

## Linear Preservers of Chain Majorization

P. Torabian

Islamic Azad University-Jahrom Branch

**Abstract.** For  $(n \times m$  matrices)  $X, Y \in M_{nm}(\mathbb{R})(= M_{nm})$ , we say  $X$  is chain majorized by  $Y$  and write  $X \prec\prec Y$  if  $X = RY$  where  $R$  is a product of finitely many T-transforms. A linear operator  $T: M_{nm} \rightarrow M_{nm}$  is said to be a linear preserver of the relation  $\prec\prec$  on  $M_{nm}$  if  $X \prec\prec Y$  implies that  $TX \prec\prec TY$ . Also, it is said to be strong linear preserver if  $X \prec\prec Y$  is equivalent to  $TX \prec\prec TY$ . In this paper we characterize linear and strong linear preservers of  $\prec\prec$ .

**AMS Subject Classification:** 15A04; 15A21; 15A51.

**Keywords and Phrases:** Doubly stochastic matrix, chain majorization, left multivariate majorization, T-transform, permutation, linear preserver, strong linear preserver.

### 1. Introduction

Throughout the paper, the notation  $M_{nm}(\mathbb{R})$  or, simply,  $M_{nm}$  is fixed for the space of all  $n \times m$  real matrices; this is further abbreviated by  $M_n$  when  $m = n$ . The space  $M_{n1}$  of all  $n \times 1$  real vectors is denoted by the usual notation  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The collection of all  $n \times n$  permutation matrices is denoted by  $\mathcal{P}(n)$  and the identity matrix is denoted by  $I_n$  or, simply  $I$ , if the size  $n$  of the matrix  $I$  is understood from the context.

An  $n \times m$  matrix  $R = [r_{ij}]$  is called *row stochastic* if  $r_{ij} \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^m r_{ik}$  is equal to 1 for all  $i$ . A square matrix  $D$  is called a *doubly stochastic* matrix if both  $D$  and its transpose  $D^t$  are row stochastic matrices. The set of all  $n \times n$  doubly stochastic matrices will be denoted by  $\mathcal{DS}(n)$ .

**Theorem 1.1** (*Birkhoff's Theorem [6]*). *The totality of all extreme points of the collection of all doubly stochastic matrices is the set of all permutation matrices.*

We can describe doubly stochastic matrices by

$$\mathcal{DS}(n) = \{D \in M_n : D \geq 0, De = e, D^t e = e\},$$

where  $e \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the vector whose components are all +1.

Let  $X, Y \in M_{nm}$ . By a *left multivariate majorization*  $X \prec_{lmul} Y$ , we mean a relation  $X = DY$ , for some  $n \times n$  doubly stochastic matrix  $D$  ([17, p.430]). In this paper, by multivariate majorization we mean left multivariate majorization and show it by  $\prec$ .

**Definition 1.2** ([20]). *A T-transform is a special kind of linear transformation whose matrix has the form  $Q = \lambda I + (1 - \lambda)S$ , with  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  and  $S$  a permutation matrix that just interchanges two coordinates.*

**Definition 1.3** ([20]). *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be  $n \times m$  matrices. Then  $X$  is said to be chain majorized by  $Y$ , written  $X \prec\prec Y$  if  $X = RY$  where  $R$  is a*

product of finitely many  $T$ -transforms.

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $T: M_{nm} \rightarrow M_{nm}$  be a map.  $T$  is called a preserver of chain majorization (resp. multivariate majorization) if  $X \prec\prec Y$  (resp.  $X \prec Y$ ) is equivalent to  $TX \prec\prec TY$  (resp.  $TX \prec TY$ ).

In this paper, by  $S$  we mean a transition or a permutation matrix that just interchanges two coordinates, and by  $P$  we mean an arbitrary permutation in  $\mathcal{P}(n)$ . In addition, we denote the set of all T-transforms by  $\mathcal{Tr}(n)$ . Which is closed under matrix multiplication.

Note that  $\mathcal{P}(n) \subseteq \mathcal{PT}(n) \subseteq \mathcal{DS}(n)$  and this fact shows that  $X \prec\prec Y$  implies  $X \prec Y$  for any  $X$  and  $Y$  in  $M_{nm}$ . But the inverse of the above implication is not true (see [17]).

As the reader observes, there is a relation between  $\prec$  and  $\prec\prec$ . So the study of  $\prec$  and its preservers can lead us to a characterization of preservers of  $\prec\prec$ . Some important properties of  $\prec$  are stated in the following proposition and many other propositions are stated in [1, 2, 3, 4, 12, 16, 17].

**Proposition 1.5** ([17]). For each  $X, Y, Z \in M_{nm}$  the following assertions hold.

- (1)  $X \prec X$ .
- (2) If  $X \prec Y$  and  $Y \prec Z$ , then  $X \prec Z$ .
- (3) If  $X \prec Y$ , then  $X_J \prec Y_J$  for each  $k$ -tuple  $J = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$  of the set

$\{1, \dots, m\}$ , where  $X_J$  denotes the matrix whose columns are those of  $X$  with indices  $i_1, \dots, i_k$ .

(4) If  $X \prec Y$  and  $B \in M_{mp}$  for some natural number  $p$ , then  $XB \prec YB$ .

(5) If  $X \prec Y$  and  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ , then  $PX \prec QY$ .

(6) If  $X \prec Y$ , then  $\text{rank}(X) \leq \text{rank}(Y)$ .

**Remark 1.6.** *It is easy to prove the above proposition for  $\prec\prec$  instead of  $\prec$ .*

## 2. Characterization of Linear Preservers

Before we state the main theorem of this section, we need to recall a theorem in [13], which characterizes the linear preservers of left multivariate majorization.

**Theorem 2.1** ([13]). *Let  $T: M_{nm} \rightarrow M_{nm}$  be a linear map. Then  $T$  preserves left multivariate majorization if and only if  $T$  has one of the forms i) or ii) as follows:*

i) *There are  $m$  matrices  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m$  in  $M_{nm}$  such that for any  $X$  in  $M_{nm}$*

$$TX = \sum_{j=1}^m \left( \sum_{i=1}^n X_{ij} \right) A_j;$$

ii) *There are matrices  $L, M$  in  $M_m$  with  $L(L + nM)$  invertible and there*

is  $P$  in  $\mathcal{P}(n)$ , such that for any  $X$  in  $M_{nm}$

$$TX = PXL + JXM.$$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $T: M_{nm} \rightarrow M_{nm}$  be a linear operator. The following assertions are equivalent.*

- (a)  $T$  preserves the chain majorization  $\prec\prec$ .
- (b)  $T$  preserves the multivariate majorization  $\prec$ .

**Proof.** Assume (a) holds, and let  $X, Y \in M_{nm}$ . Suppose  $X \prec Y$ . So there exists a doubly stochastic matrix  $D \in \mathcal{DS}(n)$  such that  $X = DY$ . Since  $D \in \text{co}\mathcal{P}(n)$ , there are  $P_i \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ ,  $\alpha_i \in (0, 1]$ , with  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i = 1$  and  $D = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i P_i$ . In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} TX &= T(DY) = T\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i P_i Y\right) = \sum_{i=1}^k T(P_i Y) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i R_i TY = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i R_i\right) TY, \end{aligned}$$

for some  $R_i \in \mathcal{PT}(n)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  which implies  $TX \prec TY$ .

Now, let (b) holds and two matrices  $X, Y \in M_{nm}$  be such that  $X \prec Y$ . So there exists a matrix  $R \in \mathcal{PT}(n)$ , where  $X = RY$ . By Theorem 4.1. there are two possibility forms for the operator  $T$ . We study them separately and show that in each case we have  $TX \prec TY$ .

*i)* Suppose that there are  $m$  matrices  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m$  in  $M_{nm}$  such that for any  $X$  in  $M_{nm}$

$$TX = \sum_{j=1}^m \left( \sum_{i=1}^n X_{ij} \right) A_j.$$

Then we have

$$TX = T(RY) = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n ((RY)_{ij} A_j).$$

Since  $R$  is a doubly stochastic matrix, we see

$$\sum_{i=1}^n ((RY)_{ij}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n R_{ik} Y_{kj} = \sum_{k=1}^n Y_{kj} \sum_{i=1}^n R_{ik} = \sum_{k=1}^n Y_{kj}.$$

Hence

$$TX = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n Y_{kj} = TY,$$

and this shows that  $TX \prec\prec TY$ .

ii) Suppose that there are matrices  $L, M \in M_m$  with  $L(L + nM)$  invertible and there is  $P \in \mathcal{P}(n)$  such that for any  $X \in M_{nm}$ ,

$$TX = PXL + JXM.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} TX &= T(RY) = PRYL + JYRM = P(RYL + RJYM) \\ &= PR(YL + JYM) = PRP^t(PYL + JYM) = PRP^tTY. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $TX \prec\prec TY$ , and the proof is complete.  $\square$

Now we can state the main theorem of this section which is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.1. and Theorem 2.2.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $T: M_{nm} \rightarrow M_{nm}$  be a linear map. Then  $T$  preserves chain majorization if and only if  $T$  has one of the forms i) or ii) as follows:*

i) There are  $m$  matrices  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m$  in  $M_{nm}$  such that for any  $X$  in  $M_{nm}$

$$TX = \sum_{j=1}^m \left( \sum_{i=1}^n X_{ij} \right) A_j;$$

ii) There are matrices  $L, M \in M_m$  with  $L(L + nM)$  invertible and there is  $P \in \mathcal{P}(n)$  such that for any  $X \in M_{nm}$

$$TX = PXL + JXM.$$

### 3. Characterization of Strong Linear Preservers

In this section, we state a characterization of strong preservers of  $\prec\prec$  in Theorem 3.4.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $T: M_{nm} \rightarrow M_{nm}$  be a strong linear preserver of chain majorization. Then  $T$  is invertible.*

**Proof.** If  $TX = 0 = T0$  for some  $X \in M_{nm}$ , then  $TX \prec\prec T0$  and so  $X \prec\prec 0$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2** ([10]). *The mapping  $T: M_{nm} \rightarrow M_{nm}$  is a strong linear preserver of multivariate majorization if and only if  $TX = PXL + JXM$  for some  $P \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ , and  $m \times m$  matrices  $L, M$  with  $L(L + nM)$  invertible.*

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $T: M_{nm} \rightarrow M_{nm}$  be a linear operator. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (a)  $T$  strongly preserves the chain majorization  $\prec\prec$ .  
 (b)  $T$  strongly preserves the multivariate majorization  $\prec$ .

**Proof.** First assume (a) holds, and  $X, Y \in M_{nm}$ . If  $X \prec Y$ , then by Theorem 4.2. it follows that  $TX \prec TY$ . Now suppose that  $TX \prec TY$  and let  $D \in \mathcal{DS}(n)$  be such that  $TX = DTY$ . Since  $D \in \text{co}(\mathcal{P}(n))$ , there are  $P_i \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ ,  $\alpha_i \in (0, 1]$ , with  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i = 1$  and  $D = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i P_i$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} TX &= DTY \\ &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i P_i \right) TY = \sum_{i=1}^k (\alpha_i P_i TY) = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i TX_i = T \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i X_i \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $TX_i = P_i TY$ . The last relation yields  $TX_i \prec\prec TY$ , and the hypothesis (a) shows  $X_i \prec\prec Y$ , so there are  $R_i \in \mathcal{PT}(n)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ) such that  $X_i = R_i Y$ . On the other hand, injectivity of  $T$  and the equation  $TX = T(\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i X_i)$  show that

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i X_i = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i R_i Y = \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i R_i \right) Y.$$

And hence  $X \prec Y$ .

For a proof of (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a), assume (b) holds and  $X, Y \in M_{nm}$ . If  $X \prec\prec Y$  it follows from Theorem 4.2. that  $TX \prec\prec TY$ . Now, suppose that  $TX \prec\prec TY$ , which implies that  $TX = RTY$ ,  $TX \prec TY$ ,  $X \prec Y$  and  $X = DY$  for some  $R \in \mathcal{PT}(n)$  and  $D \in \mathcal{DS}(n)$ . On the other hand, the characterization of strong linear preservers of  $\prec$  (Theorem 3.2.) shows



that

$$PXL + JXM = R(PYL + JYM) = RPYL + JYM$$

for some  $P \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ , invertible  $m \times m$  matrix  $L$ , and  $m \times m$  matrix  $M$ .

The last relation leads us to  $X \prec\prec Y$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} X + JXNL^{-1} &= (P^tRP)Y + JYML^{-1} \\ \Rightarrow X + JDYNL^{-1} &= (P^tRP)Y + JYML^{-1} \\ \Rightarrow X + JYNL^{-1} &= (P^tRP)Y + JYML^{-1} \\ \Rightarrow X &= (P^tRP)Y. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Now, we are ready to state the second main result of this paper which is the direct conclusion of Theorem 3.2. and Theorem 3.3.

**Theorem 3.4.**  *$T: M_{nm} \rightarrow M_{nm}$  is a strong linear preserver of chain majorization if and only if  $TX = PXL + JXM$  for some  $P \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ , and  $m \times m$  matrices  $L, M$  with  $L(L + nM)$  invertible.*

## References

- [1] T. A. Majorization, Doubly stochastic matrices and comparison of eigenvalues, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 118 (1989), 163-248.
- [2] L. B. Beasley, S. G. Lee, and Y. H. Lee, Linear operators strongly preserving multivariate majorization with  $T(I) = I$ , *Kyugpook Mathematics Journal*, 39 (1999), 191-194.

- [3] L. B. Beasley and S. G. Lee, Linear operators preserving Multivariate majorization, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 304 (2000), 141-159.
- [4] L. B. Beasley, S. G. Lee, and Y. H. Lee, Resolution of the conjecture on strong preservers of multivariate majorization. *Bulletin of Korean Mathematical Society*, 39(2) (2002), 283-287.
- [5] R. Bhatia, *Matrix analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1997).
- [6] G. Birkhoff, Tres observaciones sobre el algebra lineal, *Univ. Nac. Tucuman. Rev. A* 5 (1946), 147-151.
- [7] J.V. Bondar, Comments and complements to: Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and its appl. by Albert W. Marshall and Ingram Olkin, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 199 (1994), 115-130.
- [8] R. A. Brualdi, The doubly stochastic matrices of a vector majorization, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 61 (1984), 141-154.
- [9] G. S. Cheon and Y. H. Lee, The doubly stochastic matrices of a multivariate majorization, *Journal of Korean Mathematical Society*, 32 (1995), 857-867.
- [10] A. M. Hasani and M. Radjabalipour, The structure of linear operators strongly preserving majorizations of matrices, *Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra*, 15 (2006), 260-268.
- [11] C. G. lee and Y. H. lee, A survey on majorization and its preservers, *Bull. Korean Math., Soc.*, 39 (2002), 1-8.
- [12] C. K. Li and S. Pierce, Linear preserver problems, *Mathematical Monthly*, 108 (2001), 591-605.
- [13] C. K. Li and E. Poon, Linear operators preserving directional majorization, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 325 (2001), 141-146.
- [14] C. K. Li, B. S. Tam, and N. K. Tsing, Linear maps preserving stochastic matrices, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 341 (2002), 5-22.
- [15] C. K. Li and N. K. Tsing, Linear preserver problems; A brief introduction and some special techniques, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, (1992), 162-164; 217-235.
- [16] M. Marcus and R. Purves, Linear transformation on matrices II : The invariance of the elementary symmetric functions, *Canad. J. Math*, 11 (1959), 383-396.

- [17] A. W. Marshall and I. Olkin, *Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and its Applications*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
- [18] F. D. Martínez Pería, P. G. Massey, and L. E. Silvestre, Weak Matrix-Majorization, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 403 (2005) , 343-368.
- [19] S. Pierce and et al., A survey of Linear preserver problem, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 33 (1992), 1-129.
- [20] E. Savaglio, On multidimensional inequality: Ordering and measurement, *Quaderni*, 336 (2001), 1-26.

**Parisa Torabian**

Department of Mathematics

Islamic Azad University-Jahrom Branch

Jahrom, Iran

E-mail: parisatorabian@yahoo.com