Journal of Mathematical Extension Journal Pre-proof ISSN: 1735-8299 URL: http://www.ijmex.com Original Research Paper

# Linear Mappings Characterized by Action on Zero Products on Banach Algebras

#### A. Minapoor\*

Ayatollah Borujerdi University

**Abstract.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and  $\mathcal{X}$  be a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule. In this paper, we characterize certain linear maps  $T : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow X$  by action on zero products. Also we initiate the study of multipliers and Jordan multipliers on standard operator algebras.

**AMS Subject Classification:** Primary 47B47; 47B49 Secondary 15A86; 46H25

Keywords and Phrases: Multiplier, left derivation,  $W^*$ -algebra, standard operator algebras

### **1** Introduction and Preliminaries

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and  $\mathcal{X}$  be an  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule. A linear map  $T : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is called a *left multiplier* (*right multiplier*) if for all  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$T(a_1a_2) = T(a_1)a_2, \qquad (T(a_1a_2) = a_1T(a_2)),$$

and T is called a left Jordan multiplier (right Jordan multiplier) if

$$T(a^2) = T(a)a, (T(a^2) = aT(a)).$$

Received: December 2024; Accepted: January 2025

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding Author

The linear map  $T : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is called a left (right) derivation if for each  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$T(ab) = aT(b) + bT(a), \quad (T(ab) = T(a)b + T(b)a),$$

and T is called a Jordan right derivation if  $T(a \circ b) = 2T(a)b + 2T(b)a$ , where  $a \circ b = ab + ba$  is a Jordan product of  $a, b \in A$ .

Also T is called a generalized right derivation if there exists an element  $\xi$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ , such that

$$T(ab) = T(a)b + T(b)a - \xi ab,$$

for each  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ , and it is called a generalized Jordan right derivation if there exists an element  $\xi$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ , such that for each  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$T(a \circ b) = 2T(a)b + 2T(b)a - \xi(a \circ b).$$

Let X be a Banach space, and B(X) be the operator algebra of all bounded linear operators on X, we denote by F(X), the algebra of all finite rank operators in B(X). Any subalgebra of B(X) which cantains F(X) is called standard operator algebra.

Linear mappings on standard operator algebras is studied in Section 2. We show that under mild conditions, the linear mapping becomes a multiplier or Jordan multiplier.

In Section 3 we consider the subsequent condition on a linear map T from Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  into its bimodule  $\mathcal{X}$ :

 $a, b \in \mathcal{A}, ab = ba = 0 \implies aT(b) = 0.$ 

We investigate whether this condition characterizes multipliers on von Neumann algebras,  $C^*$ -algebras, standard operator algebra, or algebras generated by idempotents.

For characterization of linear maps on algebras behaving like left or right multipliers through zero products and different results; see for example [1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 11] and the references therein.

## 2 Multipliers on Standard Operator Algebras

Let  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  denote the algebra of all  $n \times n$  matrices.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let T be a linear map from  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  into algebra A such that T(E) = T(E)E holds for all idempotent E in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Then T is a Jordan multiplier.

**Proof.** Let B be a Hermitian matrix in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Then

$$B = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i E_i,$$

where  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $E_i$  are idempotents such that for  $i \neq j$ ,  $E_i E_j = E_j E_i = 0$ . Since for  $i \neq j$ ,  $E_i + E_j$  is an idempotent, we get

$$T(E_i + E_j) = T(E_i + E_j)(E_i + E_j).$$

This implies that  $T(E_i)E_j + T(E_j)E_i = 0$ . Thus, for each Hermitian matrix B we arrive at

$$T(B^2) = T(B)B.$$
(1)

Replacing B by B + C where B and C are both Hermitian, we infer that

$$T(BC + CB) = T(B)C + T(C)B.$$
(2)

Let H be an arbitrary matrix in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Then H can be written in the form H = B + iC, where B and C are Hermitian. Hence from (1) and (2), we have  $T(H^2) = T(H)H$ . Thus, T is a Jordan multiplier.  $\Box$ 

In the proof of next theorem we will show that  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is isomorphic to a subalgebra of F(X) (the algebra of all finite rank operators in B(X)). Thus, the product of elements of  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  and B(X) is well defined.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let X be a Banach space, B(X) and F(X) be as above. Let  $T : F(X) \longrightarrow B(X)$  be a linear map such that T(E) = T(E)E for any idempotent  $E \in F(X)$ . Then T is a multiplier.

**Proof.** Let  $A = M_n(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_n(\mathbb{C}) \oplus B(X)$ . Define a multiplication in A by

$$(t_1, d_1, a_1) \cdot (t_2, d_2, a_2) = (t_1 t_2, d_1 d_2, a_1 d_2).$$

Then A becomes an algebra. Suppose that C is a subalgebra of A generated by all elements of the form (H, H, T(H)), for  $H \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

Now we define a mapping  $\alpha : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow C$  by  $\alpha(H) = (H, H, T(H))$ . By the hypothesis on T we see that  $\alpha$  maps idempotents in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  into idempothents in C. Thus, by [4, Theorem 2.1],  $\alpha$  is a Jordan homomorphism, and hence

$$\alpha(HI + IH) = \alpha(H)\alpha(I) + \alpha(I)\alpha(H),$$

where I is the identity element of  $M_n$ . By using standard arguments, since  $\alpha(I)$  is an idempotent we get  $\alpha(H) = \alpha(H)\alpha(I) + \alpha(I)\alpha(H)$ . Since the elements of C are in the form (H, H, T(H)) for all  $H \in M_n$ , we conclude that  $\alpha(I)$  is a unit element of C. Due to [4, Theorem 2.1], we see that  $\alpha = \beta + \gamma$ , where  $\beta : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow C$  is a homomorphism and  $\gamma : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow C$  is an anti-homomorphism. Take  $\eta = \beta(I)$  and  $\phi = \gamma(I)$ . Then  $\eta$  and  $\phi$  are idempotents and  $\phi + \eta = \alpha(I)$  is a unit element of C. Therefore  $\phi\eta = \eta\phi = 0$ , which implies that

$$\gamma(H) = \alpha(H)\phi = \phi\alpha(H) \quad H \in M_n(\mathbb{C}).$$
(3)

Since  $\phi \in C\phi$ , we have  $\phi = (P, P, n)$  for some  $P \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $n \in B(X)$ . The relation  $\phi^2 = \phi$  yields that

$$P^2 = P, Pn + nP = n \tag{4}$$

By relation (3),  $\phi$  commutes with  $\alpha(H)$  for any  $H \in M_n$ . Hence P commutes with all elements in  $M_n$ . This result and (4) tell us that P = tI for some element  $t \in \mathbb{C}$ . Since  $\gamma$  is an antihomomorphism, (3) implies that  $\alpha(WK)\phi = \alpha(K)\alpha(W)\phi$  for  $K, W \in M_n$ . Therefore from P = tI we conclude that t(KW - WK) = 0. Thus t = 0, therefore P = 0, and so (4) gives us that n = 0 too. It follows that  $\phi = 0$ , and so,  $\gamma = 0$ . Hence  $\alpha = \beta$  is a homomorphism. Due to the definition of  $\theta$  this implies that T is a multiplier. For  $R, S \in F(X)$ , there exists an idempotent  $P \in F(X)$  such that PRP = R and PSP = S. Let  $\{y_1, y_2, ..., y_n\}$  be a basis of the range of P. Define linear functionals  $g_1, g_2, ..., g_n$  on X by  $g_i(y_j) = \delta_{ij}, g_i(e) = 0$  for all e in KerP. Let  $L \subseteq F(X)$  be the algebra of all operators of the form  $l = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \lambda_{ij} y_i \otimes g_j$ ,  $\lambda_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$  and note that L is isomorphic to  $M_n$  via the isomorphism. Let  $x_0 \in X$  and  $g_0 \in X^*$  be chosen such that  $g_0(x_0) = 1$ . Define operator

 $V: X \longrightarrow X$  by  $Vx = T(x \otimes g_0)x_0$ . For arbitrary  $A \in F(X)$ , we have  $T(Ax \otimes g_0) = T(A)x \otimes g_0$ . Applying operator V in this equation to  $x_0$ , we get T(A)x = VAx, hence T(A) = VA.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 2.3.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a standard operator algebra related to B(X), and  $T : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow B(X)$  be a linear map satisfying T(E) = T(E)E for every idempotent  $E \in \mathcal{A}$ . If T is continuous with respect to the weak operator topology, then for some  $S \in B(X)$  we have T(A) = SA, for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**Proof.** We know that F(X) is dense in the weak operator topology in every standard operator algebra, since T is continuous, so the result follows from Theorem 2.1.  $\Box$ 

### 3 Characterizing of Derivations and Multipliers

A Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  has the property ( $\mathbb{B}$ ) if for every continuous bilinear map  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ , where  $\mathcal{X}$  is an arbitrary Banach space, the condition

 $a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \quad ab = 0 \implies \phi(a, b) = 0,$ 

implies that  $\phi(ab, c) = \phi(a, bc)$ , for all  $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$ .[1]

**Proposition 3.1.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a unital Banach algebra with property  $(\mathbb{B})$ ,  $\mathcal{X}$  be a unital Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module, and  $T : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a continuous linear operator satisfying

$$a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \quad ab = ba = 0 \implies aT(b) = 0.$$
 (5)

Then T is a generalized left derivation.

**Proof.** Let  $a_1, b_1 \in \mathcal{A}$  be such that  $a_1b_1 = 0$ . Define the bilinear mapping  $\psi : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$  via  $\psi(a, b) = b_1 a T(ba_1)$ . For every  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  with ab = 0,  $b_1 a b a_1 = b a_1 b_1 a = 0$ , so we have  $\psi(a, b) = 0$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  has property ( $\mathbb{B}$ ), for each  $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\psi(ab, c) = \psi(a, bc)$ , i.e.,

$$b_1 a b T(ca_1) = b_1 a T(bca_1). \tag{6}$$

For fixed elements  $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$ , we consider the bilinear mapping  $\eta$ :  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$  such that  $\eta(a_1, b_1) = b_1 a b T(ca_1) - b_1 a T(bca_1)$ , for each  $a_1, b_1 \in \mathcal{A}$ . Now let  $a_1, b_1 \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $a_1 b_1 = 0$ , then by (6),

$$\eta(a_1, b_1) = 0.$$

Since  $\mathcal{A}$  has property ( $\mathbb{B}$ ), it follows that  $\eta(a_1b_1, c_1) = \eta(a_1, b_1c_1)$  for all  $a_1, b_1, c_1 \in \mathcal{A}$ . Thus

$$c_1 a b T(ca_1 b_1) - c_1 a T(bca_1 b_1) = b_1 c_1 a b T(ca_1) - b_1 c_1 a T(bca_1).$$

Take  $a = c = a_1 = c_1 = e_A$  (the unit element of A), then we obtain

$$T(bb_1) = bT(b_1) + b_1T(b) - b_1bT(e_{\mathcal{A}}),$$

for all  $b, b_1 \in \mathcal{A}$ . Thus, T is a generalized left derivation.

It would be interesting to know whether (5) characterizes generalized left derivations or not.

Recall that a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a  $W^*$ -algebra (or von-Neumann algebra) if it is a dual space as a Banach space [6], [10].

Let  $\mathcal{I}(A)$  be the set of idempotents of a given Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . We say that  $\mathcal{A}$  is generated by idempotents if  $\mathcal{A} = \overline{\mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{A})}$ , where  $\mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{A})$  is the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}$  generated by  $\mathcal{I}(A)$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $W^*$ -algebra,  $\mathcal{X}$  be a unital Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module,  $T : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a continuous linear operator satisfying condition (5). Then T is a right multiplier.

**Proof.** We know that  $W^*$ -algebras have property ( $\mathbb{B}$ ). For each idempotent p in  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $p(e_{\mathcal{A}} - p) = (e_{\mathcal{A}} - p)p = 0$ , hence  $pT(e_{\mathcal{A}} - p) = 0$ , so  $pT(e_{\mathcal{A}}) = pT(p)$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_{sa}$  denote the set of self-adjoint elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $x \in \mathcal{A}_{sa}$ . Then

$$x = \lim_{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_k p_k,$$

where  $\{\lambda_k\}$  are real numbers and  $\{p_k\}$  is an orthogonal family of projections in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Since  $p_i p_j = p_j p_i = 0$ , for  $i \neq j$ , condition (5) implies that  $p_i T(p_j) = 0$ . By Proposition 3.1, T is a generalized left derivation. Thus for all  $x \in \mathcal{A}_{sa}$ ,

$$T(x^{2}) = \lim_{n} T(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k}^{2} p_{k}^{2})$$
  
= 
$$\lim_{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k}^{2} T(p_{k}^{2}) = \lim_{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k}^{2} (2p_{k}T(p_{k}) - p_{k}^{2}T(e_{\mathcal{A}}))$$
  
= 
$$\lim_{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k}^{2} (2p_{k}T(e_{\mathcal{A}}) - p_{k}^{2}T(e_{\mathcal{A}})) = \lim_{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k}^{2} p_{k}^{2}T(e_{\mathcal{A}})$$
  
= 
$$x^{2}T(e_{\mathcal{A}}).$$

Thus  $T(x^2) = x^2 T(e_{\mathcal{A}})$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{A}_{sa}$ . It follows from the linearity of T that

 $T(xy + yx) = (xy + yx)T(e_{\mathcal{A}}), \qquad x, y \in \mathcal{A}_{sa}.$ 

Now each arbitrary element  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  can be written as a = x + iy for  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_{sa}$ . Thus

$$T(a^{2}) = T(x^{2} - y^{2} + i(xy + yx))$$
  
=  $x^{2}T(e_{\mathcal{A}}) - y^{2}T(e_{\mathcal{A}}) + i(xy + yx)T(e_{\mathcal{A}})$   
=  $(x^{2} - y^{2} + i(xy + yx))T(e_{\mathcal{A}}) = a^{2}T(e_{\mathcal{A}}).$ 

Repelacing a by  $a + e_{\mathcal{A}}$ , we have  $T(a + e_{\mathcal{A}})^2 = (a + e_{\mathcal{A}})^2 T(e_{\mathcal{A}})$ . Thus  $T(a) = aT(e_{\mathcal{A}})$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , and T is a right multiplier.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.3.** Suppose that  $\mathcal{A} = \overline{\mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{A})}$  is a unital Banach algebra and  $\mathcal{X}$  is a unital Banach right  $\mathcal{A}$ -module. Let  $T : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a continuous linear operator satisfying condition (5). Then  $T = D + \Phi$ , where D is a Jordan right derivation and  $\Phi$  is a left multiplier.

**Proof.** Define bilinear mapping  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$  by  $\phi(a, b) = T(a \circ b) - T(a)b$  for each  $a, b \in A$ . Then ab = ba = 0, implies  $\phi(a, b) = 0$ . By [3, Lemma 2.2], we have

$$\phi(a,b) + \phi(b,a) = \phi(ab,e_{\mathcal{A}}) + \phi(e_{\mathcal{A}},ba).$$

Thus,

$$T(a \circ b) - T(a)b + T(b \circ a) - T(b)a = T(ab \circ e_{\mathcal{A}}) - T(ab)e_{\mathcal{A}} + T(e_{\mathcal{A}} \circ ba) - T(e_{\mathcal{A}})ba$$

So we conclude that

$$T(ab) = T(a)b + T(b)a - T(e_A)ba$$
<sup>(7)</sup>

Settind a = b in (7), we arrive at  $T(a^2) = 2T(a)a - T(e_A)a^2$ .

Define  $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$  by  $\Phi(a) = T(e_{\mathcal{A}})a$ . It is obvious that  $\Phi$  is a left multiplier. Now define  $D : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$  via

$$D(a) = T(a) - \Phi(a), \qquad a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

So  $D(a^2) = T(a^2) - \Phi(a^2)$ , thus

$$D(a^{2}) = 2T(a)a - 2T(e_{\mathcal{A}})a^{2}.$$
(8)

On the other hand,

$$2D(a)a = 2(T(a) - \Phi(a))a = 2T(a)a - 2\Phi(a)a = 2T(a)a - 2T(e_{\mathcal{A}})a^2.$$
 (9)

From (8), (9), we get  $D(a^2) = 2D(a)a$ . Thus, D is a Jordan right derivation. This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

Consider the following Lemma from the complex analysis, see ([9]). In order to prove our results we need it.

#### Lemma 3.4. Suppose that

- (1) a function f is analytic throughout a domain D;
- (2) f(z) = 0 at each point z of a domain or line segment contained in D.

Thus  $f(z) \equiv 0$  in D; that is f(z) is identically equal to zero throughout D.

For a Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  we say that  $w \in \mathcal{A}$  is a separating point of  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{X}$  if the condition wx = 0 for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  implies that x = 0.

**Theorem 3.5.** Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a unital Banach algebra and  $\mathcal{X}$  is a Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module. Let s be in  $Z(\mathcal{A})$  (the center of  $\mathcal{A}$ ), such that s is a separating point of  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $T : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a bounded linear map. Then the following assertions are equivalent.

- (1) T(ab) = aT(b), for all  $a, b \in A$  with ab = ba = s,
- (2) T is a right multiplier.

**Proof.** First suppose that (1) holds. Then we have

$$T(s) = T(se_{\mathcal{A}}) = sT(e_{\mathcal{A}}).$$
(10)

Let  $a \in A$  be nonzero. For scalars  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| < \frac{1}{\|a\|}$ ,  $e_{\mathcal{A}} - \lambda a$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Indeed,  $(e_{\mathcal{A}} - \lambda a)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n a^n$ . Then

$$T(s) = T[(e_{\mathcal{A}} - \lambda a)^{-1}s(e_{\mathcal{A}} - \lambda a)] = (e_{\mathcal{A}} - \lambda a)^{-1}sT(e_{\mathcal{A}} - \lambda a)$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{n}a^{n}s(T(e_{\mathcal{A}}) - \lambda T(a)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{n}a^{n}T(s) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{n+1}a^{n}sT(a)$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{n}a^{n}T(s) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{n}a^{n-1}sT(a)$$
$$= T(s) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{n}a^{n}T(s) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{n}a^{n-1}T(s).$$

Thus, for all  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| < \frac{1}{\|a\|}$ , we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n (a^n T(s) - s a^{n-1} T(a)) = 0.$$
 (11)

Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{A}^*$  and  $f : \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be such that

$$f(t) = \mu(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t^n (a^n T(s) - s a^{n-1} T(a))),$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{C}$ . Due to relation (11)  $f(\lambda) = 0$ . Now since  $f(\lambda) = 0$  for each  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| < \frac{1}{\|a\|}$ , by Lemma 3.4,  $f(\lambda)$  is identically equal to zero throughout  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mu$  arbitrary, Consequently  $a^n T(s) - sa^{n-1}T(a) = 0$ for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For n = 1 we get aT(s) - sT(a) = 0, and by using (10), we obtain  $asT(e_{\mathcal{A}}) = sT(a)$ . Hence  $s(aT(e_{\mathcal{A}}) - T(a)) = 0$ , since s is a separating point of  $\mathcal{X}$  we get  $T(a) = aT(e_{\mathcal{A}})$ . Thus T is a right multiplier. The converce is clear.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 3.6.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a von Neumann algebra,  $\mathcal{X}$  be a Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module and  $\delta : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a bounded linear operator satisfying  $\delta(p^2) = p\delta(p)$  for every projection  $p \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\delta$  is a right multiplier.

**Proof.** Let  $p, q \in \mathcal{A}$  be ortogonal projections. By assumption,

$$\delta((p+q)^2) = (p+q)(\delta(p) + \delta(q)),$$

thus we conclude that  $p\delta(q) + q\delta(p) = 0$ . Let  $a = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j p_j$  be a combination of matually orthogonal projections  $p_1, p_2, ..., p_n \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then

$$p_i\delta(p_j) + p_j\delta(p_i) = 0, \qquad (12)$$

for all  $i, j \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  with  $i \neq j$ . So

$$\delta(a^2) = \delta(\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j^2 p_j) = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j^2 \delta(p_j).$$
(13)

On the other hand, by (12), we have

$$a\delta(a) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j p_j \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \delta(p_i) = \lambda_1 p_1 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \delta(p_i) + \dots + \lambda_n p_n \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \delta(p_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^2 p_i \delta(p_i) + \dots + \lambda_n p_n \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \delta(p_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \delta(p_i) + \dots + \lambda_n p_n \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \delta(p_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \delta(p_i) + \dots + \lambda_n p_n \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \delta(p_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \delta(p_i) + \dots + \lambda_n p_n \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \delta(p_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \delta(p_i) + \dots + \lambda_n p_n \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \delta(p_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \delta(p_i) + \dots + \lambda_n p_n \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \delta(p_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \delta(p_i) + \dots + \lambda_n p_n \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \delta(p_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \delta(p_i) + \dots + \lambda_n p_n \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \delta(p_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \delta(p_i) + \dots + \lambda_n p_n \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \delta(p_i) + \dots + \lambda_n p_n \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \delta(p_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \delta(p_i) + \dots + \lambda_n p_n \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \delta(p_i) +$$

It follows from above equation and (13) that  $\delta(a^2) = a\delta(a)$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_{sa}$  denote the set of self-adjoint elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Simillar to the proof of Theorem 3.2 we deduce that  $\delta(a^2) = a\delta(a)$ , for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Thus  $\delta$  is a Jordan right multiplier. Due to [11, Theorem 2.3],  $\delta$  is a right multiplier.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 3.7.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and  $\mathcal{X}$  be a Banach left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module and  $w \in Z(\mathcal{A})$  be a separating point of  $\mathcal{X}$ . If  $\delta : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is a left Jordan multiplier, then  $\delta(ba) = \delta(b)a$  for each  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  with ab = ba = w.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\delta : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is a left Jordan multiplier. For each  $b \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have wb = bw. Since  $\delta$  is a left Jordan multiplier, thus  $\delta(b^2) = \delta(b)b$ . Replacing b by w + b, we get  $\delta(bw + wb) = \delta(w)b + \delta(b)w$ . Therefore

$$2\delta(bw) = \delta(w)b + \delta(b)w.$$
<sup>(14)</sup>

On the other hand, for every Jordan multiplier  $\delta$ ,  $\delta(bab) = \delta(b)ab$  for each  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ , thus relation(14) implies that  $2\delta(b)ab = \delta(w)b + \delta(b)w$ , multiply this equation by a at the right side, we arrive at  $2\delta(b)aw =$  $\delta(ab)w + \delta(b)aw$ . Hence  $\delta(b)aw = \delta(ab)w$ . Since w is a separating point of  $\mathcal{X}$ , we obtain  $\delta(ba) = \delta(b)a$  for each  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  with ab = ba = w.

In the following theorem we give a complete description of linear mappings  $\delta, \tau$  satisfying  $\delta(a)b + \tau(b)a = 0$  for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $ab = e_{\mathcal{A}}$ .

**Theorem 3.8.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a unital Banach algebra and  $\mathcal{X}$  be a unital Banach right  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule. Let  $\delta, \tau$  be continuous linear mappings from  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{X}$  satisfying

$$a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \ ab = e_{\mathcal{A}} \Longrightarrow \ \delta(a)b + \tau(b)a = 0.$$

Then  $\delta$  and  $\tau$  are generalized Jordan right derivations.

**Proof.** Let  $a = b = e_{\mathcal{A}}$ , then  $\delta(e_{\mathcal{A}}) + \tau(e_{\mathcal{A}}) = 0$ . Let t be an invertible element of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $tt^{-1} = e_{\mathcal{A}}$ , so  $\delta(t)t^{-1} + \tau(t^{-1})t = 0$ , thus  $\delta(t) = -\tau(t^{-1})t^2$ . Let  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $b = ne_{\mathcal{A}} + a$ , then b and  $e_{\mathcal{A}} - b$  are invertible in  $\mathcal{A}$ , for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , with  $n \geq ||a|| + 2$ , so we have

$$\begin{split} \delta(b) &= -\tau(b^{-1})b^2 = -\tau(b^{-1}(e_{\mathcal{A}} - b) + e_{\mathcal{A}})b^2 \\ &= -\tau(e_{\mathcal{A}})b^2 - \tau(((e_{\mathcal{A}} - b)^{-1}b)^{-1})b^2 \\ &= -\tau(e_{\mathcal{A}})b^2 - \tau(((e_{\mathcal{A}} - b)^{-1}b)^{-1})((e_{\mathcal{A}} - b)^{-1}b)((e_{\mathcal{A}} - b)^{-1}b))(b^{-1}(e_{\mathcal{A}} - b))(b^{-1}(e_{\mathcal{A}} - b))b^2 \\ &= -\tau(e_{\mathcal{A}})b^2 - \tau(((e_{\mathcal{A}} - b)^{-1}b)^{-1})((e_{\mathcal{A}} - b))((b^{-1}(e_{\mathcal{A}} - b))(b^{-1}(e_{\mathcal{A}} - b))b^2 \\ &= -\tau(e_{\mathcal{A}})b^2 + \delta((e_{\mathcal{A}} - b)^{-1}b)(e_{\mathcal{A}} - 2b + b^2) \\ &= -\tau(e_{\mathcal{A}})b^2 + \delta((e_{\mathcal{A}} - b)^{-1} - e_{\mathcal{A}})(e_{\mathcal{A}} - 2b + b^2) \\ &= -\tau(e_{\mathcal{A}})b^2 + \delta((e_{\mathcal{A}} - b)^{-1} - e_{\mathcal{A}})(e_{\mathcal{A}} - 2b + b^2) \\ &= -\tau(e_{\mathcal{A}})b^2 - \delta(e_{\mathcal{A}})(e_{\mathcal{A}} - b)^2 - \tau(e_{\mathcal{A}} - b)(e_{\mathcal{A}} - b)^{-1}(e_{\mathcal{A}} - b)^2 \\ &= -\tau(e_{\mathcal{A}})b^2 - \delta(e_{\mathcal{A}})(e_{\mathcal{A}} - b)^2 - \tau(e_{\mathcal{A}} - b)(e_{\mathcal{A}} - b)^{-1}(e_{\mathcal{A}} - b)^2 \\ &= -\tau(e_{\mathcal{A}})b^2 - \delta(e_{\mathcal{A}})(e_{\mathcal{A}} - b)^2 - \tau(e_{\mathcal{A}} - b) \\ &= -\tau(e_{\mathcal{A}})b^2 - \delta(e_{\mathcal{A}}) + 2\delta(e_{\mathcal{A}})b - \delta(e_{\mathcal{A}})b^2 - \tau(e_{\mathcal{A}}) + \tau(b). \end{split}$$

$$\delta(b) - \delta(e_{\mathcal{A}})b = \tau(b) - \tau(e_{\mathcal{A}})b.$$

Since  $\delta(e_{\mathcal{A}}) + \tau(e_{\mathcal{A}}) = 0$ , we have

$$\delta(b) - 2\delta(e_{\mathcal{A}})b = \tau(b), \qquad b \in \mathcal{A}.$$
(15)

On the other hand,

$$\delta(b)b^{-1} + \tau(b^{-1})b = 0. \tag{16}$$

Multiple relation (15) by  $b^{-1}$  from the right hand side, and applying (16), we arrive at

$$\tau(b)b^{-1} + \tau(b^{-1})b = 2\tau(e_{\mathcal{A}}).$$

For every  $b \in \mathcal{A}$ , let  $|\lambda| \ge ||b||$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then  $\lambda e_{\mathcal{A}} - b$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $(\lambda e_{\mathcal{A}} - b)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{b^k}{\lambda^{k+1}}$ , so  $2\tau(e_{\mathcal{A}}) = \tau(\lambda e_{\mathcal{A}} - b)(\lambda e_{\mathcal{A}} - b)^{-1} + \tau((\lambda e_{\mathcal{A}} - b)^{-1}(\lambda e_{\mathcal{A}} - b))$ 

$$= \lambda(\tau(e_{\mathcal{A}}) - \tau(b))(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{b}{\lambda^{k+1}}) + \sum_{k=0}^{1} \frac{1}{\lambda^{k+1}}\tau(b^{k})(\lambda e_{\mathcal{A}} - b)$$
$$= \lambda\tau(e_{\mathcal{A}})(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{b^{k}}{\lambda^{k+1}}) - \tau(b)(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{b^{k}}{\lambda^{k+1}}) + (\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau(b^{k})}{\lambda^{k}}) - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^{k+1}}\tau(b^{k})b$$

Thus

$$2\tau(e_{\mathcal{A}}) = \tau(e_{\mathcal{A}})((\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{b^k}{\lambda^k}) - \tau(b)\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(b^k)}{\lambda^{k+1}} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau(b^k)}{\lambda^k} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^{k+1}}\tau(b^k)b.$$

Indeed,

$$0 = \tau(e_{\mathcal{A}})(I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(b^k)}{\lambda^k} - 2) - \tau(b) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b^{k-1}}{\lambda^k} + \tau(I) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau(b^k)}{\lambda^k} \lambda^k - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^k} \tau(b^{k-1})b = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^k} (\tau(e_{\mathcal{A}})b^k - \tau(b)b^{k-1} + \tau(b^k) - \tau(b^{k-1})b),$$

for any  $|\lambda| \geq ||b||$ . Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.5 we coclude that  $\tau(e_{\mathcal{A}})b^k - \tau(b)b^{k-1} + \tau(b^k) - \tau(b^{k-1})b = 0$ , for any  $k = 2, 3, \dots$  Let k = 2, we have that

$$\tau(e_{\mathcal{A}})b^2 - \tau(b)b + \tau(b^2) - \tau(b)b = 0.$$

Thus,

$$\tau(b^2) = 2\tau(b)b - \tau(e_{\mathcal{A}})b^2.$$
(17)

Hence  $\tau$  is a generalized Jordan right derivation.

Replacing b by  $b^2$  in (15), we get

$$\delta(b^2) = \tau(b^2) - 2\tau(e_\mathcal{A})b^2. \tag{18}$$

Hence by (15), (17) and (18) we have  $\delta(b^2) = 2\delta(b)b - \delta(e_A)b^2$ , it means that  $\delta$  is a generalized Jordan right derivation.  $\Box$ 

#### Acknowledgements

The author sincerely thank the reviewer for his careful reading, constructive comments and fruitful suggestions that substantially improved the manuscript.

# References

- J. Alaminos, M. Bresar, J. Extremera and A. R. Villena, Maps preserving zero products, *Studia Math*, 193 (2009), 131-159.
- [2] G. An, J. Li and J. He, Zero Jordan product determined algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl*, 475, (2015), 90-93.
- [3] G. An and J. Li, Characterizations of linear mappings through zero products or zero Jordan products, *Elect. J. Linear Algebra*, 31 (2016), 408-424.
- [4] M. Bresar and P. Semrl, Mappings which preserve idempotents, autmomorphisms, and local derivations, *Can. J. Math.*, 45 (3), (1993), 483-496.
- [5] M. Burgos and J. Sanchez-Ortega, On mappings preserving zero products, *Linear Multilinear Algebras*, 61(3) (2013), 323-335.
- [6] H. G. Dales, Banach Algebras and Automatic Continuity, LMS Monographs 24, Clarenden Press, Oxford, (2000).

- [7] H. Ghahramani, On derivations and Jordan derivations through zero products, *Oper. Matrices*, 8 (2014), 759-771.
- [8] H. Ghahramani, Lie centralizers at zero products on a class of operator algebras, Ann. Funct. Anal, 12,34 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1007/s43034-021-00123-y
- [9] S. Ponnusamy and H. Silverman, *Complex Variables with Applications*, Birkhauser, Boston, (2006).
- [10] S. Sakai, C<sup>\*</sup>-algebras and W<sup>\*</sup>-algebras, Springer, New York, (1971).
- [11] A. Zivari-Kazempour, Α. Minapoor, Characterization of *n*-multipliers algebras preserving oron  $\star -$ J.thogonal element, Int. Nonlinear Anal. Appl, http://dx.doi.org/10.22075/ijnaa.2023.29973.4303

#### Ahmad Minapoor

Department of Mathematics Assistant Professor of Mathematics Ayatollah Borujerdi University Borujerd, Iran E-mail: shp\_np@yahoo.com