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#### Abstract

Let $X_{i 1}, \cdots, X_{i n_{i}}$ be a random sample from a gamma distribution with known shape parameter $\nu_{i}>0$ and unknown scale parameter $\beta_{i}>0, i=1,2$, satisfying $0<\beta_{1} \leqslant \beta_{2}$. We consider the class of mixed estimators for estimation of $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ under reflected gamma loss function. It has been shown that the minimum risk equivariant estimator of $\beta_{i}, i=1,2$, which is admissible when no information on the ordering of parameters are given, is inadmissible and dominated by a class of mixed estimators when it is known that the parameters are ordered. Also, the inadmissible estimators in the class of mixed estimators are derived. Finally the results are extended to some subclass of exponential family.
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## 1. Introduction

Estimation of ordered parameters when it is known a priori that they are subject to certain order restrictions arises in various practical problems. For example, there are situations where one is interested in estimation the yield output of two machine, of which one is an improvement of
the other, it is naturally to assume the improved machine have a yield output more than that of original machine.

The problem of estimation of ordered parameters have been of considerable interest and studied in the literature. Most of the work related to statistical inference under order restriction which appeared in the literature up to 1988 is reviewed by Robertson et al. [17] and up to 2006 is classified and extensively reviewed by van Eeden [20]. The estimation problem with order restriction leads us to some theoretical interesting questions such as admissibility of ordinary unrestricted estimator under the restriction. Some authors address this question for estimation of ordered parameters of some distributions. For example see Katz [4] and Kumar and Sharma [8] for estimation of ordered normal means, Kushary and Cohen [9] for estimation of ordered poisson parameters, Vijayasree and Singh [22, 23], Kaur and Singh [5], Kumar and Kumar [6, 7] and Misra and Singh [13] for estimation of ordered Exponential means and Vijayasree et al. [21], Chang and Shinozaki [1], Misra et al. [11] and Meghnatisi and Nematollahi [10] for estimation of ordered scale parameters of gamma distributions.

Now, suppose $X_{i 1}, \cdots, X_{i n_{i}}, i=1,2$ be two independent random samples from gamma distribution with known shape parameter $\nu_{i}>0$ and unknown scale parameters $\beta_{i}>0, i=1,2$, with density
$f_{X_{i j}}(x)=\frac{1}{\beta_{i}^{\nu_{i}} \Gamma\left(\nu_{i}\right)} x^{\nu_{i}-1} e^{-x / \beta_{i}}, x>0, \nu_{i}>0, \beta_{i}>0, j=1, \ldots, n_{i}, i=1,2$.
We assume $0<\beta_{1} \leqslant \beta_{2}$ and want to estimate $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ component-wise. In the literature, estimation of ordered parameters is usually considered under the Squared Error Loss (SEL) or scale-invariant SEL (see van Eeden [20]), which are convex and symmetric, or in some special cases under the LINEX loss (see Misra et al. [12]) or entropy loss (see Parsian and Nematollahi [15], Chang and Shinozaki [2] and Nematollahi and Meghnatisi [14]), which are convex and asymmetric. A major criticism of the SEL, LINEX and entropy loss functions is that these functions continue to increase as the deviation from target increases, rather than attaining an upper limit. In response to the criticism of SEL, Spiring [18] introduced reflected normal loss function, which is appropriate for
estimation of location parameter, and in response to the criticism of entropy loss function, Towhidi and Behboodian [19] employed the Reflected Gamma Loss (RGL) function which is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(\beta_{i}, \delta_{i}\right)=k\left\{1-e^{-\gamma^{2}\left(\frac{\delta_{i}}{\beta_{i}}-\ln \frac{\delta_{i}}{\beta_{i}}-1\right)}\right\}, \quad i=1,2 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma>0$ is a shape parameter and $k>0$ is the maximum loss parameter. The loss function (2) is appropriate for estimation of scale parameter which is asymmetric and bounded. Without loss of generality, we shall take $k=1$ in the rest of the paper.

In this paper we study the inadmissibility of usual and mixed estimators of $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ under the model (1) with restriction $0<\beta_{1} \leqslant \beta_{2}$ and under the RGL function (2). To this end, in Section 2, a subclass of mixed estimators is obtained that beats the Minimum Risk Equivariant (MRE) and admissible estimator of $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$, when they are not ordered, and the inadmissible estimators in the class of mixed estimators are derived. Also, the results are extended to a subclass of the scale parameter exponential family and also the family of transformed chi-square distributions introduced by Rahman and Gupta [16].

## 2. Inadmissibility Results

Let $X_{i j}, j=1, \cdots, n_{i}, i=1,2$, be two independent random samples from Gamma $\left(\nu_{i}, \beta_{i}\right)$-distribution, $i=1,2$, with density given by (1) where $0<\beta_{1} \leqslant \beta_{2}$ and $\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}$ are known positive real valued shape parameters. Let $m_{i}=n_{i} \nu_{i}$ and $\delta_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} X_{i j} / m_{i}=\overline{X_{i}} / \nu_{i}, i=1,2$. Towhidi and Behboodian [19] showed that under the RGL function (2), $\delta_{i}$ is MRE and admissible (and also maximum likelihood) estimator of $\beta_{i}, i=1,2$, when $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ are unrestricted. Define the mixed estimator of $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{1 \alpha}=\min \left(\delta_{1}, \alpha \delta_{1}+(1-\alpha) \delta_{2}\right), \quad 0 \leqslant \alpha<1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{2 \alpha}=\max \left(\delta_{2}, \alpha \delta_{2}+(1-\alpha) \delta_{1}\right), \quad 0 \leqslant \alpha<1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. It can be shown that when $\alpha=\frac{m_{1}}{m_{1}+m_{2}}, \delta_{1 \alpha}$ is the MLE of $\beta_{1}$ and if $\alpha=\frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}+m_{2}}$, then $\delta_{2 \alpha}$ is the MLE of $\beta_{2}$ (see Robertson et al. [17] and Chang and Shinozaki [1]).

The risk functions of $\delta_{i \alpha}$ and $\delta_{i}$ with respect to loss (2) are given by

$$
R\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \delta_{i \alpha}\right)=E\left[1-e^{-\gamma^{2}\left(\frac{\delta_{i \alpha}}{\beta_{i}}-\ln \frac{\delta_{i \alpha}}{\beta_{i}}-1\right)}\right], \quad i=1,2
$$

and

$$
R\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \delta_{i}\right)=E\left[1-e^{-\gamma^{2}\left(\frac{\delta_{i}}{\beta_{i}}-\ln \frac{\delta_{i}}{\beta_{i}}-1\right)}\right], \quad i=1,2
$$

respectively. In this section, we find values of $\alpha$ such that $\delta_{i \alpha}$ is inadmissible among the class of mixed estimators of $\beta_{i}$ and $\delta_{i \alpha}$ dominates the usual estimator $\delta_{i}$ of $\beta_{i}, i=1,2$. Let $y_{1}=\beta_{2} / \beta_{1}, y_{2}=\beta_{1} / \beta_{2}$ and $z=m_{1} y_{1} /\left(m_{1} y_{1}+m_{2}\right)$. Since $0<\beta_{1} \leqslant \beta_{2}$, we have $y_{1} \geqslant 1,0<y_{2} \leqslant 1$ and $0<z<1$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\alpha_{1}=\frac{m_{1}+\gamma^{2}}{m_{1}+m_{2}+\gamma^{2}}$, then under the loss function (2), for $\alpha \in\left(\alpha_{1}, 1\right)$ and $0<\beta_{1} \leqslant \beta_{2}$,

$$
R\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \delta_{1 \alpha_{1}}\right)<R\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \delta_{1 \alpha}\right)<R\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \delta_{1}\right) .
$$

Proof. Let $T_{1}=\frac{m_{2} \delta_{2}}{m_{1} y_{1} \delta_{1}+m_{2} \delta_{2}}$ and $T_{2}=\frac{m_{1} \delta_{1}}{\beta_{1}}+\frac{m_{2} \delta_{2}}{\beta_{2}}$. Then $\delta_{1}=$ $\frac{\beta_{1} T_{2}\left(1-T_{1}\right)}{m_{1}}, \delta_{2}=\frac{\beta_{2} T_{1} T_{2}}{m_{2}}$ and $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ are independent with $T_{1} \sim$ $\operatorname{Beta}\left(m_{2}, m_{1}\right)$ and $T_{2} \sim \operatorname{Gamma}\left(m_{1}+m_{2}, 1\right)$. Let $\Delta_{1}=R\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \delta_{1}\right)-$ $R\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \delta_{1 \alpha}\right)$, then using the fact that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{b}-e^{a} \geqslant e^{a}(b-a) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{1}= & E\left[e^{-\gamma^{2}\left(\frac{\delta_{1 \alpha}}{\beta_{1}}-\ln \frac{\delta_{1 \alpha}}{\beta_{1}}-1\right)}-e^{-\gamma^{2}\left(\frac{\delta_{1}}{\beta_{1}}-\ln \frac{\delta_{1}}{\beta_{1}}-1\right)}\right] \\
\geqslant & E\left[\gamma^{2}\left(\frac{\delta_{1}}{\beta_{1}}-\ln \frac{\delta_{1}}{\beta_{1}}-\frac{\delta_{1 \alpha}}{\beta_{1}}+\ln \frac{\delta_{1 \alpha}}{\beta_{1}}\right) e^{-\gamma^{2}\left(\frac{\delta_{1}}{\beta_{1}}-\ln \frac{\delta_{1}}{\beta_{1}}-1\right)}\right] \\
= & \gamma^{2} E\left[\left\{(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{\delta_{1}-\delta_{2}}{\beta_{1}}\right)+\ln \left(\alpha+\frac{(1-\alpha) \delta_{2}}{\delta_{1}}\right)\right\}\right. \\
& \left.e^{-\gamma^{2}\left(\frac{\delta_{1}}{\beta_{1}}-\ln \frac{\delta_{1}}{\beta_{1}}-1\right)} I_{[0, \infty]}\left(\delta_{1}-\delta_{2}\right)\right] \\
= & \gamma^{2} e^{\gamma^{2}} E\left[\left\{\frac{(1-\alpha)\left(m_{2}-\left(m_{1} y_{1}+m_{2}\right) T_{1}\right) T_{2}}{m_{1} m_{2}}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\ln \left(\alpha+\frac{(1-\alpha) m_{1} y_{1} T_{1}}{m_{2}\left(1-T_{1}\right)}\right)\right\} \\
& \left.\times\left(\frac{1-T_{1}}{m_{1}}\right)^{\gamma^{2}} T_{2}^{\gamma^{2}} e^{-\gamma^{2}\left(\frac{T_{2}\left(1-T_{1}\right)}{m_{1}}\right)} I_{[0,1-z]}\left(T_{1}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Now if $A\left(T_{1}\right)$ is a function of $T_{1}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[\left.A\left(T_{1}\right) T_{2}^{\gamma^{2}+a} e^{-T_{2}\left(\frac{\gamma^{2}\left(1-T_{1}\right)}{m_{1}}\right)} \right\rvert\, T_{1}=t_{1}\right] \\
& \quad=A\left(t_{1}\right) \frac{\Gamma\left(m_{1}+m_{2}+\gamma^{2}+a\right)}{\Gamma\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)}\left(\frac{m_{1}}{m_{1}+\gamma^{2}\left(1-t_{1}\right)}\right)^{m_{1}+m_{2}+\gamma^{2}+a}
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& E\left[A\left(T_{1}\right) T_{2}^{\gamma^{2}+a} e^{-T_{2}\left(\frac{\gamma^{2}\left(1-T_{1}\right)}{m_{1}}\right)}\right] \\
& \quad=E\left[A\left(T_{1}\right) \frac{\Gamma\left(m_{1}+m_{2}+\gamma^{2}+a\right)}{\Gamma\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)}\left(\frac{m_{1}}{m_{1}+\gamma^{2}\left(1-T_{1}\right)}\right)^{m_{1}+m_{2}+\gamma^{2}+a}\right] \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

So,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{1} \geqslant & \frac{\gamma^{2} e^{\gamma^{2}} \Gamma\left(m_{1}+m_{2}+\gamma^{2}\right) m_{1}^{m_{1}+m_{2}}}{\Gamma\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)} \\
& \times E\left[f_{1}\left(T_{1}\right) \frac{\left(1-T_{1}\right)^{\gamma^{2}}}{\left(m_{1}+\gamma^{2}\left(1-T_{1}\right)\right)^{m_{1}+m_{2}+\gamma^{2}+1}} I_{[0,1-z]}\left(T_{1}\right)\right] \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{1}(x)= & \frac{1-\alpha}{m_{2}}\left(m_{2}-\left(m_{1} y_{1}+m_{2}\right) x\right)\left(m_{1}+m_{2}+\gamma^{2}\right) \\
& +\ln \left(\alpha+\frac{(1-\alpha) m_{1} y_{1} x}{m_{2}(1-x)}\right)\left(m_{1}+\gamma^{2}(1-x)\right) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Now using the fact that $\ln x \geqslant 1-\frac{1}{x}$ for $x>0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{1}(x) \geqslant & \frac{1}{m_{2}}\left\{(1-\alpha)\left(m_{1}+m_{2}+\gamma^{2}\right)\left(m_{2}-\left(m_{1} y_{1}+m_{2}\right) x\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+m_{2}\left[m_{1}+\gamma^{2}(1-x)\right]\left[\frac{(1-\alpha)\left[\left(m_{1} y_{1}+m_{2}\right) x-m_{2}\right]}{\alpha m_{2}(1-x)+(1-\alpha) m_{1} y_{1} x}\right]\right\} \\
= & \frac{1-\alpha}{m_{2}\left[\alpha m_{2}(1-x)+(1-\alpha) m_{1} y_{1} x\right]} g_{1}(x) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}(x)=A_{1}\left(y_{1}, \alpha\right) x^{2}+B_{1}\left(y_{1}, \alpha\right) x+C_{1}\left(y_{1}, \alpha\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{1}\left(y_{1}, \alpha\right)= & -\left(m_{1} y_{1}+m_{2}\right)\left[\left(m_{1}+m_{2}+\gamma^{2}\right)\left\{(1-\alpha) m_{1} y_{1}-\alpha m_{2}\right\}+m_{2} \gamma^{2}\right] \\
B_{1}\left(y_{1}, \alpha\right)= & m_{2}\left\{\left(m_{1} y_{1}+m_{2}\right)\left[m_{1}+\gamma^{2}-\alpha\left(m_{1}+m_{2}+\gamma^{2}\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\left(m_{1}+m_{2}+\gamma^{2}\right)\left[(1-\alpha) m_{1} y_{1}-\alpha m_{2}\right)\right]+m_{2} \gamma^{2}\right\} \\
C_{1}\left(y_{1}, \alpha\right)= & m_{2}^{2}\left[\alpha\left(m_{1}+m_{2}+\gamma^{2}\right)-m_{1}-\gamma^{2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $C_{1}\left(y_{1}, \alpha\right)>0$ for $y_{1} \geqslant 1$ and $\alpha>\alpha_{1}$. When $A_{1}\left(y_{1}, \alpha\right) \neq 0$, the quadratic form (10) has the roots

$$
x_{1}=1-z \quad \text { and } \quad x_{2}=1-z+\frac{m_{1} m_{2}^{2}\left(y_{1}-1\right)}{A_{1}\left(y_{1}, \alpha\right)}
$$

If $A_{1}\left(y_{1}, \alpha\right)>0$, then $x_{1}=1-z$ is the smaller positive root and if $A_{1}\left(y_{1}, \alpha\right)<0$, then $x_{1}=1-z$ is the only positive root when $\alpha \in\left(\alpha_{1}, 1\right)$. For the case $A_{1}\left(y_{1}, \alpha\right)=0, x_{1}=1-z$ is the only root. So, from (9) $f_{1}(x)>0$ for $x \in[0,1-z]$, and hence $\Delta_{1}>0$ for all $0<\beta_{1} \leqslant \beta_{2}$ when

$$
\alpha \in\left(\alpha_{1}, 1\right), i . e ., R\left(\delta_{1 \alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)<R\left(\delta_{1}, \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)
$$

for $\alpha \in\left(\alpha_{1}, 1\right)$.
Now if $\Delta_{1}^{*}=R\left(\delta_{1 \alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)-R\left(\delta_{1 \alpha_{1}}, \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)$, then by a similar argument that leads to (7), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{1}^{*} \geqslant & \frac{\gamma^{2} e^{\gamma^{2}} \Gamma\left(m_{1}+m_{2}+\gamma^{2}\right)\left(m_{1} m_{2}\right)^{m_{1}+m_{2}}}{\Gamma\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)} \\
\times & E\left[f_{1}^{*}\left(T_{1}\right) \frac{\left[m_{1} y_{1}(1-\alpha) T_{1}+m_{2} \alpha\left(1-T_{1}\right)\right]^{\gamma^{2}}}{\left[\gamma^{2}\left(m_{1} y_{1}(1-\alpha) T_{1}+m_{2} \alpha\left(1-T_{1}\right)\right)+m_{1} m_{2}\right]^{m_{1}+m_{2}+\gamma^{2}+1}}\right. \\
& \left.I_{[0,1-z]}\left(T_{1}\right)\right] \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{1}^{*}(x)= & \left(\alpha-\alpha_{1}\right)\left[m_{2}-\left(m_{1} y_{1}+m_{2}\right) x\right]\left(m_{1}+m_{2}+\gamma^{2}\right) \\
& +\ln \left(\frac{m_{1} y_{1}\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) x+m_{2} \alpha_{1}(1-x)}{m_{1} y_{1}(1-\alpha) x+m_{2} \alpha(1-x)}\right) \\
& \left\{\gamma^{2}\left[m_{1} y_{1}(1-\alpha) x+m_{2} \alpha(1-x)\right]+m_{1} m_{2}\right\} \\
\geqslant & \frac{\left(\alpha-\alpha_{1}\right)\left[m_{2}-\left(m_{1} y_{1}+m_{2}\right) x\right]}{\left[m_{1} y_{1}\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) x+m_{2} \alpha_{1}(1-x)\right]} \\
& \left\{\left(m_{1}+m_{2}+\gamma^{2}\right)\left[m_{1} y_{1}\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) x+m_{2} \alpha_{1}(1-x)\right]\right. \\
& \left.-\gamma^{2}\left[m_{1} y_{1}(1-\alpha) x+m_{2} \alpha(1-x)\right]-m_{1} m_{2}\right\} \\
= & \frac{\alpha-\alpha_{1}}{\left[m_{1} y_{1}\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) x+m_{2} \alpha_{1}(1-x)\right]} g_{1}^{*}(x) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{1}^{*}(x) & =A_{1}^{*}\left(y_{1}, \alpha\right) x^{2}+B_{1}^{*}\left(y_{1}, \alpha\right) x+C_{1}^{*}\left(y_{1}, \alpha\right) \\
A_{1}^{*}\left(y_{1}, \alpha\right) & =\left(m_{1} y_{1}+m_{2}\right)\left[(1-\alpha) \gamma^{2}\left(m_{1} y_{1}+m_{2}\right)-m_{1} m_{2}\left(y_{1}-1\right)\right] \\
B_{1}^{*}\left(y_{1}, \alpha\right) & =m_{2}\left\{m_{1} m_{2}\left(y_{1}-1\right)-2(1-\alpha) \gamma^{2}\left(m_{1} y_{1}+m_{2}\right)\right\} \\
C_{1}^{*}\left(y_{1}, \alpha\right) & =m_{2}^{2} \gamma^{2}(1-\alpha) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $C_{1}^{*}\left(y_{1}, \alpha\right)>0$ for $\alpha<1$, when $A_{1}^{*}\left(y_{1}, \alpha\right) \neq 0$ the quadratic function (13) has the roots

$$
x_{1}=1-z \quad \text { and } \quad x_{2}=1-z+\frac{m_{1} m_{2}^{2}\left(y_{1}-1\right)}{A_{1}^{*}\left(y_{1}, \alpha\right)}
$$

which is similar to (10) with replacing $A_{1}^{*}\left(y_{1}, \alpha\right)$ by $A_{1}\left(y_{1}, \alpha\right)$. So by a similar argument, $f_{1}^{*}(x)>0$ for $x \in[0,1-z]$, and hence $\Delta_{1}^{*}>0$ for all $0<\beta_{1} \leqslant \beta_{2}$ when $\alpha \in\left(\alpha_{1}, 1\right)$, i.e., $R\left(\delta_{1 \alpha_{1}}, \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)<R\left(\delta_{1 \alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)$ for $\alpha \in\left(\alpha_{1}, 1\right)$. which completes the proof.

Theorem 2.2. Let $\alpha_{2}=\frac{m_{2}+\gamma^{2}}{m_{1}+m_{2}+\gamma^{2}}$, then under the loss function (2), for $\alpha \in\left(\alpha_{2}, 1\right)$ and $0<\beta_{1} \leqslant \beta_{2}$,

$$
R\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \delta_{2 \alpha_{2}}\right)<R\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \delta_{2 \alpha}\right)<R\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \delta_{2}\right)
$$

The proof of Theorem 2.2. is completely similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1 and hence is omitted.

Remark 2.1. Theorems 2.1. and 2.2. show that the mixed estimators $\delta_{1 \alpha}$ and $\delta_{2 \alpha}$ are inadmissible whenever $\alpha>\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha>\alpha_{2}$, respectively. In the literature for finding admissible estimators of ordered parameters $0<\beta_{1} \leqslant \beta_{2}$ in the class of mixed estimators (3) and (4), the values of $\alpha$ that minimizes $R\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \delta_{1 \alpha}\right)$ and $R\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \delta_{2 \alpha}\right)$ are obtained by differentiating $-\Delta_{1}$ and $-\Delta_{2}$ with respect to $\alpha$. Because of the complexity of RGL function (2), we cannot find the minimizing value of $\alpha$ explicitly. So, the admissibility of estimators $\delta_{i \alpha}$ for $0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant \alpha_{i}, i=1,2$ remained unsolved.

Remark 2.2. The results of this section can be extended to a subclass of exponential family as follow. Let $\mathbf{X}_{i}=\left(X_{i 1}, X_{i 2}, \cdots, X_{i_{n_{i}}}\right), i=1,2$
has the joint probability density function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \theta_{i}\right)=C\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, n_{i}\right) \theta_{i}^{-\gamma_{i}} e^{-T_{i}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right) / \theta_{i}}, \quad i=1,2 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{x}_{i}=\left(x_{i 1}, \cdots, x_{i n_{i}}\right), C\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, n_{i}\right)$ is a function of $\mathbf{x}_{i}$ and $n_{i}, \theta_{i}=\tau_{i}^{r}$ for some $r>0, \gamma_{i}$ is a function of $n_{i}$ and $T_{i}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)$ is a complete sufficient statistic for $\theta_{i}$ with $\operatorname{Gamma}\left(\gamma_{i}, \theta_{i}\right)$ - distribution. For example Exponential $\left(\beta_{i}\right)$ with $\theta_{i}=\beta_{i}, \operatorname{Gamma}\left(\nu_{i}, \beta_{i}\right)$ with $\theta_{i}=\beta_{i}$ and known $v_{i}, \operatorname{Inverse} \operatorname{Gaussian}\left(\infty, \lambda_{i}\right)$ with $\theta_{i}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{i}}, \operatorname{Normal}\left(0, \sigma_{i}^{2}\right)$ with $\theta_{i}=\sigma_{i}^{2}$, Weibull $\left(\eta_{i}, \beta_{i}\right)$ with $\theta_{i}=\eta_{i}^{\beta_{i}}$ and known $\beta_{i}$, Rayleigh $\left(\beta_{i}\right)$ with $\theta_{i}=\beta_{i}^{2}$, Generalized $\operatorname{Gamma}\left(\alpha_{i}, \lambda_{i}, p_{i}\right)$ with $\theta_{i}=\lambda_{i}^{p_{i}}$ and known $p_{i}$ and $\alpha_{i}$, Generalized laplace $\left(\lambda_{i}, k_{i}\right)$ with $\theta_{i}=\lambda_{i}^{k_{i}}$ and known $k_{i}$ belong to the family of distributions (14). An admissible linear estimator of $\theta_{i}=\tau_{i}^{r}$ in this family under the reflected gamma loss function (2) can be found in Towhidi and Behboodian [19].

Since $T_{i}=T_{i}\left(\mathbf{X}_{i}\right), i=1,2$, has a $\operatorname{Gamma}\left(\gamma_{i}, \theta_{i}\right)-$ distribution, therefore we can extend the results of this section to the subclass of exponential family (14) by replacing $m_{i}=n_{i} \nu_{i}, \beta_{i}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} X_{i j}=m_{i} \delta_{i}$ by $\gamma_{i}, \theta_{i}$ and $T_{i}\left(\mathbf{X}_{i}\right)$, respectively.

Remark 2.3. The results of this section can also be extended to the family of transformed chi-square distributions which is introduced by Rahman and Gupta [16] and contain pareto and beta distributions. For details see Jafari Jozani et al. [3].
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