

An efficient method for solving a class of nonlinear fuzzy optimization problems

Mohammad Mahdi Hoseini

Department of Applied Mathematics, Yazd University of Iran

Abbas Akrami *

Department of Applied Mathematics, Yazd University of Iran

Abstract. In this paper, a new method is presented for solving non-linear fuzzy optimization problems (NFOP) where all the coefficients of the problem are triangular fuzzy numbers. First, we convert NFOP problem to interval nonlinear programming problem (INP) by α -cuts and in general case, we determine INP based on α . Then by solving INP model the optimal solution of the main problem is obtained. To illustrate the proposed method numerical examples are solved and the obtained results are discussed.

AMS Subject Classification: MSC code1; MSC code 2; more

Keywords and Phrases: Fuzzy nonlinear optimization, Interval non-linear optimization, Fuzzy numbers, Interval numbers.

1 Introduction

In traditional optimization problems, the coefficients of the problems are evermore treated as deterministic values. However, uncertainty always exists in practical engineering problems. In order to deal with the uncertain programming, fuzzy and stochastic approaches are generally used to describe the imprecise characteristics. In stochastic programming (e.g. [3] (1959); [9] (1982); [14] (2003); [5] (2005)) the uncertain

Received: XXXX

*Corresponding Author

coefficients are regarded as random variables and their probability distributions are assumed to be known. In fuzzy programming (e.g. [22] (1986); [6] (1989); [15] (1989); [13] (2001)) the constraints and objective function are viewed as fuzzy sets and their membership functions need to be known. In these methods, the membership functions and probability distributions play important roles. However, it is sometimes difficult to specify an appropriate membership function or accurate probability distribution in an uncertain environment.

Newly, the interval analysis method was developed to model the uncertainty in uncertain optimization problems, in which the bounds of the uncertain coefficients are only required, not necessarily knowing the probability distributions or membership functions. Many researchers (Tanaka et al. (1984), Rommelfanger (1989), Chanas and Kuchta (1996a,b), Tong (1994), Liu and Da (1999), Sengupta et al. (2001), Zhang et al. (1999) and etc.) studied the linear interval number programming problems. Nevertheless, for most of the engineering problems, the objective function and constraints are nonlinear, and they are always obtained through numerical algorithms such as finite element method (FEM) instead of the explicit expressions. The reference (Ma, 2002), seems the first publication on nonlinear interval number programming (NINP). In this reference, a deterministic optimization method is used to obtain the interval of the nonlinear objective function. As a result, an effective method still have not been developed to deal with the general NINP problem in which there exit not only uncertain nonlinear objective function but also uncertain nonlinear constraints, so far.

Fuzzy set theory has been applied to many disciplines such as control theory and operation research, mathematical modeling and industrial applications. Tanaka, et al [25], first proposed the concept of fuzzy optimization on general level. Zimmerman [29] proposed the first formatting of fuzzy linear programming. An optimal solution of fuzzy nonlinear programming problems introduced by A. Kumar and J. Kaur [11] and B. Kheirfam [10]. In their works, they have taken all coefficients and decision variables to be fuzzy numbers and all the constraints to be linear. In [1, 18] authors have developed KKT conditions for solving fuzzy nonlinear programming problems with continuous and differentiable objective function and constraints.

In this paper, we focus on solving fuzzy nonlinear optimization problems. we take all coefficients of the objective function and constraints to be fuzzy numbers. We convert the NFOP into a crisp form with using the α -cuts. The crisp form will be interval nonlinear programming problem and this form of the problem will be free of the compulsion membership functions for solve. This paper is organized as follows: in section 2, some basic definitions and arithmetic operations of triangular fuzzy numbers and intervals are reviewed. In section 3, formulations of fuzzy nonlinear programming problems for solving INP problems are discussed and Interval nonlinear programming method is proposed. In section 4, to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed method, some examples are solved. The conclusion appears in section 5.

2 Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. Let $I = \{K : K = [a, b], a, b \in R\}$ and let $A, B \in I$ then the interval arithmetic operations are defined by

$$A * B = \{\alpha * \beta : \alpha \in A, \beta \in B\},$$

where $*$ \in $\{+, -, \times, /\}$. (note that: $/$ is undefined when $0 \in B$).

Letting $A = [a, b]$ and $B = [c, d]$ it can be shown that it is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} A + B &= [a, b] + [c, d] = [a + c, b + d], & (\text{Minkowski addition}) \\ A - B &= [a, b] - [c, d] = [a - d, b - c], & (\text{Minkowski difference}) \\ A \cdot B &= [a, b] \cdot [c, d] = [\min(ac, ad, bc, bd), \max(ac, ad, bc, bd)], \\ \frac{A}{B} &= \frac{[a, b]}{[c, d]} = [a, b] \cdot \left[\frac{1}{d}, \frac{1}{c}\right] \text{ if } 0 \notin [c, d], \\ kA &= \{ka : a \in A\}. & (\text{Scalar multiplication}) \end{aligned}$$

This means that each interval operation $*$ \in $\{+, -, \times, /\}$ is reduced to real operations and comparisons.

Note 2.2. [24] If $k = -1$, scalar multiplication gives the opposite $-A = (-1)A = \{-a : a \in A\}$ but, in general, $A + (-A) \neq 0$, i.e. the

opposite of A is not the inverse of A in Minkowski addition (unless $A = \{a\}$ is a singleton). Minkowski difference is $A - B = A + (-1) B = \{a - b : a \in A, b \in B\}$. A first implication of this fact is that, in general, even if it is true that $(A + C = B + C) \Leftrightarrow A = B$, addition/subtraction simplification is not valid, i.e. $(A + B) - B \neq A$. To partially overcome this situation, the following H-difference was introduced:

Definition 2.3. [24] Let $X = R^n$, $n \geq 1$, of real vectors equipped with standard addition and scalar multiplication operations. Following Diamond and Kloeden (see [7]), denote by $K(X)$ and $K_C(X)$ the spaces of nonempty compact and compact convex sets of X . Then, the H-difference of A and B is defined as:

$$A \ominus B = C \iff A = B + C \quad (1)$$

and an important property of \ominus is that $A \ominus A = \{0\}$, $\forall A \in K(X)$ and $(A + B) \ominus B = A$, $\forall A, B \in K(X)$; H-difference is unique, but a necessary condition for $A \ominus B$ to exist is that A contains a translate $\{c\} + B$ of B . In general, $A - B \neq A \ominus B$.

Now, some definitions and notations of fuzzy set theory are reviewed.

Definition 2.4. [18] Let R be the set of real numbers and $\tilde{a} : R \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a fuzzy set. We say that \tilde{a} is a fuzzy number if it satisfies the following properties:

1. \tilde{a} is normal, that is, there exists $x_0 \in R$ such that $\tilde{a}(x_0) = 1$.
2. \tilde{a} is fuzzy convex, that is,

$$\tilde{a}(tx + (1 - t)y) \geq \min\{\tilde{a}(x), \tilde{a}(y)\}; \quad \forall x, y \in R, t \in [0, 1]$$

3. \tilde{a} is upper semi continuous on R , that is, $\{x | \tilde{a}(x) \geq \alpha\}$ is a closed subset of R for each $\alpha \in [0, 1]$;
4. $cl\{x \in R | \tilde{a}(x) > 0\}$ forms a compact set.

$F(R)$ denotes the set of all fuzzy numbers on R . For all $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, α -level set \tilde{a}_α of any $\tilde{a} \in F(R)$ is defined as $\tilde{a}_\alpha = \{x \in R | \tilde{a}(x) \geq \alpha\}$.

The 0-level set \tilde{a}_0 is defined as the closure of the set $\{x \in R \mid \tilde{a}(x) > 0\}$. By definition of fuzzy numbers, it was proved that, for any $\tilde{a} \in F(R)$ and for each $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, \tilde{a}_α is compact convex subset of R , and we write $\tilde{a}_\alpha = [\tilde{a}_\alpha^L, \tilde{a}_\alpha^U]$.

Definition 2.5. [20] According to Zadeh's extension principle, we have addition and scalar multiplications in fuzzy number space $F(R)$ by their α -cuts are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{a} \oplus \tilde{b})_\alpha &= [\tilde{a}_\alpha^L + \tilde{b}_\alpha^L, \tilde{a}_\alpha^U + \tilde{b}_\alpha^U] \\ (\mu \otimes \tilde{a})_\alpha &= [\mu \tilde{a}_\alpha^L, \mu \tilde{a}_\alpha^U] \end{aligned}$$

We define difference of two fuzzy numbers by their α -cuts by using H-difference as follows:

$$(\tilde{a} - \tilde{b})_\alpha = \tilde{a}_\alpha \ominus \tilde{b}_\alpha,$$

where $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in F(R)$, $\mu \in R$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

Proposition 2.6. [18] For $\tilde{a} \in F(R)$, we have

1. \tilde{a}_α^L is bounded left continuous nondecreasing function on $(0, 1]$;
2. \tilde{a}_α^U is bounded left continuous nonincreasing function on $(0, 1]$;
3. \tilde{a}_α^L and \tilde{a}_α^U are right continuous at $\alpha = 0$;
4. $\tilde{a}_\alpha^L \leq \tilde{a}_\alpha^U$.

Moreover, if the pair of functions \tilde{a}_α^L and \tilde{a}_α^U satisfy the conditions (1)-(4), then there exists a unique $\tilde{a} \in F(R)$ such that $\tilde{a}_\alpha = [\tilde{a}_\alpha^L, \tilde{a}_\alpha^U]$ for each $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

We define here a partial order relation on fuzzy number space.

Definition 2.7. [18] For $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in F(R)$ and $\tilde{a}_\alpha = [\tilde{a}_\alpha^L, \tilde{a}_\alpha^U]$, $\tilde{b}_\alpha = [\tilde{b}_\alpha^L, \tilde{b}_\alpha^U]$, are two closed intervals in R , for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, we define

1. $\tilde{a} \preceq \tilde{b} \Leftrightarrow \tilde{a}_\alpha^L \leq \tilde{b}_\alpha^L, \tilde{a}_\alpha^U \leq \tilde{b}_\alpha^U$

2. $\tilde{a} \prec \tilde{b}$ if and only if for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{a}_\alpha^L < \tilde{b}_\alpha^L \\ \tilde{a}_\alpha^U < \tilde{b}_\alpha^U \end{array} \right\} \quad \text{or} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{a}_\alpha^L \leq \tilde{b}_\alpha^L \\ \tilde{a}_\alpha^U < \tilde{b}_\alpha^U \end{array} \right\} \quad \text{or} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{a}_\alpha^L < \tilde{b}_\alpha^L \\ \tilde{a}_\alpha^U \leq \tilde{b}_\alpha^U \end{array} \right\}$$

Definition 2.8. [20] The membership function of a triangular fuzzy number \tilde{a} is defined by

$$\mu_{\tilde{a}}(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{r-a}{b-a}, & \text{if } a \leq r \leq b \\ \frac{c-r}{c-b}, & \text{if } b < r \leq c \end{cases}$$

which is denoted by $\tilde{a} = (a, b, c)$. The α -level set of \tilde{a} is then:

$$\tilde{a}_\alpha = [(1-\alpha)a + \alpha b, (1-\alpha)c + \alpha b].$$

Definition 2.9. [18] Let V be a real vector space and $F(R)$ be a fuzzy number space. Then a function $\tilde{f} : V \rightarrow F(R)$ is called fuzzy-valued function defined on V . Corresponding to such a function \tilde{f} and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, we define two real-valued functions \tilde{f}_α^L and \tilde{f}_α^U on V as $\tilde{f}_\alpha^U(x) = (\tilde{f}(x))_\alpha^U$ and $\tilde{f}_\alpha^L(x) = (\tilde{f}(x))_\alpha^L$ for all $x \in V$.

3 Fuzzy nonlinear optimization

Let $T \subseteq R^n$ be an open subset of R^n and $f_j(X)$, $g_j(X)$ be nonlinear (or linear) real-valued functions on T . Consider the following nonlinear fuzzy optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \tilde{f}(X) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{c}_j f_j(X), \\ \text{s.t.} & \\ &\sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{a}_{ij} g_j(X) \prec \tilde{b}_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m; \\ &X \geq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where \tilde{c}_j ($j = 1, \dots, n$), \tilde{a}_{ij} and \tilde{b}_i ($i = 1, \dots, m$) are triangular fuzzy numbers.

Definition 3.1. Let $X^0 \in S = \left\{ X \in T : \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{a}_{ij} g_j(X) \preccurlyeq \tilde{b}_i, i=1, \dots, m, X \geq 0 \right\}$

we say X^0 is an optimal solution of NFOP (2) if there exists no $X^1 (\neq X^0) \in S$ such that:

$$\tilde{f}(X^1) \prec \tilde{f}(X^0).$$

Now, we can convert NFOP (2) to interval nonlinear programming (INP) by α -cuts technique. Let $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and

$$\tilde{c}_j = (c_j^1, c_j^2, c_j^3), \tilde{a}_{ij} = (a_{ij}^1, a_{ij}^2, a_{ij}^3), \tilde{b}_i = (b_i^1, b_i^2, b_i^3),$$

be triangular fuzzy numbers.

According to the definition 2.8, we have

$$\tilde{f}_\alpha(X) = \left[\sum_{j=1}^n ((c_j^2 - c_j^1)\alpha + c_j^1) f_j(X), \sum_{j=1}^n (c_j^3 - (c_j^3 - c_j^2)\alpha) f_j(X) \right].$$

In addition, the constraints can be converted to

$$\left[\sum_{j=1}^n ((a_{ij}^2 - a_{ij}^1)\alpha + a_{ij}^1) g_j(X), \sum_{j=1}^n (a_{ij}^3 - (a_{ij}^3 - a_{ij}^2)\alpha) g_j(X) \right] \leq [(b_j^2 - b_j^1)\alpha + b_j^1, b_j^3 - (b_j^3 - b_j^2)\alpha].$$

Therefore, NFOP (2) is converted to INP problem as

$$\begin{aligned} \min f(X) &= \sum_{j=1}^n [\underline{c}_j, \bar{c}_j] f_j(X), \\ \text{s.t.} \quad &\sum_{j=1}^n [\underline{a}_{ij}, \bar{a}_{ij}] g_j(X) \leq [\underline{b}_i, \bar{b}_i], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m; \\ &X \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where for $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $i = 1, \dots, m$:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{c}_j &= (c_j^2 - c_j^1)\alpha + c_j^1, & \bar{c}_j &= c_j^3 - (c_j^3 - c_j^2)\alpha, \\ \underline{a}_{ij} &= (a_{ij}^2 - a_{ij}^1)\alpha + a_{ij}^1, & \bar{a}_{ij} &= a_{ij}^3 - (a_{ij}^3 - a_{ij}^2)\alpha, \\ \underline{b}_i &= (b_j^2 - b_j^1)\alpha + b_j^1, & \bar{b}_i &= b_j^3 - (b_j^3 - b_j^2)\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

By setting $\alpha = 1$ in the problem (3), the following nonlinear programming will be obtained:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \min f_1(X') &= \sum_{j=1}^n c_j^2 f_j(X'), \\
 \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2 g_j(X') \leq b_j^2, \quad i = 1, \dots, m; \\
 & X' \geq 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

By setting $\alpha = 0$ in the problem (3), the following interval nonlinear programming will be obtained:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \min z &= \sum_{j=1}^n [c_j^1, c_j^3] f_j(X), \\
 \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n [a_{ij}^1, a_{ij}^3] g_j(X) \leq [b_j^1, b_j^3], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m; \\
 & X \geq 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Theorem 3.2. [17] For INP Problem (5) the best and worst optimum values can be obtained by solving the following problems respectively:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \min \underline{z} &= \sum_{j=1}^n c_j' f_j(X), \\
 \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}'' g_j(X) \leq \bar{b}_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m; \\
 & X \geq 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \min \bar{z} &= \sum_{j=1}^n c_j'' f_j(X), \\
 \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}' g_j(X) \leq \underline{b}_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m; \\
 & X \geq 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where

$$c'_j = \begin{cases} c_j^1, & f_j(X) \geq 0 \\ c_j^3, & f_j(X) \leq 0 \end{cases}, \quad a''_{ij} = \begin{cases} a_{ij}^1, & g_j(X) \geq 0 \\ a_{ij}^3, & g_j(X) \leq 0 \end{cases},$$

$$c''_j = \begin{cases} c_j^3, & f_j(X) \geq 0 \\ c_j^1, & f_j(X) \leq 0 \end{cases}, \quad a'_{ij} = \begin{cases} a_{ij}^3, & g_j(X) \geq 0 \\ a_{ij}^1, & g_j(X) \leq 0 \end{cases}.$$

Theorem 3.3. [17] *If the objective function for Problem (5) is changed to 'max', the best and worst optimum values can be obtained by solving the following problems respectively:*

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad \bar{z} &= \sum_{j=1}^n c''_j f_j(X), \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n a''_{ij} g_j(X) \leq \bar{b}_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m; \\ & X \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad \underline{z} &= \sum_{j=1}^n c'_j f_j(X), \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n a'_{ij} g_j(X) \leq \underline{b}_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m; \\ & X \geq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where a'_{ij} , a''_{ij} , c'_j and c''_j are as defined in theorem 3.2.

Definition 3.4. If $X'^* = (x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_n)^T$, $\underline{X}^* = (\underline{x}_1, \underline{x}_2, \dots, \underline{x}_n)^T$ and $\bar{X}^* = (\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_n)^T$ are the optimal solutions of the problems (4), (6) and (7) respectively and z'^* , \underline{z}^* and \bar{z}^* are the optimum value of the problems (4), (6) and (7) respectively, then the fuzzy optimal solution and the fuzzy optimum value of the problem (2) are as follow respectively:

$$X^* = [(\underline{x}_1, x'_1, \bar{x}_1), (\underline{x}_2, x'_2, \bar{x}_2), \dots, (\underline{x}_n, x'_n, \bar{x}_n)]^T \quad \text{and} \quad z^* = (\underline{z}^*, z'^*, \bar{z}^*).$$

Definition 3.5. If $(\underline{x}_i^*, x_i'^*, \bar{x}_i^*)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, are all triangular fuzzy numbers then X^* is called a *strong fuzzy solution*. Otherwise, if $\exists i; 1 \leq i \leq n$, $(\underline{x}_i^*, x_i'^*, \bar{x}_i^*)$ is not a triangular fuzzy number, then by reordering $(\underline{x}_i^*, x_i'^*, \bar{x}_i^*)$ such that all elements of X^* remain fuzzy numbers, the solution is called a *weak fuzzy solution*.

Therefore, by using theorem 3.2 and definitions 3.4 and 3.5, we can obtain the optimal solution of the problem (2).

4 Numerical examples

In this section, we will explain previous method with presenting several examples. Note that for obtaining the optimal solutions of the nonlinear programming problems, the function *fmincon* of MATLAB is used.

Example 4.1. [1] Consider the following nonlinear fuzzy programming problem

$$\begin{aligned} \max \tilde{z} &= (1, 3, 4) x_1^2 + (1, 2, 3) x_2^2, \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & (0, 1, 3) x_1 + (2, 3, 5) x_2 \preceq (3, 4, 6), \\ & (1, 2, 4) x_1 - (0, 1, 2) x_2 \preceq (1, 2, 5), \\ & x_1, x_2 \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Now, we convert the problem (10) to an interval nonlinear programming by α -cuts:

$$\begin{aligned} \max z_\alpha &= [2\alpha + 1, 4 - \alpha] x_1^2 + [\alpha + 1, 3 - \alpha] x_2^2, \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & [\alpha, 3 - 2\alpha] x_1 + [\alpha + 2, 5 - 2\alpha] x_2 \leq [\alpha + 3, 6 - 2\alpha], \\ & [\alpha + 1, 4 - 2\alpha] x_1 - [\alpha, 2 - \alpha] x_2 \leq [\alpha + 1, 5 - 3\alpha], \\ & x_1, x_2 \geq 0, \alpha \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned} \tag{11a}$$

Setting $\alpha = 1$, the following nonlinear programming problem will be obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} \max z' &= 3x_1'^2 + 2x_2'^2, \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x_1' + 3x_2' \leq 4, \\ & 2x_1' - x_2' \leq 2, \\ & x_1', x_2' \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{11b}$$

The optimal solution of this problem is obtained:

$$z'^* = 7.597, x_1'^* = 1.429, x_2'^* = 0.857.$$

with cut $\alpha = 0$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \max z &= [1, 4] x_1^2 + [1, 3] x_2^2, \\ \text{s.t.} \quad &[0, 3] x_1 + [2, 5] x_2 \leq [3, 6], \\ &[1, 4] x_1 - [0, 2] x_2 \leq [1, 5], \\ &x_1, x_2 \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{11c}$$

Now, by considering the theorem 3.3, we have two problems as below:

$$\begin{aligned} \max \bar{z} &= 4\bar{x}_1^2 + 3\bar{x}_2^2, \\ \text{s.t.} \quad &2\bar{x}_2 \leq 6, \\ &\bar{x}_1 - 2\bar{x}_2 \leq 5, \\ &\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2 \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{11d}$$

The optimal solution of this problem is obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{x}_1^* &= 11, \bar{x}_2^* = 3, \bar{z}^* = 511. \\ \max \underline{z} &= \underline{x}_1^2 + \underline{x}_2^2, \\ \text{s.t.} \quad &3\underline{x}_1 + 5\underline{x}_2 \leq 3, \\ &4\underline{x}_1 \leq 1, \\ &\underline{x}_1, \underline{x}_2 \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{11e}$$

The optimal solution of this problem is:

$$\underline{x}_1^* = 0, \underline{x}_2^* = 0.6, \underline{z}^* = 0.36.$$

Therefore, by using definition 3.2, the strong fuzzy optimal solution of the problem (10) is:

$$x_1^* = (\underline{x}_1^*, x_1'^*, \bar{x}_1^*) = (0, 1.429, 11), \quad x_2^* = (\underline{x}_2^*, x_2'^*, \bar{x}_2^*) = (0.6, 0.857, 3)$$

and the fuzzy optimum value of the objective function is:

$$z^* = (\underline{z}^*, z'^*, \bar{z}^*) = (0.36, 7.597, 511).$$

Example 4.2. [9] Consider the following nonlinear fuzzy programming problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \tilde{z} = (1, 2, 3) x_1^2 + (0, 1, 2) x_2^2, \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & (x_1 - 2)^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2 \leq 1, \\ & x_1, x_2 \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Now, we convert the problem (12) into an interval nonlinear programming by α -cuts:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & z_\alpha = [\alpha + 1, 3 - \alpha] x_1^2 + [\alpha, 2 - \alpha] x_2^2, \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & (x_1 - 2)^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2 \leq 1, \\ & x_1, x_2 \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (13a)$$

Setting $\alpha = 1$, the following interval nonlinear programming will be obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & z' = 2x_1'^2 + x_2'^2, \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & (x_1' - 2)^2 + (x_2' - 2)^2 \leq 1, \\ & x_1', x_2' \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (13b)$$

The optimal solution of this problem is:

$$z'^* = 4.814, \quad x_1'^* = 1.155, \quad x_2'^* = 1.465.$$

Setting $\alpha = 0$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & z = [1, 3] x_1^2 + [0, 2] x_2^2, \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & (x_1 - 2)^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2 \leq 1, \\ & x_1, x_2 \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (13c)$$

Now, by considering the theorem 3.2, we have two problems as below:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \bar{z} = 3\bar{x}_1^2 + 2\bar{x}_2^2, \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & (\bar{x}_1 - 2)^2 + (\bar{x}_2 - 2)^2 \leq 1, \\ & \bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2 \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (13d)$$

The optimal solution of this problem is:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{x_1^*} &= 1.207, \overline{x_2^*} = 1.391, \overline{z^*} = 8.239. \\ \min \underline{z} &= \underline{x_1^2}, \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & (\underline{x_1} - 2)^2 + (\underline{x_2} - 2)^2 \leq 1, \\ & \underline{x_1}, \underline{x_2} \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{13e}$$

The optimal solution of this problem is:

$$\underline{x_1^*} = 1, \underline{x_2^*} = 2, \underline{z^*} = 1.$$

Therefore by using of definition 3.4, the optimal solution of the problem (12) is:

$$x_1^* = (\underline{x_1^*}, x_1'^*, \overline{x_1^*}) = (1, 1.155, 1.391), \quad x_2^* = (\underline{x_2^*}, x_2'^*, \overline{x_2^*}) = (2, 1.465, 1.391)$$

and the fuzzy optimum value of objective function is:

$$z^* = (\underline{z^*}, z'^*, \overline{z^*}) = (1, 4.814, 8.239).$$

However, x_2^* is not a triangular fuzzy number. Therefore, by reordering elements of x_2^* , we have:

$$u_2^* = (\overline{x_2^*}, x_2'^*, \underline{x_2^*}) = (1.391, 1.465, 2).$$

Hence, the optimal solution $X^* = (x_1^*, u_2^*)^T$ of this problem according to definition 3.3 is a weak fuzzy solution.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, a new method was presented for solving nonlinear fuzzy programming problems. First, this problem was converted into an interval nonlinear programming problem by α -cuts. Then the cuts $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$ were used. In general, we have three nonlinear programming problems; to solve the problems *fmincon* function in Matlab may be used. Then according to definition 3.4 and definition 3.5 the fuzzy optimal solution and fuzzy optimal value of the main problem were obtained.

References

- [1] Behera, S.K, Nayak, J.R, Optimal Solution of Fuzzy Nonlinear Programming Problems With Linear Constraints, *International Journal of Advances in Science and Technology*, Vol.4, No.2, (2012), pp. 43-91.
- [2] Chanas, S., Kuchta, D., Multiobjective programming in optimization of interval objective functions, A generalized approach. *European Journal of Operational Research* 94, (1996), pp. 594–598.
- [3] Charnes, A., Cooper, W.W., Chance-constrained programming. *Management Science* 6, pp.73–79, 1959.
- [4] Chinneck, JW., Ramadan, K., Linear programming with interval coefficient, *Journal of the operational research society* 51, (2002), pp.209-220.
- [5] Cho, Gyeong-Mi, Log-barrier method for two-stage quadratic stochastic programming. *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 164(1), (2005), 45–69.
- [6] Delgado, M., Verdegay, J.L., Vila, M.A., A general model for fuzzy linear programming. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 29, (1989), 21–29.
- [7] Diamond, P., Kloeden, P., *Metric Spaces of Fuzzy Sets*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1994.
- [8] Hukuhara, M., Integration des applications mesurables dont la valeur est un compact convexe, *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj* 10, (1967), pp.205–223.
- [9] Kall, P., Stochastic programming, *European Journal of Operational Research* 10, (1982), pp.125–130.
- [10] Kheirfam, B., A Method for solving fully fuzzy quadratic programming problems, *Acta universitatis Apulensis*, No.27, (2011), pp.69-76.

- [11] Kumar, A., Kaur, J., Singh, P., Fuzzy Optimal Solution of Fully Fuzzy Linear Programming Problems with Inequality Constraints, *International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computer Sciences*, 6:1, (2010), pp.37-40.
- [12] Liu, X.W., Da, Q.L., A satisfactory solution for interval number linear programming. *Journal of Systems Engineering*, China 14, (1999), pp.123–128.
- [13] Liu, B.D., Iwamura, K., Fuzzy programming with fuzzy decisions and fuzzy simulation-based genetic algorithm, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 122 (2), (2001), pp.253–262.
- [14] Liu, B.D., Zhao, R.Q., Wang, G., *Uncertain Programming with Applications*. Tsinghua University Press, Beijing, China, 2003.
- [15] Luhandjula, M.K., 1989. Fuzzy optimization: An appraisal. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 30, 257–282.
- [16] Ma, L.H., 2002. Research on Method and Application of Robust Optimization for Uncertain System. Ph.D. dissertation, Zhejiang University, China.
- [17] Mishmast Nehi, H., Allahdadi, M., *Fuzzy linear programming with interval linear programming approach*, Advanced Modeling and Optimization, Volume 13, Number 1, (2011).
- [18] Pathak, V. D., Pirzada, U. M., Necessary and Sufficient Optimality Conditions for Nonlinear Fuzzy Optimization Problem, *International Journal of Mathematical Science Education*, Vol. 4 No. 1, (2011), pp. 1 - 16.
- [19] Rommelfanger, H., Linear programming with fuzzy objective, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 29, (1989), 31–48.
- [20] Saito, S. and Ishii, H., L-Fuzzy Optimization Problems by Parametric Representation, *IEEE*, (2001).

- [21] Sengupta, A., Pal, T.K., Chakraborty, D., Interpretation of inequality constraints involving interval coefficients and a solution to interval linear programming, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 119, (2001), 129–138.
- [22] Slowinski, R., A multicriteria fuzzy linear programming method for water supply systems development planning, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 19, (1986), 217–237.
- [23] Song S., Wu C., Existence and uniqueness of solutions to Cauchy problem of fuzzy differential equations, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 110, (2000), pp. 55-67.
- [24] Stefanini, L., A generalization of Hukuhara difference and division for interval and fuzzy arithmetic, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 161, (2010), pp. 1564–1584.
- [25] Tanaka, H., Ukuda, T., Asai, K., On fuzzy mathematical programming. *Journal of Cybernetics* 3, (1984), 37–46.
- [26] Tong, S.C., Interval number and fuzzy number linear programming. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 66, (1994), 301–306.
- [27] Wu, H.C, On interval-valued nonlinear programming problems, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 338, (2008), pp. 299-316.
- [28] Zhang, Q., Fan, Z.P., Pan, D.H., A ranking approach for interval numbers in uncertain multiple attribute decision making problems, *Systems Engineering – Theory & Practice* 5, (1999), 129–133 (in Chinese).
- [29] Zimmerman, H. J., Fuzzy programming and Linear programming with several objective functions, *Fuzzy sets and systems*, vol.1, (1978), pp. 45-55.

Abbas Akrami

Department of Mathematics

PhD student of Applied Mathematics

Yazd, Iran

E-mail: akramiabas@yahoo.com

Mohammad Mahdi Hoseini

Department of Applied Mathematics

Full Professor of Applied Mathematics

Yazd, Iran

E-mail: hosse25@gmail.com