

Journal of Mathematical Extension  
Vol. 17, No. 9, (2023) (1)1-17  
URL: <https://doi.org/10.30495/JME.2023.2790>  
ISSN: 1735-8299  
Original Research Paper

## A Study on Various Subclasses of Uniformly Harmonic Starlike Mappings by Pascal Distribution Series

**N. Taşar**

Dicle University

**F. M. Sakar\***

Dicle University

**B. Şeker**

Batman University

**Abstract.** In this paper, we investigate the subclasses of harmonic univalent functions by implementing specific convolution operators such as the Pascal distribution series. We also, examine the inclusion relations of these functions. Moreover, we investigate several mapping properties involving these subclasses.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 30C45, 30C20.

**Keywords and Phrases:** Analytic functions, Harmonic  $\gamma$ -uniformly starlike, Pascal distribution series, Univalent functions.

---

Received: July 2023; Accepted: November 2023

\*Corresponding Author

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the class of functions  $h$  of the form

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k,$$

that are analytic in the open unit disk  $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ , and satisfy the normalizasyon condition  $h(0) = h'(0) - 1 = 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the family of all harmonic functions of the form

$$f = h + \bar{g}, \quad (1)$$

where

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \quad (z \in U) \quad (2)$$

are in the class  $\mathcal{A}$  and then  $f(z)$  is given by,

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k + \overline{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k}, \quad (z \in U). \quad (3)$$

Denote by the  $S_{\mathcal{H}}$  the subclass of  $\mathcal{H}$  that are univalent and sense-preserving in  $U$ . One shows easily that the sense-preserving property implies that  $|b_1| < 1$ . Note that  $\frac{f - \overline{b_1 f}}{1 - |b_1|^2} \in S_{\mathcal{H}}$  whenever  $f \in S_{\mathcal{H}}$ . We also let the subclass  $S_{\mathcal{H}}^0$  or  $S_{\mathcal{H}}$ ,

$$S_{\mathcal{H}}^0 = \{f = h + \bar{g} \in S_{\mathcal{H}} : g'(0) = b_1 = 0\}.$$

The classes  $S_{\mathcal{H}}^0$  and  $S_{\mathcal{H}}$  were first studied in ([13]). Also, let  $S_{\mathcal{H}}^{*,0}$ ,  $C_{\mathcal{H}}^0$  and  $K_{\mathcal{H}}^0$ , denote the subclasses of  $S_{\mathcal{H}}^0$  of harmonic functions which are, respectively, starlike, close-to-convex and convex in  $U$ . For definitions and properties of these classes, one may refer to ([1, 2, 3, 13, 14]).

Let  $\mathcal{T}_H$  be the family of all harmonic functions of the form  $f = h + \bar{g}$ , where

$$h(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| z^k, \quad g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |b_k| z^k, \quad (z \in U). \quad (4)$$

This class was studied by Silverman [27].

For  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ ,  $0 \leq r < 1$  and  $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ , let

$$N_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha) = \{f \in \mathcal{H} : \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f'(z)}{z'}\right) \geq \alpha, z = re^{i\theta}\},$$

where

$$f'(z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(re^{i\theta}) = i(zh'(z) - \overline{zg'(z)}), \quad z' = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(re^{i\theta})$$

and define

$$\mathcal{T}N_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha) = N_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha) \cap \mathcal{T}\mathcal{H}.$$

These classes are studied by Ahuja and Jahangiri [3]. One can find different areas which young researchers can find some connections with the field of this work. For more contributions see [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 17, 19, 9, 28].

### 1.1 Definition [2]

A function  $f = h + \bar{g}$  is said to be  $\gamma$ -uniformly harmonic starlike functions in  $U$  if satisfied the following condition:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{z'[(1-\eta)z + \eta(h(z) + \overline{g(z)})]} - \delta \right) \geq \gamma \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{z'[(1-\eta)z + \eta(h(z) + \overline{g(z)})]} - 1 \right|,$$

for  $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ ,  $0 \leq \gamma < \infty$ .

The family of this functions is denoted by  $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(\gamma, \delta, \eta)$ . Also, define  $\mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(\gamma, \delta, \eta) = \mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(\gamma, \delta, \eta) \cap \mathcal{T}\mathcal{H}$ .

The above defined class includes several simpler subclasses. We point out here some of these special cases as follows:

(a) Putting  $\gamma = 0$  and  $\eta = 0$ , we obtain  $N_{\mathcal{H}}(\delta)$ , which was studied by Ahuja and Jahangiri[3];

(b) Putting  $\gamma = 0$  and  $\eta = 1$ , we obtain  $S_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\delta)$ , which was studied by Jahangiri[16];

(c) Putting  $\eta = 1$  and  $\delta = 1$ , we obtain  $G_{\mathcal{H}}^*(\gamma)$ , which was studied by Rosy et al.[24];

(d) Putting  $\gamma = 1$ ,  $\delta = 0$ ,  $\eta = 1$  and  $g(z) \equiv 0$ , we obtain  $US^*$ , which was studied by Rønning[25];

(e) Putting  $\eta = 1$  we obtain  $HUS^*(\gamma, \delta)$ , which was studied by Porwal and Srivastava[23].

**Lemma 1.1.** [13] *If  $f \in K_{\mathcal{H}}^0$  and  $f = h + \bar{g}$  where  $h$  and  $g$  are given by (2) with  $b_1 = 0$ , then*

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{k+1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad |b_k| \leq \frac{k-1}{2} \quad (k \geq 1).$$

**Lemma 1.2.** [1] *If  $f \in C_{\mathcal{H}}^0$  or  $S_{\mathcal{H}}^{*,0}$  and  $f = h + \bar{g}$  where  $h$  and  $g$  are given by (2) with  $b_1 = 0$ , then*

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{(2k+1)(k+1)}{6} \quad \text{and} \quad |b_k| \leq \frac{(2k-1)(k-1)}{6} \quad (k \geq 1).$$

**Lemma 1.3.** [3] *If  $f \in \mathcal{TN}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha)$  and  $f = h + \bar{g}$  where  $h$  and  $g$  are given by (4), then*

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{k} \quad \text{and} \quad |b_k| \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{k} \quad (k \geq 1, 0 \leq \alpha < 1).$$

**Lemma 1.4.** [2] *Let  $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq \delta < 1$  and  $0 \leq \gamma < \infty$ . Also, let  $f = h + \bar{g}$ , where  $h$  and  $g$  are given by (2). If the following condition*

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k(\gamma+1) - \eta(\gamma+\delta)}{1-\delta} |a_k| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k(\gamma+1) + \eta(\gamma+\delta)}{1-\delta} |b_k| \leq 1 \quad (5)$$

is hold, then  $f$  is sense-preserving and harmonic mapping in  $U$  and  $f \in \mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(\gamma, \delta, \eta)$ .

**Lemma 1.5.** [2] *Let  $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq \delta < 1$  and  $0 \leq \gamma < \infty$ . Also let,  $f = h + \bar{g}$ , where  $h$  and  $g$  are given by (4). A function  $f \in \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(\gamma, \delta, \eta)$  if and only if the condition (5) holds. Moreover, if  $f \in \mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(\gamma, \delta, \eta)$ , then*

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{1 - \delta}{k(\gamma + 1) - \eta(\gamma + \delta)} \quad (k \geq 2),$$

$$|b_k| \leq \frac{1 - \delta}{k(\gamma + 1) + \eta(\gamma + \delta)} \quad (k \geq 1).$$

In this paper, motivated by the earlier works studied by Porwal and Srivastava [23], we consider subclasses of harmonic univalent functions  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  given by (2) and made an attempt to study inclusion relations making use of Pascal distribution series.

## 2 Applications to Pascal Distribution Series

The Pascal distribution series is a current subject of study in Geometric Function Theory (see, [10, 11, 12, 15, 30]). Taking into account the consequences on relations between various subclasses of analytic and harmonic univalent functions by using hypergeometric functions (see [2, 3, 13, 18, 20, 21, 22, 26]), we establish several relations between the classes  $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\gamma, \delta, \eta)$ ,  $K_{\mathcal{H}}^0$  and  $S_{\mathcal{H}}^{*,0}$  by applying the convolution operator  $P_{p,q}^{r,s}$  associated with Pascal distribution series are built.

Let us consider a non-negative discrete random variable  $\mathcal{X}$  with a Pascal probability generating function

$$P(\mathcal{X} = k) = \binom{k+r-1}{r-1} p^k (1-p)^r, \quad k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\},$$

where  $p, r$  are named as the parameters.

Currently, a power series whose coefficients are probabilities of the Pascal distribution is introduced

$$P_p^r(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \binom{k+r-2}{r-1} p^{k-1} (1-p)^r z^k, \quad (r \geq 1, 0 \leq p \leq 1, z \in U). \quad (6)$$

Note that using the ratio test yields the following conclusion: The radius of convergence of the power series given above is infinite. In conclusion, the formulas used are as follows:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{k+r-1}{r-1} p^k = \frac{1}{(1-p)^r}, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{k+r-2}{r-2} p^k = \frac{1}{(1-p)^{r-1}},$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{k+r}{r} p^k = \frac{1}{(1-p)^{r+1}}, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{k+r+1}{r+1} p^k = \frac{1}{(1-p)^{r+2}}, \quad |p| < 1.$$

Further, throughout this paper unless otherwise stated, let  $r \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq p < 1$ .

Now, for  $r, s \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq p, q < 1$ , the operator is being introduced

$$P_{p,q}^{r,s}(f)(z) = P_p^r(z) * h(z) + \overline{P_q^s(z) * g(z)} = H(z) + \overline{G(z)},$$

where

$$H(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \binom{k+r-2}{r-1} p^{k-1} (1-p)^r a_k z^k$$

$$G(z) = b_1 z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \binom{k+s-2}{s-1} p^{k-1} (1-p)^s b_k z^k \quad (7)$$

and "\*" represents the convolution (or Hadamard product) of power series.

To be able to build relations between harmonic convex functions and Goodman-Rønning-type harmonic univalent functions, the following conclusion is needed.

**Lemma 2.1.** [30] *Let  $r, s \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq p, q < 1$ . Also, let  $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{H}$  is given by (3). If the inequalities*

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |b_k| \leq 1, \quad (|b_1| < 1) \quad (8)$$

and

$$(1-p)^r + (1-q)^s \geq 1 + |b_1| + \frac{rp}{1-p} + \frac{sq}{1-q} \quad (9)$$

are hold, then  $P_{p,q}^{r,s}(f) \in \mathcal{SH}^*$ .

### 3 Main Results

In this part, we will obtain the inclusion relations of harmonic classes  $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\gamma, \delta, \eta)$  with the classes  $K_{\mathcal{H}}^0$  and  $S_{\mathcal{H}}^*$  respectively.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $r, s \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq p, q < 1$ . If the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(\gamma + 1) p^2 r(r + 1)}{2(1 - p)^2} + \frac{[2(\gamma + 1) - \frac{\eta}{2}(\gamma + \delta)]pr}{1 - p} + \frac{(\gamma + 1) q^2 s(s + 1)}{2(1 - q)^2} \\ & + ((\gamma + 1) - \eta(\gamma + \delta))[1 - (1 - p)^r] + \frac{[(\gamma + 1) + \frac{\eta}{2}(\gamma + \delta)]qs}{1 - q} \\ & \leq 1 - \delta \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

is hold then

$$P_{p,q}^{r,s}(K_{\mathcal{H}}^0) \subset \mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\gamma, \delta, \eta).$$

**Proof.** Let  $f = h + \bar{g} \in K_{\mathcal{H}}^0$  where  $h$  and  $g$  are of the form (2) with  $b_1 = 0$ . We need to show that  $P_{p,q}^{r,s}(f) = H + \bar{G} \in \mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\gamma, \delta, \eta)$ , where  $H$  and  $G$  defined by (7) with  $b_1 = 0$  are analytic functions in  $\mathcal{U}$ . In view of Lemma 1.4, we need to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_1 &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(\gamma + 1) - \eta(\gamma + \delta)] \left| \binom{k+r-2}{r-1} (1-p)^r p^{k-1} a_k \right| \\ &+ \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(\gamma + 1) + \eta(\gamma + \delta)] \left| \binom{k+s-2}{s-1} (1-q)^s q^{k-1} b_k \right| \\ &\leq 1 - \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Considering Lemma 1.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_1 &\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{k(\gamma+1)}{2} - \frac{\eta}{2}(\gamma+\delta) \right] (k+1) \binom{k+r-2}{r-1} (1-p)^r p^{k-1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{k(\gamma+1)}{2} + \frac{\eta}{2}(\gamma+\delta) \right] (k-1) \binom{k+s-2}{s-1} (1-q)^s q^{k-1} \\
&= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{(\gamma+1)}{2} (k-1)(k-2) + (2(\gamma+1) - \frac{\eta}{2}(\gamma+\delta))(k-1) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + ((\gamma+1) - \eta(\gamma+\delta)) \right] \times \binom{k+r-2}{r-1} (1-p)^r p^{k-1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{(\gamma+1)}{2} (k-1)(k-2) + ((\gamma+1) + \frac{\eta}{2}(\gamma+\delta))(k-1) \right] \\
&\quad \times \binom{k+s-2}{s-1} (1-q)^s q^{k-1} \\
&= \frac{\gamma+1}{2} p^2 r(r+1) (1-p)^r \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{k+r+1}{r+1} p^k \\
&\quad + \left[ 2(\gamma+1) - \frac{\eta}{2}(\gamma+\delta) \right] p r (1-p)^r \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{k+r}{r} p^k \\
&\quad + [(\gamma+1) - \eta(\gamma+\delta)] (1-p)^r \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{k+r-1}{r-1} p^k - 1 \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{\gamma+1}{2} q^2 s(s+1) (1-q)^s \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{k+s+1}{s+1} q^k \\
&\quad + [(\gamma+1) + \frac{\eta}{2}(\gamma+\delta)] q s (1-q)^s \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{k+s}{s} q^k \\
&= \frac{(\gamma+1) p^2 r(r+1)}{2 (1-p)^2} + \frac{[2(\gamma+1) - \frac{\eta}{2}(\gamma+\delta)] p r}{1-p} + \frac{(\gamma+1) q^2 s(s+1)}{2 (1-q)^2} \\
&\quad + [(\gamma+1) - \eta(\gamma+\delta)] [1 - (1-p)^r] + \frac{[(\gamma+1) + \frac{\eta}{2}(\gamma+\delta)] q s}{1-q} \\
&\leq 1 - \delta.
\end{aligned}$$

The last expression is bounded above by  $(1 - \delta)$  by the given condition. Thus the proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete.  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** Putting  $\gamma = 1$  in Theorem 3.1, we improve the result obtained in ([29], Theorem 3.3).

Analogous to Theorem 3.1, we next find conditions of the class  $S_{\mathcal{H}}^{*,0}$ , with  $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\gamma, \delta, \eta)$ . However, we first need Lemma 1.2, which may be also found in [15],[14].

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $r, s \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq p, q < 1$ . If the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(\gamma + 1)r(r + 1)(r + 2)p^3}{(1 - p)^3} + \frac{[\frac{15}{2}(\gamma + 1) - \eta(\gamma + \delta)]r(r + 1)p^2}{(1 - p)^2} \\ & + \frac{[12(\gamma + 1) - \frac{9}{2}\eta(\gamma + \delta)]rp}{1 - p} + 3[(\gamma + 1) - \eta(\gamma + \delta)][1 - (1 - p)^r] \\ & + \frac{(\gamma + 1)s(s + 1)(s + 2)q^3}{(1 - q)^3} + \frac{[\frac{9}{2}(\gamma + 1) + \eta(\gamma + \delta)]s(s + 1)q^2}{(1 - q)^2} \\ & + \frac{3[(\gamma + 1) + \frac{1}{2}\eta(\gamma + \delta)]sq}{1 - q} \\ & \leq 3(1 - \delta) \end{aligned}$$

is hold, then

$$P_{p,q}^{r,s}(S_{\mathcal{H}}^{*,0}) \subset \mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\gamma, \delta, \eta).$$

**Proof.** Let  $f = h + \bar{g} \in S_{\mathcal{H}}^{*,0}$  where  $h$  and  $g$  are of the form (2) with  $b_1 = 0$ . We need to show that  $P_{p,q}^{r,s}(f) = H + \bar{G} \in \mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{H}}^0(\gamma, \delta, \eta)$ , where  $H$  and  $G$  defined by (7) with  $b_1 = 0$  are analytic functions in  $\mathcal{U}$ . In view of Lemma 1.4, it is enough to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_2 &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(\gamma + 1) - \eta(\gamma + \delta)] \left| \binom{k + r - 2}{r - 1} (1 - p)^r p^{k-1} a_k \right| \\ &+ \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(\gamma + 1) + \eta(\gamma + \delta)] \left| \binom{k + s - 2}{s - 1} (1 - q)^s q^{k-1} b_k \right| \\ &\leq 1 - \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Considering Lemma 1.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_2 &\leq \frac{1}{3} \left\{ \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{k(\gamma+1)}{2} - \frac{\eta}{2}(\gamma+\delta) \right] (2k+1)(k+1) \binom{k+r-2}{r-1} (1-p)^r p^{k-1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{k(\gamma+1)}{2} + \frac{\eta}{2}(\gamma+\delta) \right] (2k-1)(k-1) \binom{k+s-2}{s-1} (1-q)^s q^{k-1} \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{3} \left\{ (\gamma+1) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \binom{k+r-2}{r-1} (k-1)(k-2)(k-3)(1-p)^r p^{k-1} \right. \\
&\quad + \left[ \frac{15}{2}(\gamma+1) - \eta(\gamma+\delta) \right] \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \binom{k+r-2}{r-1} (k-1)(k-2)(1-p)^r p^{k-1} \\
&\quad + \left[ 12(\gamma+1) - \frac{9}{2}\eta(\gamma+\delta) \right] \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \binom{k+r-2}{r-1} (k-1)(1-p)^r p^{k-1} \\
&\quad + [3(\gamma+1) - 3\eta(\gamma+\delta)] \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \binom{k+r-2}{r-1} (1-p)^r p^{k-1} \\
&\quad + (\gamma+1) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \binom{k+s-2}{s-1} (k-1)(k-2)(k-3)(1-q)^s q^{k-1} \\
&\quad + \left[ \frac{9}{2}(\gamma+1) + \eta(\gamma+\delta) \right] \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \binom{k+s-2}{s-1} (k-1)(k-2)(1-q)^s q^{k-1} \\
&\quad \left. + \left[ 3(\gamma+1) + \frac{3}{2}\eta(\gamma+\delta) \right] \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \binom{k+s-2}{s-1} (k-1)(1-q)^s q^{k-1} \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{3} \left\{ (\gamma+1)r(r+1)(r+2)p^3(1-p)^r \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{k+r+2}{r+2} p^k \right. \\
&\quad + \left[ \frac{15}{2}(\gamma+1) - \eta(\gamma+\delta) \right] r(r+1)p^2(1-p)^r \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{k+r+1}{r+1} p^k \\
&\quad + \left[ 12(\gamma+1) - \frac{9}{2}\eta(\gamma+\delta) \right] rp(1-p)^r \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{k+r}{r} p^k \\
&\quad \left. + [3(\gamma+1) - 3\eta(\gamma+\delta)] (1-p)^r \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{k+r-1}{r-1} - 1 \right] \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + (\gamma + 1)s(s + 1)(s + 2)q^3(1 - q)^s \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{k + s + 2}{s + 2} q^k \\
 & + \left[ \frac{9}{2}(\gamma + 1) + \eta(\gamma + \delta) \right] s(s + 1)q^2(1 - q)^s \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{k + s + 1}{s + 1} q^k \\
 & + \left[ 3(\gamma + 1) + \frac{3}{2}\eta(\gamma + \delta) \right] sq(1 - q)^s \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{k + s}{s} q^k \} \\
 & = \frac{1}{3} \left\{ \frac{(\gamma + 1)r(r + 1)(r + 2)p^3}{(1 - p)^3} + \frac{[\frac{15}{2}(\gamma + 1) - \eta(\gamma + \delta)] r(r + 1)p^2}{(1 - p)^2} \right. \\
 & + \frac{[12(\gamma + 1) - \frac{9}{2}\eta(\gamma + \delta)] rp}{1 - p} + [3(\gamma + 1) - 3\eta(\gamma + \delta)] [1 - (1 - p)^r] \\
 & + \frac{(\gamma + 1)s(s + 1)(s + 2)q^3}{(1 - q)^3} + \frac{[\frac{9}{2}(\gamma + 1) + \eta(\gamma + \delta)] s(s + 1)q^2}{(1 - q)^2} \\
 & \left. + \frac{[3(\gamma + 1) + \frac{3}{2}\eta(\gamma + \delta)] sq}{1 - q} \right\} \\
 & \leq 1 - \delta.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now  $\Psi_2 \leq 1 - \delta$  follows from the given condition.

□

**Remark 3.4.** Putting  $\gamma = 1$  in Theorem 3.3, we improve the result obtained in ([29]; Theorem 3.5).

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $r, s \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq p, q < 1$ . If the inequality

$$(1 - p)^r + (1 - q)^s \geq 1 + \frac{[(\gamma + 1) + \eta(\gamma + \delta)]|b_1|}{1 - \delta} \quad (11)$$

is satisfied, then  $P_{p,q}^{r,s}(\mathfrak{G}\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{H}}(\gamma, \delta, \eta)) \subset \mathfrak{G}\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{H}}(\gamma, \delta, \eta)$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathfrak{G}\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{H}}(\gamma, \delta, \eta)$  where  $h$  and  $g$  are provided

by (4). We need to prove that the function

$$\begin{aligned} P_{p,q}^{r,s}(f)(z) &= z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \binom{k+r-2}{r-1} (1-p)^r p^{k-1} |a_k| z^k \\ &\quad + |b_1| \bar{z} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \binom{k+s-2}{s-1} (1-q)^s q^{k-1} |b_k| \bar{z}^k \end{aligned}$$

is in  $\mathfrak{GW}_{\mathcal{H}}(\gamma, \delta, \eta)$  if  $\Psi_3 \leq 1 - \delta$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_3 &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(\gamma+1) - \eta(\gamma+\delta)] \binom{k+r-2}{r-1} (1-p)^r p^{k-1} |a_k| \\ &\quad + [(\gamma+1) + \eta(\gamma+\delta)] |b_1| \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(\gamma+1) + \eta(\gamma+\delta)] \binom{k+s-2}{s-1} (1-q)^s q^{k-1} |b_k|. \end{aligned}$$

In view of Lemma 1.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_3 &\leq (1-\delta) \left[ \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \binom{k+r-2}{r-1} (1-p)^r p^{k-1} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \binom{k+s-2}{s-1} (1-q)^s q^{k-1} \right] \\ &\quad + [(\gamma+1) + \eta(\gamma+\delta)] |b_1| \\ &= (1-\delta) \left[ (1-p)^r \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{k+r-1}{r-1} p^k - (1-p)^r \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (1-q)^s \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{k+s-1}{s-1} q^k - (1-q)^s \right] + [(\gamma+1) + \eta(\gamma+\delta)] |b_1| \\ &= (1-\delta) [2 - (1-p)^r - (1-q)^s] + [(\gamma+1) + \eta(\gamma+\delta)] |b_1| \\ &\leq 1 - \delta, \end{aligned}$$

by the given condition and thus the proof of the theorem is complete.

□

**Remark 3.6.** Putting  $\gamma = 1$  in Theorem 3.5, we improve the result obtained in ([29], Theorem 3.6).

**Remark 3.7.** By suitable specializing the parameter  $\eta$ , one can deduce the results for the subclasses  $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{W}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha, \delta)$  and  $f \in \mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{W}_{\mathcal{H}}(\alpha, \delta)$  which are defined, respectively, [Example 2.1 and 2.2 in [29]] and associated with the Pascal distribution series. The details involved may be given as a practice for the reader willing.

**Author Contributions:** This study was produced from first author Naci Taşar's doctoral thesis. Naci Taşar made the calculations. Second author F. Müge Sakar was the supervisor and checked all the calculations. Third author Bilal Şeker revised the manuscript.

## References

- [1] O. P. Ahuja, Planar harmonic univalent and related mappings, *J. Ineq. Pure Appl. Math.*, 6(4) (2005), 122.
- [2] O. P. Ahuja, R. Anghalary, S. B. Joshi, Harmonic univalent functions associated with k-uniformly starlike functions, *Math. Sci. Res. J.*, 9(1) (2005), 9-17.
- [3] O. P. Ahuja, J. M. Jahangiri, Noshiro-type harmonic univalent functions, *Sci. Math. Jpn.*, 6(2) (2002), 253-259.
- [4] J. Alzabut, A. Selvam, R. Dhineshababu, S. Tyagi, M. Ghaderi, Sh. Rezapour, A Caputo discrete fractional-order thermostat model with one and two sensors fractional boundary conditions depending on positive parameters by using the Lipschitz-type inequality, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, (2022), 2022:56. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-022-02786-0>
- [5] S. M. Aydoğan, On a k-dimensional system of hybrid fractional differential equations with multi-point boundary conditions, *J. Math. Extension*, 15(5) (2021), 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.30495/JME.SI.2021.2065>

- [6] S. M. Aydoğan, A. Hussain, F. M. Sakar, On a nonlinear fractional order model of novel coronavirus (nCoV-2019) under AB-fractional derivative, *J. Math. Extension*, 15(5) (2021), 1-31. <https://doi.org/10.30495/JME.SI.2021.1994>
- [7] D. Baleanu, A. Jajarmi, H. Mohammadi, Sh. Rezapour, A new study on the mathematical modelling of human liver with Caputo-Fabrizio fractional derivative, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, 134 (2021), 109705. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2020.109705>
- [8] D. Baleanu, H. Mohammadi, Sh. Rezapour, Analysis of the model of HIV-1 infection of  $CD4^+$  T-cell with a new approach of fractional derivative, *Advances in Difference Equations*, 2020 (2020), 71. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-020-02544-w>
- [9] A. Canbulat, F. M. Sakar, A Study on Harmonic Univalent Function with  $(p, q)$ -Calculus and introducing  $(p, q)$ -Poisson Distribution Series, *J. Math. Extension*, 17(4) (2023), 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.0495/JME.2023.2654>
- [10] S. Çakmak, S. Yalçın, Ş. Altınkaya, Some connections between various classes of analytic functions associated with the power series distribution, *Sakarya University Journal of Science*, 23(5) (2019), 982-985.
- [11] S. Çakmak, S. Yalçın, Ş. Altınkaya, An application of the power series distribution for certain analytic univalent function classes, *Lib.Math. (N.S.)*, 39(1) (2019), 95-101.
- [12] S. Çakmak, S. Yalçın, Ş. Altınkaya, An application of the distribution series for certain analytic function classes, *Surv. Math. Appl.*, 15 (2020), 225-331.
- [13] J. Clunie, T. Sheil-Small, Harmonic univalent functions, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fen Series A. I. Math.*, 9 (1984), 3-25.
- [14] P. L. Duren, *Harmonic Mappings in the Plane*, Cambridge University Press, (2004).

- [15] S. M. El-Deeb, T. Bulboaca, J. Dziok, Pascal distribution series connected with certain subclasses of univalent functions, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, 59 (2019), 301-314.
- [16] J. M. Jahangiri, Harmonic functions starlike in the unit disk, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 235 (1999), 470-477.
- [17] M. M. Matar, M. I. Abbas, J. Alzabut, M. K. A. Kaabar, S. Etemad, Sh. Rezapour, Investigation of the p-Laplacian nonperiodic non-linear boundary value problem via generalized Caputo fractional derivatives, *Advances in Difference Equations*, 2021 (2021), 68. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-021-03228-9>
- [18] S. Miller, P. T. Mocanu, Univalence of Gaussian and confluent hypergeometric functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 110(2) (1990), 333-342.
- [19] H. Mohammadi, S. Kumar, Sh. Rezapour, S. Etemad, A theoretical study of the Caputo-Fabrizio fractional modeling for hearing loss due to Mumps virus with optimal control, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, 144 (2021), 110668. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2021.110668>
- [20] S. Owa, H. M. Srivastava, Univalent and starlike generalized hypergeometric functions, *Canad. J. Math.*, 39 (1987), 1057-1077.
- [21] S. Ponnusamy, F. Rønning, Starlikeness properties for convolution involving hypergeometric series, *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska*, 16 (1998), 141-155.
- [22] S. Porwal, K. K. Dixit, An Application of Hypergeometric Functions on Harmonic Univalent Functions, *Bull. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 2(24) (2010), 97-105.
- [23] S. Porwal, D. Srivastava, Some connections between various subclasses of planar harmonic mappings involving Poisson distribution series, *Electronic J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 6(2) (2018), 163-171.
- [24] T. Roys, B. A. Stephen, K. G. Subramanian, J. M. Jahangiri, Goodman-Rønning-type harmonic univalent functions, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, 41(1) (2001), 45-54.

- [25] F. Rønning, Uniformly convex functions and a corresponding class of starlike functions, *Proc. Math. Soc.*, 118(1) (1993), 189-196.
- [26] S. Ruscheweyh, V.Sing, On the order of starlikeness of hypergeometric functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 113 (1986),1-11.
- [27] H. Silverman, Harmonic univalent functions with negative coefficients, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 220 (1998), 283-289.
- [28] N. H. Tuan, H. Mohammadi, Sh. Rezapour, A mathematical model for COVID-19 transmission by using the Caputo fractional derivative, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, 140 (2020), 110107. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2020.110107>
- [29] S. Yalçın, G. Murungusundaramoorthy, K. Vijaya, Inclusion results on subclasses of harmonic univalent functions associated with Pascal distribution series, *Palestine J. Math.*, 11(3) (2022), 267-275.
- [30] E. Yaşar, S., S. Çakmak, Yalçın, Ş. Altınkaya, Some connections between various subclasses of harmonic univalent functions involving Pascal distribution series, *Acta Univ. Apulen. Math. Inform.*, 66 (2021), 133-147.

**Naci Taşar**

PHD Candidate  
Institute of Science  
Dicle University  
21280 Diyarbakır, Turkey  
E-mail: nacitasar\_72@hotmail.com

**Fethiye Müge Sakar**

Associated Professor of Mathematics  
Department of Management  
Faculty of Economics and Administrative Sciences  
Dicle University  
21280 Diyarbakır, Turkey  
E-mail: mugesakar@hotmail.com

**Bilal Şeker**

Professor of Mathematics

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science and Letter  
Batman University  
Batman, Turkey  
E-mail: bilal.seker@batman.edu.tr