Journal of Mathematical Extension Vol. 17, No. 10, (2023) (1)1-23

URL: https://doi.org/10.30495/JME.2023.2584

ISSN: 1735-8299

Original Research Paper

# **GN-operators**

#### R. Ahmadi

University of Tabriz

### Gh. Rahimlou\*

Technical and Vocational University

**Abstract.** In this article, first we are going to review the concept of ordinary frames , in more general case in measure spaces, namely, gc-frames. We try to develop the use of measure space in describing frames. Then by means of the gc-frames, we shall introduce gn-operators, which we shall show that each trace class operator has a vector-valued integral representation and vice-versa

AMS Subject Classification: 46C07; 28A25; 41A58; 42C15. Keywords and Phrases: Hilbert space, Lebesgue integral, trace class operator, frame, measure space.

# 1 Introduction

Frame were first introduced in 1952 by Duffin and Schaeffer [10], reintroduced in 1986 by Daubechies, Grossman, and Meyer [9]. In the last twenty years, the theory of frames have been employed in numerous applications such as filter bank theory [5], sigma-data quantization [4],

Received: November 2022; Accepted: December 2023

\*Corresponding Author

signal and image processing [6], sensor networks [14], and wireless communications [12]. However, a large number of new applications have emerged.

One of the main virtues of frames is that, given a frame we can get properties of a function and reconstruct it only from the frame coefficients, a sequence of complex numbers.

Various generalizations of frame theory have been proposed recently. For example, frames of subspaces [3, 7], g-frames [23], continuous g-frames [1], Continuous k-frames [17, 16], Continuous weaving G-frames [2], Continuous weaving fusion frames [20], Continuous k-fusion frames [21] and K-g-fusion frames [21].

The point of view of the g-frames is based on operator theory. The point of view of the gc-frames is completely different and it is based on reconstruction a quantity by means of a repeated sequence of members of a Hilbert space, which is worthy in applications. However, we shall consider it in more general case, generalization of the ordinary frames according to repeated Lebesgue integrals.

Throughout this paper H will be a Hilbert space. Also,  $(X, \mu)$  will be a measure space and  $\{(Y_x, \mu_x)\}_{x \in X}$  will be a class of measure spaces. We shall denote by  $\mathcal{M}_H$  the class of all mappings of a measure space to H. Also  $H_1$  will be denote the unit ball of H.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $L^2(X, H)$  be the class of all measurable mappings  $f: X \to H$  such that

$$||f||_2^2 = \int_X ||f(x)||^2 d\mu < \infty.$$

By the polar identity we conclude that for each  $f, g \in L^2(X, H)$ , the mapping  $x \mapsto \langle f(x), g(x) \rangle$  of X to  $\mathbb C$  is measurable, and it can be proved that  $L^2(X, H)$  is a Hilbert space with the inner product defined by

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_X \langle f(x), g(x) \rangle d\mu.$$

We shall write  $L^2(X)$  when  $H = \mathbb{C}$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be sequence in H. We say that  $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is a frame for H if there exist constants  $0 < A \le B < \infty$  such that

$$A||h||^2 \le \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\langle f_n, h \rangle|^2 \le B||h||^2, \quad h \in H.$$

The next definition is the continuous version of frames.

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $f: X \to H$  be weakly measurable (i.e. for each  $h \in H$ , the mapping  $x \mapsto \langle f(x), h \rangle$  is measurable). We say that f is a c-frame (continuous frame) for H if there exist constants  $0 < A_f \le B_f < \infty$  such that

$$A_f||h||^2 \le \int_X |\langle f(x), h\rangle|^2 d\mu \le B_f||h||^2, \quad h \in H.$$

The following Lemmas can be found in operator theory text books [15, 18], which we shall use them.

**Lemma 1.4.** Let  $u: K \to H$  be a bounded operator with closed range  $\mathcal{R}_u$ . Then there exists a bounded operator  $u^{\dagger}: H \to K$  for which

$$uu^{\dagger}f = f, \quad f \in \mathcal{R}_u.$$

Also,  $u^*: H \to K$  has closed range and  $(u^*)^{\dagger} = (u^{\dagger})^*$ .

**Lemma 1.5.** Let  $u: K \to H$  be a bounded surjective operator. Given  $y \in H$ , the equation ux = y has a unique solution of minimal norm, namely,  $x = u^{\dagger}y$ .

Lemma 1.6. Let u be a self-adjoint bounded operator on H. Let

$$m_u = \inf_{||h||=1} \langle uh, h \rangle$$
 and  $M_u = \sup_{||h||=1} \langle uh, h \rangle$ .

Then,  $m_u, M_u \in \sigma(u)$ .

# 2 GC-frames

In this section we shall introduce gc-frames which is the generalization of the ordinary frames.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $f: X \to \mathcal{M}_H$ ,  $x \mapsto f_x$  and let for each  $x \in X$ ,  $f_x: Y_x \to H$ . We say that f is weakly measurable if

- (i) for each  $x \in X$ ,  $f_x : (Y_x, \mu_x) \to H$  is weakly measurable, and
- (ii) for each  $h \in H$ , the mapping

$$X \to \mathbb{C}, \quad x \mapsto \int_{Y_x} \langle f_x(y), h \rangle d\mu_x$$

is measurable.

We are now ready to give the central definition.

**Definition 2.2.** We say that  $f: X \to \mathcal{M}_H$  is a gc-frame (generalized continuous frame ) for H if f is weakly measurable and there exist constants  $0 < A_f \le B_f < \infty$  such that

$$A_f||h||^2 \le \int_X |\int_{Y_x} \langle f_x(y), h \rangle d\mu_x|^2 d\mu \le B_f||h||^2, \quad h \in H.$$

We call  $A_f$  and  $B_f$  the gc-frame bounds. The gc-frame f is called a tight gc-frame if  $A_f$  and  $B_f$  can be chosen so that  $A_f = B_f$ , and a Parseval gc-frame provided that  $A_f = B_f = 1$ . If just the right hand inequality satisfies then we say that f is a gc-Bessel mapping for H with gc-Bessel bound  $B_f$ .

By the following example, each c-frame for H is indeed a gc-frame for H.

**Example 2.3.** Let  $f: X \to H$  be weakly measurable. Let  $g: X \to \mathcal{M}_H$  be a gc-frame for H where  $(Y, \lambda)$  is a finite measure space with  $\lambda(Y) = 1$ . Let for each  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ ,  $g_x(y) = f(x)$ . Then we get

$$|A_g||h||^2 \le \int_Y |\langle f(x), h \rangle|^2 d\mu \le |B_g||h||^2, \quad h \in H,$$

which is the usual c-frame.

Let  $f: X \to \mathcal{M}_H$  be a gc-Bessel mapping for H with gc-Bessel bound  $B_f$ . We define the gc-pre-frame mapping  $T_f: L^2(X) \to H$  as a vector-valued mapping by

$$\langle T_f(g), h \rangle = \int_X \int_{Y_x} \langle f_x, h \rangle g d\mu_x d\mu, \quad h \in H.$$

Since

$$\begin{split} |\int_X \int_{Y_x} \langle f_x, h \rangle g d\mu_x d\mu| & \leq \int_X |\int_{Y_x} \langle f_x, h \rangle d\mu_x ||g| d\mu \\ & \leq \left( \int_X |\int_{Y_x} \langle f_x, h \rangle d\mu_x |^2 d\mu \right)^{1/2} (\int_X |g|^2 d\mu)^{1/2} \\ & \leq B_f^{1/2} ||g||_2 ||h||, \end{split}$$

 $T_f$  is well-defined. Since

$$||T_f(g)|| = \sup_{h \in H_1} |\langle T(g), h \rangle| \le B_f^{1/2} ||g||,$$

 $T_f$  is a bounded linear mapping. Let  $T_f^*: H \to L^2(X)$  be its adjoint. We have

$$\langle T_f^*(h), g \rangle = \langle h, T_f(g) \rangle = \int_X \int_{Y_x} \langle h, f_x \rangle \overline{g} d\mu_x d\mu.$$

Since for each  $h \in H$ , the mapping

$$\int_{Y} \langle h, f_{\cdot} \rangle d\mu_{\cdot} : X \to \mathbb{C}, \quad x \mapsto \int_{Y_{x}} \langle h, f_{x} \rangle d\mu_{x}$$

belongs to  $L^2(X)$ ,

$$\langle T_f^*(h), g \rangle = \langle \int_Y \langle h, f_{\cdot} \rangle d\mu_{\cdot}, g \rangle.$$

Thus the gc-analysis operator is defined by

$$T_f^*: H \to L^2(X), \quad T_f^*(h) = \int_Y \langle h, f_{\cdot} \rangle d\mu_{\cdot}.$$

By composing  $T_f$  and  $T_f^*$ , we obtain the gc-frame operator

$$S_f: H \to H, \quad S_f(h) = TT^*(h).$$

Let  $f: X \to \mathcal{M}_H$  be a gc-frame with frame bounds  $A_f$  and  $B_f$ . Then

$$A_f I \leq S_f \leq B_f I$$
.

Hence S is a positive invertible operator.

Let  $f: X \to \mathcal{M}_H$  be a gc-Bessel mapping. Then the gc-pre-frame and gc-frame operators are vector-valued mappings which are defined by

$$T_f(g) = \int_X g(x) \int_{Y_x} f_x d\mu_x d\mu, \quad g \in L^2(X)$$

where

$$\langle T_f(g), h \rangle = \int_X g(x) \int_{Y_x} \langle f_x, h \rangle d\mu_x d\mu, \quad h \in H$$

and

$$S_f(h) = T_f(\int_Y \langle h, f_{\cdot} \rangle d\mu_{\cdot}) = \int_X \int_{Y_x} \langle h, f_x \rangle d\mu_x \int_{Y_x} f_x d\mu_x d\mu, \quad h \in H$$

where

$$\langle S(h), h \rangle = \int_{X} \int_{Y_{x}} \langle h, f_{x} \rangle d\mu_{x} \int_{Y_{x}} \langle f_{x}, h \rangle d\mu_{x} d\mu$$

$$= \int_{X} |\int_{Y_{x}} \langle f_{x}, h \rangle d\mu_{x}|^{2} d\mu$$

$$= ||\int_{Y} \langle h, f_{x} \rangle d\mu_{x}|^{2}.$$

We now give a characterization of gc-frames in term of the gc-preframe operator. It is not involve any knowledge of the frame bounds.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $f: X \to \mathcal{M}_H$  be a gc-Bessel mapping. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) The frame operator  $S_f$  is invertible.
- (ii) The mapping f is a gc-frame.
- (iii) The gc-pre-frame operator  $T_f$  is surjective.

**Proof.**  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$  Let  $S_f$  be invertible. Since

$$\inf_{h \in H_1} \langle T_f T_f^*(h), h \rangle \in \sigma(S),$$

 $\inf_{h\in H_1}\langle T_fT_f^*(h),h\rangle>0$ . Hence f is a gc-frame for H.

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$  Let f be a gc-frame for H with gc-frame lower bound  $A_f$ . Since

$$A_f||h||^2 \le ||T_f^*(h)||^2, h \in H$$

 $T_f$  is surjective.

 $(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$  Let  $T_f$  be surjective. Then there exists A > 0 such that

$$A||h|| \le ||T_f^*(h)||, h \in H.$$

Thus f is a gc-frame for H. So  $S_f$  is invertible.  $\square$ 

The following Theorem indicates a relation between operators and compositions with gc-frames.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let K be a Hilbert space and  $f: X \to \mathcal{M}_H$  be a gc-Bessel mapping for H with gc-pre-frame operator  $T_f$  and upper bound  $B_f$ . Let  $u: H \to K$  be a bounded linear mapping. Then

- (i)  $uf: X \to \mathcal{M}_K$ ,  $x \mapsto uf_x$  is a gc-Bessel mapping for K with gc-pre-frame operator  $T_{uf} = uT_f$  and gc-frame operator  $S_{uf} = uS_fu^*$ .
- (ii) If f is a gc-frame for H then uf is a gc-frame for K if and only if u is surjective.

**Proof.** (i) It is clear that  $uf: X \to \mathcal{M}_K$  is weakly measurable. Since

$$\int_X |\int_{Y_x} \langle u f_x, k \rangle d\mu_x|^2 d\mu \le ||u||^2 B_f ||k||^2, \quad k \in K,$$

uf is a gc-Bessel mapping. Let  $g \in L^2(X)$ . For each  $k \in H$ 

$$\langle T_{uf}(g), k \rangle = \int_{X} \int_{Y_{x}} g(x) \langle uf_{x}, k \rangle d\mu_{x} d\mu$$
$$= \int_{X} \int_{Y_{x}} g(x) \langle f_{x}, u^{*}(k) \rangle d\mu_{x} d\mu$$
$$= \langle uT_{f}(g), k \rangle.$$

So  $T_{uf} = uT_f$ . Also, we have

$$S_{uf} = T_{uf}T_{uf}^* = T_{uf}(T_f^*u^*) = uTT_f^*u^* = uS_fu^*.$$

(ii) It is clear by the Lemma 2.4.

Let f be a gc-frame for H with gc-frame operator  $S_f$ . Then  $S_f^{-1}f$  is a gc-frame for H with gc-frame operator

$$S_{s^{-1}f} = S_f^{-1} S_f S_f^{-1} = S_f^{-1}.$$

So for any  $h \in H$  we have the following retrieval formulas

$$h = S_f S_f^{-1}(h) = \int_X \int_{Y_x} \langle h, S_f^{-1} f_x \rangle d\mu_x \int_{Y_x} f_x d\mu_x d\mu,$$

and

$$h = S_f^{-1} S_f(h) = S_{S^{-1}f}(S_f(h))$$

$$= \int_X \int_{Y_x} \langle S_f(h), S_f^{-1} f_x \rangle d\mu_x \int_{Y_x} S_f^{-1} f_x d\mu_x d\mu$$

$$= \int_X \int_{Y_x} \langle h, f_x \rangle d\mu_x \int_{Y_x} S_f^{-1} f_x d\mu_x d\mu.$$

In the next Theorem,  $T_f^\dagger$  will be the pseudo-inverse of  $T_f$  .

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $f: X \to \mathcal{M}_H$  be a gc-frame for H. Then we have: (i) Let  $g \in L^2(X)$  and  $h = T_f(g)$ . Then

$$||g||_2^2 = \int_X |\int_{Y_x} \langle h, S_f^{-1} f_x \rangle d\mu_x|^2 d\mu + \int_X |g(x) - \int_{Y_x} \langle h, S_f^{-1} f_x \rangle d\mu_x|^2 d\mu.$$

(ii) For each  $h \in H$ ,  $T_f^{\dagger}(h) = \int_{Y_{\cdot}} \langle h, S_f^{-1} f_{\cdot} \rangle d\mu_{\cdot}$ . (iii)  $||T_f^{\dagger}||^{-2} = ||S_f^{-1}||$ .

**Proof.** (i) Since

$$T_{f}(g - \int_{Y_{\cdot}} \langle h, S_{f}^{-1} f_{\cdot} \rangle d\mu_{\cdot}) = h - T_{f}(T_{S_{f}^{-1} f}^{*})(h)$$

$$= h - T_{f}(T_{f}^{*} S_{f}^{-1})(h) = 0,$$

$$g - \int_{Y_{\cdot}} \langle h, S_{f}^{-1} f_{\cdot} \rangle d\mu_{\cdot} \in \ker(T_{f}) = (\mathcal{R}(T_{f}^{*}))^{\perp}.$$

Since  $\int_{Y_{\cdot}} \langle h, S_f^{-1} f_{\cdot} \rangle d\mu_{\cdot} \in \mathcal{R}(T_f^*),$ 

$$||g||_2^2 = ||g - \int_{Y_{\cdot}} \langle h, S_f^{-1} f_{\cdot} \rangle d\mu_{\cdot}||_2^2 + ||\int_{Y_{\cdot}} \langle h, S_f^{-1} f_{\cdot} \rangle d\mu_{\cdot}||_2^2.$$

(ii) Since by the Lemma 1.5,  $T_f^{\dagger}(h)$  is the unique solution of minimal norm of  $T_f(g) = h$ , so

$$\int_X |g(x) - \int_{Y_x} \langle h, S_f^{-1} f_x \rangle d\mu_x|^2 d\mu = 0.$$

Hence,  $g = \int_{Y} \langle h, S_f^{-1} f_{\cdot} \rangle d\mu_{\cdot} = T_f^{\dagger}(h).$ 

(iii) Since f is a gc-frame for H, by the Lemma 2.5,  $S_f^{-1}f$  is also a gc-frame. Therefore

$$||T_f^{\dagger}||^2 = \sup_{h \in H_1} \int_X |\int_{Y_x} \langle h, S_f^{-1} f_x \rangle d\mu_x|^2 d\mu$$

$$= \sup_{h \in H_1} ||T_{S_f^{-1} f}^*(h)||^2$$

$$= ||T_{S_f^{-1} f}^*||^2 = ||S_f^{-1}||.$$

Christensen [8] proved that every frame in a complex Hilbert space is a multiple of a sum of three orthonormal bases. Now, we shall show that a derived vector-valued integral of a gc-Bessel mapping is a multiple of a sum of three orthonormal bases.

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $f: X \to \mathcal{M}_H$  be a gc-Bessel mapping with gc-preframe operator  $T_f$  and  $e = \{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in X}$  be an orthonormal basis for H. Let  $\{\delta_\alpha\}_{\delta \in X}$  be the canonical orthonormal basis for  $l^2(X)$ . Let  $u: H \to l^2(X)$  be the isomorphism which maps  $e_\alpha$  to  $\delta_\alpha$ . Then:

(i) If  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  then there exist oryhonormal bases  $e^i = \{e^i_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in X}, i = 1, 2, 3$  for H such that

$$\int_{Y} f_{\cdot} d\mu_{\cdot} = \frac{||T_{f}||}{1 - \epsilon} (e^{1} + e^{2} + e^{3}).$$

(ii) Let  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  and  $T_{uf} : l^2(X) \to l^2(X)$  be positive. Then there exist orthonormal bases  $e^i = \{e^i_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in X}, i = 1, 2 \text{ for } H \text{ such that }$ 

$$\int_Y f_{\cdot} d\mu_{\cdot} = \frac{||T_f||}{2\epsilon} (e^1 + e^2).$$

**Proof.** (i) If  $||T_f|| = 0$  then  $T_f^* = 0$ . Therefore, for each  $h \in H$ ,  $\int_{Y_i} \langle f, h \rangle d\mu_i = 0$ , so (i) is satisfied. Now, let  $||T_f|| > 0$ . Let  $w : H \to H$  be defined by

$$w = \frac{1}{2}I + \frac{1-\epsilon}{2} \frac{T_f u}{||T_f||}.$$

Since ||I - w|| < 1, w is invertible. So by using the polar decomposition we can write w = vp, where v is an unitary and p is a positive operator.

But, ||p|| < 1, so we can write  $p = \frac{1}{2}(z + z^*)$ , where  $z, z^*$  are unitary operators. Thus

 $T_f u = \frac{||T_f||}{1 - \epsilon} (vz + vz^* - I).$ 

For each  $\alpha \in X$ , we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} T_f u(e_\alpha) & = & T_f(\delta_\alpha) \\ & = & \int_X \int_{Y_x} \delta_\alpha(x) f_x d\mu_x d\mu \\ & = & \int_{Y_\alpha} f_\alpha d\mu_\alpha. \end{array}$$

Therefore

$$\int_{Y_{\cdot}} f_{\cdot} d\mu_{\cdot} = T_f u e = \frac{||T_f||}{1 - \epsilon} (vze + vz^*e - e).$$

Since, vz and  $vz^*$  are unitary operators, vze and  $vz^*e$  are orthonormal bases for H. Thus

$$\int_{Y} f_{\cdot} d\mu_{\cdot} = \frac{||T_f||}{1 - \epsilon} (e^1 + e^2 + e^3),$$

where,  $e^i = \{e^i_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in X}, i = 1, 2, 3$  are orthonormal bases for H. (ii) Since,  $T_{uf}: l^2(X) \to l^2(X)$  is positive and u is a unitary

$$uT_f = \frac{||T_{uf}||}{2\epsilon}(w+w^*)$$
$$= \frac{||T_f||}{2\epsilon}(w+w^*),$$

where w is a unitary operator. We have

$$\int_{Y} f_{\cdot} d\mu_{\cdot} = \frac{||T_{f}||}{2\epsilon} (u^{-1}w + u^{-1}w^{*}).$$

Thus

$$\int_{Y} f_{\cdot} d\mu_{\cdot} = \frac{||T_{f}||}{2\epsilon} (e^{1} + e^{2}),$$

where,  $e^i = \{e^i_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha} \in X}, i = 1, 2 \text{ are orthonormal bases for } H.$ 

The following theorem shows that the role of two gc-Bessel mapping can be interchanged .

**Theorem 2.8.** Let  $f, g: X \to \mathcal{M}_H$  be a gc-Bessel mapping for H with gc-Bessel mapping bounds  $B_f$  and  $B_g$  such that for each  $x \in X$ ,  $f_x:(Y_x,\mu_x)\to H \ and \ g_x:(Z_x,\lambda_x)\to H \ .$  Then the following assertions are equivalent:

(i) For each  $h \in H$ ,  $h = \int_X \int_{Y_x} \langle h, f_x \rangle d\mu_x \int_{Z_x} g_x d\lambda_x d\mu$ .

(ii) For each  $h \in H$ ,  $h = \int_X \int_{Z_x} \langle h, g_x \rangle d\lambda_x \int_{Y_x} f_x d\mu_x d\mu$ .

(iii) For each  $h, k \in H$ ,  $\langle h, k \rangle = \int_X \int_{Y_x} \langle h, f_x \rangle d\mu_x \int_{Z_x} \langle g_x, k \rangle d\lambda_x d\mu$ .

(iv) For each  $h \in H$ ,  $||h||^2 = \int_X \int_{Y_x} \langle h, f_x \rangle d\mu_x \int_{Z_x} \langle g_x, h \rangle d\lambda_x d\mu$ .

**Proof.**  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$  Let  $f, k \in H$ . We have

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \overline{\langle h,k\rangle} & = & \int_{X} \int_{Y_{x}} \langle f_{x},h\rangle d\mu_{x} \int_{Z_{x}} \langle k,g_{x}\rangle d\lambda_{x} d\mu \\ & = & \langle \int_{X} \int_{Z_{x}} \langle k,g_{x}\rangle d\lambda_{x} \int_{Y_{x}} f_{x} d\mu_{x} d\mu, h\rangle. \end{array}$$

Hence,  $k = \int_X \int_{Z_x} \langle k, g_x \rangle d\lambda_x \int_{Y_x} f_x d\mu_x d\mu$ .  $(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$  It is clear.

 $(iv) \Rightarrow (i)$  Let

$$F(h) = \int_{X} \int_{Y_{x}} \langle h, f_{x} \rangle d\mu_{x} \int_{Z_{x}} g_{x} d\mu_{x} d\lambda.$$

It is clear that  $F: H \to H$  is linear. Since

$$\begin{split} ||F(h)|| &= \sup_{k \in H_1} |\langle F(h), k \rangle| \\ &= \sup_{k \in H_1} |\int_X \int_{Y_x} \langle h, f_x \rangle d\mu_x \int_{Z_x} \langle g_x, k \rangle d\lambda_x d\mu| \\ &\leq \sup_{k \in H_1} (\int_X |\int_{Y_x} \langle k, f_x \rangle d\mu_x|^2 d\mu)^{1/2} (\int_X |\int_{Z_x} \langle h, g_x \rangle d\lambda_x|^2 d\mu)^{1/2} \\ &\leq B_f^{1/2} B_g^{1/2} ||h||, \end{split}$$

 $F \in B(H)$ . For each  $h \in H$  we have

$$\begin{split} \langle h,h\rangle &= ||h||^2 \\ &= \int_X \int_{Y_x} \langle h,f_x\rangle d\mu_x \int_{Z_x} \langle g_x,h\rangle d\lambda_x d\mu \\ &= \langle \int_X \int_{Y_x} \langle h,f_x\rangle d\mu_x \int_{Z_x} g_x d\lambda_x d\mu, h\rangle. \end{split}$$

Hence, for each  $h \in H$ ,  $h = \int_X \int_{Y_x} \langle h, f_x \rangle d\mu_x \int_{Z_x} g_x d\lambda_x d\mu$ .  $(iii) \to (iv)$  It is clear.  $\square$ 

**Definition 2.9.** Let  $f, g: X \to \mathcal{M}_H$  be a gc-Bessel mapping for H. We say that f, g is a dual pair if one of the assertions of the Theorem 2.8 satisfies.

Now, we show that for a dual pair the lower gc-frame condition automatically is satisfied .

**Theorem 2.10.** Let  $f, g: X \to \mathcal{M}_H$  be a dual pair. Then f and g are qc-frames for H.

**Proof.** For each  $h \in H$  we have

$$||h||^{2} \leq \left( \int_{X} |\int_{Y_{x}} \langle h, f_{x} \rangle d\mu_{x}|^{2} d\mu \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{X} |\int_{Z_{x}} \langle g_{x}, h \rangle d\lambda_{x}|^{2} d\mu \right)^{1/2}$$
  
$$\leq B_{g} \left( \int_{X} |\int_{Y_{x}} \langle h, f_{x} \rangle d\mu_{x}|^{2} d\mu \right)^{1/2} ||h||.$$

Thus

$$|B_g^{-2}||h||^2 \le \int_X |\int_{Y_x} \langle h, f_x \rangle d\mu_x|^2 d\mu.$$

So f is a gc-frame for H, and similarly, g is a gc-frame for H.  $\square$  The following theorem will indicate all of the dual pairs of a gc-frame.

**Theorem 2.11.** Let  $f, g: X \to \mathcal{M}_H$  be a gc-frame such that for each  $x \in X$ ,  $f_x, g_x: (Y_x, \mu_x) \to H$ . Let  $h \in H$ . Then:

(i) In the retrieval formula

$$h = \int_X \int_{Y_x} \langle S_f^{-1}(h), f_x \rangle d\mu_x \int_{Y_x} f_x d\mu_x d\mu,$$

 $\int_{Y_i} \langle S_f^{-1}(h), f_i \rangle d\mu$ , has least norm among all retrieval formulas.

(ii) For each  $h \in H$ ,  $h = \int_X \int_{Y_x} \langle h, g_x \rangle \int_{Y_x} f_x d\mu_x$  if and only if there exists a gc-Bessel mapping  $l : X \to \mathcal{M}_H$  such that  $g = S_f^{-1} f + l$  where for each  $k \in H$ ,  $\int_Y \langle k, l_{\cdot} \rangle d\mu_{\cdot} \in \ker(T_f)$ .

**Proof.** (i) Let  $q \in L^2(X)$  and  $h = \int_X q(x) \int_{Y_x} f_x d\mu_x d\mu$ . Then for each  $k \in H$ 

$$\langle h, k \rangle = \int_{X} \int_{Y_{x}} \langle S_{f}^{-1}(h), f_{x} \rangle d\mu_{x} \int_{Y_{x}} \langle f_{x}, k \rangle d\mu_{x} d\mu$$
$$= \int_{X} q(x) \int_{Y_{x}} \langle f_{x}, k \rangle d\mu_{x} d\mu.$$

Therefore

$$\langle \int_X \int_{Y_x} (\langle S_f^{-1}(h), f_x \rangle - q(x)) d\mu_x \int_{Y_x} f_x d\mu_x d\mu, k \rangle$$

$$= \int_X \int_{Y_x} (\langle S_f^{-1}(h), f_x \rangle - q(x)) d\mu_x \int_{Y_x} \langle f_x, k \rangle d\mu_x d\mu = 0.$$

So  $T_f(\int_{Y_{\cdot}} \langle S_f^{-1}(h), f_{\cdot} \rangle d\mu_{\cdot} - q) = 0$ . Hence,  $\int_{Y_{\cdot}} \langle S_f^{-1}(h), f_{\cdot} \rangle d\mu_{\cdot} - q \in \ker(T_f)$ . Since  $L^2(X) = \ker(T_f) \oplus \mathcal{R}T_f^*$ ,

$$||q||_2^2 = ||\int_{Y_{\cdot}} \langle S_f^{-1}(h), f_{\cdot} \rangle d\mu_{\cdot} - q||^2 + ||\int_{Y_{\cdot}} \langle S_f^{-1}(h), f_{\cdot} \rangle d\mu_{\cdot}||^2,$$

and (i) is proved.

(ii) Let for each  $h \in H$ ,  $h = \int_X \int_{Y_x} \langle h, g_x \rangle d\mu_x \int_{Y_x} f_x d\mu_x d\mu$ . Let  $g - S_f^{-1} f = l$ . By the Theorem (2.8), for each  $h, k \in H$ 

$$\begin{split} \langle \int_{Y_{\cdot}} \langle k, l_{\cdot} \rangle d\mu_{\cdot}, \int_{Y_{\cdot}} \langle h, f_{\cdot} \rangle d\mu_{\cdot} \rangle &= \langle \int_{Y_{\cdot}} \langle k, g_{\cdot} \rangle d\mu_{\cdot}, \int_{Y_{\cdot}} \langle h, f_{\cdot} \rangle d\mu_{\cdot} \rangle \\ &- \langle \int_{Y_{\cdot}} \langle k, S_{f}^{-1} f_{\cdot} \rangle d\mu_{\cdot}, \int_{Y_{\cdot}} \langle h, f_{\cdot} \rangle d\mu_{\cdot} \rangle \\ &= \langle k, h \rangle - \langle k, h \rangle = 0. \end{split}$$

Hence, for each  $k \in H$ ,  $\int_{Y_{\cdot}} \langle k, l_{\cdot} \rangle d\mu_{\cdot} \in (\mathcal{R}T_{f}^{*})^{\perp} = kerT_{f}$ . Now, let

 $g = S_f^{-1} f + l$  where for each  $k \in H, \int_Y \langle k, l \rangle d\mu \in ker(T_f)$ . We have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{X} \int_{Y_{x}} \langle h, f_{x} \rangle d\mu_{x} \int_{Y_{x}} \langle g_{x}, k \rangle d\mu_{x} d\mu \\ &= \int_{X} \int_{Y_{x}} \langle h, f_{x} \rangle d\mu_{x} \int_{Y_{x}} \langle S_{f}^{-1} f_{x} + l_{x}, k \rangle d\mu_{x} d\mu \\ &= \langle \int_{Y_{\cdot}} \langle h, f_{\cdot} \rangle d\mu_{\cdot}, \int_{Y_{\cdot}} \langle k, S_{f}^{-1} f_{\cdot} + l_{\cdot} \rangle d\mu_{\cdot} \rangle \\ &= \langle \int_{Y_{\cdot}} \langle h, f_{\cdot} \rangle d\mu_{\cdot}, \int_{Y_{\cdot}} \langle k, S_{f}^{-1} f_{\cdot} \rangle d\mu_{\cdot} \rangle \\ &+ \langle \int_{Y_{\cdot}} \langle h, f_{\cdot} \rangle d\mu_{\cdot}, \int_{Y_{\cdot}} \langle k, l_{\cdot} \rangle d\mu_{\cdot} \rangle \\ &= \langle \int_{Y_{\cdot}} \langle h, f_{\cdot} \rangle d\mu_{\cdot}, \int_{Y_{\cdot}} \langle k, S_{f}^{-1} f_{\cdot} \rangle d\mu_{\cdot} \rangle \\ &= \int_{X} \int_{Y_{x}} \langle h, f_{x} \rangle d\mu_{x} \int_{Y_{x}} \langle S^{-1} f_{x}, k \rangle d\mu_{x} d\mu \\ &= \langle h, k \rangle. \end{split}$$

Thus by the Theorem 2.8, for each  $h \in H$ ,

$$h = \int_X \int_{Y_x} \langle h, g_x \rangle d\mu_x \int_{Y_x} f_x d\mu_x d\mu.$$

# 3 GN-operators

Let  $\phi \in B(K, H)$ . The operator  $\phi$  is called an n-operator of K to H, if there exist families  $\{x_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I} \subseteq H, \{y_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I} \subseteq K \text{ such that,}$   $\sum_{\alpha \in I} ||x_{\alpha}|| ||y_{\alpha}|| < \infty \text{ and for each } k \in K,$ 

$$\phi(k) = \sum_{\alpha \in I} \langle k, y_{\alpha} \rangle x_{\alpha}.$$

Each n-operator on H is a trace class operator and vise versa, which in that case

$$tr(|\phi|) =$$

$$\inf\{\sum_{\alpha\in I}||x_\alpha||.||y_\alpha||: \forall h\in H, \phi(h)=\sum_{\alpha\in I}\langle h,y_\alpha\rangle x_\alpha, \sum_\alpha||x_\alpha||||y_\alpha||<\infty\}.$$

In this section, we shall generalized the concept of n-operators according to the gc-frames.

**Definition 3.1.** We shall denote by  $L^2(X, \mathcal{M}_H)$  the class of all  $f: X \to \mathcal{M}_H$  such that :

(i) For each  $x \in X$ ,  $||f_x||: Y_x \to \mathbb{C}$  is measurable.

(ii) The mapping  $\int_{Y_+}^{\infty} ||f_+|| d\mu_-: X \to \mathbb{C}, x \mapsto \int_{Y_x} ||f_x|| d\mu_x$  is measurable and

$$\int_X (\int_{Y_x} ||f_x|| d\mu_x)^2 d\mu < \infty.$$

It is clear that each  $f \in L^2(X, \mathcal{M}_H)$  is a gc-Bessel mapping for H.

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $\phi \in B(K, H)$ . We say that  $\phi$  is a gn-operator of K into H if there exist  $f \in L^2(X, \mathcal{M}_H)$  and  $g \in L^2(X, \mathcal{M}_K)$  with  $f_x : (Y_x, \mu_x) \to H$  and  $g_x : (Z_x, \lambda_x) \to K$  such that

$$\phi(k) = \int_X \int_{Z_x} \langle k, g_x \rangle d\lambda_x \int_{Y_x} f_x d\mu_x d\mu, \quad k \in K.$$

We say that  $\phi$  is  $\sigma$ -finite gn-operator if all of the measure spaces are  $\sigma$ -finite. Also, we say that  $\phi$  is a gn-operator on H if H=K. For each gn-operator  $\phi$  we define its gn-norm by  $||\phi||_{gn}=\inf M$ , where M is the class of all  $\int_X \int_{Y_x} ||f_x|| d\mu_x \int_{Z_x} ||g_x|| d\lambda_x d\mu$  such that  $f \in L^2(X, \mathcal{M}_H)$  and  $g \in L^2(X, \mathcal{M}_K)$  and

$$\phi(k) = \int_X \int_{Z_x} \langle k, g_x \rangle d\lambda_x \int_{Y_x} f_x d\mu_x d\mu, \quad k \in K.$$

The following Lemma indicates a relation between operator norms and gn-norms.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $\phi \in B(K, H)$  be a gn-operator. Then  $||\phi|| \leq ||\phi||_{gn}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f \in L^2(X, \mathcal{M}_H)$ ,  $g \in L^2(X, \mathcal{M}_K)$  and

$$\phi(k) = \int_X \int_{Z_x} \langle k, g_x \rangle d\lambda_x \int_{Y_x} f_x d\mu_x d\mu, \quad k \in K.$$

For each  $k \in K$ , we have

$$||\phi(k)|| = ||\int_{X} \int_{Z_{x}} \langle k, g_{x} \rangle d\lambda_{x} \int_{Y_{x}} f_{x} d\mu_{x} d\mu||$$

$$= \sup_{h \in H_{1}} |\int_{X} \int_{Z_{x}} \langle k, g_{x} \rangle \lambda_{x} \int_{Y_{x}} \langle f_{x}, h \rangle d\mu_{x} d\mu|$$

$$\leq ||k|| \int_{X} \int_{Z_{x}} ||g_{x}|| d\lambda_{x} \int_{Y_{x}} ||f_{x}|| d\mu_{x} d\mu.$$

So  $||\phi|| \leq ||\phi||_{gn}$ .

The next Lemma shows that compositions of gn-operators and operators on Hilbert spaces are gn-operators, and it will indicate the representations of the compositions according to the representations of the gn-operators.

**Lemma 3.4.** If  $\phi \in B(K, H)$  is a gn-operator,  $v \in B(K)$  and  $u \in B(H)$  then  $u\phi v$  is a gn-operator.

### **Proof.** Let

$$\phi(k) = \int_{X} \int_{Z_{x}} \langle k, g_{x} \rangle d\lambda_{x} \int_{Y_{x}} f_{x} d\mu_{x} d\mu, \quad k \in K.$$

By the Theorem (2.5), for each  $k \in K$ , we have

$$u\phi v(k) = u \int_{X} \int_{Z_{x}} \langle v(k), g_{x} \rangle d\lambda_{x} \int_{Y_{x}} f_{x} d\mu_{x} d\mu$$
$$= u \int_{X} \int_{Z_{x}} \langle k, v^{*}g_{x} \rangle d\lambda_{x} \int_{Y_{x}} f_{x} d\mu_{x} d\mu$$
$$= \int_{X} \int_{Z_{x}} \langle k, v^{*}g_{x} \rangle d\lambda_{x} \int_{Y_{x}} u f_{x} d\mu_{x} d\mu.$$

Thus  $u\phi v \in B(K, H)$  is a gn-operator.

Now, we shall show relations between trace class operators, n-operators and gn-operators.

**Theorem 3.5.** The following assertions are satisfied:

- (i) If  $\phi \in B(K, H)$  is an n-operator then  $\phi$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite gn-operator.
- (ii) Let  $\phi$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite gn-operator on H. If

$$\phi(h) = \int_X \int_{Z_x} \langle h, g_x \rangle d\lambda_x \int_{Y_x} f_x d\mu_x d\mu, \quad h \in H$$

then

$$tr(\phi) = \int_X \int_{Z_x \times Y_x} \langle f_x, g_x \rangle d(\lambda_x \times \mu_x) d\mu.$$

(iii) If  $\phi$  is a gn-operator on H then  $\phi$  is a trace class operator and  $||\phi||_{gn} = tr(|\phi|)$ .

**Proof.** (i) Since  $\phi$  is an n-operator, there exist  $\{x_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in I}\subseteq H$ ,  $\{y_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in I}\subseteq K$  such that  $\sum_{\alpha}||x_{\alpha}||||y_{\alpha}||<\infty$  and

$$\phi(k) = \sum_{\alpha} \langle k, y_{\alpha} \rangle x_{\alpha}, \quad k \in K.$$

Without less of generality we can suppose that for each  $\alpha \in I$ ,  $x_{\alpha} \neq 0$  and  $y_{\alpha} \neq 0$ . Since  $\{||x_{\alpha}||||y_{\alpha}||\}_{\alpha \in I} \in l^{2}(I)$ , there exists  $\{a_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I}, \{b_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I} \in l^{2}(I)$  such that  $||x_{\alpha}||||y_{\alpha}|| = a_{\alpha}\overline{b_{\alpha}}$ . Thus

$$\phi(k) = \sum_{\alpha} \langle k, y_{\alpha} \rangle x_{\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha} \langle k, \frac{a_{\alpha} y_{\alpha}}{||y_{\alpha}||} \rangle \frac{b_{\alpha} x_{\alpha}}{||x_{\alpha}||}.$$

Let  $g = \{a_{\alpha}y_{\alpha}/||y_{\alpha}||\}_{\alpha \in I}$  and  $f = \{b_{\alpha}x_{\alpha}/||x_{\alpha}||\}_{\alpha \in I}$ . Let  $f: I \to \mathcal{M}_H, \alpha \mapsto f_{\alpha}$  and  $g: I \to \mathcal{M}_K, \alpha \mapsto g_{\alpha}$  be defined by

$$f_{\alpha}: Y \to H, \ y \mapsto \frac{b_{\alpha}x_{\alpha}}{||x_{\alpha}||} \ \text{and} \ g_{\alpha}: Y \to K, \ y \mapsto \frac{a_{\alpha}y_{\alpha}}{||y_{\alpha}||},$$

where  $(Y, \lambda)$  is any measure space with  $\lambda(Y) = 1$ . Let X = I and  $\mu$  be the counting measure. Then it is evident that f and g are weakly measurable and for each  $h \in H$  and  $k \in K$  we have

$$\int_{X} \int_{Y} \langle k, g_{\alpha} \rangle d\lambda \int_{Y} \langle f_{\alpha}, h \rangle d\lambda d\mu = \sum_{\alpha} \langle k, \frac{a_{\alpha} y_{\alpha}}{||y_{\alpha}||} \rangle \langle \frac{b_{\alpha} x_{\alpha}}{||x_{\alpha}||}, h \rangle$$

$$= \langle \sum_{\alpha} \langle k, \frac{a_{\alpha} y_{\alpha}}{||y_{\alpha}||} \rangle \frac{b_{\alpha} x_{\alpha}}{||x_{\alpha}||}, h \rangle$$

$$= \langle \phi(k), h \rangle.$$

So

$$\phi(k) = \int_X \int_Y \langle k, g_\alpha \rangle d\lambda \int_Y f_\alpha d\lambda d\mu.$$

Hence,  $\phi$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite gn-operator.

(ii) Let

$$\phi(h) = \int_{X} \int_{Z_{x}} \langle h, g_{x} \rangle d\lambda_{x} \int_{Y_{x}} f_{x} d\mu_{x} d\mu, \quad h \in H$$

and let  $\{e_{\alpha}\}$  be an orthonormal basis for H. We have

$$tr(\phi) = \sum_{\alpha} \langle \int_{X} \int_{Z_{x}} \langle e_{\alpha}, g_{x} \rangle d\lambda_{x} \int_{Y_{x}} f_{x} d\mu_{x} d\mu, e_{\alpha} \rangle$$
$$= \sum_{\alpha} \int_{X} \int_{Z_{x}} \langle e_{\alpha}, g_{x} \rangle d\lambda_{x} \int_{Y_{x}} \langle f_{x}, e_{\alpha} \rangle d\mu_{x} d\mu.$$

Since

$$\begin{split} &\int_{X} |\int_{Z_{x}} \langle e_{\alpha}, g_{x} \rangle d\lambda_{x} \int_{Y_{x}} \langle f_{x}, e_{\alpha} \rangle d\mu_{x} | d\mu \\ &\leq &\int_{X} \int_{Z_{x}} |\langle e_{\alpha}, g_{x} \rangle | d\lambda_{x} \int_{Y_{x}} |\langle f_{x}, e_{\alpha} \rangle | d\mu_{x} d\mu \\ &\leq &(\int_{X} (\int_{Z_{x}} ||g_{x}|| d\lambda_{x})^{2} d\mu)^{1/2} (\int_{X} (\int_{Y_{x}} ||f_{x}|| d\mu_{x})^{2} d\mu)^{1/2} \\ &< &\infty, \end{split}$$

and

$$\int_{Z_x} |\langle e_\alpha, g_x \rangle| d\lambda_x \int_{Y_x} |\langle f_x, e_\alpha \rangle| d\mu_x < \infty, \quad a.e[\mu].$$

Thus

$$\int_{Z_x} |\langle e_{\alpha}, g_x \rangle| d\lambda_x \int_{Y_x} |\langle f_x, e_{\alpha} \rangle| d\mu_x$$

$$= \int_{Z_x \times Y_x} |\langle e_{\alpha}, g_x \rangle| |\langle f_x, e_{\alpha}| d(\lambda_x \times \mu_x).$$

Hence

$$\int_{X} \sum_{\alpha} |\int_{Z_{x}} \langle e_{\alpha}, g_{x} \rangle d\lambda_{x} \int_{Y_{x}} \langle f_{x}, e_{\alpha} \rangle d\mu_{x} | d\mu$$

$$\leq \int_{X} \sum_{\alpha} \int_{Z_{x} \times Y_{x}} |\langle e_{\alpha}, g_{x} \rangle| |\langle f_{x}, e_{\alpha} \rangle| d(\lambda_{x} \times \mu_{x}) d\mu$$

$$\leq \int_{X} \int_{Z_{x} \times Y_{x}} \sum_{\alpha} |\langle e_{\alpha}, g_{x} \rangle| |\langle f_{x}, e_{\alpha} \rangle| d(\lambda_{x} \times \mu_{x}) d\mu$$

$$\leq \int_{X} \int_{Z_{x} \times Y_{x}} (\sum_{\alpha} |\langle e_{\alpha}, g_{x} \rangle|^{2})^{1/2} (\sum_{\alpha} |\langle f_{x}, e_{\alpha} \rangle|^{2})^{1/2} d(\lambda_{x} \times \mu_{x}) d\mu$$

$$= \int_{X} \int_{Z_{x}} ||g_{x}|| d\lambda_{x} \int_{Y_{x}} ||f_{x}|| d\mu_{x} d\mu$$

$$\leq (\int_{X} (\int_{Z_{x}} ||g_{x}|| d\lambda_{x})^{2} d\lambda)^{1/2} (\int_{X} (\int_{Y_{x}} ||f_{x}|| d\mu_{x})^{2} d\mu)^{1/2}$$

$$< \infty.$$

Therefore

$$tr(\phi) = \int_{X} \sum_{\alpha} \int_{Z_{x}} \langle h, g_{x} \rangle d\lambda_{x} \int_{Y_{x}} \langle f_{x}, e_{\alpha} \rangle d\mu_{x} d\mu$$

$$= \int_{X} \sum_{\alpha} \int_{Z_{x} \times Y_{x}} \langle e_{\alpha}, g_{x} \rangle \langle f_{x}, e_{\alpha} \rangle d(\lambda_{x} \times \mu_{x})$$

$$= \int_{X} \int_{Z_{x} \times Y_{x}} \sum_{\alpha} \langle e_{\alpha}, g_{x} \rangle \langle f_{x}, e_{\alpha} \rangle d(\lambda_{x} \times \mu_{x}) d\mu$$

$$= \int_{X} \int_{Z_{x} \times Y_{x}} \langle f_{x}, g_{x} \rangle d(\lambda_{x} \times \mu_{x}) d\mu.$$

(iii) Let

$$\phi(h) = \int_{X} \int_{Z_{x}} \langle h, g_{x} \rangle d\lambda_{x} \int_{Y_{x}} f_{x} d\mu_{x} d\mu, \quad h \in H.$$

Let  $\phi = u|\phi|$  be the polar decomposition of  $\phi$ . So  $|\phi| = u^*\phi$ . By the Lemma 3.4,  $|\phi|$  is a gn-operator and

$$|\phi|(h) = \int_X \int_{Z_x} \langle h, g_x \rangle d\lambda_x \int_{Y_x} u^* f_x d\mu_x d\mu, \quad h \in H.$$

Let  $\{e_j\}_{\in J}$  be an orthonormal basis for H. We have

$$tr(|\phi|) = \sum_{j} \langle |\phi|(e_{j}), e_{j} \rangle$$

$$\leq \sum_{j} \int_{X} \int_{Z_{x}} |\langle e_{j}, g_{x} \rangle| d\lambda_{x} \int_{Y_{x}} |\langle u^{*}f_{x}, e_{j} \rangle| d\mu_{x} d\mu$$

$$\leq \int_{X} \int_{Z_{x}} \int_{Y_{x}} \sum_{j} |\langle e_{j}, g_{x} \rangle| |\langle u^{*}f_{x}, e_{j} \rangle| d\lambda_{x} d\mu_{x} d\mu$$

$$\leq \int_{X} \int_{Z_{x}} (\sum_{j} |\langle e_{j}, g_{x} \rangle|^{2})^{1/2} d\lambda_{x}) \int_{Y_{x}} (\sum_{j} |\langle u^{*}f_{x}, e_{j} \rangle|^{2})^{1/2} d\mu_{x} d\mu$$

$$= \int_{X} \int_{Z_{x}} ||g_{x}|| d\lambda_{x} \int_{Y_{x}} ||f_{x}|| d\mu_{x} d\mu.$$

Hence

$$tr(|\phi|) \leq ||\phi||_{qn}$$
.

Since  $||\phi||_{gn} < \infty$ ,  $\phi$  is a trace class operator. Since

$$tr(|\phi|)$$

$$=\inf\{\sum_{\alpha\in I}||x_{\alpha}||.||y_{\alpha}||:\forall h\in H, \phi(h)=\sum_{\alpha\in I}\langle h,y_{\alpha}\rangle x_{\alpha},\sum_{\alpha}||x_{\alpha}||||y_{\alpha}||<\infty\},$$

thus  $||\phi||_{gn} \leq tr(|\phi|)$ , so

$$||\phi||_{qn} = tr(|\phi|),$$

and the Theorem is proved.  $\Box$ 

The following result can be dedicated by the Theorem 3.5.

**Corollary 3.6.** Let  $\phi \in B(H)$ . Then  $\phi$  is a trace class operator if and only if  $\phi$  is an qn-operator on H.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to extend their gratitude to the reviewers for their helpful comments for improving the paper.

# References

- [1] M.R.Abdollahpoor and M.H.Faroughi, Continuous g-frames in Hilbert spaces, *South. Asian Bull. Math*, 32(2008),1-20.
- [2] E.Alizadeh and V.Sadri, On Continuous weaving G-frames in Hilbert spaces, Wavelets and Linear Algebra, 7(2020), 23-36.
- [3] M.S. Asgari and A. Khosravi, Frames and bases of subspaces in Hilbert spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl*, 304(2005), 541-553.
- [4] J.Benedetto, A. Powell, and O. Yilmaz, Sigma-Delta quantization and finite frame, *IEEE Trans. Inform Th*, 52 (2006), 1990-2005.
- [5] H.Bolcskei, F. Hlawatsch, and H.G. Feichinger, Frame-theoretic analysis of oversampled filter banks, *IEEE Trans. Signal Process*ing46 (1998), 3256-3268.
- [6] E.J.Candes and D.L.Donoho, New tight frames of curvelets and opimal representations of objects with piecewise  $C^2$  singularities, Comm. Pure and App. Math 56 (2004), 216-266.
- [7] P. Casazza and G. Kutyniok, Frames of subspaces, in: Wavelets, Frames and Operator Theory, *American Mathematical Society*, 345 (2004), 87-113.
- [8] O.Christensen, An introduction to frames and Riesz bases, Birkhauser, (2016).
- [9] I. Daubechies, A. Grossmann, and Y.Meyer, Painless nonorthogonal expansions, *L.Mat. Phys.*, 27 (1986),1271-1283.
- [10] R.J. Duffin and A.C. Schaeffer, A class of nonharmonic Fourier series, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 72 (1952), 341-366.
- [11] M. Fornasier, Quasi-orthogonal decompositions of structured frames, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 289(2004), 180-199.
- [12] R.W.Heath and A.J. Paulraj, Linear dispersion codes for MIMO systems based on frame theory, *IEEE Trans.Signal Processing*, 50 (2002), 2429-2441.

- [13] Harro. G. Heuser, Functional Analysis, JohnWiley and Sons, (1982).
- [14] S.S Iyengar and R.R. Brooks, eds., *Distributed Sensor Networks*, Chapman and Hall/CRC, Baton Rouge, (2005).
- [15] Gert K. Pedersen, Analysis Now, Springer-Verlag, (1989).
- [16] Gh.Rahimlou, R.Ahmadi, MA.Jafarizadeh and S.Nami, Some properties of Continuous K-frames in Hilbert spaces, Sahand Comm. Math. Anal, 15(2019),169-187.
- [17] Gh.Rahimlou, R.Ahmadi, MA.Jafarizadeh and S.Nami, Continuous k-frames and their dual in Hilbert spaces, Sahand Comm. Math. Anal, 17(2020),145-160.
- [18] W.Rudin, Functional Analysis, Tata Mc Graw-Hill Editions, (1973).
- [19] W.Rudin, Real and Complex Analysi, Mc Graw-Hill International Editions, (1986).
- [20] V.Sadri, Gh.Rahimlou and R.Ahmadi.Continuous weaving fusion frames in Hilbert spaces, *Int. J. Wavelets Multi. Info. Proc*, 18(2020),1-17.
- [21] V.Sadri, Gh.Rahimlou, R.Ahmadi and R.Zarghami Far-far, Construction of K-g-fusion frames and their dual in Hilbert spaces, Bull. Transilvania Un. Braşov, Series III, 13(62)(2020),17-32.
- [22] V.Sadri, R.Ahmadi, M.A.Jafarizadeh and S.Nami, Continuous k-fusion frames in Hilbert spaces,  $Sahand\ Comm.Math.Anal$ , 17(2020), 39-55.
- [23] W.Sun, G-frame and G-Riesz bases, J. Math. Anal. Appl, 322(2006),437-452.

#### Reza Ahmadi

Assistant Professor of Mathematics Department of Mathematics Faculty of Mathematical science, University of Tabriz Tabriz, Iran E-mail:rahmadi@tabrizu.ac.ir

## Gholamreza Rahimlou

Assistant Professor of Mathematics Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science, Technical and Vocational University (TVU) Tehran, Iran

E-mail: ghrahimlo@tvu.ac.ir