

A New Carlson–Type Sharp Inequality

Ts. Batbold*

National University of Mongolia

L. E. Azar

Al al-Bayt University

Abstract. In this paper, we establish a new Carlson–type integral inequality with the best constant factor. The equivalent Beurling–Kjellberg type inequality and discrete form are considered.

AMS Subject Classification: MSC 26D15

Keywords and Phrases: Carlson’s inequality, beta function, sharp constant, inequalities, Kjellberg’s inequality

1 Introduction

Let f be a positive function, then the following inequality holds

$$\int_0^{\infty} f(x)dx \leq \sqrt{\pi} \left(\int_0^{\infty} f^2(x)dx \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\int_0^{\infty} x^2 f^2(x)dx \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}, \quad (1)$$

provided that the integrals on the right-hand side are convergent. The constant $\sqrt{\pi}$ is sharp and the equality holds for $f(x) = \frac{1}{x^2+1}$. Inequality

Received: April 2022; Accepted: June 2023

*Corresponding Author

(1) is called Carlson's inequality which was discovered in [5]. The corresponding discrete form for some positive sequence of numbers $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is given as

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n < \sqrt{\pi} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 a_n^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}, \quad (2)$$

provided that the series on the right are convergent. The constant $\sqrt{\pi}$ is the best possible, in the sense that it cannot be decreased anymore.

Carlson's inequality plays an important role in several applications in mathematics, such as interpolation theory, see the book [10]. About Carlson's inequalities and their extensions we refer the reader to the book [10] and its references. Also, the reader may refer to the following book and papers [1]-[13].

In this paper, we establish a new Carlson-type integral inequality involving the missing term $\int_0^{\infty} x f^2(x) dx$ with the best constant factor. The equivalent Beurling-Kjellberg type inequality and discrete form are considered.

2 Integral Case

Recall that the Beta function $B(u, v)$ is defined for two positive parameters by

$$B(u, v) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{t^{u-1}}{(t+1)^{u+v}} dt,$$

and it satisfies the following relation

$$B(s, t+1) = \frac{t}{s+t} B(s, t). \quad (3)$$

Our first result refers to a Carlson-type integral inequality.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that $p > 1, q \neq 0, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and that f is a Lebesgue measurable nonnegative function such that $0 < \int_0^{\infty} x^p f^p(x) dx <$*

∞ and $0 < \int_0^\infty x^{p-2} f^p(x) dx < \infty$ then the following inequality holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_0^\infty f(x) dx \right)^p \\ & \leq C \left(\left(\int_0^\infty x^p f^p(x) dx \int_0^\infty x^{p-2} f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \int_0^\infty x^{p-1} f^p(x) dx \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $C = 2B^{\frac{p}{q}}(q-1, q-1)$. Inequality (4) is sharp.

Proof. Let $a, b > 0$, for abbreviation we set $L := \int_0^\infty x^p f^p(x) dx$, $S := \int_0^\infty x^{p-2} f^p(x) dx$ and $T := \int_0^\infty x^{p-1} f^p(x) dx$. Since both L and S are finite, then by the Schwarz inequality ($T \leq (LS)^{\frac{1}{2}}$) T is also finite. Using Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^\infty f(x) dx \right)^p &= \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{x^{\frac{2}{p}-1}}{(ax+b)^{\frac{2}{p}}} x^{1-\frac{2}{p}} (ax+b)^{\frac{2}{p}} f(x) dx \right)^p \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{x^{q-2}}{(ax+b)^{2(q-1)}} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \int_0^\infty x^{p-2} (ax+b)^2 f^p(x) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{ab} B^{\frac{p}{q}}(q-1, q-1) [a^2 L + b^2 S + 2abT] \\ &= B^{\frac{p}{q}}(q-1, q-1) \left[\frac{a}{b} L + \frac{b}{a} S + 2T \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Let $t = \frac{a}{b}$, and $g(t) = tL + \frac{1}{t}S$, then g attains its minimum at $t = \frac{\sqrt{S}}{\sqrt{L}} = \frac{a}{b}$, thus we get (4). It remains to show that the inequality is sharp. To do this we consider the function $f(x) = \frac{x^{q-2}}{(x+1)^{2(q-1)}}$, then we find

$$\int_0^\infty f(x) dx = \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{q-2}}{(x+1)^{2(q-1)}} dx = B(q-1, q-1), \quad (5)$$

$$S = \int_0^\infty x^{p-2} f^p(x) dx = \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{q-2}}{(x+1)^{2q}} dx = B(q-1, q+1), \quad (6)$$

$$T = \int_0^\infty x^{p-1} f^p(x) dx = \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{q-1}}{(x+1)^{2q}} dx = B(q, q), \quad (7)$$

and

$$L = \int_0^\infty x^p f^p(x) dx = \int_0^\infty \frac{x^q}{(x+1)^{2q}} dx = B(q+1, q-1). \quad (8)$$

Using formula (3) for the Beta function, we find

$$B(q+1, q-1) = \frac{q}{2q-1} B(q-1, q) = \frac{q}{2(2q-1)} B(q-1, q-1), \quad (9)$$

and

$$B(q, q) = \frac{q-1}{2q-1} B(q-1, q) = \frac{q-1}{2(2q-1)} B(q-1, q-1). \quad (10)$$

By substituting (9) in (6) and in (8) and then substituting (10) in (7), we find that the right-hand side of (4) is

$$\begin{aligned} & 2B^{\frac{p}{q}}(q-1, q-1) \left[\frac{q}{2(2q-1)} B(q-1, q-1) + \frac{q-1}{2(2q-1)} B(q-1, q-1) \right] \\ &= 2B^{\frac{p}{q}}(q-1, q-1) B(q-1, q-1) \frac{q+q-1}{2(2q-1)} \\ &= B^p(q-1, q-1). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The left-hand side of (4) is

$$\left(\int_0^\infty f(x) dx \right)^p = B^p(q-1, q-1). \quad (12)$$

From (11) and (12) we conclude the equality in (4). \square

Remark 2.2. If we let $p = q = 2$ in (4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_0^\infty f(x) dx \right)^2 \\ & \leq 2 \left[\left(\int_0^\infty x^2 f^2(x) dx \int_0^\infty f^2(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \int_0^\infty x f^2(x) dx \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Carlson's inequality follows from the inequality (13). Although this does not give the sharp constant $\sqrt{\pi}$. By the Schwarz inequality we find

$$\int_0^\infty x f^2(x) dx \leq \left(\int_0^\infty x^2 f^2(x) dx \int_0^\infty f^2(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Hence, inequality (13) becomes

$$\left(\int_0^\infty f(x)dx\right)^2 \leq 4 \left(\int_0^\infty x^2 f^2(x)dx \int_0^\infty f^2(x)dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

or

$$\int_0^\infty f(x)dx \leq 2 \left(\int_0^\infty x^2 f^2(x)dx\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\int_0^\infty f^2(x)dx\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

The last inequality is Carlson's inequality with the constant $\sqrt{\pi}$ replaced by 2. But generally speaking, inequality (1) and inequality (13) are not comparable. To see this, consider two particular cases when $f_1(x) = \frac{1}{(x+1)^3}$ and $f_2(x) = \frac{1}{(x+1)^{7/4}}$. In the first setting, inequality (1) yields the estimate

$$\int_0^\infty f_1(x)dx \leq \sqrt{\pi} \left(\int_0^\infty f_1^2(x)dx\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\int_0^\infty x^2 f_1^2(x)dx\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \approx 0.506468$$

while (13) implies the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty f_1(x)dx \\ & \leq \sqrt{2} \left[\left(\int_0^\infty x^2 f_1^2(x)dx \int_0^\infty f_1^2(x)dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \int_0^\infty x f_1^2(x)dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \approx 0.513127. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the inequality (1) yields better estimate. In the second setting, inequality (1) yields the estimate

$$\int_0^\infty f_2(x)dx \leq \sqrt{\pi} \left(\int_0^\infty f_2^2(x)dx\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\int_0^\infty x^2 f_2^2(x)dx\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \approx 1.43251$$

while (13) implies the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty f_2(x)dx \\ & \leq \sqrt{2} \left[\left(\int_0^\infty x^2 f_2^2(x)dx \int_0^\infty f_2^2(x)dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \int_0^\infty x f_2^2(x)dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \approx 1.35637. \end{aligned}$$

In this case, the inequality (13) is more accurate.

Remark 2.3. Using same techniques as in [3, Remark 2.4], we prove that the inequality (4) is equivalent to the following Beurling–Kjellberg type inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx \right)^p \\ & \leq 2^{p-1} C \left(\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|^p f^p(x) dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|^{p-2} f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|^{p-1} f^p(x) dx \right), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where C is as defined in Theorem 2.1.

Assume that (4) holds. By using inequality (4), Hölder inequality and Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx \right)^p \\ & = \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 f(x) dx + \int_0^{\infty} f(x) dx \right)^p \\ & \leq C \left[\left(\left(\int_{-\infty}^0 |x|^p f^p(x) dx \int_{-\infty}^0 |x|^{p-2} f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \int_{-\infty}^0 |x|^{p-1} f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left(\left(\int_0^{\infty} |x|^p f^p(x) dx \int_0^{\infty} |x|^{p-2} f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \int_0^{\infty} |x|^{p-1} f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right]^p \\ & \leq 2^{p-1} C \left[\left(\int_{-\infty}^0 |x|^p f^p(x) dx \int_{-\infty}^0 |x|^{p-2} f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left(\int_0^{\infty} |x|^p f^p(x) dx \int_0^{\infty} |x|^{p-2} f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|^{p-1} f^p(x) dx \right]^p \\ & \leq 2^{p-1} C \left(\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|^p f^p(x) dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|^{p-2} f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|^{p-1} f^p(x) dx \right), \end{aligned}$$

which is (14). In the other direction, let $g(x) = f(x)$ if $x \geq 0$ and $g(x) = f(-x)$ if $x < 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(2 \int_0^\infty f(x) dx \right)^p \\
&= \left(\int_{-\infty}^\infty g(x) dx \right)^p \\
&\leq 2^{p-1} C \left(\left(\int_{-\infty}^\infty |x|^p g^p(x) dx \int_{-\infty}^\infty |x|^{p-2} g^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{-\infty}^\infty |x|^{p-1} g^p(x) dx \right) \\
&\leq 2^p C \left(\left(\int_0^\infty x^p f^p(x) dx \int_0^\infty x^{p-2} f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \int_0^\infty x^{p-1} f^p(x) dx \right),
\end{aligned}$$

that is, we get (4). Therefore, inequalities (4) and (14) are equivalent.

3 Discrete Case

Now, our main goal is to establish discrete analogue of Carlson-type inequality derived in the previous section.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that $p > 1$, $q \neq 0$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and that $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence of positive numbers such that $\sum_{n=1}^\infty n^p a_n^p < \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{p-2} a_n^p < \infty$, then the following inequality holds*

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n \right)^p < C \left(\left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty n^p a_n^p \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{p-2} a_n^p \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{p-1} a_n^p \right), \quad (15)$$

where the constant $C = 2B^{\frac{p}{q}}(q-1, q-1)$ is the best possible.

Proof. Let $a, b > 0$, for abbreviation we set $\ell := \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^p a_n^p$, $s := \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{p-2} a_n^p$ and $t := \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{p-1} a_n^p$. Since both ℓ and s are finite, then by Schwarz inequality ($t \leq (\ell s)^{\frac{1}{2}}$) t is also finite. Using Hölder inequality,

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{\frac{2}{p}-1}}{(an+b)^{\frac{2}{p}}} n^{1-\frac{2}{p}} (an+b)^{\frac{2}{p}} a_n \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{q-2}}{(an+b)^{2(q-1)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-2} (an+b)^2 a_n^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&< \left(\int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{q-2}}{(ax+b)^{2(q-1)}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\quad \times \left(a^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^p a_n^p + 2ab \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-1} a_n^p + b^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-2} a_n^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \right)^p &< B^{\frac{p}{q}}(q-1, q-1) \left(\frac{a}{b} \ell + \frac{b}{a} s + 2t \right) \\
&= 2B^{\frac{p}{q}}(q-1, q-1) \left(\sqrt{\ell s} + t \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, if we set $\frac{\sqrt{s}}{\sqrt{\ell}} = \frac{a}{b}$ we get (15). It remains to show that the constant C is the best possible, to do that we assume that there exists a positive constant $D < C$ such that inequality (15) is valid if we replace C by D . For $0 < \lambda < 1$, we set $\tilde{a}_n = \frac{\lambda n^{q-2}}{(n+\lambda)^{2q-2}}$, then we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{a}_n &> \int_1^{\infty} \frac{\lambda x^{q-2}}{(x+\lambda)^{2q-2}} dx \\
&= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\lambda x^{q-2}}{(x+\lambda)^{2q-2}} dx - \int_0^1 \frac{\lambda x^{q-2}}{(x+\lambda)^{2q-2}} dx \\
&= \lambda^{2-q} B(q-1, q-1) - \lambda O(1).
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-2} \tilde{a}_n^p &= \lambda^p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{q-2}}{(n+\lambda)^{2q}} \\ &< \lambda^p \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{q-2}}{(x+\lambda)^{2q}} dx \\ &= \lambda^{p-q-1} B(q-1, q+1), \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^p \tilde{a}_n^p &= \lambda^p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^q}{(n+\lambda)^{2q}} \\ &< \lambda^p \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^q}{(x+\lambda)^{2q}} dx \\ &= \lambda^{p-q+1} B(q-1, q+1), \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-1} \tilde{a}_n^p &= \lambda^p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{q-1}}{(n+\lambda)^{2q}} \\ &< \lambda^p \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{q-1}}{(x+\lambda)^{2q}} dx \\ &= \lambda^{p-q} B(q, q). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Substituting relations (9) in (17) and (18) and then (10) in (19) we find

$$\begin{aligned} &(\lambda^{2-q} B(q-1, q-1) - \lambda O(1))^p \\ &< D \left(\left(\frac{B(q-1, q+1)}{\lambda^{q-p+1}} \frac{B(q-1, q+1)}{\lambda^{q-p-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{B(q, q)}{\lambda^{q-p}} \right) \\ &= \frac{D}{2\lambda^{q-p}} B(q-1, q-1). \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the last inequality by λ^{q-p} , we have

$$(B(q-1, q-1) - \lambda^{q-1} O(1))^p < \frac{D}{2} B(q-1, q-1). \quad (20)$$

If we let $\lambda \rightarrow 0^+$ in (20) we get

$$D \geq 2B^{p-1}(q-1, q-1) = C$$

which contradicts our assumption that $D < C$. The theorem is proved. \square

Remark 3.2. If we set $p = q = 2$ in (15) we get

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \right)^2 < 2 \left(\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 a_n^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n^2 \right). \quad (21)$$

Discrete Carlson's inequality follows from the inequality (21). Although this does not give the sharp constant $\sqrt{\pi}$. By the Schwarz inequality for series we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n^2 \leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 a_n^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Thus, from the last inequality (21) becomes

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n < 2 \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 a_n^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The last inequality is Carlson's inequality (2) with the constant π replaced by 4. We also note that, in a manner similar to Remark 2.2 with $\hat{a}_n = 1/n^2$ and $\tilde{a}_n = 1/n^{\frac{31}{20}}$, inequality (2) and inequality (21) are not comparable.

Acknowledgements

The first author would like to thank the National University of Mongolia for supporting this research (Project No. P2020-3990).

References

- [1] N. Alp, M. Z. Sarikaya and H. Budak, Hölder and Carlson type inequalities for the interval-valued functions. *Authorea*, (2020), 13pp.

- [2] L. E. Azar, A relation between Hilbert and Carlson inequalities, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, 2012 (2012), 1-10.
- [3] S. Barza, J. Pecaric, and L.-E. Persson, Carlson type inequalities, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, 2(2) (1998),121-135.
- [4] S. Barza and E. C. Popa, Inequalities related with Carlson’s inequality, *Tamkang J. Math.*, 29(1) (1998), 59-64.
- [5] F. Carlson, Une inegalit, *Ark. Mat. Astr. Fysik*, 25B (1934), 1-5.
- [6] B. Daraby, Generalizations of related Fritz Carlson type inequalities for fuzzy integrals, *Sahand Commun. Math. Anal.*, 18(4) (2021), 131–153.
- [7] G. H. Hardy, A note on two inequalities, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 11 (1936), 167-170.
- [8] M. Hleili and K. Brahim, On Nash and Carlson’s inequalities for symmetric q -integral transforms, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory*, 10 (2016), 1339–1350.
- [9] A. Kamaly, Fritz Carlson’s inequality and its application, *Math. Scand.*, 86(1) (2000), 100-108.
- [10] L. Larsson, L. Maligranda, J. Pecarić and L.E. Persson, *Multiplicative Inequalities of Carlson Type and Interpolation*, World Scientific Publication co.Pty. Hackensack, NJ, (2006)
- [11] L. Larsson, Carlson type inequalities and embeddings of interpolation spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 132(8) (2004), 2351-2356.
- [12] V. I. Levin, Exact constants in inequalities of the Carlson type, *Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.)*, 59 (1948), 635-638. (in Russian).
- [13] M. Luo and R. K. Raina, A new extension of Carlson’s inequality, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, 19(2) (2016), 417–424.

Tserendorj Batbold

Department of Mathematics

National University of Mongolia

Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia
E-mail: tsbatbold@hotmail.com

Laith Emil Azar
Department of Mathematics
Al al-Bayt University
Mafraq, Jordan
E-mail: azar1@aabu.edu.jo