

Some Fixed Point Theorems for Nonexpansive Self-Mappings and Multi-Valued Mappings in b -Metric Spaces

B. Mohammadi

Marand Branch, Islamic Azad University

F. Golkarmanesh

Sanandaj Branch, Islamic Azad University

V. Parvaneh*

Gilan-E-Gharb Branch, Islamic Azad University

Abstract. In this paper, motivated by [F. Vetro, Filomat, 29:9 (2015), 2011-2020] we present some fixed point results for a class of nonexpansive self-mappings and multi-valued mappings in the framework of b -metric spaces. Our results generalize and improve the consequences of [Khojasteh et al. Abstract and Applied Analysis, vol. 2014, Article ID 325840, 5 pages, 2014.] and [F. Vetro, Filomat, 29:9 (2015), 2011-2020]. Some examples are provided to illustrate our results.

AMS Subject Classification: 47H04; 47H10

Keywords and Phrases: Fixed point, multi-valued mappings, nonexpansive self-mapping, b -metric spaces

1. Introduction

Assume that (X, d) be a metric space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a single-valued mapping. Then, f is called a k -Lipschitz mapping if $d(fx, fy) \leq kd(x, y)$

Received: January 2018; Accepted: November 2018

*Corresponding author

for all $x, y \in X$, where $k \geq 0$. If $k \in [0, 1)$, then it is called a contractive mapping and if $k = 1$, f is called a nonexpansive mapping. In [2] Banach proved a very important fixed point result for a contractive self-mapping. Obviously, any contractive mapping is nonexpansive, but the reverse is not true in general. Several researchers investigated fixed point theory for nonexpansive mappings such as [7, 8]. In [9] Khojasteh et al. investigated fixed point results for a new type of self-mappings and multivalued mappings. Then, Vetro in [17] extended their results for nonexpansive mappings using a binary relation on X , called f -invariant. Also, he established fixed point results for nonexpansive multi-valued mappings with a new type of contraction. On the other hand, fixed point theory for mappings on b -metric spaces as a generalization of metric spaces has attracted many researchers for many years (see [1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22]). In this paper, motivated by Vetro [17] we give some fixed point results for a class of nonexpansive self-mappings and multi-valued mappings on b -metric spaces. Our results generalize and improve the results of Khojasteh et al. [9] and Vetro [17]. Some examples are given to illustrate our results.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we give some notions and results that will be needed in the sequel.

Definition 2.1. [1] *Let X be a nonempty set and $s \geq 1$ be a constant real number. The function $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a b -metric on X if the following conditions hold:*

- (i) $d(x, y) = 0$ iff $x = y$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (iii) $d(x, y) \leq s[d(x, z) + d(z, y)]$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

In this case, (X, d) is called a b -metric space with parameter s .

Denote by $CB(X)$ the set of all nonempty closed bounded subsets of X .

Assume that H be the Pompeiu-Hausdorff metric on $CB(X)$ defined by

$$H(A, B) = \max\{\sup_{x \in A} d(x, B), \sup_{y \in B} d(y, A)\},$$

for all $A, B \in CB(X)$, where $d(x, B) = \inf_{y \in B} d(x, y)$. An element $x \in X$ is said to be a fixed point of a multi-valued mapping $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ whenever $x \in Tx$. It is said that $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ is contractive whenever $H(Tx, Ty) \leq kd(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$, where $k \in [0, 1)$. If $k = 1$, then T is called a nonexpansive multivalued mapping. Nadler [11] proved the existence of fixed point for contractive multivalued mappings.

Lemma 2.2. [17] *If $\{a_n\}$ be a nonincreasing sequence of nonnegative real numbers, then the sequence $\{\frac{a_n + a_{n+1}}{a_n + a_{n+1} + 1}\}$ is nonincreasing too.*

Corollary 2.3. *Let (X, d) be a b -metric space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a nonexpansive mapping. If $x_0 \in X$ and $\{x_n\}$ be a Picard sequence starting with x_0 , that is, $x_n = fx_{n-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then, the sequence*

$$\left\{ \frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})}{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + 1} \right\}$$

is nonincreasing too.

3. Fixed Point Results for Nonexpansive Self-Mappings

We prove some results for single-valued mappings defined on a b -metric space endowed with an arbitrary binary relation. Let X be a nonempty set, $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping and \mathcal{R} be a binary relation on X , that is, \mathcal{R} is a subset of $X \times X$. Then, \mathcal{R} is Banach f -invariant if $(fx, f^2x) \in \mathcal{R}$, whenever $(x, fx) \in \mathcal{R}$. Also, a subset Y of X is well ordered with respect to \mathcal{R} if for all $x, y \in Y$ we have $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$ or $(y, x) \in \mathcal{R}$. Let $Fix(f) = \{x \in X : x = fx\}$ denotes the set of all fixed points of f on X .

Theorem 3.1. *Let (X, d) be a complete b -metric space with parameter $s > 1$ endowed with a binary relation \mathcal{R} on X and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a*

nonexpansive mapping such that

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \left(\frac{d(x, fy) + d(y, fx)}{s[d(x, fx) + d(y, fy) + 1]} + k \right) d(x, y) \quad (1)$$

for all $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$, where $k \in [0, 1)$. Also assume that

- (a) \mathcal{R} is Banach f -invariant,
- (b) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $(x_{n-1}, x_n) \in \mathcal{R}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_n \rightarrow z \in X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $(x_{n-1}, z) \in \mathcal{R}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (c) $\text{Fix}(f)$ is well ordered with respect to \mathcal{R} .

Let there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $(x_0, fx_0) \in \mathcal{R}$ and $\lambda s < 1$, where

$$\lambda = \frac{d(x_0, fx_0) + d(fx_0, f^2x_0)}{d(x_0, fx_0) + d(fx_0, f^2x_0) + 1} + k.$$

Then,

- (i) f has at least one fixed point $z \in X$,
- (ii) the Picard sequence of initial point $x_0 \in X$ converges to a fixed point of f ,
- (iii) if $z, w \in X$ are two distinct fixed points of f , then $d(z, w) \geq \frac{s-k}{2}$.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be such that $(x_0, fx_0) \in \mathcal{R}$, $\lambda s < 1$ and let $\{x_n\}$ be a Picard sequence with initial point x_0 . If $x_{n-1} = x_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then x_{n-1} is a fixed point of f and the existence of a fixed point is proved. Now, we suppose that $x_{n-1} \neq x_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From $(x_0, x_1) = (x_0, fx_0) \in \mathcal{R}$, since \mathcal{R} is Banach f -invariant, we deduce that $(x_1, x_2) = (fx_0, f^2x_0) \in \mathcal{R}$. This implies that $(x_{n-1}, x_n) = (f^{n-1}x_0, f^n x_0) \in \mathcal{R}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Using the contractive condition (1) with $x = x_{n-1}$ and $y = x_n$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n) \leq \left(\frac{d(x_{n-1}, fx_n) + d(x_n, fx_{n-1})}{s[d(x_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}) + d(x_n, fx_n) + 1]} + k \right) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &= \left(\frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})}{s[d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + 1]} + k \right) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})}{[d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + 1]} + k \right) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From (2), by Corollary 2.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \left(\frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})}{[d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + 1]} + k \right) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\
&\leq \left(\frac{d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2)}{[d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2) + 1]} + k \right) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\
&= \lambda d(x_{n-1}, x_n),
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, for any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_n, x_m) &\leq s[d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_m)] \\
&\leq sd(x_n, x_{n+1}) + s^2d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + s^{m-n-1}d(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{m-n-1} s^i d(x_{n+i-1}, x_{n+i}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m-n-1} s^i \lambda^{i+n-1} d(x_0, x_1) \\
&= \lambda^{n-1} d(x_0, x_1) \sum_{i=1}^{m-n-1} (\lambda s)^i \\
&= \lambda^{n-1} d(x_0, x_1) (\lambda s)^{1-n} \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} (\lambda s)^i \\
&= d(x_0, x_1) s^{1-n} \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} (\lambda s)^i.
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

As $\lambda s < 1$ and $s > 1$, the last term in the above tends to zero, as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since (X, d) is complete, there exists $z \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow z$. Now we show that z is a fixed point of f . By assumption (b), we deduce that $(x_n, z) \in R$. So, by (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_{n+1}, fz) = d(fx_n, fz) &\leq \left(\frac{d(x_n, fz) + d(z, fx_n)}{[s(d(x_n, fx_n) + d(z, fz) + 1)]} + k \right) d(x_n, z) \\
&= \left(\frac{d(x_n, fz) + d(z, x_{n+1})}{[s(d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(z, fz) + 1)]} + k \right) d(x_n, z).
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, in the above inequality, we get $d(z, fz) \leq 0$. Thus, $d(z, fz) = 0$, that is $z = fz$. Thus (i) and (ii) hold. Now, let z, w are two distinct fixed points of f . Then, we have

$$d(z, w) = d(fz, fw) \leq \left(\frac{d(z, fw) + d(w, fz) + k}{s} \right) d(z, w),$$

which implies that $d(z, w) \geq \frac{s-k}{2}$. \square

Also, we can prove the following result with a weaker contractive condition.

Theorem 3.2. *Let (X, d) be a complete b -metric space with parameter $s > 1$ endowed with a binary relation \mathcal{R} on X and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a nonexpansive mapping such that*

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \left(\frac{d(x, fy) + d(y, fx)}{s[d(x, fx) + d(y, fy) + 1]} + k \right) d(x, y) + Ld(y, fx) \quad (6)$$

for all $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$, where $k \in [0, 1)$ and L is a nonnegative real number. Also, assume that

- (a) \mathcal{R} is Banach f -invariant,
- (b) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $(x_{n-1}, x_n) \in \mathcal{R}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_n \rightarrow z \in X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $(x_{n-1}, z) \in \mathcal{R}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (c) $\text{Fix}(f)$ is well ordered with respect to \mathcal{R} .

Let there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $(x_0, fx_0) \in \mathcal{R}$ and $\lambda s < 1$, where

$$\lambda = \frac{d(x_0, fx_0) + d(fx_0, f^2x_0)}{d(x_0, fx_0) + d(fx_0, f^2x_0) + 1} + k.$$

Then,

- (i) f has at least one fixed point $z \in X$,
- (ii) the Picard sequence with initial point $x_0 \in X$ converges to a fixed point of f ,
- (iii) if $z, w \in X$ are two distinct fixed points of f , then $d(z, w) \geq \max\left\{\frac{s(1-L)-k}{2}, 0\right\}$.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be such that $(x_0, fx_0) \in \mathcal{R}$, $\lambda s < 1$ and let $\{x_n\}$ be a Picard sequence with initial point x_0 . If $x_{n-1} = x_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then x_{n-1} is a fixed point of f and the existence of a fixed point is proved. Now, we suppose that $x_{n-1} \neq x_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From $(x_0, x_1) = (x_0, fx_0) \in \mathcal{R}$, since \mathcal{R} is Banach f -invariant, we deduce $(x_1, x_2) = (fx_0, f^2x_0) \in \mathcal{R}$. This implies that $(x_{n-1}, x_n) = (f^{n-1}x_0, f^n x_0) \in \mathcal{R}$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Using the contractive condition (6) with $x = x_{n-1}$ and $y = x_n$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n) &\leq \left(\frac{d(x_{n-1}, fx_n) + d(x_n, fx_{n-1})}{s[d(x_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}) + d(x_n, fx_n) + 1]} + k \right) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + Ld(x_n, x_n) \\ &= \left(\frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})}{s[d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + 1]} + k \right) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})}{[d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + 1]} + k \right) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since (X, d) is complete, there exists $z \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow z$. Now we show that z is a fixed point of f . By assumption (b), we deduce that $(x_n, z) \in \mathcal{R}$. So, by (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, fz) = d(fx_n, fz) &\leq \left(\frac{d(x_n, fz) + d(z, fx_n)}{s[d(x_n, fx_n) + d(z, fz) + 1]} + k \right) d(x_n, z) + Ld(x_n, z) \\ &= \left(\frac{d(x_n, fz) + d(z, x_{n+1})}{s[d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(z, fz) + 1]} + k \right) d(x_n, z) + Ld(x_n, z). \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, we get $d(z, fz) \leq 0$. Thus, $d(z, fz) = 0$, that is $z = fz$. Thus, (i) and (ii) hold. Now, let z, w are two distinct fixed points of f . Then, we have

$$d(z, w) = d(fz, fw) \leq \left(\frac{d(z, fw) + d(w, fz) + k}{s} \right) d(z, w) + Ld(z, w),$$

which implies that $d(z, w) \geq \frac{s(1-L)-k}{2}$. Thus, (iii) holds. \square

Putting $\mathcal{R} = X \times X$ in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, we obtain the following results in b -metric spaces:

Theorem 3.3. *Let (X, d) be a complete b -metric space with parameter $s > 1$ and let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a nonexpansive mapping such that*

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \left(\frac{d(x, fy) + d(y, fx)}{s[d(x, fx) + d(y, fy) + 1]} + k \right) d(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $k \in [0, 1)$. Assume that there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\lambda s < 1$, where

$$\lambda = \frac{d(x_0, fx_0) + d(fx_0, f^2x_0)}{d(x_0, fx_0) + d(fx_0, f^2x_0) + 1} + k.$$

Then,

- (i) f has at least one fixed point $z \in X$,
- (ii) the Picard sequence with initial point $x_0 \in X$ converges to a fixed point of f ,
- (iii) if $z, w \in X$ are two distinct fixed points of f , then $d(z, w) \geq \frac{s-k}{2}$.

Theorem 3.4. Let (X, d) be a complete b -metric space with parameter $s > 1$ and let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a nonexpansive mapping such that

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \left(\frac{d(x, fy) + d(y, fx)}{s[d(x, fx) + d(y, fy) + 1]} + k \right) d(x, y) + Ld(y, fx)$$

for all $(x, y) \in X$, where $k \in [0, 1)$ and L is a nonnegative real number.

Let there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\lambda s < 1$, where

$$\lambda = \frac{d(x_0, fx_0) + d(fx_0, f^2x_0)}{d(x_0, fx_0) + d(fx_0, f^2x_0) + 1} + k.$$

Then,

- (i) f has at least one fixed point $z \in X$,
- (ii) the Picard sequence with initial point $x_0 \in X$ converges to a fixed point of f ,
- (iii) if $z, w \in X$ are two distinct fixed points of f , then $d(z, w) \geq \max\{\frac{s(1-L)-k}{2}, 0\}$.

Example 3.5. Let $X = [0, 1] \cup [\frac{5}{2}, \infty)$ and $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be defined

$$\text{by } d(x, y) = (x-y)^2. \text{ Define } f : X \rightarrow X \text{ by } fx = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}x & \text{if } x \in [0, 1], \\ \frac{5}{4} + \frac{1}{2}x & \text{if } x \in [\frac{5}{2}, \infty). \end{cases}$$

It is clear that (X, d) is a complete b -metric space with parameter $s = 2$ and f is nonexpansive. Also, if $x, y \in [0, 1]$ or $x, y \in [\frac{5}{2}, \infty)$, then

$$d(fx, fy) = \frac{1}{4}(x-y)^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}(x-y)^2 = \frac{1}{2}d(x, y).$$

If $x \in [0, 1]$ and $y \in [\frac{5}{2}, \infty)$, then

$$\frac{d(x, fy) + d(y, fx)}{s[d(x, fx) + d(y, fy) + 1]} \geq \frac{3}{4}. \quad (8)$$

In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d(x, fy) + d(y, fx)}{s[d(x, fx) + d(y, fy) + 1]} \geq \frac{3}{4} \\ \iff & \frac{(x - \frac{5}{4} - \frac{1}{2}y)^2 + (y - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}x)^2}{[(x - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}x)^2 + (y - \frac{5}{4} - \frac{1}{2}y)^2 + 1]} \geq \frac{3}{2} \\ \iff & 2x^2 + 2(\frac{5}{4} + \frac{1}{2}y)^2 - 4x(\frac{5}{4} + \frac{1}{2}y) + 2y^2 + 2(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}x)^2 - 4y(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}x) \\ & \geq 3x^2 + 3(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}x)^2 - 6x(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}x) + 3y^2 + 3(\frac{5}{4} + \frac{1}{2}y)^2 - 6y(\frac{5}{4} + \frac{1}{2}y) + 3 \\ \iff & 7x^2 + 7y^2 - 16xy - 10x + 17y \geq \frac{77}{4} \\ \iff & 7(y - x)^2 + 17y \geq \frac{63}{4} + \frac{14}{4} + 2x(y + 5). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Since $(y - x) \geq \frac{3}{2}$, thus $7(y - x)^2 \geq \frac{63}{4}$. It is sufficient to show that $17y \geq \frac{14}{4} + 2x(y + 5)$. Now, since $x \leq 1$, it is sufficient to show $17y \geq \frac{14}{4} + 2(y + 5)$ or equally $y \geq \frac{54}{60}$ which is desired. Thus, (7) holds. Now

$$\begin{aligned} d(fx, fy) &= (\frac{5}{4} + \frac{1}{2}y - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}x)^2 \\ &= (\frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{2}(y - x))^2 \leq (\frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{4})(y - x)^2 \\ &\leq (\frac{d(x, fy) + d(y, fx)}{s[d(x, fx) + d(y, fy) + 1]} + \frac{1}{4})d(x, y). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Also, for $k = \frac{1}{4}$ and $x_0 = \frac{1}{8}$, we have

$$\lambda = \frac{d(x_0, fx_0) + d(fx_0, f^2x_0)}{d(x_0, fx_0) + d(fx_0, f^2x_0) + 1} + k = \frac{245}{1269} + \frac{1}{4}.$$

Therefore, $\lambda s = (\frac{245}{1269} + \frac{1}{4})2 = \frac{490}{1269} + \frac{1}{2} < 1$. Thus, all of the conditions of Theorem 3.3 are satisfied and so T has a fixed point. Here, $z = 1$ and $w = \frac{5}{2}$ are two fixed points of f . Also,

$$d(z, w) = (1 - \frac{5}{2})^2 = \frac{9}{4} \geq \frac{7}{8} = \frac{2 - \frac{1}{4}}{2} = \frac{s - k}{2}.$$

Note that f is not a contraction. In fact, $d(f1, f\frac{5}{2}) = d(1, \frac{5}{2})$.

Let (X, d) be a b -metric space and \preceq be an order on X . Then, the triple (X, d, \preceq) is called a partial ordered b -metric space. Then, two elements $x, y \in X$ are called comparable if $x \preceq y$ or $y \preceq x$. Also, (X, d, \preceq) is called regular if for any nondecreasing sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $x_n \rightarrow z \in X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $x_n \preceq z$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that $\mathcal{R} = \{(x, y) : x \preceq y\}$ is a binary relation on X . Also, if $f : X \rightarrow X$ be nondecreasing, then \mathcal{R} is Banach f -invariant.

Theorem 3.6. *Let (X, d, \preceq) be a complete ordered b -metric space with parameter $s > 1$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a nonexpansive nondecreasing mapping such that*

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \left(\frac{d(x, fy) + d(y, fx)}{s[d(x, fx) + d(y, fy) + 1]} + k \right) d(x, y)$$

for all comparable elements $x, y \in X$, where $k \in [0, 1)$. Also assume that

- (a) (X, d, \preceq) is regular,
- (b) $Fix(f)$ is well ordered with respect to \preceq .

Let there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \preceq fx_0$ and $\lambda s < 1$, where

$$\lambda = \frac{d(x_0, fx_0) + d(fx_0; f^2x_0)}{d(x_0, fx_0) + d(fx_0; f^2x_0) + 1} + k.$$

Then,

- (i) f has at least one fixed point $z \in X$,
- (ii) the Picard sequence with initial point $x_0 \in X$ converges to a fixed point of f ,
- (iii) if $z, w \in X$ are two distinct fixed points of f , then $d(z, w) \geq \frac{s-k}{2}$.

Theorem 3.7. *Let (X, d, \preceq) be a complete ordered b -metric space with parameter $s > 1$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a nonexpansive nondecreasing mapping such that*

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \left(\frac{d(x, fy) + d(y, fx)}{s[d(x, fx) + d(y, fy) + 1]} + k \right) d(x, y) + Ld(x, y)$$

for all comparable elements $x, y \in X$, where $k \in [0, 1)$ and L is a non-negative real number. Also assume that

- (a) (X, d, \preceq) is regular,
- (b) $\text{Fix}(f)$ is well ordered with respect to \preceq .

Let there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \preceq fx_0$ and $\lambda s < 1$, where

$$\lambda = \frac{d(x_0, fx_0) + d(fx_0; f^2x_0)}{d(x_0, fx_0) + d(fx_0; f^2x_0) + 1} + k.$$

Then,

- (i) f has at least one fixed point $z \in X$,
- (ii) the Picard sequence with initial point $x_0 \in X$ converges to a fixed point of f ,
- (iii) if $z, w \in X$ are two distinct fixed points of f , then $d(z, w) \geq \frac{s(1-L)-k}{2}$.

4. Fixed Point Results for Nonexpansive Multi-Valued Mappings

In this section, we give some fixed point results for nonexpansive multi-valued mappings in b -metric spaces. Let (X, d) be a b -metric space and $K(X)$ be the set of all nonempty compact subsets of X .

Definition 4.1. Let \mathcal{R} be a binary relation on X . Then, \mathcal{R} is called Banach T -invariant if for any $x \in X$ and $y \in Tx$ with $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$, then we have $(y, z) \in \mathcal{R}$ for all $z \in Ty$.

Note that if T be a single-valued mapping, then Definition 4.1 reduces to the definition of f -invariant for single-valued mappings.

Theorem 4.2. Let (X, d) be a complete b -metric space with parameter $s > 1$ endowed with a binary relation \mathcal{R} on X and $T : X \rightarrow K(X)$ be a nonexpansive multi-valued mapping such that

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \left(\frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{s[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty) + 1]} + k \right) d(x, y) \quad (11)$$

for all $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$, where $k \in [0, 1)$. Also, assume that

(a) \mathcal{R} is Banach T -invariant,

(b) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $(x_{n-1}, x_n) \in \mathcal{R}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_n \rightarrow z \in X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $(x_{n-1}, z) \in \mathcal{R}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$;

Let there exists $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $(x_0, x_1) \in \mathcal{R}$, $d(x_0, x_1) = d(x_0, Tx_0)$ and $\lambda s < 1$, where

$$\lambda = \frac{d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, Tx_1)}{d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, Tx_1) + 1} + k.$$

Then, T has at least one fixed point $z \in X$.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in Tx_0$ be such that $(x_0, x_1) \in \mathcal{R}$, $d(x_0, x_1) = d(x_0, Tx_0)$ and $\lambda s < 1$. Since Tx_1 is compact, there exists $x_2 \in Tx_1$ such that $d(x_1, x_2) = d(x_1, Tx_1)$.

From $(x_0, x_1) \in \mathcal{R}$, since \mathcal{R} is Banach T -invariant, we get $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{R}$. Continuing this process, we have a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X , such that $(x_{n-1}, x_n) \in \mathcal{R}$, $x_n \in Tx_{n-1}$ and $d(x_{n-1}, x_n) = d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since T is nonexpansive, we have $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d(x_n, Tx_n) \leq H(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \leq d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$. Thus, by Corollary 2.3,

$$\left\{ \frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})}{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + 1} \right\}$$

is nonincreasing. Using the contractive condition (11) with $x = x_{n-1}$ and $y = x_n$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d(x_n, Tx_n) \leq H(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{d(x_{n-1}, Tx_n) + d(x_n, Tx_{n-1})}{s[d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) + d(x_n, Tx_n) + 1]} + k \right) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &= \left(\frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})}{s[d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + 1]} + k \right) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})}{[d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + 1]} + k \right) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \left(\frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})}{[d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + 1]} + k \right) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2)}{[d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2) + 1]} + k \right) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &= \lambda d(x_{n-1}, x_n), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, as in Theorem 3.1, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since (X, d) is complete, there exists $z \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow z$. Now, we show that z is a fixed point of T . By assumption (b), we deduce that $(x_n, z) \in \mathcal{R}$. So, by (11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, Tz) &\leq s[d(z, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, Tz)] \\ &\leq sd(z, x_{n+1}) + sH(Tx_n, Tz) \\ &\leq sd(z, x_{n+1}) + \left(\frac{d(x_n, Tz) + d(z, Tx_n)}{[d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(z, Tz) + 1]} + k\right)d(x_n, z) \quad (14) \\ &= sd(z, x_{n+1}) + \left(\frac{d(x_n, Tz) + d(z, x_{n+1})}{[d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(z, Tz) + 1]} + k\right)d(x_n, z). \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, in the above inequality, we get $d(z, Tz) \leq 0$. Thus, $d(z, Tz) = 0$, that is, $z \in Tz$. \square

Also, we can prove the following result for nonexpansive multi-valued mapping with a weaker contractive condition.

Theorem 4.3. *Let (X, d) be a complete b -metric space with parameter $s > 1$ endowed with a binary relation \mathcal{R} on X and $T : X \rightarrow K(X)$ be a nonexpansive multi-valued mapping such that*

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \left(\frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty) + 1]} + k\right)d(x, y) + Ld(x, y) \quad (15)$$

for all $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$, where $k \in [0, 1)$ and L is a nonnegative real number. Also, assume that

- (a) \mathcal{R} is Banach T -invariant,
- (b) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $(x_{n-1}, x_n) \in \mathcal{R}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_n \rightarrow z \in X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $(x_{n-1}, z) \in \mathcal{R}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$;

Let there exists $x_0 \in X$, $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $(x_0, x_1) \in \mathcal{R}$, $d(x_0, x_1) = d(x_0, Tx_0)$ and $\lambda s < 1$, where

$$\lambda = \frac{d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, Tx_1)}{d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, Tx_1) + 1} + k.$$

Then, T has at least one fixed point $z \in X$.

Putting $\mathcal{R} = X \times X$ in Theorems 4.2 and 4.3, we obtain the following results in b -metric spaces:

Theorem 4.4. *Let (X, d) be a complete b -metric space with parameter $s > 1$ and $T : X \rightarrow K(X)$ be a nonexpansive multi-valued mapping such that*

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \left(\frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{s[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty) + 1]} + k \right) d(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $k \in [0, 1)$. Assume that there exists $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $d(x_0, x_1) = d(x_0, Tx_0)$ and $\lambda s < 1$, where

$$\lambda = \frac{d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, Tx_1)}{d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, Tx_1) + 1} + k.$$

Then, T has at least one fixed point $z \in X$.

Theorem 4.5. *Let (X, d) be a complete b -metric space with parameter $s > 1$ and $T : X \rightarrow K(X)$ be a nonexpansive multi-valued mapping such that*

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \left(\frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{s[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty) + 1]} + k \right) d(x, y) + Ld(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $k \in [0, 1)$ and L is a nonnegative real number. Assume that there exists $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $d(x_0, x_1) = d(x_0, Tx_0)$ and $\lambda s < 1$, where

$$\lambda = \frac{d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, Tx_1)}{d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, Tx_1) + 1} + k.$$

Then, T has at least one fixed point $z \in X$.

Example 4.6. Let $X = [0, 1] \cup [\frac{5}{2}, \infty)$ and let $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be defined by $d(x, y) = (x - y)^2$. Define $T : X \rightarrow K(X)$ by

$$Tx = \begin{cases} [\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}x, 1], & \text{if } x \in [0, 1], \\ [\frac{5}{2}, \frac{5}{4} + \frac{1}{2}x], & \text{if } x \in [\frac{5}{2}, \infty). \end{cases}$$

It is clear that (X, d) is a complete b -metric space with parameter $s = 2$ and T is nonexpansive. Also, if $x, y \in [0, 1]$ or $x, y \in [\frac{5}{2}, \infty)$, then

$$H(Tx, Ty) = \frac{1}{4}(x - y)^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}(x - y)^2 = \frac{1}{2}d(x, y).$$

If $x \in [0, 1]$ and $y \in [\frac{5}{2}, \infty)$, then

$$\frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{s[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty) + 1]} \geq \frac{3}{4}.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} H(Tx, Ty) &= \max\{\frac{5}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}x, 1 + \frac{1}{2}y - 1\}^2 \\ &= \max\{2 - \frac{1}{2}x, \frac{1}{2}y\}^2 \leq (\frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{4})(y - x)^2 \\ &\leq \left(\frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{s[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty) + 1]} + \frac{1}{4}\right)d(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Also, for $k = \frac{1}{4}$ and $x_0 = \frac{1}{8}$, $d(x_0, Tx_0) = d(\frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{16})$. Thus, with $x_1 = \frac{3}{16}$ we have

$$\lambda = \frac{d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, Tx_1)}{d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, Tx_1) + 1} + k = \frac{245}{1269} + \frac{1}{4}.$$

Therefore, $\lambda s = (\frac{245}{1269} + \frac{1}{4})2 = \frac{490}{1269} + \frac{1}{2} < 1$. Thus, all of the conditions of Theorem 4.4 are satisfied and so T has a fixed point. Here, $z = 1$ and $w = \frac{5}{2}$ are two fixed points of T . Also,

$$d(z, w) = (1 - \frac{5}{2})^2 = \frac{9}{4} \geq \frac{7}{8} = \frac{2 - \frac{1}{4}}{2} = \frac{s - k}{2}.$$

Note that T is not a contraction. In fact, $H(T1, T\frac{5}{2}) = d(1, \frac{5}{2})$.

Theorem 4.7. *Let (X, d, \preceq) be a complete ordered b -metric space with parameter $s > 1$ and $T : X \rightarrow K(X)$ be a nonexpansive multi-valued mapping such that*

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \left(\frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{s[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty) + 1]} + k\right)d(x, y)$$

for all comparable elements $x, y \in X$, where $k \in [0, 1)$. Also, assume that

- (a) for any $x \in X$ and $y \in Tx$ with $x \preceq y$, then we have $y \preceq z$ for all $z \in Ty$.
- (b) (X, d, \preceq) is regular,

Let there exists $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $d(x_0, Tx_0) = d(x_0, x_1)$, $x_0 \preceq x_1$ and $\lambda s < 1$, where

$$\lambda = \frac{d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, Tx_1)}{d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, Tx_1) + 1} + k.$$

Then, T has at least one fixed point $z \in X$.

Theorem 4.8. Let (X, d, \preceq) be a complete ordered b -metric space with parameter $s > 1$ and $T : X \rightarrow K(X)$ be a nonexpansive multi-valued mapping such that

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \left(\frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{s[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty) + 1]} + k \right) d(x, y) + Ld(x, y)$$

for all comparable elements $x, y \in X$, where $k \in [0, 1)$ and L is a non-negative real number. Also, assume that

- (a) for any $x \in X$ and $y \in Tx$ with $x \preceq y$, then we have $y \preceq z$ for all $z \in Ty$,
- (b) (X, d, \preceq) is regular.

Let there exists $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $d(x_0, Tx_0) = d(x_0, x_1)$, $x_0 \preceq x_1$ and $\lambda s < 1$, where

$$\lambda = \frac{d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, Tx_1)}{d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, Tx_1) + 1} + k.$$

Then, T has at least one fixed point $z \in X$.

References

- [1] I. A. Bakhtin, The contraction mapping principle in almost metric space, (*Russian*) *Func. Anal., Ulyanovsk. Gos. Ped. Inst., Ulyanovsk*, 30 (1989), 26-37.
- [2] S. Banach, Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrales, *Fund. Math.*, 3 (1922), 133–181.
- [3] M. Boriceanu, Fixed point theory for multivalued generalized contraction on a set with two b -metrics, *Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math.*, 54 (2009), 3-14.

- [4] M. Boriceanu, Strict fixed point theorems for multivalued operators in b -metric spaces, *Int. J. Mod. Math.*, 4 (2009), 285-301.
- [5] S. Czerwik, Contraction mappings in b -metric spaces, *Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostraviensis*, 1 (1993), 5-11.
- [6] S. Czerwik, Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b -metric spaces, *Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena*, 46 (1998), 263-276.
- [7] M. Edelstein, On nonexpansive mappings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 15 (1964), 689-695.
- [8] M. A. Khamsi and S. Reich, Nonexpansive mappings and semigroups in hyperconvex spaces, *Math. Japonica*, 35 (1990), 467-471.
- [9] F. Khojasteh, M. Abbas, and S. Costache, Two new types of fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, 2014 (2014), Article ID 325840, 5 pages.
- [10] Z. Mustafa, J. R. Roshan, V. Parvaneh, and Z. Kadelburg, Some common fixed point results in ordered partial b -metric spaces, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, (2013), 26 pages.
- [11] S. B. Nadler Jr., Multi-valued contraction mappings, *Pacific J. Math.*, 30 (1969), 475-488.
- [12] J. J. Nieto and R. Rodrguez-Lopez, Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations, *Order*, 22 (2005), 223-239.
- [13] M. Pacurar, Sequences of almost contractions and fixed points in b -metric spaces, *An. Univ. Vest Timis. Ser. Mat.-Inform.*, 48 (2010), 125-137.
- [14] V. Parvaneh, J. R. Roshan, and S. Radenović, Existence of tripled coincidence points in ordered b -metric spaces and an application to a system of integral equations, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, (2013), 19 pages.
- [15] A. M. C. Ran and M. C. B. Reurings, A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications to matrix equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 132 (2004), 1435-1443.
- [16] J. R. Roshan, V. Parvaneh, S. Sedghi, N. Shobkolaei, and W. Shatanawi, Common fixed points of almost generalized $(\psi, \varphi)_s$ -contractive mappings in ordered b -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, (2013), 23 pages.

- [17] F. Vetro, Fixed point results for nonexpansive mappings on metric spaces, *Filomat*, 29 (2015), 2011–2020.
- [18] S. Chandok, M. Jovanovic, and S. Radenovic, Ordered b -metric spaces and Geraghty type contractive mappings, *Vojnotehnicki glasnik/Military Technical Courier*, 65 (2) (2017), 331-345.
- [19] Z. Kadelburg, Lj. Paunović, and S. Radenović, A note on fixed point theorems for weakly T-Kannan and weakly T-Chatterjea contractions in b -metric spaces, *Gulf J. Math.*, 3 (3) (2015), 57-67.
- [20] T. Dosenović, M. Pavlović, and S. Radenović, Contractive conditions in b -metric spaces, *Vojnotehnicki glasnik/Military Technical Courier*, 65 (4) (2017), 851-865.
- [21] S. Aleksić, H. Huang, Z. D. Mitrović, and S. Radenović, Remarks on some fixed point results in b -metric spaces, *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, (2018), 20:147, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11784-018-0626-2>.
- [22] S. Aleksić, T. Do.enović, Z. D. Mitrović, and S. Radenović, Remarks on common fixed point results for generalized α - ψ -contraction multivalued mappings in b -metric spaces, to appear in *Adv. Fixed Point Theory*, 9 (1) (2019), 1-16.

Babak Mohammadi

Assistant Professor of Mathematics
Department of Mathematics
Marand Branch, Islamic Azad University
Marand, Iran
E-mail: bmohammadi@marandiau.ac.ir

Farhan Golkarmanesh

Assistant Professor of Mathematics
Department of Mathematics
Sanandaj Branch, Islamic Azad University
Sanandaj, Iran
E-mail: Fgolkarmanesh@yahoo.com

Vahid Parvaneh

Assistant Professor of Mathematics
Department of Mathematics
Gilan-E-Gharb Branch, Islamic Azad University
Gilan-E-Gharb, Iran