

## On Finite and Infinite Decomposition of Some Hilbert's Type Inequalities

A. Moazzen

Kosar University of Bojnord

**Abstract.** In this work, some Hardy-Hilbert's integral inequalities with the best possible constants are proved. Also, some finite and infinite decompositions of some type Hardy-Hilbert's integral operators are given. Indeed, for a non-negative kernel  $K$ , two kernels  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are given such that  $T_K = T_{K_1} + T_{K_2}$  and  $\|T_K\| = \|T_{K_1}\| + \|T_{K_2}\|$  and also,  $T_{k_1} \neq cT_{k_2}$  for every constant  $c$ . So, the space of bounded linear operators is not strictly convex. Also, as an application of infinite decomposition of some Hardy-Hilbert's integral operators, the convergence of some series of hypergeometric functions are given.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 26D15; 45P05

**Keywords and Phrases:** Hilbert's inequality, infinite decomposition, Hardy-Hilbert's integral operator, hypergeometric function

### 1. Introduction

David Hilbert in the early 1900s, in his lectures on integral equations, proved a double series inequality. If  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{b_m\}$  are two real sequences such that  $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 < \infty$  and  $0 < \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_m^2 < \infty$ , then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n b_m}{m+n} < 2\pi \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_m^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

---

Received: October 2017; Accepted: June 2018

In 1911, Schour gave a new proof of the inequality which  $\pi$  is the best possible sharp constant. Schour also discovered the integral analogue of the Hilbert's inequality as

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x+y} dx dy < \pi \left\{ \int_0^\infty f^2(x) dx \int_0^\infty g^2(y) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (1)$$

where  $f$  and  $g$  are measurable functions such that  $0 < \int_0^\infty f^2(x) dx < \infty$  and  $0 < \int_0^\infty g^2(x) dx < \infty$ .

There are some kinds of Hilbert-type inequalities. For instance, Dongmel Xin in [4] gave the following statement:

suppose that  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $r > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$  and also  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $f, g \geq 0$  such that

$$0 < \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1} f^p(x) dx < \infty,$$

$$0 < \int_0^\infty y^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})-1} g^q(y) dy < \infty.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\ln(\frac{x}{y}) f(x) g(y)}{x^\lambda - y^\lambda} dx dy &< \left[ \frac{\pi}{\lambda \sin(\frac{\pi}{r})} \right]^2 \left( \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1} f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\times \left( \int_0^\infty y^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})-1} g^q(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where the constant factor is the best possible.

In [2], the above-mentioned statement is generalized as follows:

suppose that  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and also  $u, v$  are two strict increasing differentiable functions,  $u(0) = v(0) = 0$ ,  $u(\infty) = v(\infty) = \infty$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  and  $f, g \geq 0$ ,

$$0 < \int_0^\infty (u(x))^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1} (u'(x))^{1-p} f^p(x) dx < \infty,$$

and

$$0 < \int_0^\infty (v(y))^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})-1} (v'(y))^{1-q} g^q(y) dy < \infty.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\left(\ln\left(\frac{u(x)}{v(y)}\right)\right)^{2m-1} f(x)g(y)}{u^\lambda(x) - v^\lambda(y)} dx dy \\ < K \left( \int_0^\infty (u(x))^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1} (u'(x))^{1-p} f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \times \left( \int_0^\infty (v(y))^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})-1} (v'(y))^{1-q} g^q(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where

$$K = C(r, s, \lambda, m) = \frac{\Gamma(2m)}{\lambda^{2m}} \left( r^{2m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(rk+1)^{2m}} + s^{2m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(sk+1)^{2m}} \right).$$

Also the constant factor is the best possible.

In the same work the following statement is proved.

Suppose that  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and  $u, v$  are two strict increasing differentiable functions,  $u(0) = v(0) = 0$ ,  $u(\infty) = v(\infty) = \infty$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  and  $f, g \geq 0$ ,

$$0 < \int_0^\infty (u(x))^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1} (u'(x))^{1-p} f^p(x) dx < \infty,$$

and

$$0 < \int_0^\infty (v(y))^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})-1} (v'(y))^{1-q} g^q(y) dy < \infty.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\left(\ln\left(\frac{u(x)}{v(y)}\right)\right)^{2m} f(x)g(y)}{u^\lambda(x) + v^\lambda(y)} dx dy \\ < K \left( \int_0^\infty u^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1} (u')^{1-p} f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \times \left( \int_0^\infty v^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})-1} (v')^{1-q} g^q(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where

$$K = C_E(r, s, \lambda, m) = \frac{\Gamma(2m+1)}{\lambda^{2m+1}} \left( r^{2m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(rk+1)^{2m+1}} + s^{2m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(sk+1)^{2m+1}} \right).$$

Also, the constant factor is the best possible.

A large number of generalizations, extensions and refinements of the above inequality are available in literature such as Hardy *et al.* [1], Mitrinović *et al* [3] and Yang [6].

Recently, Yang [5] by the identity

$$\frac{1}{m+n} = \frac{\max\{m, n\}}{(m+n)^2} + \frac{\min\{m, n\}}{(m+n)^2}, \quad (m, n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

gave a decomposition of Hilbert's inequality as follows:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\max\{m, n\}}{(m+n)^2} a_m b_n < \left(\frac{\pi}{2} + 1\right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\min\{m, n\}}{(m+n)^2} a_m b_n < \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - 1\right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The sum of two best constant factors is  $\pi$  (the constant factor of the Hilbert's inequality).

The author and Lashkaripour in [2], gave decompositions of some Hilbert's type inequalities.

In this work, decompositions of some Hilbert's type inequalities are given which some of them are infinite forms. In the sence that, for a homogeneous kernel  $K(x, y)$  of degree  $-\lambda$ , by finding a sequence  $\{K_n(x, y)\}$  of homogeneous kernels with the same degree and proving inequalities of the form

$$\int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} K(x, y) f(x) g(y) dx dy < C \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} g^q(y) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

and

$$\int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} K_n(x, y) f(x) g(y) dx dy < C_n \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} g^q(y) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

such that the constants are best possible and  $C = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$ , the first inequality decompose infinitely.

In this section, by the following identities, three pairs of new Hilbert-type inequalities which respectively decompose inequalities (3), (4) and (5) are given.

$$\frac{1}{x^\lambda - y^\lambda} = \frac{x^\lambda}{x^{2\lambda} - y^{2\lambda}} + \frac{y^\lambda}{x^{2\lambda} - y^{2\lambda}}.$$

$$\frac{1}{x^\lambda + y^\lambda} = \frac{x^\lambda}{x^{2\lambda} - y^{2\lambda}} - \frac{y^\lambda}{x^{2\lambda} - y^{2\lambda}}.$$

$$\frac{1}{\left(A \min\{x, y\} + B \max\{x, y\}\right)^\lambda} = \frac{A \min\{x, y\}}{\left(A \min\{x, y\} + B \max\{x, y\}\right)^{\lambda+1}} + \frac{B \max\{x, y\}}{\left(A \min\{x, y\} + B \max\{x, y\}\right)^{\lambda+1}}.$$

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose that  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and  $u, v$  are two strict increasing differentiable functions,  $u(0) = v(0) = 0$ ,  $u(\infty) = v(\infty) = \infty$ ,  $u > v$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  and also  $f, g \geq 0$ ,*

$$0 < \int_0^\infty (u(x))^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1} (u'(x))^{1-p} f^p(x) dx < \infty,$$

and

$$0 < \int_0^\infty (v(y))^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})-1} (v'(y))^{1-q} g^q(y) dy < \infty.$$

Then

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\left(\ln\left(\frac{u(x)}{v(y)}\right)\right)^{2m} u^\lambda(x) f(x) g(y)}{u^{2\lambda}(x) - v^{2\lambda}(y)} dx dy$$

$$< K \left( \int_0^\infty (u(x))^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1} (u'(x))^{1-p} f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\times \left( \int_0^\infty (v(y))^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})-1} (v'(y))^{1-q} g^q(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where

$$K = K(r, s, \lambda, m) = \frac{\Gamma(2m+1)}{\lambda^{2m+1}}$$

$$\left( -r^{2m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{((2k+1)r+1)^{2m+1}} + s^{2m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2sk+1)^{2m+1}} \right).$$

Also, the constant factor is the best possible.

**Proof.** Let  $f(x) = F(x)(u'(x))^{\frac{1}{q}}$  and  $g(y) = G(y)(v'(y))^{\frac{1}{p}}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\left(\ln\left(\frac{u(x)}{v(y)}\right)\right)^{2m} u^\lambda(x) f(x) g(y)}{u^{2\lambda}(x) - v^{2\lambda}(y)} dx dy \\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\left(\ln\left(\frac{u(x)}{v(y)}\right)\right)^{2m} F(x) G(y) u^\lambda(x) (u'(x))^{\frac{1}{q}} (v'(y))^{\frac{1}{p}}}{u^{2\lambda}(x) - v^{2\lambda}(y)} dx dy \\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\left(\ln\left(\frac{u}{v}\right)\right)^{2m} u^\lambda}{u^{2\lambda} - v^{2\lambda}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \times \frac{u^{\frac{(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})}{q}}}{v^{\frac{(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})}{p}}} F(x) (v')^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{\left(\ln\left(\frac{u}{v}\right)\right)^{2m} u^\lambda}{u^{2\lambda} - v^{2\lambda}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \times \frac{v^{\frac{(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})}{p}}}{u^{\frac{(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})}{q}}} G(y) (u')^{\frac{1}{q}} dx dy \\
&\leq \left(\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\left(\ln\left(\frac{u}{v}\right)\right)^{2m} u^\lambda}{u^{2\lambda} - v^{2\lambda}} \frac{u^{(p-1)(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})}}{v^{(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})}} F^p(x) v'(y) dx dy\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\quad \times \left(\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\left(\ln\left(\frac{u}{v}\right)\right)^{2m} u^\lambda}{u^{2\lambda} - v^{2\lambda}} \frac{v^{(q-1)(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})}}{u^{(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})}} G^q(y) u'(x) dx dy\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&= M^{\frac{1}{p}} N^{\frac{1}{q}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$M = \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{\left(\ln\left(\frac{u}{v}\right)\right)^{2m}}{u^\lambda \left(1 - \left(\frac{v}{u}\right)^{2\lambda}\right)} \frac{u^{(p-1)(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})}}{v^{(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})}} v'(y) dy \right) F^p(x) dx.$$

By substituting  $e^{\frac{z}{\lambda}} = \frac{v(y)}{u(x)}$ , one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
M &= \frac{1}{\lambda^{2m+1}} \left( \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{z^{2m} e^{\frac{z}{s}}}{1 - e^{2z}} dz \right) \int_0^\infty (u(x))^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1} (u'(x))^{1-p} f^p(x) dx \\
&= \frac{1}{\lambda^{2m+1}} \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{z^{2m} e^{-\frac{z}{s}}}{1 - e^{-2z}} dz - \int_0^\infty \frac{z^{2m} e^{-z(1+\frac{1}{r})}}{1 - e^{-2z}} dz \right) \\
&\quad \times \left( \int_0^\infty (u(x))^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1} (u'(x))^{1-p} f^p(x) dx \right) \\
&= K(r, s, \lambda, m) \int_0^\infty (u(x))^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1} (u'(x))^{1-p} f^p(x) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $K(r, s, \lambda, m) = \frac{\Gamma(2m+1)}{\lambda^{2m+1}}$

$$\left( -r^{2m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{((2k+1)r+1)^{2m+1}} + s^{2m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2sk+1)^{2m+1}} \right).$$

By the same way, one obtains

$$N = K(r, s, \lambda, m) \int_0^{\infty} (v(y))^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})-1} (v'(y))^{1-q} g^q(y) dy.$$

This proves the inequality.

If the inequality mentioned in Theorem 1.1 takes the form of equality, then there exist constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  such that  $c_1^2 + c_2^2 \neq 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 f^p(x) (u'(x))^{-p} (u(x))^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})} &= c_2 g^q(y) (v'(y))^{-q} (v(y))^{1-\frac{\lambda}{s}} \\ &= c \quad \text{a.e. in } (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty), \end{aligned}$$

where  $c$  is constant. Without loss of generality, suppose  $c_1 \neq 0$ . One has

$$f^p(x) = \frac{c}{c_1} (u'(x))^p (u(x))^{p(\frac{\lambda}{r}-1)} \quad \text{a.e. in } (0, \infty).$$

Now, we have

$$\int_0^{\infty} (u(x))^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1} (u'(x))^{1-p} f^p(x) dx = \frac{c}{c_1} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{du}{u},$$

which is in contradiction with

$$\int_0^{\infty} (u(x))^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1} (u'(x))^{1-p} f^p(x) dx < \infty.$$

If the constant factor is not the best possible, then there is a positive number  $C$  with  $C < K(r, s, \lambda, m)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\left( \ln \left( \frac{u(x)}{v(y)} \right) \right)^{2m} u^{\lambda} f(x) g(y)}{u^{2\lambda}(x) - v^{2\lambda}(y)} dx dy \\ < C \left( \int_0^{\infty} (u(x))^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1} (u'(x))^{1-p} f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \times \left( \int_0^{\infty} (v(y))^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})-1} (v'(y))^{1-q} g^q(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that  $0 < \epsilon < (\frac{s+1}{s})\lambda q$  and

$$f_\epsilon(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \leq x < u^{-1}(1), \\ (u(x))^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-1-\frac{\epsilon}{p}} u'(x) & x \geq u^{-1}(1), \end{cases}$$

and

$$g_\epsilon(y) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \leq y < v^{-1}(1), \\ (v(y))^{\frac{\lambda}{s}-1-\frac{\epsilon}{q}} v'(y) & y \geq v^{-1}(1). \end{cases}$$

One can show that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty (u(x))^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1} (u'(x))^{1-p} f^p(x) dx &= \int_0^\infty (v(y))^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})-1} (v'(y))^{1-q} g^q(y) dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\left(\ln\left(\frac{u(x)}{v(y)}\right)\right)^{2m} u^\lambda f_\epsilon(x) g_\epsilon(y)}{u^{2\lambda}(x) - v^{2\lambda}(y)} dx dy \\ &= \int_{u^{-1}(1)}^\infty u^{-1-\epsilon} u' \left( \int_{\frac{1}{u}}^\infty \frac{-(\ln(t))^{2m} t^{\frac{\lambda}{s}-1-\frac{\epsilon}{q}}}{1-t^{2\lambda}} dt \right) dx \\ &= \int_1^\infty w^{-1-\epsilon} \left( \int_{\frac{1}{w}}^1 \frac{-(\ln(t))^{2m} t^{\frac{\lambda}{s}-1-\frac{\epsilon}{q}}}{1-t^{2\lambda}} dt \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_1^\infty \frac{-(\ln(t))^{2m} t^{\frac{\lambda}{s}-1-\frac{\epsilon}{q}}}{1-t^{2\lambda}} dt \right) dw \\ &= \int_0^1 \left( \int_{\frac{1}{t}}^\infty w^{-1-\epsilon} dw \right) \frac{-(\ln(t))^{2m} t^{\frac{\lambda}{s}-1-\frac{\epsilon}{q}}}{1-t^{2\lambda}} dt \\ &\quad + \int_1^\infty \frac{-(\ln(t))^{2m} t^{\frac{\lambda}{s}-1-\frac{\epsilon}{q}}}{1-t^{2\lambda}} dt w^{-1-\epsilon} dw \\ &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \int_0^1 \frac{-(\ln(t))^{2m} t^{\frac{\lambda}{s}-1}}{1-t^{2\lambda}} dt + o_1(1) \right) \\ &\quad + \int_1^\infty \frac{-(\ln(t))^{2m} t^{\frac{\lambda}{s}-1}}{1-t^{2\lambda}} dt + o_2(1) \quad (\epsilon \rightarrow 0) \\ &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{-(\ln(t))^{2m} t^{\frac{\lambda}{s}-1}}{1-t^{2\lambda}} dt + o(1) \right) \quad (\epsilon \rightarrow 0) \\ &= \frac{K(r, s, \lambda, m)}{\epsilon} + o(1). \quad (\epsilon \rightarrow 0) \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that  $C > K(r, s, \lambda, m)$  as  $\epsilon$  tends to zero.  $\square$

By the same way, one may obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Suppose that  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $u, v$  are two strict increasing differentiable functions,  $u(0) = v(0) = 0$ ,  $u(\infty) = v(\infty) = \infty$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  and also  $f, g \geq 0$ ,*

$$0 < \int_0^\infty (u(x))^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1} (u'(x))^{1-p} f^p(x) dx < \infty,$$

and

$$0 < \int_0^\infty (v(y))^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})-1} (v'(y))^{1-q} g^q(y) dy < \infty.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\left(\ln\left(\frac{u(x)}{v(y)}\right)\right)^{2m} v^\lambda(x) f(x) g(y)}{u^{2\lambda}(x) - v^{2\lambda}(y)} dx dy \\ < K(s, r, \lambda, m) \left( \int_0^\infty u^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1} (u')^{1-p} f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \times \left( \int_0^\infty (v(y))^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})-1} (v'(y))^{1-q} g^q(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Also the constant factor is the best possible.

**Remark 1.3.** *By the identity,*

$$K(r, s, \lambda, m) + K(s, r, \lambda, m) = C_E(r, s, \lambda, m),$$

the sum of the two best constant factors in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 is the best constant factor in inequality (4). So, two theorems mentioned above are a decomposition of inequality (4).

By similar computations, one may obtain the following two theorems:

**Theorem 1.4.** *Suppose that  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $u, v$  are two strict increasing differentiable functions,  $u(0) = v(0) = 0$ ,  $u(\infty) = v(\infty) = \infty$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  and  $f, g \geq 0$ ,*

$$0 < \int_0^\infty (u(x))^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1} (u'(x))^{1-p} f^p(x) dx < \infty,$$

and

$$0 < \int_0^\infty (v(y))^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})-1} (v'(y))^{1-q} g^q(y) dy < \infty.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\left(\ln\left(\frac{u(x)}{v(y)}\right)\right)^{2m-1} u^\lambda(x) f(x) g(y)}{u^{2\lambda}(x) - v^{2\lambda}(y)} dx dy \\ < K \left( \int_0^\infty (u(x))^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1} (u'(x))^{1-p} f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \times \left( \int_0^\infty (v(y))^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})-1} (v'(y))^{1-q} g^q(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K = K(r, s, \lambda, m) = \frac{\Gamma(2m)}{\lambda^{2m}} \left( r^{2m} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{1}{((2k+1)r+1)^{2m}} + s^{2m} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{1}{(2sk+1)^{2m}} \right).$$

Also the constant factor is the best possible.

**Theorem 1.5.** Suppose that  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $u, v$  are two strict increasing differentiable functions,  $u(0) = v(0) = 0$ ,  $u(\infty) = v(\infty) = \infty$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  and also  $f, g \geq 0$ ,

$$0 < \int_0^\infty (u(x))^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1} (u'(x))^{1-p} f^p(x) dx < \infty,$$

and

$$0 < \int_0^\infty (v(y))^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})-1} (v'(y))^{1-q} g^q(y) dy < \infty.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\left(\ln\left(\frac{u(x)}{v(y)}\right)\right)^{2m-1} v^\lambda(x) f(x) g(y)}{u^{2\lambda}(x) - v^{2\lambda}(y)} dx dy \\ < K(s, r, \lambda, m) \left( \int_0^\infty u^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1} (u')^{1-p} f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \times \left( \int_0^\infty (v(y))^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})-1} (v'(y))^{1-q} g^q(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, the constant factor is the best possible.

**Remark 1.6.** By the identity

$$K(r, s, \lambda, m) + K(s, r, \lambda, m) = C(r, s, \lambda, m),$$

sum of the best constant factors in Theorem 1.4 and 1.5 is the best constant factor in inequality (3). So the above-mentioned two theorems are a decomposition of inequality (3). Also, note that by taking  $m = 1$  in Theorems 1.4 and 1.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K(r, s, \lambda, 1) &= \frac{\Gamma(2)}{\lambda^2} \left( r^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{((2k+1)r+1)^2} + s^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2sk+1)^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4\lambda^2} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(k + \frac{r+1}{2r}\right)^2} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(k + \frac{r-1}{2r}\right)^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4\lambda^2} \left( \psi'\left(\frac{r+1}{2r}\right) + \psi'\left(\frac{r-1}{2r}\right) \right) \\ &= \left[ \frac{\pi}{2\lambda \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2s}\right)} \right]^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\psi(x) = \frac{\Gamma'(x)}{\Gamma(x)}$ , satisfies in the identity

$$\psi'(x) + \psi'(1-x) = (\pi \csc(\pi x))^2.$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K(s, r, \lambda, 1) &= \frac{\Gamma(2)}{\lambda^2} \left( s^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{((2k+1)s+1)^2} + r^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k+1)^2} \right). \\ &= \left[ \frac{\pi}{2\lambda \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2r}\right)} \right]^2. \end{aligned}$$

These correspond to the constant factors of inequalities mentioned in Theorems 2.5 and 2.6 in [2], respectively.

In the sequel, we prove a pair of Hilbert-type inequality which decompose the following inequality:

if  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $\lambda > 2 - \min\{p, q\}$ ,  $0 \leq \frac{A}{B} < 1$ ,  $A$  and  $B$  are nonnegative and  $u, v$  are two strict increasing differentiable functions,  $u(0) = v(0) = 0$ ,  $u(\infty) = v(\infty) = \infty$ , and  $f, g \geq 0$  and also

$$0 < \int_0^{\infty} (u(x))^{1-\lambda} (u'(x))^{1-p} f^p(x) dx < \infty,$$

$$0 < \int_0^\infty (v(y))^{1-\lambda} (v'(y))^{1-q} g^q(y) dy < \infty.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(A \min\{u, v\} + B \max\{u, v\})^\lambda} dx dy \\ < K \left( \int_0^\infty u^{1-\lambda} (u')^{1-p} f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \times \left( \int_0^\infty (v(y))^{1-\lambda} (v'(y))^{1-q} g^q(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Psi(p, q, \lambda) = \beta(1, \phi_p(\lambda))F(\lambda, \phi_p(\lambda); 1 + \phi_p(\lambda); \frac{-A}{B}) + \beta(1, \phi_q(\lambda))F(\lambda, \phi_q(\lambda); 1 + \phi_q(\lambda); \frac{-A}{B}),$$

such that  $\phi_r(\lambda) = 1 - \frac{2-\lambda}{r}$  and  $F(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; z)$  denotes the hypergeometric function defined by

$$F(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; z) = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\gamma-\beta)} \int_0^1 t^{\beta-1} (1-t)^{\gamma-\beta-1} (1-zt)^{-\alpha} dt,$$

providing  $\gamma > \beta > 0$  and  $|z| < 1$ . The constant factor is the best possible(cf. [2] Theorem 2.9).

**Theorem 1.7.** *Suppose that  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $\lambda > 2 - \min\{p, q\}$ ,  $0 \leq \frac{A}{B} < 1$ ,  $A$  and  $B$  are nonnegative and  $u, v$  are two strict increasing differentiable functions,  $u(0) = v(0) = 0$ ,  $u(\infty) = v(\infty) = \infty$ , and  $f, g \geq 0$  and also*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \int_0^\infty (u(x))^{1-\lambda} (u'(x))^{1-p} f^p(x) dx < \infty, \\ 0 < \int_0^\infty (v(y))^{1-\lambda} (v'(y))^{1-q} g^q(y) dy < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\min\{u, v\} f(x)g(y)}{(A \min\{u, v\} + B \max\{u, v\})^{\lambda+1}} dx dy < B^{-(\lambda+1)} \Psi_1(p, q, \lambda) \\ \times \left( \int_0^\infty (u(x))^{1-\lambda} (u'(x))^{1-p} f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \times \left( \int_0^\infty (v(y))^{1-\lambda} (v'(y))^{1-q} g^q(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_1(p, q, \lambda) &= \beta(1, 1 + \phi_q(\lambda))F\left(\lambda + 1, 1 + \phi_q(\lambda); 2 + \phi_q(\lambda); \frac{-A}{B}\right) \\ &\quad + \beta(1, 1 + \phi_p(\lambda))F\left(\lambda + 1, 1 + \phi_p(\lambda); 2 + \phi_p(\lambda); \frac{-A}{B}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The constant factor is the best possible.

**Proof.** Let  $f(x) = F(x)(u'(x))^{\frac{1}{q}}$  and  $g(y) = G(y)(v'(y))^{\frac{1}{p}}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\min\{u(x) + v(y)\}f(x)g(y)}{(A \min\{u, v\} + B \max\{u, v\})^{\lambda+1}} dx dy \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\min^{\frac{1}{p}}\{u, v\}F(x)(v')^{\frac{1}{p}}}{(A \min\{u, v\} + B \max\{u, v\})^{\frac{\lambda+1}{p}}} \left(\frac{u}{v}\right)^s \\ &\quad \times \frac{\min^{\frac{1}{q}}\{u, v\}G(y)(u')^{\frac{1}{q}}}{(A \min\{u, v\} + B \max\{u, v\})^{\frac{\lambda+1}{q}}} \left(\frac{v}{u}\right)^s dx dy \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\min\{u, v\}F^p(x)v'\left(\frac{u}{v}\right)^{sp}}{(A \min\{u, v\} + B \max\{u, v\})^{\lambda+1}} dx dy\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\min\{u, v\}G^q(y)u'\left(\frac{v}{u}\right)^{sq}}{(A \min\{u, v\} + B \max\{u, v\})^{\lambda+1}} dx dy\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= M^{\frac{1}{p}}N^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\min\{1, \frac{v}{u}\}F^p(x)v'\left(\frac{u}{v}\right)^{sp}}{u^\lambda(A \min\{1, \frac{v}{u}\} + B \max\{1, \frac{v}{u}\})^{\lambda+1}} dx dy \\ &= \int_0^\infty u^{1-\lambda}F^p(x) \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{\min\{1, t\}t^{-sp}}{(A \min\{1, t\} + B \max\{1, t\})^{\lambda+1}} dt\right) dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty u^{1-\lambda}F^p(x) \left(\int_0^1 \frac{t^{1-sp}}{(At + B)^{\lambda+1}} dt + \int_1^\infty \frac{t^{-sp}}{(A + Bt)^{\lambda+1}} dt\right) \\ &= B^{-(\lambda+1)} \left(\beta(1, 2 - sp)\right)F\left(\lambda + 1, 2 - sp; 3 - sp; -\frac{A}{B}\right) \\ &\quad + \beta(1, \lambda + sp)F\left(\lambda + 1, \lambda + sp; \lambda + sp + 1; -\frac{A}{B}\right) \left(\int_0^\infty u^{1-\lambda}F^p(x) dx\right), \end{aligned}$$

and,

$$N = B^{-(\lambda+1)} \left( \beta(1, 2 - sq) F \left( \lambda + 1, 2 - sq; 3 - sq; -\frac{A}{B} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \beta(1, \lambda + sq) F \left( \lambda + 1, \lambda + sq; \lambda + sq + 1; -\frac{A}{B} \right) \right) \left( \int_0^\infty v^{1-\lambda} G^q(y) dy \right).$$

Now one may find that the factor in the right-hand side attains its minimum at  $s = \frac{2-\lambda}{pq}$ . This completes the proof.

If inequality in Theorem 1.7 takes the form of equality, then there exist constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  such that  $c_1^2 + c_2^2 \neq 0$  and

$$c_1 f^p(x) (u'(x))^{-p} (u(x))^{2-\lambda} = c_2 g^q(y) (v'(y))^{-q} (v(y))^{2-\lambda} \\ = c \text{ a.e. in } (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty),$$

where  $c$  is constant. Without loss of generality, suppose that  $c_1 \neq 0$ . One has

$$f^p(x) = \frac{c}{c_1} (u'(x))^p (u(x))^{\lambda-2} \text{ a.e. in } (0, \infty).$$

Now, we have

$$\int_0^\infty (u(x))^{1-\lambda} (u'(x))^{1-p} f^p(x) dx = \frac{c}{c_1} \int_0^\infty \frac{du}{u},$$

which is in contradiction with

$$0 < \int_0^\infty (u(x))^{1-\lambda} (u'(x))^{1-p} f^p(x) dx < \infty.$$

If the constant factor is not the best possible, then there is a positive number  $K$  with

$$K < B^{-(\lambda+1)} \Psi_1(p, q, \lambda),$$

such that

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\min\{u, v\} f(x) g(y)}{(A \min\{u, v\} + B \max\{u, v\})^{\lambda+1}} dx dy \\ < K \left( \int_0^\infty (u(x))^{1-\lambda} (u'(x))^{1-p} f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \times \left( \int_0^\infty (v(y))^{1-\lambda} (v'(y))^{1-q} g^q(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Assume that

$$f_\epsilon(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \leq x < u^{-1}(1) \\ (u(x))^{-\frac{2+\epsilon-\lambda}{p}} u'(x) & x \geq u^{-1}(1), \end{cases}$$

and

$$g_\epsilon(y) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \leq y < v^{-1}(1) \\ (v(y))^{-\frac{2+\epsilon-\lambda}{q}} v'(y) & y \geq v^{-1}(1), \end{cases}$$

where  $0 < \epsilon < \lambda + q - 2$ . One can show that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty (u(x))^{1-\lambda} (u'(x))^{1-p} f_\epsilon^p(x) dx &= \int_0^\infty (v(y))^{1-\lambda} (v'(y))^{1-q} g_\epsilon^q(y) dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\min\{u, v\} f_\epsilon(x) g_\epsilon(y)}{(A \min\{u, v\} + B \max\{u, v\})^{\lambda+1}} dx dy \\ &> B^{-(\lambda+1)} \left( \int_{u^{-1}(1)}^\infty \left( \int_0^1 \frac{t^{\beta+1}}{(1 + \frac{A}{B}t)^{\lambda+1}} dt \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \int_0^{\frac{1}{u(x)}} t^{\beta+1} dt + \int_0^1 \frac{t^{-1-\beta+\lambda}}{(1 + \frac{A}{B}t)^{\lambda+1}} dt \right) u^{-1-\epsilon} u' dx \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( B^{-(\lambda+1)} \Psi(p, q, \lambda) + o(1) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{B^{-(\lambda+1)}}{(\beta+2)(\epsilon+\beta-2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha = \frac{\lambda-2-\epsilon}{p}$  and  $\beta = \frac{\lambda-2-\epsilon}{q}$ . By tending  $\epsilon$  to zero, a contradiction is obtained.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.8.** *Suppose that  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $\lambda > 2 - \min\{p, q\}$ ,  $0 \leq \frac{A}{B} < 1$ ,  $A$  and  $B$  are nonnegative and  $u, v$  are two strict increasing differentiable functions,  $u(0) = v(0) = 0$ ,  $u(\infty) = v(\infty) = \infty$ , and  $f, g \geq 0$  and also*

$$0 < \int_0^\infty (u(x))^{1-\lambda} (u'(x))^{1-p} f^p(x) dx < \infty,$$

$$0 < \int_0^\infty (v(y))^{1-\lambda} (v'(y))^{1-q} g^q(y) dy < \infty.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\max\{u, v\} f(x) g(y)}{(A \min\{u, v\} + B \max\{u, v\})^{\lambda+1}} dx dy \\ < B^{-(\lambda+1)} \Psi_2(p, q, \lambda) \left( \int_0^\infty u^{1-\lambda} (u')^{1-p} f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \times \left( \int_0^\infty (v(y))^{1-\lambda} (v'(y))^{1-q} g^q(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_2(p, q, \lambda) = \beta(1, \phi_q(\lambda)) F(\lambda+1, \phi_q(\lambda); 1+\phi_q(\lambda); \\ \frac{-A}{B}) + \beta(1, \phi_p(\lambda)) F(\lambda+1, \phi_p(\lambda); 1+\phi_p(\lambda); \frac{-A}{B}). \end{aligned}$$

The constant factor is the best possible.

**Remark 1.9.** *By the identity*

$$F(a+1, b+1; c+1; z) = \frac{c}{bz} \left( F(a+1, b; c; z) - F(a, b; c; z) \right),$$

one may prove that

$$B^{-(\lambda+1)} \left( A \Psi_1(p, q, \lambda) + B \Psi_2(p, q, \lambda) \right) = B^{-\lambda} \Psi(p, q, \lambda).$$

So, Theorems 1.7 and 1.8 give a decomposition of inequality (5).

### 1.1 Some infinite decompositions

In 1934, Hardy et al. [1] published the following statement.

**Theorem 1.1.1.** *Suppose that  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $K(x, y)$  is a non-negative homogeneous function of degree  $-1$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+^2$ . If  $f(x), g(y) \geq 0$ ,  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $k = \int_0^\infty K(u, 1)u^{-\frac{1}{p}} du$  is finite. Then, we have  $k = \int_0^\infty K(1, u)u^{-\frac{1}{q}} du$  and*

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty K(x, y)f(x)g(y)dx dy < k \left\{ \int_0^\infty f^p(x)dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_0^\infty g^q(y)dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \tag{6}$$

$$\int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^\infty K(x, y)f(x)dx \right)^p dy < k^p \int_0^\infty f^p(x)dx. \tag{7}$$

The constant factor  $k$  is the best possible.

In special case, by taking  $K(x, y) = \frac{\ln(\frac{x}{y})}{x-y}$  they proved the following two pairs of equivalent inequalities: If  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  and  $f, g \geq 0$  such that

$$0 < \int_0^\infty f^p(x) dx < \infty,$$

$$0 < \int_0^\infty g^q(y) dy < \infty,$$

then

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\ln(\frac{x}{y})f(x)g(y)}{x-y} dx dy < \left[ \frac{\pi}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{p})} \right]^2 \left( \int_0^\infty f^p(x)dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \times \left( \int_0^\infty g^q(y)dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \tag{8}$$

$$\int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{\ln(\frac{x}{y})}{x-y} f(x)dx \right)^p dy \leq k^p \int_0^\infty f^p(x)dx. \tag{9}$$

Now, by the above theorem, some infinite decompositions of some Hardy-Hilbert's type inequalities are given.

**Theorem 1.1.2.** *Suppose that  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  and  $f, g \geq 0$  such that*

$$0 < \int_0^\infty f^p(x) dx < \infty,$$

$$0 < \int_0^\infty g^q(y) dy < \infty.$$

Then

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty K_n(x, y) f(x) g(y) dx dy < k_n \left( \int_0^\infty f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \times \left( \int_0^\infty g^q(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (10)$$

where

$$K_n(x, y) = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) \min^n\{x, y\}}{\operatorname{sgn}(x - y) \max^{n+1}\{x, y\}},$$

$$k_n = \frac{1}{\left(n + \frac{1}{p}\right)^2} + \frac{1}{\left(n + \frac{1}{q}\right)^2}.$$

**Proof.**  $K_n$  is a non-negative homogeneous function of degree -1 in  $\mathbb{R}_+^2$  and so by Theorem 1.1.1, we have

$$k_n = \int_0^\infty K_n(x, 1) x^{-\frac{1}{p}} dx = \frac{1}{\left(n + \frac{1}{p}\right)^2} + \frac{1}{\left(n + \frac{1}{q}\right)^2}.$$

Also, the constant factors  $k_n$  are the best possible.  $\square$

**Remark 1.1.3.** *Note that*

$$\sum_{n=0}^\infty k_n = \psi'\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) + \psi'\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) = \left[ \frac{\pi}{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{p}\right)} \right]^2.$$

So, inequalities (10) decompose infinitely inequality (8).

**Theorem 1.1.4.** *Suppose  $f, g \geq 0$  such that*

$$0 < \int_0^\infty f^2(x) dx < \infty,$$

$$0 < \int_0^{\infty} g^2(y) dy < \infty.$$

Then

$$\int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} K_n(x, y) f(x) g(y) dx dy < k_n \left( \int_0^{\infty} f^2(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \left( \int_0^{\infty} g^2(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (11)$$

where

$$K_n(x, y) = \frac{(-1)^n \min^n \{x, y\}}{\max^{n+1} \{x, y\}},$$

$$k_n = \frac{(-4)^n}{2n + 1}.$$

**Proof.** Applying Theorem 1.1.1 completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 1.1.5.** Note that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-4)^n}{2n + 1} = \pi.$$

So, inequalities (11) decompose infinitely inequality (1).

In 2002, Yang [6] gave a generalization of inequality (1) by introducing a parameter  $\lambda > 0$  as:

$$\int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x^\lambda + y^\lambda} dx dy < \frac{\pi}{\lambda \sin(\frac{\pi}{p})} \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} t^{(p-1)(1-\lambda)} f^p(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \times \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} t^{(q-1)(1-\lambda)} g^q(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (12)$$

where the constant factor  $\frac{\pi}{\lambda \sin(\frac{\pi}{p})}$  is the best possible.

In the following, infinite decomposition of the above inequality is given.

**Theorem 1.1.6.** *Suppose that  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  and  $f, g \geq 0$  such that*

$$0 < \int_0^\infty x^{(p-1)(1-\lambda)} f^p(x) dx < \infty,$$

$$0 < \int_0^\infty y^{(q-1)(1-\lambda)} g^q(y) dy < \infty.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty K_n(x, y) f(x) g(y) dx dy &< k_n \left( \int_0^\infty x^{(p-1)(1-\lambda)} f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\times \left( \int_0^\infty y^{(q-1)(1-\lambda)} g^q(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where

$$K_n(x, y) = \frac{(-1)^n \min^n \{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}}{\max^{n+1} \{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}},$$

$$k_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{\lambda} \left[ \frac{1}{n + \frac{1}{p}} + \frac{1}{n + \frac{1}{q}} \right].$$

The constant factors  $k_n$  are the best possible.

**Proof.** By applying the Holder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty K_n(x, y) f(x) g(y) dx dy &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \left\{ K_n^{\frac{1}{p}}(x, y) \frac{x^{\frac{(1-\lambda)}{q}}}{y^{\frac{(1-\lambda)}{p}}} f(x) \right\} \\ &\times \left\{ K_n^{\frac{1}{q}}(x, y) \frac{y^{\frac{(1-\lambda)}{p}}}{x^{\frac{(1-\lambda)}{q}}} g(y) \right\} dx dy \\ &\leq M^{\frac{1}{p}} N^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned}$$

where, by taking  $y = xu^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \frac{(-1)^n}{\lambda} \int_0^\infty \left\{ \int_0^\infty \frac{\min^n\{1, u\}}{\max^{n+1}\{1, u\}} u^{-\frac{1}{q}} du \right\} x^{(p-1)(1-\lambda)} f^p(x) dx \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n}{\lambda} \left[ \frac{1}{n + \frac{1}{p}} + \frac{1}{n + \frac{1}{q}} \right] \int_0^\infty x^{(p-1)(1-\lambda)} f^p(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one may obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \frac{(-1)^n}{\lambda} \int_0^\infty \left\{ \int_0^\infty \frac{\min^n\{1, u\}}{\max^{n+1}\{1, u\}} u^{-\frac{1}{p}} du \right\} x^{(q-1)(1-\lambda)} g^q(y) dy \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n}{\lambda} \left[ \frac{1}{n + \frac{1}{p}} + \frac{1}{n + \frac{1}{q}} \right] \int_0^\infty y^{(q-1)(1-\lambda)} g^q(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

If (13) takes the form of equality, then there exist constants  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $A^2 + B^2 \neq 0$  and

$$Ax^{(p-1)(1-\lambda)} f^p(x) = By^{(q-1)(1-\lambda)} g^q(y) = c \quad (a.e).$$

In the case  $A \neq 0$ , we have

$$\int_0^\infty x^{(p-1)(1-\lambda)} f^p(x) dx = \int_0^\infty \frac{c}{A} dx = \infty,$$

which is a contradiction.

If the constant factor  $k_n$  is not the best possible, then there exist a positive constant  $C$  (with  $C < k_n$ ) and  $a > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^\infty \int_a^\infty K_n(x, y) f(x) g(y) dx dy &< C \left( \int_a^\infty x^{(p-1)(1-\lambda)} f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\times \left( \int_a^\infty y^{(q-1)(1-\lambda)} g^q(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

For  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough ( $\varepsilon < \lambda(p-1)$ ) and  $0 < b < a$ , setting  $f_\varepsilon$  and  $g_\varepsilon$  as:

$$\begin{aligned} f_\varepsilon(x) &= g_\varepsilon(x) = 0, \quad x \in (0, b); \\ f_\varepsilon(x) &= x^{-1-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}+\frac{\lambda}{q}}, \quad g_\varepsilon(x) = x^{-1-\frac{\varepsilon}{q}+\frac{\lambda}{p}}, \quad x \in [b, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\int_a^\infty \int_b^\infty K_n(x, y) f_\varepsilon(x) g_\varepsilon(y) dx dy = \int_a^\infty \int_b^\infty K_n(x, y) x^{-1-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}+\frac{\lambda}{q}} y^{-1-\frac{\varepsilon}{q}+\frac{\lambda}{p}} dx dy.$$

Let  $b \rightarrow 0^+$ , by (14) and taking  $y = xu^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}$ , we have

$$\int_a^\infty \int_0^\infty K_n(x, y) f_\varepsilon(x) g_\varepsilon(y) dx dy = \frac{1}{\lambda \varepsilon a} \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{(-1)^n \min^n\{1, u\}}{\max^{n+1}\{1, u\}} u^{-\frac{1}{q}(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda})} du \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \right).$$

For  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ , we have  $k_n \leq C$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.1.7.** *Note that*

$$\sum_{n=0}^\infty k_n = \frac{1}{\lambda} [pF(1, \frac{1}{p}, 1+\frac{1}{p}; -1) + qF(1, \frac{1}{q}, 1+\frac{1}{q}; -1)] = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[ \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{x^{\frac{1}{q}}(x+1)} + \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{x^{\frac{1}{p}}(x+1)} \right].$$

By taking  $x = \frac{1}{t}$  in the second integral, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^\infty k_n = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[ \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{x^{\frac{1}{q}}(x+1)} + \int_1^\infty \frac{dx}{x^{\frac{1}{q}}(x+1)} \right] = \frac{\pi}{\lambda \sin(\frac{\pi}{p})}.$$

This means that inequalities (13) infinitely decompose (12).

Yang [7] gave an extension of the Hardy-Hilbert's inequality as: Suppose that  $\lambda > 2 - \min\{p, q\}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y)^\lambda} dx dy &< \beta\left(\frac{p+\lambda-2}{p}, \frac{q+\lambda-2}{q}\right) \\ &\times \left\{ \int_0^\infty x^{1-\lambda} f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\times \left\{ \int_0^\infty y^{1-\lambda} g^q(y) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

By the above methods, one may prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.1.8.** *suppose that  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  and  $f, g \geq 0$  such that*

$$0 < \int_0^\infty x^{1-\lambda} f^p(x) dx < \infty,$$

$$0 < \int_0^\infty y^{1-\lambda} g^q(y) dy < \infty.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty K_n(x, y) dx dy &< k_n \times \left\{ \int_0^\infty x^{1-\lambda} f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\times \left\{ \int_0^\infty y^{1-\lambda} g^q(y) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where,

$$K_n(x, y) = \frac{(-1)^n \min^n \{x, y\}}{\max^{n+1} \{x, y\} (x+y)^{\lambda-1}},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} k_n &= (-1)^n \left[ \frac{1}{n+1 - \frac{2-\lambda}{q}} F\left(\lambda-1, n+1 - \frac{2-\lambda}{q}; n+2 - \frac{2-\lambda}{q}; -1\right) \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{1}{n-1 + \lambda + \frac{2-\lambda}{q}} F\left(\lambda-1, n-1 + \lambda + \frac{2-\lambda}{q}; n + \lambda + \frac{2-\lambda}{q}; -1\right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 1.1.9.** *Note that*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^\infty k_n &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty (-1)^n \left[ \int_0^1 \frac{x^{n-\frac{2-\lambda}{q}}}{(x+1)^{\lambda-1}} dx + \int_1^\infty \frac{x^{-n-1-\frac{2-\lambda}{q}}}{(x+1)^{\lambda-1}} dx \right] \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \sum_{n=0}^\infty (-1)^n \left[ \int_0^{1-\varepsilon} \frac{x^{n-\frac{2-\lambda}{q}}}{(x+1)^{\lambda-1}} dx + \int_{1-\varepsilon}^\infty \frac{x^{-n-1-\frac{2-\lambda}{q}}}{(x+1)^{\lambda-1}} dx \right] \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left[ \int_0^{1-\varepsilon} \sum_{n=0}^\infty (-1)^n \frac{x^{n-\frac{2-\lambda}{q}}}{(x+1)^{\lambda-1}} dx + \int_{1-\varepsilon}^\infty \sum_{n=0}^\infty (-1)^n \frac{x^{-n-1-\frac{2-\lambda}{q}}}{(x+1)^{\lambda-1}} dx \right] \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{\frac{\lambda-2}{q}}}{(x+1)^\lambda} dx = \beta\left(\frac{p+\lambda-2}{p}, \frac{q+\lambda-2}{q}\right). \end{aligned}$$

In other words, inequalities (16) infinitely decompose (15).

Note that by taking  $\lambda = 2$ ,  $p = q = 2$ , we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2(-1)^n}{n+1} F(1, n+1; n+2; -1) = \beta(1, 1) = 1.$$

Also, we have  $F(1, n; n+1; -1) = 1 - na_n$ , where  $a_n = \int_0^1 \frac{x^n}{x+1} dx$ . One may prove that  $a_n + a_{n-1} = \frac{1}{n}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  and  $a_0 = \ln 2$ ,  $a_1 = 1 - \ln 2$ . Hence, we have

$$a_n = (-1)^{n-1} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \dots + \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} \right] - \ln 2.$$

This implies that

$$F(1, n+1; n+2; -1) = (n+1) \left[ (-1)^{n-1} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \dots + \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} \right) - \ln 2 \right].$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= \int_0^1 \frac{x^n}{x+1} dx = \int_0^1 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m x^{m+n} dx \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{n+m+1}. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} F(1, n+1; n+2; -1) &= 1 - \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m (n+1)}{n+m+2} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m (n+1)}{n+m+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m+n}}{m+n+1} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

## 1.2 Decomposition of the Hardy-Hilbert's operator

The Hilbert's integral operator is defined as:  $T : L^2(\mathbb{R}^+) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ , for any  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ , there exists a function  $h = Tf \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$  satisfying

$$h(y) = (Tf)(y) = \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{x+y} dx, \quad y \in (0, \infty).$$

For any  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ , an inner product of  $Tf$  and  $g$  is defined as follows:

$$(Tf, g) = \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{x+y} dx \right) g(y) dy = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x+y} dx dy.$$

Setting the norm of  $f$  as  $\|f\|_2 = \left\{ \int_0^\infty f^2(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , if  $\|f\|_2, \|g\|_2 > 0$ , then the Hardy-Hilbert's inequality may be rewritten as

$$(Tf, g) < \pi \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2.$$

It follow that  $\|T\| = \pi$ .

Similarly, the Hardy-Hilbert's integral operator  $T_p : L^p(\mathbb{R}^+) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ , is defined as

$$(T_p f)(y) = \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{x+y} dx, \quad y \in (0, \infty).$$

Also, for any non-negative  $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^+)$ , the formal inner product of  $T_p f$  and  $g$  is defined as follows;

$$(T_p f, g) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x+y} dx dy.$$

Suppose that  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $L^r(\mathbb{R}^+)$  ( $r = p, q$ ) are real normed linear spaces and  $k(x, y)$  is a non-negative symmetric measurable function in  $\mathbb{R}_+^2$  satisfying

$$\int_0^\infty k(x, t) \left( \frac{x}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} dt = k_0(r) \in \mathbb{R} \quad (x > 0).$$

Yang ([6]) defined an integral operator as  $T : L^r(\mathbb{R}^+) \rightarrow L^r(\mathbb{R}^+)$  ( $r = p, q$ ), for any  $f(\geq 0) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ , there exists  $h = Tf \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ , such that

$$(Tf)(y) = h(y) := \int_0^\infty k(x, y) f(x) dx \quad (y > 0).$$

Or, for any  $g(\geq 0) \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^+)$ , there exists  $\tilde{h} = Tg \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^+)$ , such that

$$(Tg)(x) = \tilde{h}(x) := \int_0^\infty k(x, y)g(y)dy \quad (x > 0).$$

Yang proved that the operator  $T$  is bounded with  $\|T\| \leq k_0(p)$ . He proved that if  $\varepsilon > 0$ , is small enough and the integral  $\int_0^\infty k(x, t)\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{r}} dt$  ( $r = p, q; x > 0$ ) is convergent to a constant  $k_\varepsilon(p)$  independent of  $x$  satisfying  $k_\varepsilon(p) = k_0(p) + o(1)(\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+)$ , then  $\|T\| = k_0(p)$ . If  $\|T\| > 0$ ,  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $\|f\|_p, \|g\|_q > 0$ , then

$$(Tf, g) < \|T\| \|f\|_p \|g\|_q.$$

By the above notations, taking

$$K_1(x, y) = \frac{\left(\ln\left(\frac{u(x)}{v(y)}\right)\right)^{2m} u^\lambda(x)}{u^{2\lambda}(x) - v^{2\lambda}(y)},$$

$$K_2(x, y) = \frac{\left(\ln\left(\frac{u(x)}{v(y)}\right)\right)^{2m} v^\lambda(y)}{u^{2\lambda}(x) - v^{2\lambda}(y)},$$

$$K(x, y) = K_1(x, y) + K_2(x, y),$$

and  $T_M : L^p(\mathbb{R}^+) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$  ( $M = K, K_1, K_2$ ), for any  $f(\geq 0) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ ,

$$(T_M f)(y) = \int_0^\infty M(x, y)f(x)dx,$$

we have  $T_K = T_{K_1} + T_{K_2}$  and by Theorems 1.1 and 1.2,

$$\|T_K\| = \|T_{K_1}\| + \|T_{K_2}\|.$$

Note that a Banach space  $(V, \|\cdot\|)$  is strictly convex if and only if  $x \neq 0$  and  $y \neq 0$  and  $\|x + y\| = \|x\| + \|y\|$  together imply that  $x = cy$  for some constant  $c > 0$ . So, by the above decomposition, the space of bounded linear operators is not strictly convex.

By taking

$$K(x, y) = \frac{1}{x^\lambda + y^\lambda},$$

$$K_n(x, y) = \frac{(-1)^n \min^n \{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}}{\max^{n+1} \{x^\lambda, y^\lambda\}},$$

we have  $T_K = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_{K_n}$  and Remark 1.1.7 says that

$$\|T_K\| = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|T_{K_n}\|.$$

### Acknowledgements:

The author was supported by the Kosar University of Bojnord with the grant number 9701071620.

## References

- [1] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Polya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [2] R. Lashkaripour and A. R. Moazzen, On a decomposition of Hardy-Hilbert's type inequalities, *Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society*, 38 (1) (2012), 101-112.
- [3] D. S. Mitrinović, *Analytic Inequalities*, Springer Verlag, 1970.
- [4] D. Xin, Best generalization of Hardy-Hilbert's inequality with multi-parameters, *J. Inequal. in Pure and Appl. Math.*, 7 (4) (2006), 1-8.
- [5] B. Yang, On a decomposition of Hilbert's inequality, *J. Inequal. in Pure and Appl. Math.*, 10 (1) (2009), 1-18.
- [6] B. Yang and L. Debnath, *Half-discrete Hilbert-type Inequalities*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., 2014.
- [7] B. Yang, On Hardy-Hilbert's integral inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 261 (2001), 295-306.

### Alireza Moazzen

Assistant Professor of Mathematics  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Kosar University of Bojnord  
 Bojnord, Iran  
 E-mail: ar.moazzen@yahoo.com