

## The Maximal Ideal Space of $C(K, \mathcal{A})$

M. H. Shirdarreh Haghghi  
Shiraz University

**Abstract.** Let  $C(K, \mathcal{A})$  denote the space of all continuous  $\mathcal{A}$ -valued functions on the compact Hausdorff space  $K$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  is a commutative Banach algebra. In this paper we show that the maximal ideal space of  $C(K, \mathcal{A})$  can be identified with  $K \times \mathcal{M}$ , where  $\mathcal{M}$  denotes the maximal ideal space of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**AMS Subject Classification:** Primary 46J20; Secondary 46J10.

**Keywords and Phrases:** Banach algebra, maximal ideal space, vector valued continuous function.

### 1. Introduction

The most important problem concerning commutative Banach algebras is characterizing its maximal ideal space. Though many commutative Banach algebras, including  $C(X)$  for a compact Hausdorff space  $X$  and many function algebras, have a known maximal ideal space, there are many important commutative Banach algebras including  $H^\infty$  for which the topological properties of their maximal ideal spaces are not fully understood [1].

Here we show that the maximal space of the algebra of all continuous functions from a compact Hausdorff space into a Banach algebra has a simple characterization.

Let  $K$  be an arbitrary compact Hausdorff space and  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach space. Denote by  $C(K, \mathcal{A})$  the space of all continuous  $\mathcal{A}$ -valued functions defined on  $K$  equipped with the norm

$$\|f\| = \sup_{k \in K} \|f(k)\|_{\mathcal{A}}.$$

Then  $C(K, \mathcal{A})$  will be a Banach space and if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a commutative Banach algebra, then  $C(K, \mathcal{A})$  is a commutative Banach algebra. In this case, we shall show that the maximal ideal space of  $C(K, \mathcal{A})$  can be identified with  $K \times \mathcal{M}$ , where  $\mathcal{M}$  denotes the maximal ideal space of  $\mathcal{A}$  equipped with the weak\* topology. We remind that  $\mathcal{A}$  need not be unital.

## 2. Main Results

The following representation theorem is due to Singer [4]. For a nice proof see Hensgen [3].

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach space. The dual  $C(K, \mathcal{A})^*$  of  $C(K, \mathcal{A})$  can be identified with  $M(K, \mathcal{A}^*)$ , the space of all regular Borel  $\mathcal{A}^*$ -valued measures on  $K$  having finite variation. The action of an element  $\Phi \in C(K, \mathcal{A})^*$  corresponding to  $F \in M(K, \mathcal{A}^*)$  on an element  $g \in C(K, \mathcal{A})$*

is then given by

$$\Phi(g) = \int_K \langle g(k), dF(k) \rangle .$$

Note that for an element  $F \in M(K, \mathcal{A}^*)$  and  $g \in C(K, \mathcal{A})$ ,  $d\mu_g = \langle g, dF \rangle$  defines a regular Borel measure on  $K$ .

Let  $M(K)$  denote the space of all regular Borel measures on  $K$ . Obviously, each element  $\mu \times \varphi \in M(K) \times \mathcal{A}^*$  is an element of  $C(K, \mathcal{A})^*$  acting on an element  $g \in C(K, \mathcal{A})$  as

$$\mu \times \varphi(g) = \int_K \varphi(g(k))d\mu.$$

Now if  $\mu = \delta_{k_0}$  is a point mass measure at some point  $k_0 \in K$ , then the action of  $\Phi = \mu \times \varphi$  simply becomes

$$\mu \times \varphi(g) = \varphi(g(k_0)). \quad (1)$$

In this case we say that  $\Phi$  is supported at the single point  $k_0$ . Looking at (1) reveals that if  $f \in C(K)$ , then

$$\Phi(fg) \in \text{Im}(f), \quad (2)$$

for all  $g \in C(K, \mathcal{A})$  with  $\Phi(g) = 1$ . In other words if  $\Phi(g) = 1$ , then the measure  $\nu$  defined on  $C(K)$  by  $\nu(f) = \int_K f(k) \langle g(k), dF(k) \rangle$  has the property

$$\int_K f(k)d\nu \in \text{Im}(f), \quad \text{for all } f \in C(K),$$

and such measures are supported at a single point by Lemma 2.5 of [2].

In fact, as the following lemma shows, the converse is also true, i. e. if an element  $\Phi \in C(K, \mathcal{A})^*$  satisfies (2) for all  $g \in C(K, \mathcal{A})$  with  $\Phi(g) = 1$ , then  $\Phi$  is supported at a single point.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\Phi \in C(K, \mathcal{A})^*$  satisfy*

$$\Phi(fg) \in \text{Im}(f)$$

*for every  $f \in C(K)$  and  $g \in C(K, \mathcal{A})$  with  $\Phi(g) = 1$ . Then  $\Phi$  is supported by a single point.*

**Proof.** There exists  $F \in M(K, \mathcal{A}^*)$  such that for all  $g \in C(K, \mathcal{A})$ ,

$$\Phi(g) = \int_K \langle g(k), dF(k) \rangle .$$

Choose  $g_0 \in C(K, \mathcal{A})$  with  $\Phi(g_0) = 1$ . Then for every  $f \in C(K)$  we have

$$\int_K f(k) \langle g_0(k), dF(k) \rangle \in \text{Im}(f).$$

By Lemma 2.5 of [2], the measure  $\langle g_0, dF \rangle$  is supported by a single point say  $k_{g_0} = k_0$  in  $K$ . Thus the relation

$$\int_K f(k) \langle g_0(k), dF(k) \rangle = f(k_0) \tag{3}$$

holds for all  $f \in C(K)$ . To show that  $k_0$  is independent of  $g_0$  let  $g_1 \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\Phi(g_1) = 1$ . Hence  $\Phi(g_2) = 1$ , where  $g_2 = (g_0 + g_1)/2$ . Suppose

the measures  $\langle g_1, dF \rangle$  and  $\langle g_2, dF \rangle$  are supported by  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ , respectively. Therefore (3) implies that  $f(k_2) = \frac{f(k_0)+f(k_1)}{2}$  for all  $f \in C(K)$ . Consequently  $k_0 = k_1 = k_2$ . In general we have

$$\Phi(g) = \langle g(k_0), F(k_0) \rangle = F(k_0)(g(k_0)) \quad (4)$$

for every  $g \in C(K, \mathcal{A})$  and for some  $k_0 \in K$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $K$  be a compact Hausdorff space and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a commutative Banach algebra with maximal ideal space  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then the maximal ideal space  $\mathcal{M}_{C(K, \mathcal{A})}$  of  $C(K, \mathcal{A})$  can be identified with the space  $K \times \mathcal{M}$ . The action of an element  $(k, \varphi) \in K \times \mathcal{M}$  on an element  $g \in C(K, \mathcal{A})$  is given by  $g \mapsto \varphi(g(k))$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\Phi$  be a nonzero multiplicative linear functional on  $C(K, \mathcal{A})$ . Fix  $g \in C(K, \mathcal{A})$  with  $\Phi(g) = 1$ . Then  $\Phi(f_1 f_2 g) = \Phi(f_1 g) \Phi(f_2 g)$  for every  $f_1, f_2 \in C(K)$ . In this way  $\Phi$  defines a multiplicative linear functional on  $C(K)$ , and because the maximal ideal space of  $C(K)$  is  $K$  we have  $\Phi(fg) \in \text{Im}(f)$ ,  $f \in C(K)$ . By Lemma 2 we see that  $\Phi$  is supported by a single point  $k_0$ . Now if  $\Phi$  is represented by  $F \in M(K, \mathcal{A}^*)$ , then by relation (4),

$$\Phi(g) = F(k_0)(g(k_0)), \quad \text{for all } g \in C(K, \mathcal{A}).$$

Since  $\Phi$  is not identically zero,  $F(k_0)$  would also be nonzero and by letting  $g$  vary in constant functions, it follows that  $F(k_0) \in \mathcal{M}$ . Therefore,

we have the identification  $\Lambda : \Phi \mapsto (k_0, F(k_0))$  from  $\mathcal{M}_{C(K, \mathcal{A})} \rightarrow K \times \mathcal{M}$ .

We now prove that this identification is unique. If  $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}_{C(K, \mathcal{A})}$  corresponds to two elements  $(k_1, \varphi_1)$  and  $(k_2, \varphi_2)$  in  $K \times \mathcal{M}$ , then for all  $f \in C(K, \mathcal{A})$  we have  $\Phi(f) = \varphi_1(f(k_1)) = \varphi_2(f(k_2))$ . Letting  $f$  be a constant function we have  $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$ . Choose  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\varphi_1(x) \neq 0$  and if  $k_1 \neq k_2$  choose  $f \in C(K)$  such that  $f(k_1) = 0$  and  $f(k_2) = 1$ . Then  $\Phi(fx) = \varphi_1(f(k_1)x) = 0$  and  $\Phi(fx) = \varphi_2(f(k_2)x) \neq 0$ . This contradiction shows that  $k_1 = k_2$ . Hence the identification  $\Lambda$  is well-defined.

It is clear that  $\Lambda$  is one to one. On the other hand each  $(k, \varphi) \in K \times \mathcal{M}$  induces an element  $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}_{C(K, \mathcal{A})}$  acting as  $\Phi(f) = \varphi(f(k))$  and as above  $\Phi$  is identified with  $(k, \varphi)$ . Hence the identification  $\Lambda$  is onto.

Now we prove that  $\Lambda$  and  $\Lambda^{-1}$  are continuous. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is assumed to be unital, the continuity of  $\Lambda$  implies that of  $\Lambda^{-1}$ , since  $\mathcal{M}_{C(K, \mathcal{A})}$  is compact in this case.

Let  $\Phi_\alpha \rightarrow \Phi$  weak \* in the space  $\mathcal{M}_{C(K, \mathcal{A})}$  and let  $\Phi_\alpha$  correspond to  $F_\alpha \in M(K, \mathcal{A}^*)$  and  $\Phi$  to  $F \in M(K, \mathcal{A}^*)$ . Then there are  $k_0, k_\alpha \in K$  such that  $\Lambda\Phi_\alpha = (k_\alpha, F_\alpha(k_\alpha))$  and  $\Lambda\Phi = (k_0, F(k_0))$ . Thus, for all  $g \in C(K, \mathcal{A})$ ,  $F(k_\alpha)(g(k_\alpha)) \rightarrow F(k_0)(g(k_0))$ . Again letting  $g$  vary in constant functions implies that  $F_\alpha(k_\alpha) \rightarrow F(k)$  weak \* in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Now for

an element  $g_0 \in C(K, \mathcal{A})$  with  $\Phi(g_0) = 1$  and for all  $f \in C(K)$ ,

$$\int_K f(k) \langle g_0(k), dF_\alpha(k) \rangle \longrightarrow \int_K f(k) \langle g_0(k_0), dF(k) \rangle .$$

This shows that the measures  $\langle g_0, dF_\alpha \rangle$  converge weak \* in  $M(K)$  to the measure  $\langle g_0, dF \rangle$  which is just the point mass at  $k_0$ . Also for each  $\alpha$  the measure  $\langle g_0, dF_\alpha \rangle$  is zero or is supported at the point  $k_\alpha$ . In each case there exists a complex number  $a_\alpha$  such that  $\langle g_0, dF_\alpha \rangle = a_\alpha d\delta_{k_\alpha}$ . Thus for all  $f \in C(K)$  we have  $a_\alpha f(k_\alpha) \rightarrow f(k_0)$  from which we easily conclude that  $k_\alpha \rightarrow k_0$  in  $K$ . Therefore  $(k_\alpha, F(k_\alpha)) \rightarrow (k_0, F(k_0))$  in  $K \times \mathcal{M}$  and this implies the continuity of  $\Lambda$ .

Conversely, let  $(k_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha) \rightarrow (k, \varphi)$  in  $K \times \mathcal{M}$  and  $\Phi_\alpha = \Lambda^{-1}(k_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha)$ ,  $\Phi = \Lambda^{-1}(k, \varphi)$ . Then for a fixed  $f \in C(K, \mathcal{A})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi_\alpha(f) - \Phi(f)| &= |\varphi_\alpha(f(k_\alpha)) - \varphi(f(k))| \\ &\leq |\varphi_\alpha(f(k_\alpha)) - \varphi_\alpha(f(k))| + |\varphi_\alpha(f(k)) - \varphi(f(k))| \\ &\leq \|f(k_\alpha) - f(k)\| + |\varphi_\alpha(f(k)) - \varphi(f(k))| \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side of the above inequality converges to zero by noting that  $f$  is continuous,  $k_\alpha \rightarrow k$  and  $\varphi_\alpha \rightarrow \varphi$  weak \* in  $\mathcal{M}$ . This shows that  $\Phi_\alpha \rightarrow \Phi$  weak \* in  $\mathcal{M}_{C(K, \mathcal{A})}$  and this implies the continuity of  $\Lambda^{-1}$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] A. Brudyi, Topology of the maximal ideal space of  $H^\infty$ , *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 189 (2002), 21-52.

- [2] F. Ershad and K. Seddighi, Multiplicative linear functionals in a commutative Banach algebra, *Arch. Math.*, 65 (1995), 71-79.
- [3] W. Hensgen, A simple proof of Singer's representation theorem, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 124 (1996), 3211-3212.
- [4] I. Singer, Linear functionals on the space of continuous mappings of a compact set into a Banach space, *Revue Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.*, 2 (1957), 301-315.

**Mohammad Hassan Shirdarreh Haghighi**

Department of Mathematics

College of Sciences

Shiraz University

Shiraz 71454, IRAN

E-mail: shirdareh@susc.ac.ir