

Bi-Concave Functions Involving a Differential Operator

Ş. Altınkaya*
Uludag University

S. Yalçın
Uludag University

Abstract. The purpose of the present paper is to introduce a class $D_{\lambda, \delta}^{k, \alpha} C_0(\beta)$ of bi-concave functions defined by a differential operator. We find estimates on the Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for functions in this class. Several consequences of these results are also pointed out in the form of corollaries.

AMS Subject Classification: 30C45; 30C80

Keywords and Phrases: Bi-concave functions, differential operator, coefficient estimates

1. Introduction

Let A indicate an analytic function family, which is $z \in C$ (C =complex numbers) normalized under the condition of $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$ in $\Delta = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$ and given by the following Taylor-Maclaurin series:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n. \quad (1)$$

Further, by S we shall denote the class of all functions in A which are univalent in Δ .

Received: September 2017; Accepted: April 2018

*Corresponding author

It is well known that every function $f \in S$ has an inverse f^{-1} , satisfying $f^{-1}(f(z)) = z$, ($z \in \Delta$) and $f(f^{-1}(w)) = w$, ($|w| < r_0(f)$; $r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}$), where

$$f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3) w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4) w^4 + \dots,$$

(for details, see Duren [16]). A function $f \in A$ is said to be bi-univalent in Δ if both f and f^{-1} are univalent in Δ . Let Σ stand for the class of bi-univalent functions defined in the unit disk Δ . For a brief history of functions in the class, see [27] Srivastava 2010 (see also [11, 12, 20, 22]). More recently, Srivastava *et al.* [27], Altinkaya and Yalcin [3] made an effort to introduce various subclasses of the bi-univalent function class Σ and found non-sharp coefficient estimates on the initial coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ (see also [7], [17]). But determination of the bounds for the coefficients

$$|a_n|, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\}; \quad \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\},$$

is still an open problem. In the literature, there are only a few works determining the general coefficient bounds $|a_n|$ for the analytic bi-univalent functions (see, for Example [4, 18, 29]).

The study of operators plays an important role in the Geometric Function Theory and its related fields. It is observed that this formalism brings an ease in further mathematical exploration and also helps to understand the geometric properties of such operators better (see, for example [2, 13, 19] and [21]). Recently, Darus and Ibrahim [15] introduced a differential operator

$$D_{\lambda, \delta}^{k, \alpha} : A \rightarrow A,$$

by

$$D_{\lambda, \delta}^{k, \alpha} f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n^\alpha + (n-1)n^\alpha \lambda]^k \binom{n+\delta-1}{\delta} a_n z^n,$$

where $z \in \Delta$ and $k, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $\lambda, \delta \geq 0$.

It should be remarked that the operator $D_{\lambda, \delta}^{k, \alpha}$ is a generalization of many other linear operators studied by earlier researchers. Namely:

- for $\alpha = 1, \lambda = 0; \delta = 0$ or $\alpha = \delta = 0; \lambda = 1$, the operator $D_{0,0}^{k,1} \equiv D_{1,0}^{k,0} \equiv D^k$ is the popular Salagean operator [26],
- for $\alpha = 0, \delta = 0$, the operator $D_{\lambda,0}^{k,0} \equiv D_{\lambda}^k$ has been studied by Al-Oboudi (see [1]),
- for $\alpha = 0$, the operator $D_{\lambda,\delta}^{k,0} \equiv D_{\lambda,\delta}^k$ has been studied by Darus and Ibrahim (see [15]),
- for $k = 0$, the operator $D_{\lambda,\delta}^{k,\alpha} \equiv D^{\delta}$ has been studied by Ruscheweyh (see [24]).

2. Preliminaries

Conformal maps of the unit disk onto convex domains is a classical topic. Recently Avkhadiev and Wirths [8] discovered that conformal maps onto concave domains (the complements of convex closed sets) have some novel properties.

A function $f : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is said to belong to the family $C_0(\beta)$ if f satisfies the following conditions:

- f is analytic in Δ with the standard normalization $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$. In addition it satisfies $f(1) = \infty$.
- f maps Δ conformally onto a set whose complement with respect to \mathbb{C} is convex.
- The opening angle of $f(\Delta)$ at ∞ is less than or equal to $\pi\beta, \beta \in (1, 2]$.

The class $C_0(\beta)$ is referred to as the class of concave univalent functions and for a detailed discussion about concave functions, we refer to Avkhadiev et al.[9], Cruz and Pommerenke [14] and references there in.

In particular, the inequality

$$\Re \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) < 0, \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

is used - sometimes also as a definition - for concave functions $f \in C_{0o}$ (see e.g. [23] and others).

Bhowmik et al. [10] showed that an analytic function f maps Δ onto a concave domain of angle $\pi\beta$, if and only if $\Re(P_f(z)) > 0$, where

$$P_f(z) = \frac{2}{\beta - 1} \left[\frac{\beta + 1}{2} \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} - 1 - z \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right].$$

There has been a number of investigations on basic subclasses of concave univalent functions (see, for example [5, 6] and [25]).

Let us recall now the following definition required in sequel.

Definition 2.1. (see [28]) *Let the functions $h, p : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be so constrained that*

$$\min \{ \Re (h (z)), \Re (p (z)) \} > 0,$$

and

$$h (0) = p (0) = 1.$$

Motivated by each of the above definitions, we now define a new subclass of bi-concave analytic functions involving $D_{\lambda, \delta}^{k, \alpha}$ differential operator.

Definition 2.2. *A function $f \in \Sigma$ given by (1) is said to be in the class*

$$D_{\lambda, \delta}^{k, \alpha} C_0(\beta) \quad (k, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}; \lambda, \delta \geq 0; \beta \in (1, 2]; z, w \in \Delta)$$

if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\frac{2}{\beta - 1} \left[\frac{\beta + 1}{2} \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} - 1 - z \frac{[D_{\lambda, \delta}^{k, \alpha} f(z)]''}{[D_{\lambda, \delta}^{k, \alpha} f(z)]'} \right] \in h(\Delta), \tag{2}$$

and

$$\frac{2}{\beta - 1} \left[\frac{\beta + 1}{2} \frac{1 - w}{1 + w} - 1 - w \frac{[D_{\lambda, \delta}^{k, \alpha} g(w)]''}{[D_{\lambda, \delta}^{k, \alpha} g(w)]'} \right] \in p(\Delta), \tag{3}$$

where $g(w) = f^{-1}(w)$.

3. Main Results and Their Consequences

We begin by finding the estimates on the coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for functions in the class $D_{\lambda,\delta}^{k,\alpha}C_0(\beta)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let f given by (1) be in the class $D_{\lambda,\delta}^{k,\alpha}C_0(\beta)$. Then*

$$|a_2| \leq \min \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{(\beta+1)^2}{4[2^\alpha(1+\lambda)]^{2k}(1+\delta)^2} + \frac{(\beta-1)^2(|h'(0)|^2+|p'(0)|^2)}{32[2^\alpha(1+\lambda)]^{2k}(1+\delta)^2} + \frac{(\beta^2-1)(|h'(0)|+|p'(0)|)}{8[2^\alpha(1+\lambda)]^k(1+\delta)^2}}, \right. \\ \left. \sqrt{\frac{(\beta-1)(|h''(0)|+|p''(0)|)}{8|4[2^\alpha(1+\lambda)]^k(1+\delta)^2-3[3^\alpha(1+2\lambda)]^k(1+\delta)(2+\delta)|} + \frac{(\beta+1)}{|4[2^\alpha(1+\lambda)]^k(1+\delta)^2-3[3^\alpha(1+2\lambda)]^k(1+\delta)(2+\delta)|}} \right\} \tag{4}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{8(\beta+1)^2+(\beta-1)^2(|h'(0)|^2+|p'(0)|^2)}{32[2^\alpha(1+\lambda)]^{2k}(1+\delta)^2} + \frac{(\beta^2-1)(|h'(0)|+|p'(0)|)}{8[2^\alpha(1+\lambda)]^{2k}(1+\delta)^2} + \frac{(\beta-1)(|h''(0)|+|p''(0)|)}{24[3^\alpha(1+2\lambda)]^k(1+\delta)(2+\delta)}, \right. \\ \left. \frac{(\beta-1)(|h''(0)|+|p''(0)|)}{8|4[2^\alpha(1+\lambda)]^{2k}(1+\delta)^2-3[3^\alpha(1+2\lambda)]^k(1+\delta)(2+\delta)|} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\beta+1}{|4[2^\alpha(1+\lambda)]^{2k}(1+\delta)^2-3[3^\alpha(1+2\lambda)]^k(1+\delta)(2+\delta)|} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{(\beta-1)(|h''(0)|+|p''(0)|)}{24[3^\alpha(1+2\lambda)]^k(1+\delta)(2+\delta)} \right\}. \tag{5}$$

Proof. Let $f \in D_{\lambda,\delta}^{k,\alpha}C_0(\beta)$ and g be the analytic extension of f^{-1} to Δ . It follows from (2) and (3) that

$$\frac{2}{\beta-1} \left[\frac{\beta+1}{2} \frac{1+z}{1-z} - 1 - z \frac{\left[D_{\lambda,\delta}^{k,\alpha} f(z) \right]''}{\left[D_{\lambda,\delta}^{k,\alpha} f(z) \right]'} \right] = h(z), \tag{6}$$

and

$$\frac{2}{\beta-1} \left[\frac{\beta+1}{2} \frac{1-w}{1+w} - 1 - w \frac{\left[D_{\lambda,\delta}^{k,\alpha} g(w) \right]''}{\left[D_{\lambda,\delta}^{k,\alpha} g(w) \right]'} \right] = p(w), \tag{7}$$

where $h(z)$ and $p(w)$ satisfy the conditions of Definiton 2.1. Furthermore, the functions $h(z)$ and $p(w)$ have the following Taylor-Maclaurin series expansions:

$$h(z) = 1 + h_1z + h_2z^2 + \cdots ,$$

and

$$p(w) = 1 + p_1w + p_2w^2 + \cdots ,$$

respectively. Now, equating the coefficients in (6) and (7), we get

$$\frac{2 \left[(\beta + 1) - 2 [2^\alpha(1 + \lambda)]^k (1 + \delta)a_2 \right]}{\beta - 1} = h_1, \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{2 \left[(\beta + 1) - 3 [3^\alpha(1 + 2\lambda)]^k (1 + \delta)(2 + \delta)a_3 + 4 [2^\alpha(1 + \lambda)]^{2k} (1 + \delta)^2 a_2^2 \right]}{\beta - 1} = h_2 \quad (9)$$

and

$$-\frac{2 \left[(\beta + 1) - 2 [2^\alpha(1 + \lambda)]^k (1 + \delta)a_2 \right]}{\beta - 1} = p_1, \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{2 \left[(\beta + 1) - 3 [3^\alpha(1 + 2\lambda)]^k (1 + \delta)(2 + \delta)(2a_2^2 - a_3) + 4 [2^\alpha(1 + \lambda)]^{2k} (1 + \delta)^2 a_2^2 \right]}{\beta - 1} = p_2. \quad (11)$$

From (8) and (10), we find that

$$h_1 = -p_1. \quad (12)$$

Also, from (8), we can write

$$a_2 = \frac{\beta + 1}{2 [2^\alpha(1 + \lambda)]^k (1 + \delta)} - \frac{h_1(\beta - 1)}{4 [2^\alpha(1 + \lambda)]^k (1 + \delta)}. \quad (13)$$

Next, by using (8), (10), (12) and (13), we get

$$a_2^2 = \frac{(\beta + 1)^2}{4 [2^\alpha(1 + \lambda)]^{2k} (1 + \delta)^2} + \frac{(\beta - 1)^2 (h_1^2 + p_1^2)}{32 [2^\alpha(1 + \lambda)]^{2k} (1 + \delta)^2} - \frac{(\beta^2 - 1) (h_1 - p_1)}{8 [2^\alpha(1 + \lambda)]^{2k} (1 + \delta)^2}. \quad (14)$$

By adding (9) to (11), we get

$$a_2^2 = \frac{(\beta - 1)(h_2 + p_2)}{4 [4 [2^\alpha(1 + \lambda)]^{2k} (1 + \delta)^2 - 3 [3^\alpha(1 + 2\lambda)]^k (1 + \delta)(2 + \delta)]} - \frac{\beta + 1}{4 [2^\alpha(1 + \lambda)]^{2k} (1 + \delta)^2 - 3 [3^\alpha(1 + 2\lambda)]^k (1 + \delta)(2 + \delta)}. \quad (15)$$

Therefore, we find from the equations (14) and (15) that

$$|a_2|^2 \leq \frac{(\beta+1)^2}{4[2^\alpha(1+\lambda)]^{2k}(1+\delta)^2} + \frac{(\beta-1)^2(|h'(0)|^2+|p'(0)|^2)}{32[2^\alpha(1+\lambda)]^{2k}(1+\delta)^2} + \frac{(\beta^2-1)(|h'(0)|+|p'(0)|)}{8[2^\alpha(1+\lambda)]^{2k}(1+\delta)^2}$$

and

$$|a_2|^2 \leq \frac{(\beta-1)(|h''(0)|+|p''(0)|)}{8[4[2^\alpha(1+\lambda)]^{2k}(1+\delta)^2-3[3^\alpha(1+2\lambda)]^k(1+\delta)(2+\delta)]} + \frac{(\beta+1)}{[4[2^\alpha(1+\lambda)]^{2k}(1+\delta)^2-3[3^\alpha(1+2\lambda)]^k(1+\delta)(2+\delta)]}.$$

Similarly, subtracting (11) from (9), we have

$$a_3 = a_2^2 - \frac{(\beta-1)(h_2-p_2)}{12[3^\alpha(1+2\lambda)]^k(1+\delta)(2+\delta)}. \tag{16}$$

Then, upon substituting the value of a_2^2 from (14) and (15) into (16), it follows that

$$a_3 = \frac{(\beta+1)^2}{4[2^\alpha(1+\lambda)]^{2k}(1+\delta)^2} + \frac{(\beta-1)^2(h_1^2+p_1^2)}{32[2^\alpha(1+\lambda)]^{2k}(1+\delta)^2} - \frac{(\beta^2-1)(h_1-p_1)}{8[2^\alpha(1+\lambda)]^{2k}(1+\delta)^2} - \frac{(\beta-1)(h_2-p_2)}{12[3^\alpha(1+2\lambda)]^k(1+\delta)(2+\delta)},$$

and

$$a_3 = \frac{(\beta-1)(h_2+p_2)}{4[4[2^\alpha(1+\lambda)]^{2k}(1+\delta)^2-3[3^\alpha(1+2\lambda)]^k(1+\delta)(2+\delta)]} - \frac{\beta+1}{4[2^\alpha(1+\lambda)]^{2k}(1+\delta)^2-3[3^\alpha(1+2\lambda)]^k(1+\delta)(2+\delta)} - \frac{(\beta-1)(h_2-p_2)}{12[3^\alpha(1+2\lambda)]^k(1+\delta)(2+\delta)}.$$

Consequently, we have

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{8(\beta+1)^2+(\beta-1)^2(|h'(0)|^2+|p'(0)|^2)}{32[2^\alpha(1+\lambda)]^{2k}(1+\delta)^2} + \frac{(\beta^2-1)(|h'(0)|+|p'(0)|)}{8[2^\alpha(1+\lambda)]^{2k}(1+\delta)^2} + \frac{(\beta-1)(|h''(0)|+|p''(0)|)}{24[3^\alpha(1+2\lambda)]^k(1+\delta)(2+\delta)}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{(\beta-1)(|h''(0)|+|p''(0)|)}{8[4[2^\alpha(1+\lambda)]^{2k}(1+\delta)^2-3[3^\alpha(1+2\lambda)]^k(1+\delta)(2+\delta)]} + \frac{\beta+1}{[4[2^\alpha(1+\lambda)]^{2k}(1+\delta)^2-3[3^\alpha(1+2\lambda)]^k(1+\delta)(2+\delta)]} + \frac{(\beta-1)(|h''(0)|+|p''(0)|)}{24[3^\alpha(1+2\lambda)]^k(1+\delta)(2+\delta)}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. \square

It is easily seen that, by specializing the functions $h(z)$ and $p(z)$ involved in Theorem 3.1, several coefficient estimates can be obtained as special cases.

Corollary 3.2. *If we set*

$$h(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\gamma = 1 + 2\gamma z + 2\gamma^2 z^2 + \dots \quad (0 < \gamma \leq 1),$$

and

$$p(z) = \left(\frac{1-z}{1+z} \right)^\gamma = 1 - 2\gamma z + 2\gamma^2 z^2 + \dots \quad (0 < \gamma \leq 1),$$

then inequalities (4) and (5) become

$$|a_2| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{(\beta+1)+(\beta-1)\gamma}{2[2^\alpha(1+\lambda)]^k(1+\delta)}, \sqrt{\frac{(\beta+1)+(\beta-1)\gamma^2}{|4[2^\alpha(1+\lambda)]^{2k}(1+\delta)^2 - 3[3^\alpha(1+2\lambda)]^k(1+\delta)(2+\delta)|}} \right\},$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \min \left\{ \left(\frac{(\beta+1)+(\beta-1)\gamma}{2[2^\alpha(1+\lambda)]^k(1+\delta)} \right)^2 + \frac{(\beta-1)\gamma^2}{3[3^\alpha(1+2\lambda)]^k(1+\delta)(2+\delta)}, \right. \\ \left. \frac{(\beta+1)+(\beta-1)\gamma^2}{|4[2^\alpha(1+\lambda)]^{2k}(1+\delta)^2 - 3[3^\alpha(1+2\lambda)]^k(1+\delta)(2+\delta)|} + \frac{(\beta-1)\gamma^2}{3[3^\alpha(1+2\lambda)]^k(1+\delta)(2+\delta)} \right\}.$$

Corollary 3.3. *If we let*

$$h(z) = \frac{1 + (1-2\eta)z}{1-z} = 1 + 2(1-\eta)z + 2(1-\eta)z^2 + \dots \quad (0 \leq \eta < 1),$$

and

$$p(z) = \frac{1 - (1-2\eta)z}{1+z} = 1 - 2(1-\eta)z + 2(1-\eta)z^2 + \dots \quad (0 \leq \eta < 1),$$

then inequalities (4) and (5) become

$$|a_2| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{(\beta+1)+(\beta-1)(1-\eta)}{2[2^\alpha(1+\lambda)]^k(1+\delta)}, \sqrt{\frac{(\beta+1)+(\beta-1)(1-\eta)}{|4[2^\alpha(1+\lambda)]^{2k}(1+\delta)^2 - 3[3^\alpha(1+2\lambda)]^k(1+\delta)(2+\delta)|}} \right\},$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \min \left\{ \left(\frac{(\beta+1)+(\beta-1)(1-\eta)}{2[2^\alpha(1+\lambda)]^k(1+\delta)} \right)^2 + \frac{(\beta-1)(1-\eta)}{3[3^\alpha(1+2\lambda)]^k(1+\delta)(2+\delta)}, \right. \\ \left. \frac{(\beta+1)+(\beta-1)(1-\eta)}{|4[2^\alpha(1+\lambda)]^{2k}(1+\delta)^2-3[3^\alpha(1+2\lambda)]^k(1+\delta)(2+\delta)|} + \frac{(\beta-1)(1-\eta)}{3[3^\alpha(1+2\lambda)]^k(1+\delta)(2+\delta)} \right\}.$$

References

- [1] F. M. Al-Oboudi, On univalent functions defined by a generalized Slgcan operator, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, (2004), 1429-1436.
- [2] A. Akgül, On second-order differential subordinations for a class of analytic functions defined by convolution, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, 10 (2017), 954-963.
- [3] Ş. Altınkaya and S. Yalçın, Initial coefficient bounds for a general class of bi-univalent functions, *International Journal of Analysis*, Article ID 867871, (2014), 1-4.
- [4] Ş. Altınkaya and S. Yalçın, Coefficient bounds for a subclass of bi-univalent functions, *TWMS Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 6 (2015), 180-185.
- [5] Ş. Altınkaya and S. Yalçın, General properties of multivalent concave functions involving linear operator of Carlson-Shaffer type, *Comptes rendus de l'Academie bulgare des Sciences*, 69 (2016), 1533-1540.
- [6] H. Bayram and Ş. Altınkaya, General properties of concave functions defined by the generalized Srivastava-Attiya operator, *Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications*, 23 (2017), 408-416.
- [7] A. B. Patil and U.H. Naik, On new subclasses of bi-univalent functions associated with Al-Oboudi differential operator, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 110 (2016), 143-151.
- [8] F. G. Avkhadiev and K. J. Wirths, Convex holes produce lower bounds for coefficients, *Complex Variables, Theory and Application*, 47 (2002), 556-563.

- [9] F. G. Avkhadiev, C. Pommerenke, and K. J. Wirths, Sharp inequalities for the coefficients of concave schlicht functions, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 81 (2006), 801-807.
- [10] B. Bhowmik, S. Ponnusamy, and K. J. Wirths, Characterization and the pre-Schwarzian norm estimate for concave univalent functions, *Monatsh Math.*, 161 (2010), 59-75.
- [11] D. A. Brannan and T. S. Taha, On some classes of bi-univalent functions, *Studia Universitatis Babeş-Bolyai Mathematica*, 31 (1986), 70-77.
- [12] D. A. Brannan and J. G. Clunie, *Aspects of Contemporary Complex Analysis*, (Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Study Institute Held at University of Durham: July 1-20, 1979), New York: Academic Press, (1980).
- [13] R. Bucur, L. Andrei, and D. Breaz, Coefficient bounds and Fekete-Szegő problem for a class of analytic functions defined by using a new differential operator, *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, 9 (2015), 1355-1368.
- [14] L. Cruz and C. Pommerenke, On concave univalent functions, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.*, 52 (2007), 153-159.
- [15] M. Darus and R. W. Ibrahim, New classes containing generalization of differential operator, *Appl. Math. Sci.*, 3 (2009), 2507-2515.
- [16] S. Hajiparvaneh and A. Zireh, Coefficient estimates for subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions defined by differential operator, *Tbilisi Mathematical Journal*, 10 (2017), 91-102.
- [17] S. G. Hamidi and J. M. Jahangiri, Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for analytic bi-close-to-convex functions, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 1 (352) (2014), 17-20.
- [18] P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer, New York, USA, 259 (1983).
- [19] S. Kanas and H. M. Srivastava, Linear operators associated with k -uniformly convex functions, *Integral Transform. Spec. Funct.*, 9 (2000), 121-132.
- [20] M. Lewin, On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions, *Proceeding of the American Mathematical Society*, 18 (1967), 63-68.

- [21] G. Murugunsundaramoorthy, K. Vijaya, and N. Magesh, On applications of generalized integral operator to a subclass of analytic functions, *Acta Universitatis Apulensis*, 25 (2011), 165-176.
- [22] E. Netanyahu, The minimal distance of the image boundary from the origin and the second coefficient of a univalent function in $|z| < 1$, *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 32 (1969), 100-112.
- [23] J. Pfaltzgra and B. Pinchuk, A variational method for classes of meromorphic functions, *J. Analyse Math.*, 24 (1971), 101-150.
- [24] S. Ruscheweyh, New criteria for univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 49 (1975), 109-115.
- [25] F. M. Sakar and H. O. Guney, Coefficient estimates for bi-concave functions, *Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. A1 Math. Stat.*, 68 (2019), 53-60.
- [26] G. S. Salagean, *Subclasses of Univalent Functions*, Complex Analysis-Fifth Romanian-Finnish Seminar, Part 1 (Bucharest, 1981), Lecture Notes in Math. 1013, Springer, Berlin, (1983), 362-372.
- [27] H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Mishra, and P. Gochhayat, Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 23 (2010), 1188-1192.
- [28] Q-H. Xu, Y-C. Gui, and H. M. Srivastava, Coefficient estimates for a certain subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 25 (2012), 990-994.
- [29] A. Zireh, E. Analouei Adegani, and S. Bulut, Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for a comprehensive subclass of analytic bi-univalent functions defined by subordination, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin*, 23 (2016), 487-504.

Şahsene Altinkaya

Research Assistant of Mathematics
Department of Mathematics
Uludag University
Bursa, Turkey
E-mail: sahsenealtinkaya@gmail.com

Sibel Yalçın

Professor of Mathematics
Department of Mathematics
Uludag University
Bursa, Turkey
E-mail: syalcin@uludag.edu.tr

