is an inner module derivation.  $\square$

In this part we find conditions on a (discrete) inverse semigroup  $S$  such that the tensor product  $\ell^1(S) \widehat{\otimes} \ell^1(S)$  is  $\ell^1(E)$ -module amenable and super-amenable, where  $E$  is the set of idempotents of  $S$ , acting on  $S$  trivially from left and by multiplication from right. Let  $S$  be an inverse semigroup with set idempotent  $E$ , where the order of  $E$  is defined by

$$e \leq d \iff ed = e \quad (e, d \in E).$$

It is easy to show that  $E$  is a (commutative) subsemigroup of  $S$  [8, Theorem V.1.2]. In particular  $\ell^1(E)$  could be regarded as a subalgebra of  $\ell^1(S)$ , and thereby  $\ell^1(S)$  is a Banach algebra and a Banach  $\ell^1(E)$ -module with compatible actions ([1]). Here we let  $\ell^1(E)$  act on  $\ell^1(S)$  by multiplication from right and trivially from left, that is

$$\delta_e \cdot \delta_s = \delta_s, \quad \delta_s \cdot \delta_e = \delta_{se} = \delta_s * \delta_e \quad (s \in S, e \in E).$$

In this case, the ideal  $J$  is the closed linear span of  $\{\delta_{set} - \delta_{st} : s, t \in S, e \in E\}$ . We consider an equivalence relation on  $S$  as follows

$$s \approx t \iff \delta_s - \delta_t \in J \quad (s, t \in S).$$

Recall that  $E$  is called *upward directed* if for every  $e, f \in E$  there exists  $g \in E$  such that  $eg = e$  and  $fg = f$ . This is precisely the assertion that  $S$  satisfies the  $D_1$  condition of Duncan and Namioka [7]. It is shown in [10, Theorem 3.2.], that if  $E$  is upward directed, then the quotient  $S/\approx$  is a discrete group. As in [10, Theorem 3.3], we may observe that  $\ell^1(S)/J \cong \ell^1(S/\approx)$ . With the above notations,  $\ell^1(S)/J \cong \ell^1(S/\approx)$  is a commutative  $\ell^1(E)$ -bimodule with the following actions

$$\delta_e \cdot (\delta_s + J) = \delta_s + J, \quad (\delta_s + J) \cdot \delta_e = \delta_{se} + J \quad (s \in S, e \in E).$$

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $S$  be an inverse semigroup with an upward directed set of idempotents  $E$  and  $\ell^1(S)$  be a Banach  $\ell^1(E)$ -module with trivial left action and canonical right action. Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) *If  $S$  is amenable, then  $\ell^1(S) \widehat{\otimes} \ell^1(S)$  is module amenable.*
- (ii) *If  $S/\approx$  is finite, then  $\ell^1(S) \widehat{\otimes} \ell^1(S)$  is module super-amenable.*

**Proof.** (i) The semigroup algebra  $S$  is amenable if and only if  $\ell^1(S)$  is module amenable [1. Theorem 3.1]. Thus  $\ell^1(S/\approx)$  is unital amenable Banach algebra by [3, Proposition 3.2], and so the tensor product  $\ell^1(S/\approx) \widehat{\otimes} \ell^1(S/\approx)$  is amenable [6. Corollary 2.9.62]. Now the proof is completed by using Theorem 2.2.

(ii) Since  $S/\approx$  is a finite (discrete) group,  $\ell^1(S)$  is module super-amenable as  $\ell^1(E)$ -module, hence  $\ell^1(S/\approx)$  is super-amenable by [4,



Lemma 2.7]. By [12, Exercise 4.1.4],  $\ell^1(S/\approx)\widehat{\otimes}\ell^1(S/\approx)$  is super-amenable. Now the result follows from Theorem 2.2 with  $\mathcal{A} = \ell^1(S)$  and  $\mathfrak{A} = \ell^1(E)$ .  $\square$

**Example 2.4.** (i) Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the bicyclic inverse semigroup generated by  $a$  and  $b$ , that is

$$\mathcal{C} = \{a^m b^n : m, n \geq 0\}, \quad (a^m b^n)^* = a^n b^m.$$

The set of idempotents of  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $E_{\mathcal{C}} = \{a^n b^n : n = 0, 1, \dots\}$  which is totally ordered (and so is upward directed) with the following order

$$a^n b^n \leq a^m b^m \iff m \leq n.$$

It is shown in [3] that  $\mathcal{C}/\approx$  is isomorphic to the group of integers  $\mathbb{Z}$ , hence  $\mathcal{C}$  is amenable. Therefore the tensor product  $\ell^1(\mathcal{C})\widehat{\otimes}\ell^1(\mathcal{C})$  is module amenable by Theorem 2.3.

(ii) Let  $(\mathbb{N}, \vee)$  be the commutative semigroup of positive integers with maximum operation  $m \vee n = \max(m, n)$ , then each element of  $\mathbb{N}$  is an idempotent, that is  $E_{\mathbb{N}} = \mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $\mathbb{N}/\approx$  is the trivial group with one element. Therefore by Theorem 2.2., the tensor product  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})\widehat{\otimes}\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$  is module super-amenable, as an  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$ -module.

### Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank the referee for careful reading of the paper and giving some useful suggestions.

## References

- [1] M. Amini, *Module amenability for semigroup algebras*, Semigroup Forum 69 (2004), 243–254.
- [2] M. Amini, Corrigendum, *Module amenability for semigroup algebras*, Semigroup Forum 72 (2006), 493–494.
- [3] M. Amini, A. Bodaghi, and D. Ebrahimi Bagha, *Module amenability of the second dual and module topological center of semigroup algebras*, Semigroup Forum, 80 (2010), 302–312.

- [4] A. Bodaghi and M. Amini, *Module super amenability for semigroup algebras*, arXiv:0912.4624V1.
- [5] F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Complete Normed Algebra*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1973.
- [6] H. G. Dales, *Banach Algebras and Automatic Continuity*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000.
- [7] J. Duncan and I. Namioka, *Amenability of inverse semigroups and their semigroup algebras*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh 80A (1988), 309-321.
- [8] J. M. Howie, *An Introduction to Semigroup Theory*, Academic Press, London, 1976.
- [9] B. E. Johnson, *Cohomology in Banach Algebras*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. 127, 1972.
- [10] R. Rezavand, M. Amini, M. H. Sattari, and D. Ebrahimi Bagha, *Module Arens regularity for semigroup algebras*, *Semigroup Forum*, 77 (2008), 300-305.
- [11] M. A. Rieffel, *Induced Banach representations of Banach algebras and locally compact groups*, *J. Funct. Anal.* 1 (1967), 443-491.
- [12] V. Runde, *Lectures on Amenability*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1774, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 2002.

**Abasalt Bodaghi**

Department of Mathematics

Assistant Professor of Mathematics

Islamic Azad University, Garmsar-Branch

Garmsar, Iran.

E-mail: abasalt.bodaghi@gmail.com