

Journal of Mathematical Extension  
Journal Pre-proof  
ISSN: 1735-8299  
URL: <http://www.ijmex.com>  
Original Research Paper

## On the Parseval Continuous $K$ - $g$ -Frames and Their Duals

**N. S. Banitaba**  
Yazd University

**S. M. Moshtaghioun\***  
Yazd University

**Abstract.** In this article, we explore the stability properties of continuous  $K$ - $g$ -frames and provide various characterizations related to synthesis and frame operators. Additionally, we extend certain results from continuous  $g$ -frames to tight and parseval continuous  $K$ - $g$ -frames. Furthermore, we establish a continuous  $K$ - $g$ -dual for Parseval continuous  $K$ - $g$ -frames in Hilbert spaces, along with an analysis of their dual properties.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 46B15; 42C15

**Keywords and Phrases:** Continuous  $K$ - $g$ -dual, continuous  $K$ - $g$ -frame, frame operator

### 1 Introduction

The concept of frames in Hilbert spaces was first introduced by Duffin and Schaeffer [12], when they studied some problems in nonharmonic Fourier series in 1952. Daubechies [9] reintroduced the frames as a

---

Received: November 2023; Accepted: January 2025

\*Corresponding Author

generalization of orthonormal bases. Frames are very important and useful in signal processing, image processing, sampling theory and coding theory; for more details see [6, 8, 10].

Note that a sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in a separable Hilbert space  $H$  is called a Bessel sequence if there exists  $B > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle f, f_n \rangle|^2 \leq B \|f\|^2, \quad f \in H.$$

By Theorem 3.2.3 of [7],  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a Bessel sequence if and only if the mapping, define by

$$T : l^2 \longrightarrow H, \quad T(\{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n f_n,$$

is a well-defined bounded linear operator with  $\|T\| \leq \sqrt{B}$ . Then  $T$  is called the synthesis operator of  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . So, the adjoint operator of  $T$  is given by

$$T^*(f) = \{\langle f, f_n \rangle\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \quad f \in H,$$

and is called the analysis operator of  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ .

A sequence  $F = \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in  $H$  is called a frame for  $H$ , if there exist constants  $A, B > 0$  such that

$$A \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle f, f_n \rangle|^2 \leq B \|f\|^2, \quad f \in H.$$

The constants  $A$  and  $B$  are called the lower and upper frame bounds, respectively. We say that a frame is tight (resp. Parseval) if one can choose  $A = B$  (resp.  $A = B = 1$ ). The frame operator of a frame  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is defined by

$$S : H \longrightarrow H, \quad Sf = TT^*f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f, f_n \rangle f_n, \quad f \in H,$$

which is a bounded, positive and invertible operator; see Lemma 5.1.5 of [7].

The important of paying attention to this issue, caused different generalizations of frames to be proposed. In 2006, Sun [23] introduced  $g$ -frames as a generalization of discrete frames. He gave a characterization of  $g$ -frames and studied  $g$ -Riesz bases,  $g$ -orthonormal bases and dual  $g$ -frames. Continuous frames as another generalization of frames with respect to a family of operators indexed by a locally compact space endowed with a Radon measure, was proposed by Ali et al [3]. Some another authors investigated continuous frame theory and discussed the dual of continuous frames, continuous frame operator and pre-frame operator, etc [14, 16, 22].

In 2012,  $K$ -frames was introduced by Găvruta [15], as a new generalization of frames. It is a frame for the range of a bounded linear operator  $K$  on a Hilbert space  $H$ , that reconstruct the members of range of  $K$ . Recently, Abtahi et al [2] introduced and studied the space of all operators  $K \in B(H)$ , such that for a given Bessel sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , to be a  $K$ -frame. They showed that in a separable Hilbert space  $H$ , if  $K, L_1$  and  $L_2$  belong to  $B(H)$  such that  $R(K) \subseteq R(L_1K) \cap R(L_2K)$  and  $F = \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  and  $G = \{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  are two strongly disjoint  $K$ -frames in  $H$ , then  $L_1F + L_2G$  is a  $K$ -frame for  $H$ . We refer the reader to [2, 11, 17, 18, 24].

Alizadeh et al in [4] gave the equivalent characterization of continuous  $K$ - $g$ -frames ( $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frames) for  $H$  and they showed that  $\{\Lambda_{\omega}K^*\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame for  $H$ , where  $K \in B(H)$  and  $\{\Lambda_{\omega}\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a  $c$ - $g$ -frame for  $H$  with respect to  $\{H_{\omega}\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ . Moreover, they proved that  $\{\Lambda_{\omega}T^*\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a  $c$ - $TK$ - $g$ -frame for  $H$ , if  $T, K \in B(H)$  and  $\{\Lambda_{\omega}\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame for  $H$  with respect to  $\{H_{\omega}\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ . Also, they introduced the perturbation of  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frames and proved that if  $\{\Lambda_{\omega}\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame for  $H$  and  $\{\Gamma_{\omega}\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a family of strongly measurable operators, then  $\{\Gamma_{\omega}\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame for  $H$ .

In this article, we generalize some results from  $K$ -frames and  $c$ - $g$ -frames to tight and Parseval  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frames. Then by remembering the concept of  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -duals, we obtain some results about them. Throughout this article,  $(\Omega, \mu)$  is a measure space,  $H, H_1$  and  $H_2$  are separable complex Hilbert spaces and  $B(H_1, H_2)$  is the Banach space of all bounded operators from  $H_1$  into  $H_2$ . We use  $B(H)$  as the abbreviation of  $B(H, H)$ . Also,  $R(K)$  denotes the range of the operator  $K \in B(H)$  and  $\{H_{\omega}\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$

is a family of Hilbert spaces. At first, we review some definitions of generalized frames.

**Definition 1.1.** (see [21]) *Let  $K \in B(H)$  be a bounded operator. A sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $H$  is called a  $K$ -frame for  $H$ , if there exist two positive constants  $A$  and  $B$  such that*

$$A\|K^*f\|^2 \leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty |\langle f, f_n \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|^2, \quad f \in H.$$

If  $K = I$  is the identity operator on  $H$ , then  $K$ -frames are ordinary frames.

**Definition 1.2.** (see [4]) *A mapping  $F = \{f_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  from a measure space  $\Omega$  into  $\bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} H_\omega$  is called strongly measurable, if  $F$  as a mapping from  $\Omega$  to  $\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} H_\omega$  to be measurable.*

**Definition 1.3.** (see [4]) *Let  $K \in B(H)$ . A family  $\{\Lambda_\omega \in B(H, H_\omega) : \omega \in \Omega\}$  is called a continuous  $K$ - $g$ -frame ( $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame) for  $H$  with respect to  $\{H_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ , if*

- (i)  $\{\Lambda_\omega f\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is strongly measurable, for each  $f \in H$ ;
- (ii) There exist constants  $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ , such that

$$A\|K^*f\|^2 \leq \int_\Omega \|\Lambda_\omega f\|^2 d\mu(\omega) \leq B\|f\|^2, \quad f \in H.$$

In particular, if  $K = I$  is the identity operator on  $H$ , then  $\Lambda$  is called a continuous  $g$ -frame ( $c$ - $g$ -frame) for  $H$ .

As usual, the constants  $A, B$  are called the lower and upper  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame bounds, respectively. If  $A\|K^*f\|^2 = \int_\Omega \|\Lambda_\omega f\|^2 d\mu(\omega)$ , for all  $f \in H$ , then  $\{\Lambda_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is called a tight  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame and every tight  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame with  $A = 1$ , is called Parseval  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame.

For a  $c$ - $g$ -Bessel family (or  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame)  $\{\Lambda_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ , the  $c$ - $g$  (or frame) operator  $S : H \rightarrow H$  is defined by

$$\langle Sf, g \rangle = \int_\Omega \langle \Lambda_\omega^* \Lambda_\omega f, g \rangle d\mu(\omega), \quad f, g \in H.$$

It is obvious that  $\langle Sf, f \rangle = \int_\Omega \|\Lambda_\omega f\|^2 d\mu(\omega)$ , for all  $f \in H$ , and so  $S$  is a positive operator. In [4], the authors characterized a  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame by frame operator. Also they showed that, under some conditions, the

frame operator of a  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame is invertible on the closed subspace range  $R(K)$  of  $K$ . In the following, we construct some new  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frames and then we generalize some results from  $K$ -frames and  $c$ - $g$ -frames to tight and Parseval  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frames. In particular, according to bounded operators  $K_1 \in B(H_1)$ ,  $K_2 \in B(H_2)$  and  $T \in B(H_1, H_2)$ , if  $\{\Lambda_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a  $c$ - $K_1$ - $g$ -frame for  $H_1$ , we provide some conditions such that  $\{\Lambda_\omega T^*\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a  $c$ - $K_2$ - $g$ -frame for  $H_2$ . Also we prove that any  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -dual of a Parseval  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame  $\{\Lambda_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is both a Parseval  $c$ - $KK^\dagger$ - $g$ -frame and a Parseval  $c$ - $g$ -frame, where  $K^\dagger$  is the Pseudo-inverse of the operator  $K$  [7].

## 2 Constructing New $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -Frames

In the following, according two bounded operators  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  between Hilbert spaces and a given  $c$ - $K_1$ - $g$ -frame, we construct some new  $c$ - $K_2$ - $g$ -frames. These extend the results of [2]. First, we give the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $K_1, K_2 \in B(H)$ ,  $\alpha$  be a scalar and suppose that  $\{\Lambda_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is both a  $c$ - $K_1$ - $g$ -frame and  $c$ - $K_2$ - $g$ -frame. Then  $\{\Lambda_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a  $c$ - $\alpha K_1$ - $g$ -frame,  $c$ - $K_1 K_2$ - $g$ -frame and  $c$ - $(K_1 + K_2)$ - $g$ -frame.*

**Proof.** For simplicity, it can be assumed that the lower and upper bounds of any two frames are equal. So by assumption, there exist positive constants  $A$  and  $B$  such that

$$A\|K_1^* f\|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} \|\Lambda_\omega f\|^2 d\mu(\omega) \leq B\|f\|^2, \quad (1)$$

and

$$A\|K_2^* f\|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} \|\Lambda_\omega f\|^2 d\mu(\omega) \leq B\|f\|^2, \quad (2)$$

for all  $f \in H$ . For  $\alpha = 0$ , the implication is trivial. If  $\alpha \neq 0$ , then by (1),

$$\frac{A}{|\alpha|^2} \|(\alpha K_1)^* f\|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} \|\Lambda_\omega f\|^2 d\mu(\omega).$$

So  $\{\Lambda_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a  $c$ - $\alpha K_1$ - $g$ -frame. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \|(K_1 K_2)^* f\|^2 &= \|K_2^*(K_1^* f)\|^2 \\ &\leq \|K_2\|^2 \|K_1^* f\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{\|K_2\|^2}{A} \int_{\Omega} \|\Lambda_\omega f\|^2 d\mu(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\frac{A}{\|K_2\|^2} \|(K_1 K_2)^* f\|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} \|\Lambda_\omega f\|^2 d\mu(\omega),$$

for all  $f \in H$ , and  $\{\Lambda_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a  $c$ - $K_1 K_2$ - $g$ -frame.

Finally, by (1), (2) and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(K_1 + K_2)^* f\|^2 &= \|K_1^* f + K_2^* f\|^2 \\ &\leq \|K_1^* f\|^2 + \|K_2^* f\|^2 + 2|\langle K_1^* f, K_2^* f \rangle| \\ &\leq \|K_1^* f\|^2 + \|K_2^* f\|^2 + 2\|K_1^* f\| \|K_2^* f\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{A} \int_{\Omega} \|\Lambda_\omega f\|^2 d\mu(\omega) + \frac{1}{A} \int_{\Omega} \|\Lambda_\omega f\|^2 d\mu(\omega) \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{A} \int_{\Omega} \|\Lambda_\omega f\|^2 d\mu(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$\frac{A}{4} \|(K_1 + K_2)^* f\|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} \|\Lambda_\omega f\|^2 d\mu(\omega),$$

for any  $f \in H$ . It follows that  $\{\Lambda_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a  $c$ - $(K_1 + K_2)$ - $g$ -frame.  $\square$

In [19, 20], the authors have proved the construction of  $K$ - $g$ -frames in discrete case. They also investigated  $g$ -frame representations via a linear operator. Now, we show that for a  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame for  $H$ , according to any operator  $T \in B(H)$ , one can construct some new  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frames.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $\{\Lambda_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  be a  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame for  $H$  and  $T \in B(H)$ . If  $T^* K K^*$  is a positive operator, then  $\{\Lambda_\omega + \Lambda_\omega T\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame for  $H$ .*

**Proof.** By assumption, there exist positive constants  $A$  and  $B$  such that for every  $f$  in the space  $H$ ,

$$A\|K^*f\|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} \|\Lambda_{\omega}f\|^2 d\mu(\omega) \leq B\|f\|^2.$$

So for any  $f \in H$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} A\|K^*(I+T)f\|^2 &\leq \int_{\Omega} \|\Lambda_{\omega}(I+T)f\|^2 d\mu(\omega) \\ &\leq B\|(I+T)f\|^2 \\ &\leq B\|I+T\|^2\|f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $T^*KK^*$  is positive,

$$\begin{aligned} A\|K^*(I+T)f\|^2 &= A(\|K^*f\|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle K^*f, K^*Tf \rangle + \|K^*Tf\|^2) \\ &\geq A(\|K^*f\|^2 + \|K^*Tf\|^2) \\ &\geq A\|K^*f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$A\|K^*f\|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} \|\Lambda_{\omega}(I+T)f\|^2 d\mu(\omega) \leq B\|I+T\|^2\|f\|^2, \quad f \in H,$$

and  $\{\Lambda_{\omega} + \Lambda_{\omega}T\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame for  $H$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** *Suppose that  $K_1 \in B(H_1)$  and  $\{\Lambda_{\omega}\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a  $c$ - $K_1$ - $g$ -frame for  $H_1$ . Let  $K_2 \in B(H_2)$ ,  $T \in B(H_1, H_2)$  has closed range and  $TK_1 = K_2T$ . If  $R(K_2^*) \subset R(T)$ , then  $\{\Lambda_{\omega}T^*\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a  $c$ - $K_2$ - $g$ -frame for  $H_2$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $\{\Lambda_{\omega}\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a  $c$ - $K_1$ - $g$ -frame for  $H_1$ , there exist positive constants  $A, B$  such that

$$A\|K_1^*f\|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} \|\Lambda_{\omega}f\|^2 d\mu(\omega) \leq B\|f\|^2, \quad f \in H_1.$$

Assume that  $h \in H_2$  is arbitrary. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A\|K_1^*T^*h\|^2 &\leq \int_{\Omega} \|\Lambda_{\omega}T^*h\|^2 d\mu(\omega) \\ &\leq B\|T^*h\|^2 \\ &\leq B\|T\|^2\|h\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $TK_1 = K_2T$ ,  $R(K_2^*) \subset R(T)$  and  $R(T)$  is closed, by Lemma 2.2 of [21], for any  $h \in H_2$  we have

$$\|K_1^*T^*h\|^2 = \|T^*K_2^*h\|^2 \geq \|T^\dagger\|^{-2}\|K_2^*h\|^2,$$

where  $T^\dagger$  is the Pseudo-inverse of the operator  $T$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} A\|T^\dagger\|^{-2}\|K_2^*h\|^2 &\leq \int_{\Omega} \|\Lambda_{\omega}T^*h\|^2 d\mu(\omega) \\ &\leq B\|T\|^2\|h\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

That is,  $\{\Lambda_{\omega}T^*\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a  $c$ - $K_2$ - $g$ -frame for  $H_2$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $K \in B(H)$  and  $\{\Lambda_{\omega}\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  be a  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame for  $H$ . Let  $T \in B(H)$  with closed range and  $TK = KT$ . If  $R(K^*) \subset R(T)$ , then  $\{\Lambda_{\omega}T^*\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame for  $H$ .*

Recall that an operator  $T \in B(H_1, H_2)$  is bounded below, if there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that

$$\|Th\| \geq c\|h\|,$$

for all  $h \in H_1$ . It is straightforward to see that the operator  $T$  is surjective, if and only if,  $T^*$  is bounded below, if and only if  $T^*$  is injective and has closed range (see for instance, Lemma 2.4.1 from [7]).

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $K_1 \in B(H_1)$ ,  $\{\Lambda_{\omega}\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  be a tight  $c$ - $K_1$ - $g$ -frame for  $H_1$  and  $K_2 \in B(H_2)$  be a bounded below operator. Let  $T \in B(H_1, H_2)$  such that  $TK_1 = K_2T$ . Then  $\{\Lambda_{\omega}T^*\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a  $c$ - $K_2$ - $g$ -frame for  $H_2$  if and only if  $T$  is surjective.*

**Proof.** If  $T$  is a surjective operator, then by Corollary 3.6 of [21],  $\{\Lambda_{\omega}T^*\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a  $c$ - $K_2$ - $g$ -frame for  $H_2$ .

Conversely, if  $\{\Lambda_{\omega}T^*\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a  $c$ - $K_2$ - $g$ -frame for  $H_2$  with lower bound  $A_1$ , then for any  $h \in H_2$ :

$$A_1\|K_2^*h\|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} \|\Lambda_{\omega}T^*h\|^2 d\mu(\omega).$$

Since  $\{\Lambda_{\omega}\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a tight  $c$ - $K_1$ - $g$ -frame for  $H_1$  with bound  $A$ , then

$$A\|K_1^*f\|^2 = \int_{\Omega} \|\Lambda_{\omega}f\|^2 d\mu(\omega), \quad f \in H_1.$$



Moreover since  $K_1^*T^* = T^*K_2^*$ , for any  $h \in H_2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} A\|T^*K_2^*h\|^2 &= A\|K_1^*T^*h\|^2 \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \|\Lambda_{\omega}T^*h\|^2 d\mu(\omega) \\ &\geq A_1\|K_2^*h\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\|T^*K_2^*h\|^2 \geq \frac{A_1}{A}\|K_2^*h\|^2, \quad h \in H_2.$$

By the fact that  $K_2 \in B(H_2)$  is bounded below,  $K_2^*$  is surjective. Now, the above inequality shows that  $T^*$  is bounded below and so  $T$  is surjective. This completes the proof.  $\square$

Now, we obtain a new tight  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame. We need the following two lemmas:

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $\{\Lambda_{\omega}\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  and  $\{\Gamma_{\omega}\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  be two  $c$ - $g$ -Bessel families with bounds  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  for  $H$ , with respect to  $\{H_{\omega}\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  and  $L_1, L_2 \in B(H, H_1)$ . Then  $\{\Lambda_{\omega}L_1^* + \Gamma_{\omega}L_2^*\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a  $c$ - $g$ -Bessel family for  $H_1$  with respect to  $\{H_{\omega}\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ .*

**Proof.**  $\{\Lambda_{\omega}L_1^* + \Gamma_{\omega}L_2^*\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a  $c$ - $g$ -Bessel family for  $H_1$  if for each  $f \in H_1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \|(\Lambda_{\omega}L_1^* + \Gamma_{\omega}L_2^*)f\|^2 d\mu(\omega) &\leq 2 \int_{\Omega} \|(\Lambda_{\omega}L_1^*)f\|^2 d\mu(\omega) \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\Omega} \|(\Gamma_{\omega}L_2^*)f\|^2 d\mu(\omega) \\ &\leq 2B_1\|L_1^*f\|^2 + 2B_2\|L_2^*f\|^2 \\ &\leq 2B_1\|L_1\|^2\|f\|^2 + 2B_2\|L_2\|^2\|f\|^2 \\ &\leq 2(\sqrt{B_1}\|L_1\| + \sqrt{B_2}\|L_2\|)^2\|f\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is completed.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $\{\Lambda_{\omega}\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  be a  $c$ - $g$ -Bessel family for  $H$ . Then  $\{\Lambda_{\omega}\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a tight  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame for  $H$  with frame operator  $S$  if and only if there exists a constant  $A > 0$  such that  $S = AKK^*$ .*

**Proof.** The family  $\{\Lambda_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a tight  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame if and only if,

$$A\|K^*f\|^2 = \int_{\Omega} \|\Lambda_\omega f\|^2 d\mu(\omega),$$

for all  $f \in H$  and some  $A > 0$ . Since

$$A\|K^*f\|^2 = \langle AKK^*f, f \rangle$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} \|\Lambda_\omega f\|^2 d\mu(\omega) = \langle Sf, f \rangle,$$

for all  $f \in H$ , one can see that  $\{\Lambda_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a tight  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame for  $H$ , if and only if

$$\langle Sf, f \rangle = \langle AKK^*f, f \rangle,$$

for all  $f \in H$ . The positivity of the operators  $S$  and  $AKK^*$  implies that the last assertion is equivalent to  $S = AKK^*$ .  $\square$

For the following theorem, we also need the concept of  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -dual of a  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame, which will be introduced in section 3 from [5].

**Theorem 2.8.** *Suppose that  $K \in B(H)$  and  $L_1, L_2 \in B(H, H_1)$ . If  $\Lambda = \{\Lambda_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame with frame operator  $S_\Lambda$  and  $\Gamma = \{\Gamma_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is its  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -dual with frame operator  $S_\Gamma$ , then  $\{\Lambda_\omega L_1^* + \Gamma_\omega L_2^*\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a tight  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame with respect to  $\{H_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  for  $H_1$ , if and only if there exists constant  $A > 0$  such that*

$$AKK^* = L_1 S_\Lambda L_1^* + L_1 K L_2^* + L_2 K^* L_1^* + L_2 S_\Gamma L_2^*.$$

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.6,  $\{\Lambda_\omega L_1^* + \Gamma_\omega L_2^*\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a  $c$ - $g$ -Bessel family for  $H_1$ . If  $S$  is the frame operator of this family, then for any  $f \in H_1$  :

$$\begin{aligned} Sf &= \int_{\Omega} (\Lambda_\omega L_1^* + \Gamma_\omega L_2^*)^* (\Lambda_\omega L_1^* + \Gamma_\omega L_2^*) f d\mu(\omega) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (L_1 \Lambda_\omega^* + L_2 \Gamma_\omega^*) (\Lambda_\omega L_1^* + \Gamma_\omega L_2^*) f d\mu(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

By the Lemma 2.7 from [13], we have

$$\begin{aligned} Sf &= L_1 \int_{\Omega} \Lambda_\omega^* \Lambda_\omega L_1^* f d\mu(\omega) + L_1 \int_{\Omega} \Lambda_\omega^* \Gamma_\omega L_2^* f d\mu(\omega) \\ &+ L_2 \int_{\Omega} \Gamma_\omega^* \Lambda_\omega L_1^* f d\mu(\omega) + L_2 \int_{\Omega} \Gamma_\omega^* \Gamma_\omega L_2^* f d\mu(\omega) \\ &= L_1 S_\Lambda L_1^* f + L_1 K L_2^* f + L_2 K^* L_1^* f + L_2 S_\Gamma L_2^* f. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemma 2.7, the family  $\{\Lambda_\omega L_1^* + \Gamma_\omega L_2^*\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a tight  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame if and only if, there exists constant  $A > 0$  such that  $S = AKK^*$ , or equivalently,

$$AKK^* = L_1 S_\Lambda L_1^* + L_1 K L_2^* + L_2 K^* L_1^* + L_2 S_\Gamma L_2^*,$$

and the proof is completed.  $\square$

### 3 $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -Duals

In this section, it is introduced the  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -dual frame of a given  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame and we obtain  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -dual of Parseval  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frames. Then we obtain synthesis operator related to  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -duals. The following theorem is an important result in the study of the  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -duals. We refer to [4, 5] for details.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $K \in B(H)$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\{\Lambda_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame for  $H$  with respect to  $\{H_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ .
- (ii)  $\{\Lambda_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a  $c$ - $g$ -Bessel family for  $H$  with respect to  $\{H_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  and there exists a  $c$ - $g$ -Bessel family  $\{\Gamma_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  for  $H$  with respect to  $\{H_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  such that,

$$\langle Kf, h \rangle = \int_\Omega \langle \Lambda_\omega^* \Gamma_\omega f, h \rangle d\mu(\omega), \quad f, h \in H.$$

So, for any  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame  $\{\Lambda_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ , we have a  $c$ - $g$ -Bessel family

$$\{\Gamma_\omega \in B(H, H_\omega) : \omega \in \Omega\}$$

such that

$$Kf = \int_\Omega \Lambda_\omega^* \Gamma_\omega f d\mu(\omega), \quad f \in H,$$

and

$$K^* f = \int_\Omega \Gamma_\omega^* \Lambda_\omega f d\mu(\omega), \quad f \in H.$$

The family  $\{\Gamma_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is called a  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -dual of  $\{\Lambda_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  and it is a  $c$ - $K^*$ - $g$ -frame. It is obvious that, under the above assumptions,  $\{\Lambda_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a  $c$ - $K^*$ - $g$ -dual of  $\{\Gamma_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  and if  $K$  is a self adjoint operator,  $\{\Lambda_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  and  $\{\Gamma_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  are called  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -dual pairs.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Suppose that  $K \in B(H)$  such that  $K^*$  is surjective and  $\{\Lambda_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a Parseval  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame for  $H$ . Then  $\{\Lambda_\omega(K^*)^\dagger\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -dual of  $\{\Lambda_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ . Moreover,  $\{\Lambda_\omega(K^*)^\dagger\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is both a Parseval  $c$ - $K^\dagger K$ - $g$ -frame and Parseval  $c$ - $g$ -frame.*

**Proof.** Assume that  $\{\Lambda_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a Parseval  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame. Then

$$\langle K^*f, K^*f \rangle = \int_\omega \langle \Lambda_\omega f, \Lambda_\omega f \rangle d\mu(\omega), \quad f \in H,$$

and we have

$$KK^*f = \int_\Omega \Lambda_\omega^* \Lambda_\omega f d\mu(\omega), \quad f \in H.$$

Since  $K^*$  is surjective,

$$f = K^*(K^*)^\dagger f = K^*(K^\dagger)^* f, \quad (3)$$

for any  $f \in H$ , and so

$$Kf = KK^*(K^\dagger)^* f = \int_\Omega \Lambda_\omega^* \Lambda_\omega (K^\dagger)^* f d\mu(\omega). \quad (4)$$

Therefore,  $\{\Lambda_\omega(K^\dagger)^*\}_{\omega \in \Omega} = \{\Lambda_\omega(K^*)^\dagger\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -dual of  $\{\Lambda_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ .

Now, if we product both sides of the relation (4) by  $(K^\dagger)^* f$ , then for any  $f \in H$  we have

$$\int_\Omega \|\Lambda_\omega(K^\dagger)^* f\|^2 d\mu(\omega) = \|K^*(K^\dagger)^* f\|^2 = \|(K^\dagger K)^* f\|^2, \quad (5)$$

and  $\{\Lambda_\omega(K^\dagger)^*\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a Parseval  $c$ - $K^\dagger K$ - $g$ -frame for  $H$ . Finally, by (3), the operator  $K^*(K^*)^\dagger$  and so  $K^\dagger K$  is the identity operator on  $H$ , and so (5) implies that  $\{\Lambda_\omega(K^*)^\dagger\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a Parseval  $c$ - $g$ -frame.  $\square$

**Definition 3.3.** A  $c$ - $g$ -Bessel sequence  $\{\Lambda_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  in  $H$  is independent, if for a  $c$ - $g$ -Bessel sequence  $\{\Psi_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  in  $H$  and all  $f \in H$ ,

$$\int_{\Omega} \Lambda_\omega^* \Psi_\omega f d\mu(\omega) = 0,$$

implies that  $\Psi_\omega = 0$  a.e.

In the followig, we inspect the independent relation between a Parseval  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame  $\{\Lambda_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  and  $\{\Lambda_\omega(K^\dagger)^*\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  as its  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -dual.

**Theorem 3.4.** Suppose that  $K \in B(H)$  such that  $K^*$  is surjective and  $\{\Lambda_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a Parseval  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame for  $H$ . Then  $\{\Lambda_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is independent if and only if its  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -dual  $\{\Lambda_\omega(K^\dagger)^*\}_{\omega \in \omega}$  is independent.

**Proof.** Assume that  $\{\Lambda_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is independent. By Lemma 2.7, the frame operator related to  $\{\Lambda_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  equals to  $KK^*$ . Since  $K^\dagger$  is the Pseudo-inverse of the operator  $K$ , then

$$\int_{\Omega} \Lambda_\omega^* \Lambda_\omega f d\mu(\omega) = \int_{\Omega} \Lambda_\omega^* \Lambda_\omega (K^\dagger)^* K^* f d\mu(\omega), \quad f \in H.$$

Therefore

$$\int_{\Omega} \Lambda_\omega^* (\Lambda_\omega - \Lambda_\omega (K^\dagger)^* K^*) f d\mu(\omega) = 0,$$

which implies that  $\Lambda_\omega = \Lambda_\omega (K^\dagger)^* K^*$  and so  $\Lambda_\omega^* = KK^\dagger \Lambda_\omega^*$ , a.e. Now let  $\int_{\Omega} (\Lambda_\omega (K^\dagger)^*)^* \Psi_\omega f d\mu(\omega) = 0$ , for a  $c$ - $g$ -Bessel sequence  $\{\Psi_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  and all  $f \in H$ . Then by Lemma 2.7 of [13], one can observe that

$$K \int_{\Omega} K^\dagger \Lambda_\omega^* \Psi_\omega f d\mu(\omega) = \int_{\Omega} KK^\dagger \Lambda_\omega^* \Psi_\omega f d\mu(\omega) = 0.$$

So,  $\int_{\Omega} \Lambda_\omega^* \Psi_\omega f d\mu(\omega) = 0$  and by assumption,  $\Psi_\omega = 0$ , a.e.

Conversely, let  $\{\Lambda_\omega (K^\dagger)^*\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  be independent and for a  $c$ - $g$ -Bessel sequence  $\{\Psi_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ , we have  $\int_{\Omega} \Lambda_\omega^* \Psi_\omega f d\mu(\omega) = 0$ , for all  $f \in H$ . Then

$$\int_{\Omega} (\Lambda_\omega (K^\dagger)^*)^* \Psi_\omega f d\mu(\omega) = K^\dagger \int_{\Omega} \Lambda_\omega^* \Psi_\omega f d\mu(\omega) = 0.$$

By assumption,  $\Psi_\omega = 0$ , a.e. So  $\{\Lambda_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is independent.  $\square$   
 Now, we intend to find the synthesis operator related to  $c$ - $K^\dagger K$ - $g$ -frame  $\{\Lambda_\omega(K^\dagger)^*\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ . Recall from [1] or [4] that the space

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} H_\omega, \mu \right)_{L^2} \\ &= \left\{ F \in \prod_{\omega \in \Omega} H_\omega : F \text{ is strongly measurable, } \int_{\Omega} \|F(\omega)\|^2 d\mu(\omega) < \infty \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

with inner product given by

$$\langle F, G \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \langle F(\omega), G(\omega) \rangle d\mu(\omega),$$

is a Hilbert space. We initiate with a theorem about the synthesis operator related to  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frames.

**Theorem 3.5.** (See [4]) *Let  $K \in B(H)$  and  $(\Omega, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space. Suppose that  $\{\Lambda_\omega \in B(H, H_\omega) : \omega \in \Omega\}$  is a family of operators such that  $\{\Lambda_\omega f\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is strongly measurable, for each  $f \in H$ . Then  $\{\Lambda_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame for  $H$  with respect to  $\{H_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  if and only if the operator*

$$T : \left( \bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} H_\omega, \mu \right)_{L^2} \longrightarrow H,$$

weakly defined by

$$\langle TF, g \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \langle \Lambda_\omega^* F(\omega), g \rangle d\mu(\omega), \quad F \in \left( \bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} H_\omega, \mu \right)_{L^2}, \quad g \in H,$$

is bounded and  $R(K) \subseteq R(T)$ .

In this case, the adjoint  $T^*$  of  $T$  is defined by

$$(T^*g)(\omega) = \Lambda_\omega(g), \quad \omega \in \Omega, \quad g \in H,$$

and  $\|T\| \leq \sqrt{B}$ , where  $B$  is the upper  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame bound of  $\{\Lambda_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ . The above operator  $T$  and its adjoint  $T^*$  are called the synthesis operator and analysis operator related to  $\{\Lambda_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ , respectively.

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $K \in B(H)$  such that  $K^*$  is surjective. Let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure on  $\Omega$ . If  $\{\Lambda_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame for  $H$  with respect to  $\{H_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  and synthesis operator  $T$ , then the synthesis operator  $T_\Gamma$  related to  $\Gamma = \{\Lambda_\omega(K^\dagger)^*\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is the bounded operator  $T_\Gamma = K^\dagger T$ . Also*

$$(T_\Gamma^*g)(\omega) = \Lambda_\omega(K^\dagger)^*g,$$

for all  $\omega \in \Omega$  and  $g \in H$ .

**Proof.** By Theorems 3.1 and 3.2,  $\Gamma$  is a  $c$ - $K^*$ - $g$ -frame for  $H$ . Now, Theorem 3.5 implies that for all  $F \in (\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} H_\omega, \mu)_{L^2}$  and all  $g \in H$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_\Gamma F, g \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} \langle (\Lambda_\omega(K^\dagger)^*)^* F(\omega), g \rangle d\mu(\omega) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \langle K^\dagger \Lambda_\omega^* F(\omega), g \rangle d\mu(\omega) \\ &= \langle K^\dagger T F, g \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and then  $T_\Gamma = K^\dagger T$ . The second part of corollary, is also a direct consequence of Theorem 3.5.  $\square$

Finally, we find the frame operator  $S_\Gamma$  related to the  $c$ - $g$ -frame  $\Gamma = \{\Lambda_\omega(K^*)^\dagger\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ , as stated in Theorem 3.2. If  $S$  is the frame operator related to the Parseval  $c$ - $K$ - $g$ -frame  $\{\Lambda_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ , then for any  $f, g \in H$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_\Gamma f, g \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} \langle \Lambda_\omega(K^*)^\dagger f, \Lambda_\omega(K^*)^\dagger g \rangle d\mu(\omega) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \langle K^\dagger \Lambda_\omega^* \Lambda_\omega(K^*)^\dagger f, g \rangle d\mu(\omega) \\ &= \left\langle \int_{\Omega} K^\dagger \Lambda_\omega^* \Lambda_\omega(K^*)^\dagger f d\mu(\omega), g \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle K^\dagger \int_{\Omega} \Lambda_\omega^* \Lambda_\omega(K^*)^\dagger f d\mu(\omega), g \right\rangle \\ &= \langle K^\dagger S(K^*)^\dagger f, g \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $S_\Gamma = K^\dagger S(K^*)^\dagger$ .

### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express the deepest gratitude to the reviewers for their valuable suggestions.

## References

- [1] M.R. Abdollahpour and M.H. Faroughi, Continuous g-frames in Hilbert spaces, *Southeast Asian Bull Math*, 32 (2008), 1-19.
- [2] F. Abtahi, Z. Kamali and Z. Keyshams, On the sum of generalized frames in Hilbert spaces, *Mediterr. J. Math. Soc.*, 18 (2011), 177-198.
- [3] S.T. Ali, J.P. Antoine and J.P. Gazeau, Continuous frames in Hilbert spaces, *Ann. Phys.*, 222 (1993), 1-37.
- [4] E. Alizadeh, A. Rahimi, E. Osgooei and M. Rahmani, Continuous K-g-frames in Hilbert spaces, *Bull. Iran Math. Soc.*, 45 (2019), 1091-1104.
- [5] E. Alizadeh, A. Rahimi, E. Osgooei and M. Rahmani, Some properties of continuous K-g-frames in Hilbert spaces, *UPB Sci. BA.*, 81 (2019), 43-52.
- [6] P.G. Casazza, The art of frame theory, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, 4 (2000), 129-201.
- [7] O. Christensen, *An Introduction to Frames and Riesz Bases*, 2End Edn. Birkhäuser, basel, (2016).
- [8] C.K. Chui, *An Introduction to Wavelets*, Academic Press Inc, boston, (1992).
- [9] I. Daubechies, A. Grossmann and Y. Meyer, Painless nonorthogonal expansions, *J. Math. Phys.*, 27 (1986), 1271-1283.
- [10] I. Daubechies, *Ten Lectures on Wavelets*, SIAM. Conf. Series in Applied Math. boston, (1992).
- [11] M.L. Ding, X.C. Xiao and X.M. Zeng, Tight K-frames in Hilbert spaces (in chinese), *Acta Math. Sin.*, 56 (2013), 105-112.
- [12] R.J. Duffin and A.C. Schaeffer, A class of nonharmonic Fourier series, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 72 (1952), 341-366.



- [13] H. Faraj, M. Rossafi, B. Moalige, S. Kabbaj and A. Touri, Dual continuous  $K$ -frames in Hilbert spaces, *J. Math. Comput. Sci.*, 11 (2021), 7844-7856.
- [14] M. Fornasier and H. Rauhut, Continuous frames, function spaces and the discretization problem, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 3 (2005), 245-287.
- [15] L. Găvruta, Frames of operators, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 32 (2012), 139-144.
- [16] D. Han, D.R. Larson, B. Liu and R. Liu, *Operator-valued measures, dilations and the theory of frames*, 229-1075, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., (2014).
- [17] M. He, J. Leng, J. Yu and Y. Xu, On the sum of  $K$ -frames in Hilbert spaces, *Mediterr. J. Math.*, 17 (2020), 1-19.
- [18] Y. Huang, Sh. Shi, New constructions of  $K$ - $g$ -frames, *Results Math.*, 73 (2018), 1-13.
- [19] S. Jahedi, F. Javadi and M.J. Mehdipour, On  $g$ -frame representations via linear operators, *J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl.*, (2023), 14-52.
- [20] F. Javadi and M.J. Mehdipour, On constructions of  $K$ - $g$ -frames in Hilbert spaces, *Mediterr. J. Math.*, 18 (2021), 210.
- [21] M. Jia, Y.C. Zhu, Some results about the operator perturbation of a  $K$ -frame, *Results Math.*, 73 (2018), 1-11.
- [22] A. Rahimi, A. Najati and Y.N. Dehghan, Continuous frames in Hilbert spaces, *Methods Funct. Anal. Topol.*, 12 (2006), 170-182.
- [23] W. Sun,  $G$ -frames and  $g$ -Riesz bases, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 322 (2006), 437-452.
- [24] Y. Zhou and Y.C. Zhu,  $K$ - $g$ -frames and dual  $g$ -frames for closed subspaces, *Acta Math. Sin. (Chin. Ser)*, 56 (2013), 799-806.

**Narjes S. Banitaba**

PhD Candidate of Mathematics

Department of Mathematics, Yazd University

Yazd, Iran

E-mail: n.banitaba@pnu.ac.ir

**S. Mohammad Moshtaghioun**

Associate Professor of Mathematics

Department of Mathematics, Yazd University,

Yazd, Iran

E-mail: moshtagh@yazd.ac.ir