

Operator Arithmetic-Harmonic Mean Inequality on Krein Spaces

M. Dehghani*

Yazd University

S. M. S. Modarres Mosadegh

Yazd University

Abstract. We prove an operator arithmetic-harmonic mean type inequality in Krein space setting, by using some block matrix techniques of indefinite type. We also give an example which shows that the operator arithmetic-geometric-harmonic mean inequality for two invertible selfadjoint operators on Krein spaces is not valid, in general.

AMS Subject Classification: 47A64; 46C20; 47A63

Keywords and Phrases: Block matrix, J -selfadjoint operator, J -positive operator, operator arithmetic-geometric-harmonic mean inequality, operator mean

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

A Krein space is a triple $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, J)$ such that $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a Hilbert space and $J : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a selfadjoint involution i.e., $J = J^* = J^{-1}$ which defines an indefinite inner product on \mathcal{H} , given by

$$[x, y] := \langle Jx, y \rangle \quad (x, y \in \mathcal{H}).$$

Note that the indefinite inner product space is not assumed to be positive, that is, $[x, x]$ may be negative for some $x \in \mathcal{H}$. We denote this Krein space by (\mathcal{H}, J) .

Received: October 2013; Accepted: December 2013

*Corresponding author

Let (\mathcal{H}, J) be a Krein space and let $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the C^* -algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on a Hilbert space $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ with the identity I . An operator $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is called positive if $\langle Tx, x \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. We denote by $\mathbb{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$ the subspace of all positive operators on \mathcal{H} . If T is a positive invertible operator we write $T > 0$. For bounded selfadjoint operators T and S on \mathcal{H} , we say $T \leq S$ if $S - T \geq 0$. The J -adjoint operator of $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is defined by

$$[Ax, y] = [x, A^\sharp y], \quad (x, y \in \mathcal{H}),$$

which is equivalent to say that $A^\sharp = JA^*J$. An operator $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be J -selfadjoint if $A^\sharp = A$, or equivalently, $A = JA^*J$.

For J -selfadjoint operators $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the J -order, denoted by $A \leq^J B$, is defined by

$$[Ax, x] \leq [Bx, x], \quad (x \in \mathcal{H}).$$

Clearly $A \leq^J B$ if and only if $JA \leq JB$ ($AJ \leq BJ$). The J -selfadjoint operator $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be J -positive if $A \geq^J 0$. For a complete exposition on the subject see [2, 6, 11].

The theory of matrix and operator means started from the presence of the notion of parallel sum in engineering by Anderson and Duffin [1]. An axiomatic theory of matrix means was developed in [10] by Kubo and Ando. Three classical means, namely, arithmetic mean, harmonic mean and geometric mean for matrices and operators are considered in [4, 5]. A binary operation $\cdot : \mathbb{B}^+(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathbb{B}^+(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$, $(A, B) \mapsto A \tau B$ is called an operator mean if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $A \leq C, B \leq D$ imply $A \tau B \leq C \tau D$.
- (ii) $A_n \searrow A, B_n \searrow B$ imply $A_n \tau B_n \searrow A \tau B$.
- (iii) $T^*(A \tau B)T \leq (T^*AT) \tau (T^*BT)$ for all $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$.
- (iv) $I \tau I = I$ cf. [9, Chapter 5].

Let A and B be positive operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Then their arithmetic mean is defined by

$$A \nabla_\lambda B = \lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B \quad (\lambda \in [0, 1]). \quad (1)$$

If $A > 0$ and $B > 0$, then the harmonic mean $A !_\lambda B$ is defined by

$$A !_\lambda B = (\lambda A^{-1} + (1 - \lambda)B^{-1})^{-1} \quad (\lambda \in [0, 1]) \quad (2)$$

and the geometric mean $A\sharp B$ between A and B is defined as follows:

$$A\sharp B = A^{\frac{1}{2}}(A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}}A^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We denote $A\nabla B := A\nabla_{\frac{1}{2}}B$ and $A!B := A!_{\frac{1}{2}}B$.

The following arithmetic-geometric-harmonic mean inequality hold, see [4].

$$A!_{\lambda}B \leq A\sharp B \leq A\nabla_{\lambda}B. \tag{3}$$

Matrix and operator inequalities in the setting of Krein spaces is a fascinating subject of operator theory. For instance, operator monotone functions in finite dimensional Krein spaces (specially, the Lowner inequality) has been studied in [3]. In addition, a notion of operator convexity in Krein spaces was studied recently, by Moslehian and Dehghani [11].

In this Note, we consider the notions of arithmetic and harmonic mean of two J -positive operators on a Krein space (\mathcal{H}, J) . We will prove the operator arithmetic-harmonic mean inequality on Krein spaces, by using some block matrix techniques of indefinite type. We describe appropriate conditions to define the notion of power mean for two invertible J -selfadjoint operators on a Krein space (\mathcal{H}, J) . Also we give an example which shows that the inequality (3) is not correct for operators on Krein spaces, in general.

2. Main Results

Let \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 be Hilbert spaces. It is well-know that an operator $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2)$ is uniquely determined by the bounded linear operators $A_{ij} : \mathcal{H}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_i$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq 2$). We write \mathbf{A} by the block matrix

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4}$$

The diagonal block matrix $\begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ is denoted by $A_{11} \oplus A_{22}$.

Let (\mathcal{H}, J) be a Krein space. We consider the selfadjoint involution

$\tilde{\mathbf{J}} = J \oplus J$ on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$. Therefore $(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}, \tilde{\mathbf{J}})$ is a Krein space. Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$ be the block matrix introduced in (4). Note that

$$\mathbf{A}^\sharp = \tilde{\mathbf{J}}\mathbf{A}^*\tilde{\mathbf{J}} = \begin{pmatrix} JA_{11}^*J & JA_{21}^*J \\ JA_{12}^*J & JA_{22}^*J \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore \mathbf{A} is $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}$ -selfadjoint if and only if $\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{12}^\sharp & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ in which A_{11} and A_{22} are J -selfadjoint cf. [8]

We need the following lemma, which is a consequence of [8, Theorem 8] in the setting of Krein spaces.

Lemma 2.1. *Let (\mathcal{H}, J) be a Krein space. Suppose that A and B are J -selfadjoint operators. If A is invertible, then the operator $\begin{pmatrix} A & X \\ X^\sharp & B \end{pmatrix}$ is $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}$ -positive if and only if $A \geq^J 0$ and $X^\sharp A^{-1}X \leq^J B$.*

Corollary 2.2. *Let (\mathcal{H}, J) be a Krein space. If C is an invertible J -positive operator on \mathcal{H} , then the operator $\begin{pmatrix} C & I \\ I & C^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ is $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}$ -positive.*

Proof. Let $A = C$, $B = C^{-1}$ and $X = I$ in Lemma 2.1.

A real valued continuous function f on an interval \mathcal{I} is said to be operator monotone if $A \leq B$ implies $f(A) \leq f(B)$ for all selfadjoint operators A and B on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} whose spectra are contained in \mathcal{I} , where $f(A)$ is defined by the usual functional calculus for a selfadjoint operator [9, Chapter 1]. \square

Lemma 2.3. [9, Example 1.6] *The function $f(t) = -\frac{1}{t}$ is operator monotone on $(0, \infty)$.*

Operator means for Krein space operators is naturally defined as follows:

Definition 2.4. *Let (\mathcal{H}, J) be a Krein space and let $\mathbb{B}_J^+(\mathcal{H})$ be the space of all J -positive operators on \mathcal{H} . A binary operation $\cdot : \mathbb{B}_J^+(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathbb{B}_J^+(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_J^+(\mathcal{H})$, $(A, B) \mapsto A\tau B$ is called an operator mean if the following conditions are satisfied:*

(i) $A \leq^J C$, $B \leq^J D$ imply $A\tau B \leq^J C\tau D$.

- (ii) $A_n \searrow A, B_n \searrow B$ imply $A_n \tau B_n \searrow A \tau B$.
- (iii) $T^\sharp(A \tau B)T \leq^J (T^\sharp AT)\tau(T^\sharp BT)$ for all $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$.
- (iv) $I \tau I = I$.

The arithmetic and harmonic means of two J -positive operators are defined by (1) and (2), respectively. Indeed, suppose that A and B are J -positive operators on a Krein space (\mathcal{H}, J) . Clearly $A \nabla_\lambda B \geq^J 0$. If A and B are invertible, then the J -positivity of A^{-1} and B^{-1} implies that $A !_\lambda B \geq^J 0$. It is easy to see that other properties of an operator mean (properties (i)-(iv) of Definition 2.4) are satisfied by replacing \leq and $*$ by \leq^J and \sharp , respectively. Therefore $A \nabla_\lambda B$ and $A !_\lambda B$ can be regarded as means of two J -positive operators.

One may immediately say that if A and B are invertible J -positive operators, then $JA > 0$ and $JB > 0$. It follows from the usual operator arithmetic-harmonic mean inequality that $JA !_\lambda JB \leq JA \nabla_\lambda JB$. Therefore $A !_\lambda B \leq^J A \nabla_\lambda B$. In the following theorem a direct proof of this inequality (without using the usual operator arithmetic-harmonic mean inequality) is provided.

Theorem 2.5. *Let (\mathcal{H}, J) be a Krein space. If A and B are invertible J -positive operators on \mathcal{H} , then*

$$A !_\lambda B \leq^J A \nabla_\lambda B.$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = \begin{pmatrix} A & I \\ I & A^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} B & I \\ I & B^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$. Then Corollary 2.2 implies that $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ are $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}$ -positive. Therefore,

$$\lambda \tilde{\mathbf{A}} + (1 - \lambda) \tilde{\mathbf{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B & I \\ I & \lambda A^{-1} + (1 - \lambda)B^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

is $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}$ -positive for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Lemma 2.1 implies that

$$\left(\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B \right)^{-1} \leq^J \lambda A^{-1} + (1 - \lambda)B^{-1}.$$

By the definition, we have

$$J \left(\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B \right)^{-1} \leq J \left(\lambda A^{-1} + (1 - \lambda)B^{-1} \right)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\left(\lambda AJ + (1 - \lambda)BJ\right)^{-1} \leq \lambda(AJ)^{-1} + (1 - \lambda)(BJ)^{-1}.$$

By the assumption, $AJ > 0$, $BJ > 0$ so is $\lambda AJ + (1 - \lambda)BJ$. It follows from Lemma 2.3 that

$$\left(\lambda(AJ)^{-1} + (1 - \lambda)(BJ)^{-1}\right)^{-1} \leq \lambda AJ + (1 - \lambda)BJ.$$

So

$$\left(\lambda A^{-1} + (1 - \lambda)B^{-1}\right)^{-1} J \leq \left(\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B\right) J.$$

Hence $A!_{\lambda} B \leq^J A \nabla_{\lambda} B$. \square

It is well-known that the spectrum of a J -positive operator on a Krein space (\mathcal{H}, J) is real and it contains a non-negative number as well as a non-positive one; see [3, Theorem 2.1]. According to this fact, the square root of a J -positive operator can not be defined by usual functional calculus as a positive operator. Let J be a selfadjoint involution on \mathbb{C}^n . For a J -selfadjoint matrix A with nonnegative eigenvalues on Krein space (\mathbb{C}^n, J) , the J -selfadjoint square root $A^{\frac{1}{2}}$ was defined by Ando [4, Lemma 5]. Moreover A^{α} was defined by Sano in [12] for all $0 < \alpha < 1$. By a similar argument, for the J -selfadjoint operator C on a Krein space (\mathcal{H}, J) with positive spectrum, the J -selfadjoint square root of C is defined by the Riesz-Dunford integral as follows:

$$C^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} C(\lambda I + C)^{-1} d\lambda. \quad (5)$$

An operator $C \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ on a Krein space (\mathcal{H}, J) is called a J -contraction if $C^{\sharp} C \leq^J I$. The operator C is called a J -bicontraction if both C and C^{\sharp} are J -contractions. Note that in contrast to the setting of Hilbert spaces, not all J -contractions are J -bicontractions. As a result of Potapov-Ginzburg theorem [6, Chapter 2, Section 4] we have the following proposition; also see [3, Corollary 3.4.1].

Proposition 2.6. *Let (\mathcal{H}, J) be a Krein space and let $C \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then C is a J -bicontraction if and only if $\sigma(C^{\sharp} C) \subseteq [0, \infty)$.*

Moreover The following proposition appropriate a condition for a J -contraction to being a J -bicontraction.

Proposition 2.7. [3, Corollary 3.3.3] *Let (\mathcal{H}, J) be a Krein space. If $C \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is an invertible J -contraction, then C is a J -bicontraction. The notion of α -power mean for two J -selfadjoint matrices with non-negative eigenvalues was defined by Bebiano et al. in [7]. Now, we are going to construct power mean of two invertible J -selfadjoint operators on Krein spaces.*

Let A and B be invertible J -selfadjoint operators on a Krein space (\mathcal{H}, J) with nonnegative spectrum such that $A \geq^J B$. Then $A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq^J I$. By the definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (B^{\frac{1}{2}}A^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{\sharp}B^{\frac{1}{2}}A^{-\frac{1}{2}} &= J(B^{\frac{1}{2}}A^{-\frac{1}{2}})^*JB^{\frac{1}{2}}A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= J(A^{-\frac{1}{2}})^*(B^{\frac{1}{2}})^*JB^{\frac{1}{2}}A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= A^{-\frac{1}{2}}J(B^{\frac{1}{2}})^*JB^{\frac{1}{2}}A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{ is } J\text{-selfadjoint}) \\ &= A^{-\frac{1}{2}}J^2B^{\frac{1}{2}}B^{\frac{1}{2}}A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (B^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ is } J\text{-selfadjoint}) \\ &= A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq^J I. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $B^{\frac{1}{2}}A^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is an invertible J -contraction. It follows from Propositions 2.6 and 2.7 that $\sigma(A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \subseteq (0, \infty)$. Then the operator $(A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is well defined by Riesz-Dunford integral (5). Therefore, the power mean of A and B is well-defined as follows:

$$A \sharp B := A^{\frac{1}{2}}(A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}}A^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since B is J -selfadjoint it is easy to see that $A \sharp B$ is J -selfadjoint. Note that this notion is like the geometric mean of two positive operators, but in fact, it is not a mean. For instance it is not J -positive, in general; see Example 2.8.

Let \mathbb{C}^n be the n -dimensional complex Hilbert space consisting of all column vectors $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ for which $x_j \in \mathbb{C}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$). The standard inner product in \mathbb{C}^n is denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. The formula

$$[x, y] = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} x_k \bar{y}_k - x_n \bar{y}_n \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n)$$

determines an indefinite inner product on \mathbb{C}^n . It is clear that the selfadjoint involution corresponding to this indefinite inner product is $J_0 = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, where I_{n-1} denotes the identity matrix of order $n - 1$, and

$$[x, y] = \langle J_0 x, y \rangle \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n).$$

The Krein space (\mathbb{C}^n, J_0) is called the n -dimensional Minkowski space. The following example shows that the J -positivity of operators in Theorem 2.5 is an essential assumption. Also, it shows that the arithmetic-geometric-harmonic mean inequality for operators on Hilbert spaces is not true for operators on Krein spaces, in general.

Example 2.8. Consider the 2-dimensional Minkowski space (\mathbb{C}^2, J_0) with $J_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. Suppose that $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ is a 2×2 complex J_0 -selfadjoint matrix. Since the J_0 -selfadjointness of A is equivalent to the usual selfadjointness of $J_0 A$, we have $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ -\overline{a_{12}} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ in which a_{11} and a_{22} are real.

Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & \frac{1}{4} \\ -\frac{1}{4} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{3} \\ -\frac{1}{3} & 2 \end{pmatrix}$. Then A and B are J_0 -selfadjoint with positive eigenvalues and

$$J_0(A - B) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.0833 \\ -0.0833 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is positive. It follows that $A \geq^{J_0} B$. Some matrix calculation shows

$$A \sharp B = \begin{pmatrix} 1.4208 & 0.2755 \\ -0.2755 & 1.4153 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\frac{A + B}{2} - A \sharp B = \begin{pmatrix} 0.0792 & 0.0162 \\ -0.0162 & 0.0847 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix $J_0(\frac{A+B}{2} - A \sharp B)$ has a negative eigenvalue. It follows that

$$A \sharp B \not\leq^{J_0} \frac{A + B}{2} = A \nabla B.$$

Moreover

$$A\sharp B - 2(A^{-1} + B^{-1})^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.0750 & 0.0153 \\ -0.0153 & 0.0799 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix $J_0(A\sharp B - 2(A^{-1} + B^{-1})^{-1})$ has a negative eigenvalue. Hence

$$A!B = 2(A^{-1} + B^{-1})^{-1} \not\prec^{J_0} A\sharp B.$$

Therefore

$$A!B \not\prec^{J_0} A\sharp B \not\prec^{J_0} A\nabla B.$$

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to sincerely thank the referees for the several valuable comments improving the manuscript.

References

- [1] W. N. Anderson and R. J. Duffin, Series and parallel addition of matrices, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 26 (1969), 576-594.
- [2] T. Ando, *Linear Operators on Krein Spaces*, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan, 1979.
- [3] T. Ando, Löwner inequality of indefinite type, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 385 (2004), 73-80.
- [4] T. Ando, Concavity of certain maps on positive definite matrices and applications to Hadamard products, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 26 (1979), 203-241.
- [5] T. Ando, On the arithmetic-geometric-harmonic mean inequality for positive definite matrices, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, Vol. 52-53, (1983), 31-37.
- [6] T. Ya. Azizov and I. S. Iokhvidov, *Linear Operators in Spaces with an Indefinite Metric*, Nauka, Moscow, 1986 English translation: Wiley, New York, 1989.
- [7] N. Bebiano, R. Lemos, J. Da providencia, and G. Soares, Operator inequalities for J -contractions, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, 15(4) (2012), 883-897.

- [8] M. Dehghani, S. M. S. Modarres, and M. S. Moslehian, Positive block matrices on Hilbert and Krein C^* -modules, *Surv. Math. Appl.*, 8 (2013), 23-34.
- [9] T. Furuta, J. Mićić Hot, J. E. Pečarić, and Y. Seo, *Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities*, Element, Zagreb, 2005.
- [10] F. Kubo and T. Ando, Means of positive linear operators, *Math. Ann.*, 246 (1980), 205-224.
- [11] M. S. Moslehian and M. Dehghani, Operator convexity in Krein spaces, *New York, J. Math.*, 20 (2014), 133-144.
- [12] T. Sano, Furuta inequality of indefinite type, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, 10 (2007), 381-387.

Mehdi Dehghani

Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics
Assistant Professor of Mathematics
Yazd University
P.O. Box: 89195-741
Yazd, Iran
E-mail: e.g.mahdi@gmail.com

Seyed Mohammad Sadegh Modarres Mosadegh

Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics
Associate professor of Mathematics
Yazd University
P.O. Box: 89195-741
Yazd, Iran
E-mail: smodarres@yazduni.ac.ir