On Some Properties of Edge Quasi-Distance-Balanced Graphs

Z. Aliannejadi

South-Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University

A. Gilani

South-Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University

M. Alaeiyan*

Iran University of Science and Technology

J. Asadpour

South-Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University

Abstract. For an edge $e = uv$ in a graph G, $M_u^G(e)$ is introduced as the set all edges of G that are at shorter distance to u than to v. We say that G is an edge quasi-distance-balanced graph whenever for every arbitrary edge $e = uv$, there exists a constant $\lambda > 1$ such that $m_u^G(e) = \lambda^{\pm 1} m_v^G(e)$. We investigate that edge quasi-distance-balanced garphs are complete bipartite graphs $K_{m,n}$ with $m \neq n$. The aim of this paper is to investigate the notion of cycles in edge quasi-distance-balanced graphs, and expand some techniques generalizing new outcome that every edge quasi-distance-balanced graph is complete bipartite graph. As well as, it is demontrated that connected quasi-distance-balanced graph admitting a bridge is not edge quasi-distance-balanced graph.

1   Introduction

Consider G be a finite, undirected and connected graph and has vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. The distance between any two vertices $u, v \in V(G)$ in a graph G is defined the number of edges in a shortest path conneting them and it is shown by $d_G(u, v)$. Also $d_G(u, é)$ is defined the distance between a vertex u and an edge $é = úv́$ in a graph G and it is equal to $\min\{d_G(u, ú), d_G(u, v́)\}$. For any two arbitrary edges $e = uv$, $é = úv́$, the distance between e and $é$ is defined by:

$$d_G(e, é) = \min\{d_G(u, é), d_G(v, é)\} = \min\{d_G(u, ú), d_G(u, v́), d_G(v, ú), d_G(v, v́)\}.$$

Set
$$M_u(e) = \{é \in E(G) | d_G(u, é) < d_G(v, é)\},$$
$$M_v(e) = \{é \in E(G) | d_G(v, é) < d_G(u, é)\},$$
and
$$M_0(e) = \{é \in E(G) | d_G(u, é) = d_G(v, é)\}.$$

In this paper, we define $m_u(e)$, $m_v(e)$, $m_0(e)$ as follows:
$$m_u(e) = |\{é \in E(G) | d_G(u, é) < d_G(v, é)\}|,$$
$$m_v(e) = |\{é \in E(G) | d_G(v, é) < d_G(u, é)\}|,$$
and
$$m_0(e) = |\{é \in E(G) | d_G(u, é) = d_G(v, é)\}|.$$

For a given graph G, assume that $e = uv$ is an arbitrary edge of G. For any two integers i, j we let:

$$Ð_j^i(e) = \{é \in E(G) | d_G(é, u) = i, d_G(é, v) = j\}.$$

The sets $Ð_j^i(e)$ lead the way to a "distance partition" of $E(G)$ with respect to the edge $e = uv$. Only the sets $Ð_i^{i-1}(e)$, $Ð_i^i(e)$ and, $Ð_{i-1}^i(e)$, for each $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant d)$ may be nonempty based on the triangle inequality (d is the diameter of the graph G). Also $Ð_0^0(e) = \phi$.

For two adjacent vertices u, v of G we indicate $W_{u,v}^G = \{x \in V(G) | d_G(x, u) < d_G(x, v)\}$. Similarly, we can define $W_{v,u}^G$. We call G quasi-distance-balanced (QDB) whenever for two arbitrary adjacent vertices u and v of G there exists a constant $\lambda > 1$ such that $|W_{u,v}^G| = \lambda^{\pm 1}|W_{v,u}^G|$.

A graph G is defined as edge quasi-λ-distance-balanced if there is a positive rational number $\lambda > 1$, in which for any edge $e = uv$ of G, either $m_u(e) = \lambda m_v(e)$ or $m_v(e) = \lambda m_u(e)$.

We can orient edges of an edge quasi-distance-balanced graph G in the below way, we introduce $\vec{e} : u \to v$ for any edge $\vec{e} = \vec{uv} \in E(G)$, if and only if $m_u(e) = \lambda m_v(e)$ or $m_v(e) = \lambda m_u(e)$. (an example is illustrated in Figure 1). Suppose the directed graph made in this way is denoted $Q(G)$. Assume that a cycle of length n in an edge quasi-DB graph G be as $C = e_1, ..., e_n$. Consider C^+ is denoted as the set of indices $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$, where $e_i \to e_{i+1}$, that is, $C^+ = \{i \in \{1, ..., n\} | e_i \to e_{i+1}\}$ (here we linked e_{n+1} with e_1 and e_0 with e_n). In the same way suppose that $C^- = \{i \in \{1, ..., n\} | e_{i+1} \to e_i\}$.

The study of construction of cycle in edge quasi-distance-balanced graphs is principal aim of this paper. All examples of edge quasi-λ-DB graphs known to the authors are complete bipartite graphs. Therefore, at first the following theorem is presented.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a connected edge quasi-λ-distance-balanced graph. Then G is complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$ with $m \neq n$.*

Theorem 1.2. *If G be an edge quasi-DB-graph with a cycle $C = e_1, ..., e_n$, then*

$$\sum_{i \in C^+} m_u(e_i) = \sum_{i \in C^-} m_v(e_i).$$

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose that G is an edge quasi-DB graph and $C = e_1, ..., e_n$ is a cycle in G. Then*

$$2 \leqslant |C^+| \leqslant n - 2 \text{ and also } 2 \leqslant |C^-| \leqslant n - 2.$$

There exist equalities between sizes of C^+ and C^-, for complete bipartite edge quasi-DB graph, as presented in the next theorem.

Theorem 1.4. *If G be a complete bipartite edge quasi-DB- graph with a cycle $C = e_1, ..., e_{2n}$ of length $2n$, then*

$$|C^+| = |C^-| = n.$$

a *bridge* is an edge of a graph whose deletion increases the graph׳s number of connected components.

In the next theorem, we characterize the relatrion between quasi-DB graphs having a bridge and edge quasi-DB graphs.

Theorem 1.5. *Every connected quasi-DB graph admitting a bridge is not an edge quasi-DB graph.*

This article is arranged as follows. In a graph G some significant consequences concerning partitions of edge set are explained according to distance from some specified closed walk in Section 2 (notice Theorem 2.5). Theorem 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4 are proved in section 3. In Section 4 we verify Theorem 1.5.

2   Preliminaries

Here, we are going to express some important concepts that will be applied in the succeeding sections. At first, we must describe useful terms.

Definition 2.1. *Asuume that G is a graph and $C = e_1, ..., e_n$ is a walk in G. We determine a mapping $\varphi_c = E(G) \to \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $\varphi_C(e) = (x_1, ..., x_n)$, where $x_i = d_G(\acute{e}, v) - d_G(\acute{e}, u)$, for every $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$. For $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$, consider $A_i^+ = \acute{D}^{+1}_2(e) = \{(x_1, ..., x_n) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^n | x_i = 1\}$ and also $A_i^- = \acute{D}^{-2}_1(e) = \{(x_1, ..., x_n) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^n | x_i = -1\}$.*

For every walk C in a graph G and every edge $e \in E(G)$, it follows that $\varphi_C(e) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^n$, therefore the next remark.

Remark 2.2. *Suppose that G is a graph with a walk $C = e_1, ..., e_n$. A partition of $E(G)$ is the set $\{\varphi^{-1}((x_1, ..., x_n))(x_1, ..., x_n) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^n\}$.*

In the following lemma is stated a relation between the sets $M_u(e)$, $M_v(e)$ and also the mapping φ_C.

Lemma 2.3. *If G be a graph and $C = e_1, e_2, ..., e_n$ be a walk, then $M_u(e) = \varphi_C^{-1}(\acute{D}{+}_2^1(e))$ and $M_v(e) = \varphi_C^{-1}(\acute{D}{-}_1^2(e))$ for every $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\acute{e} \in E(G)$, and at first assume that $\acute{e} \in M_u(e)$. Then $d(\acute{e}, u) < d(\acute{e}, v)$. Besides, since $\acute{e} \in E(G)$, it implies that $d(\acute{e}, v) = d(\acute{e}, u) + 1$. Therefore, $d(\acute{e}, v) - d(\acute{e}, u) = 1$, thus $\acute{e} \in M_u(e)$. This concludes that $M_u(e) = \varphi_C^{-1}(\acute{D}{+}_2^1(e))$. By analogy, $M_v(e) = \varphi_C^{-1}(\acute{D}{-}_1^2(e))$. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let G be a graph and let $C = e_1, ..., e_n$ be a walk of length n in G. Suppose that $\acute{e} \in E(G)$ and $\varphi_C(\acute{e}) = (x_1, ..., x_n)$. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = d(\acute{e}, u_{n+1}) - d(\acute{e}, u_1).$$

Proof. By the definition of the cycle we have,

$$C = u_1, e_1, v_1 = u_2, e_2, v_2 = u_3, ..., v_{n-1} = u_n, e_n, v_n = u_{n+1},$$

and according to the defined mapping φ we have $x_i = d(\acute{e}, v) - d(\acute{e}, u)$. Therefore, $\Sigma_{i=1}^{n} x_i = \Sigma_{i=1}^{n} d(\acute{e}, v_i) - d(\acute{e}, u_i) = \Sigma_{i=1}^{n} d(\acute{e}, u_{i+1}) - d(\acute{e}, u_i) = d(\acute{e}, u_{n+1}) - d(\acute{e}, u_1)$. □

Theorem 2.5. *Consider in a graph G there exists a closed walk $e_1, ..., e_n$. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_u(e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_v(e_i).$$

Proof. Suppose that a closed walk in G be $C = u_1, e_1, ..., e_n, v_n, u_1$, and $\acute{e}$ is an arbitrary edge of G. Let $\varphi_C(\acute{e}) = (x_1, ..., x_n)$. Consider that $\acute{e}$ contributes k to the sum $\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_u(e_i)$ such that $\acute{e} \in M_u(e)$. Hence there exists exactly k coordinates of $\varphi_C(\acute{e})$ equal to 1 by Lemma 2.3. Lemma 2.4 concludes $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = 0$. If $x_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$, then it can be shown that there are also exactly k coordinates of $\varphi_C(\acute{e})$ equal to -1. Thus, $\acute{e}$ contributes k to the sum $\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_v(\acute{e})$. for every $\acute{e} \in E(G)$, the proof completed. □

3   The structure of cycles in edge quasi-distance-balanced graphs

Suppose that G is an edge quasi-DB graph. In the introduction, it was clarified a fundamental result that all examples of edge quasi-λ-DB graphs recognized to the authors are complete bipartite graphs. Hence, we initially prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem1.1. Let G be a edge quasi-λ-DB graph with $d = diam(G)$, and the edge set $\{e_1, e_2, ..., e_{2l+1}\}$ form an odd circle with length $2l + 1$ such that $e = uv \in E(G)$ and

$$A_{ij} = \{e \in E(G)|d(e, e_{i+k}) = m_{jk}, \quad m_{jk} = \{1, 2, ..., d\}, k = 0, 1, ..., 2l\},$$

$$2 \leqslant j \leqslant r,$$

such that $M_u(e_i) = (\bigcup_{j=1}^{r} A_{ij}) \cup \{e_{i+2l}\}$ and $M_v(e_i) = (\bigcup_{j=1}^{r} A_{(i+1)j}) \cup \{e_{i+2}\}$, in which the computations in indexes i are performed modulo $2l + 1$ and some $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Taking $|A_{ij}| = a_{ij}$ for $i = 0, 1, ..., 2l$ and $j = 1, 2, ..., r$ and following the hopothesis there exist $s_i \in \{\pm 1\}$, $i = 0, 1, ..., 2l$, such that,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r} a_{0j} + 1 = \lambda^{s_0} (\sum_{j=1}^{r} a_{1j} + 1),$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r} a_{1j} + 1 = \lambda^{s_1} (\sum_{j=1}^{r} a_{2j} + 1),$$

$$.$$
$$.$$
$$.$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r} a_{(2l-1)j} + 1 = \lambda^{s_{2l-1}} (\sum_{j=1}^{r} a_{(2l)j} + 1),$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r} a_{(2l)j} + 1 = \lambda^{s_{2l}} (\sum_{j=1}^{r} a_{0j} + 1).$$

Now, combining all $(2l + 1)$ equations above follows that $\lambda^{\Sigma_{i=0}^{2l} s_i} = 1$, that is, $\Sigma_{i=0}^{2l} s_i = 0$. On the other hand,

$$s_i \in \{\pm 1\} \Rightarrow 1 \leqslant |\Sigma_{i=0}^{2l} s_i|,$$

which is a contradiction and so G has no circle.

For being complete this graph, we must show that every vertex of the first set is connected to every vertex of the second set, that is, vertices degree in every set of graph is equal with opposite set size. Then, for vertices $u_i \in A$ and $v_i \in B$, $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ with $|A| = m$ and $|B| = n$, we have $deg(u_i) = n$ and $deg(v_i) = m$.

Now, suppose that G is not complete. So, there is at least an edge $e_i = u_i v_i$, so that, $deg(u_1) < n$ and $deg(v_1) < m$. Since, G is edge quasi-λ-DB, we conclude that

$$\frac{m_{u_1}(e_1)}{m_{v_1}(e_1)} \in \{\lambda_1, \frac{1}{\lambda_1}\}.$$

Let $E(G) - \{e_1\} = \{e_i\}$, $i \in \{2, 3, ..., n\}$ we have,

$$\frac{m_{u_i}(e_i)}{m_{v_i}(e_i)} \in \{\lambda_i, \frac{1}{\lambda_i}\}.$$

Therefore, we have found at least two distinct $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_i\}$ for G. Hence it contradicts with the definition of being edge quasi-λ-DB. This completes the proof. $\square$

Figure 1: Oriented edges in $P_3 \square P_3$.

Let G be an edge quasi-λ-DB. Then, the edges of G are oriented naturally by $\vec{e} : u \to v$ if and only if $m_u^G(e) = \lambda m_v^G(e)$ or $m_v^G(e) = \lambda m_u^G(e)$. Bring in mind that we defined $C^+ = \{i \in \{1, ..., n\} | e_i \to e_{i+1}\}$ and $C^- = \{i \in \{1, ..., n\} | e_{i+1} \to e_i\}$, for a cycle $C = e_1, ..., e_n$, where $e_{n+1} = e_i$ and $e_0 = e_n$. We are now going to present the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. It is obvious to show that $C^+ \cap C^- = \phi$ and $C^+ \cup C^- = \{1, ..., n\}$. So Theorem 2.5 implies that

$$\sum_{i \in C^+} m_u(e_i) + \sum_{i \in C^-} m_u(e_i) = \sum_{i \in C^+} m_v(e_i) + \sum_{i \in C^-} m_v(e_i),$$

and accordingly

$$\sum_{i \in C^+} m_u(e_i) - \sum_{i \in C^-} m_v(e_i) = \sum_{i \in C^+} m_v(e_i) - \sum_{i \in C^-} m_u(e_i). \quad (1)$$

It follows based on the definition of set C^+ and C^- that,

$$m_u(e_i) = \lambda \, m_v(e_i), \qquad (\text{ for each } i \in C^+) \tag{2}$$

$$m_v(e_i) = \lambda \, m_u(e_i). \qquad (\text{ for each } i \in C^-) \tag{3}$$

Combining equations (2) and (3) we have

$$\sum_{i \in C^+} m_u(e_i) - \sum_{i \in C^-} m_v(e_i) = \lambda(\sum_{i \in C^+} m_v(e_i) - \sum_{i \in C^-} m_u(e_i)). \tag{4}$$

Applying equations $(1), (4)$ and the fact that $\lambda > 1$ the result follows. $\square$

The following proof of the Theorem 1.3 gives us bounds on sizes of C^+ and C^-.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. At first, we suppose that $|C^-| = 0$. Thus, it is easy to see that $C^+ = \{1, ..., n\}$ and also $\sum_{i \in C^-} m_v(e_i) = 0$. Moreover, it follows that $\sum_{i \in C^+} m_u(e_i) = 0$ by Theorem 1.2. However, if $\acute{e} \in M_u(e), \forall \acute{e} \in E(G)$, then we have the implication that $m_u(e) \geqslant 1$. Thus, it contradicts the fact that $\sum_{i \in C^+} m_u(e_i) = 0$. Therefore, it follows that $|C^-| \geqslant 1$. Now, let $|C^-| = 1$. Without loss of generality, let $C^- = \{n\}$ and $C^+ = \{1, ..., n-1\}$. We assert that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m_u(e_i) - m_u(e_n) > 0. \tag{5}$$

We are first going to prove that

$$M_u(e_n) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} M_u(e_i).$$

Assume that $\acute{e} \in M_u(e_1)$, and let $\varphi_c(\acute{e}) = (x_1, ..., x_n)$. By lemma 2.3, $x_n = -1$. Further, there are $j \in \{1, ..., n-1\}$, in which $x_j = 1$ by Lemma 2.4. We have $\acute{e}_j \in M_u(e_j)$ by Lemma 2.3. Therefore, $M_u(e_n) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} M_u(e_i)$. It is clear that $\acute{e}_n \in M_u(e_n)$. It follows that a proper subset of $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} M_u(e_i)$ is $M_u(e_n)$ which concludes equation (5). Hence, equation (5) contradicts Theorem 1.2. The recent contradiction yields that $|C^-| \geqslant 2$. Similarly, it implies that also $|C^+| \geqslant 2$. $\square$

Corollary 3.1. *Suppose that G is an edge quasi-DB graph with a 4-cycle $C = e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4$. Then $|C^+| = |C^-| = 2$.*

It is indicated that for every cycle C in a complete bipartite quasi-DB graph, we have $|C^+| = |C^-|$ and it is stated in the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. To prove we suppose that G is a complete bipartite edge quasi-DB graph. Note that sets $M_u(e), M_v(e)$ and $M_0(e)$ form a partition of $E(G)$ for any edge $e = uv$ in G. Therefore, there exists constant T with $|E(G)| - m_0(e_i)/2 < T < |E(G)|$, such that

$$m_u(e_i) = T \ , \ m_v(e_i) = |E(G)| - (m_0(e_i) + T), \qquad (\text{ for each } i \in C^+),$$

and

$$m_u(e_i) = |E(G)| - (m_0(e_i) + T), \ m_v(e_i) = T, \qquad (\text{ for each } i \in C^-).$$

Thus, by Theorem 2.5 we obtain for each $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$,

$$|C^+|.T + |C^-|.(|E(G)| - (m_0(e_i) + T)) = \\ |C^+|.(|E(G)| - (m_0(e_i) + T)) + |C^-|.T.$$

Hence,

$$2(|C^+| - |C^-|).T = (|C^+| - |C^-|).|E(G)| - (|C^+| - |C^-|).m_0(e_i).$$

If $|C^+| - |C^-| \neq 0$, then it follows that $T = |E(G)|/2 - m_0(e_i)$, a contradiction. This contradiction implies that $|C^+| = |C^-|$. $\qquad \square$

Remark 3.2. *If all edge quasi-DB graphs be complete bipartite, then we conclude that in all recognized edge quasi-DB graphs, it holds $|C^+| = |C^-|$ for any cycle C.*

Now, the existance of cycles of length 5 in edge quasi-DB graphs will be investigated. A 5-cycle e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5 is called *central* if distance every edge in G be at most 2 from every edge on the 5-cycle, which means, for each $e \in E(G)$, it holds $d(e, e_i) \leqslant 2$, for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. We show that there is no central 5-cycle in an edge quasi-DB graph in the following result.

Proposition 3.3. *Every graph G that has a central 5-cycle, is not edge quasi-DB.*

Proof. To prove we must suppose contrary. Hence G is edge quasi-DB and a central 5-cycle is induced by a cycle $C = e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5$ in G. To do this we assert that $M_v(e_i) \setminus \{e_{i+2}\} = M_u(e_{i+2}) \setminus \{e_i\}$, for every $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. Consider $e \in M_v(e_i) \setminus \{e_{i+2}\}$. If $e = e_{i+1}$, then it is easy to see that $e \in M_u(e_{i+2}) \setminus \{e_i\}$. If $e \neq e_{i+1}$, such that there is central 5-cycle C in G, then we have $d(e, e_{i+1}) = 1$. We know that G is complete bipartite hence, it implies that $d(e, e_i) = d(e, e_{i+2}) = 2$. It is now obvious that $e \in M_u(e_{i+2}) \setminus \{e_i\}$ which follows that $M_v(e_i) \setminus \{e_{i+2}\} \subseteq M_u(e_{i+2}) \setminus \{e_i\}$ and also the reverse inequality. Thus, we have

$$m_v(e_i) = m_u(e_{i+2}), \qquad \text{for each } i \in (\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}). \tag{6}$$

If G is edge quasi-DB, then it yields that $m_u(e) = \lambda m_v(e)$, where $s_i \in \{\pm 1\}$.

Multiplying these equalities and applying equation (6) this proves that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{5} m_u(e_i) = \lambda^{s_1+s_2+s_3+s_4+s_5} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{5} m_u(e_i).$$

We observe that $\lambda^{s_1+s_2+s_3+s_4+s_5} = 1$ since $m_u(e_i) \geqslant 1$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. This is impossible, for $\lambda > 1$, and $s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4 + s_5 \neq 0$. The proof is completed by the obtained contradiction. $\square$

4   Bridges in quasi-DB graphs and edge quasi-DB graphs

The *minimum degree* of G is defined the degree of the vertex with the least number of edges incident to it and is denoted by $\delta(G)$. We would determine quasi-DB graphs with $\delta = 1$ in the next lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that G is a connected quasi-DB graph. Every graph G with $\delta(G) = 1$ is isomorphic to a star.*

Proof. Assume that G is a connected quasi-DB graph and the degree of vertex u is 1 in G. Suppose that the only adjacent vertex of u is v. We know that $|W_{uv}| = 1$ and $|W_{vu}| = |V(G)| - 1$, which shows that $\lambda(G) = |V(G)| - 1$. Suppose that adjacent vertex of v is w different from u. If $|W_{vw}| \geqslant 2$, then we observe that $|W_{vw}| = |V(G)| - 1$ and also $|W_{wv}| = 1$ which means that every adjacent vertex of v is a leaf in G, therefore G is isomorphic to star. $\square$

We would determine the relation between quasi-DB graphs having a bridge and edge quasi-DB graphs. Recollect a *bridge* (or *cut-edge*) is an edge of a graph whose deletion increases the graph's number of connected components. Below is the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let G be a connected quasi-DB graph and $e_{12} = v_1 v_2$ be a bridge in G. Let $\lambda = QDB(G)$. Suppose that the component containing v_i after removing bridge $v_1 v_2$ is G_i for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Without loss of generality we suppose that $|V(G_1)| \geqslant |V(G_2)|$. We have $W^G_{v_1 v_2} = V(G_1)$ and $W^G_{v_2 v_1} = V(G_2)$ which show that $\lambda = \frac{|V(G_1)|}{|V(G_2)|}$. Let $V(G_2) = \{v_2\}$. Then $\delta(G) = 1$, and based on Lemma 4.1 we can see that G is isomorphic to a star. If $x \in V(G_2) \setminus \{v_2\}$ then it is easily seen that $|W^G_{v_2 x}| \geqslant |V(G_1)| + 1$, and also $|W^G_{x v_2}| \leqslant |V(G_2)| - 1$. Clearly, $|W^G_{v_2 x}| \geqslant |W^G_{x v_2}|$, yielding that $|W^G_{v_2 x}| = \lambda |W^G_{x v_2}|$, that is,

$$\lambda = \frac{|W^G_{v_2 x}|}{|W^G_{x v_2}|} \geqslant \frac{|V(G_1)| + 1}{|V(G_2)| + 1} > \frac{|V(G_1)|}{|V(G_2)|} = \lambda,$$

a contradiction. A quasi-DB graph admitting a bridge is isomorphic to a star and it follows from the above contradiction. Now we are going to show that G is not edge quasi-DB graph. Let G be an edge quasi-DB graph. Let $e = uv$ be an edge in G such that v is a pendant vertex in G, so $deg(v) = 1$ but $deg(u) = |V(G)| - 1 > 1$. Hence $0 = m_v(e) \neq \lambda m_u(e)$. It is a contradiction with $\lambda > 0$. Thus G is not an edge quasi-DB graph. $\qquad \square$

References

[1] A. Abedi, M. Alaeiyan, A. Hujdurović and K. Kutnar, Quasi-λ-distance-balanced graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.*, **227** (2017), pp. 21-28.

[2] K. Balakrishnan, M. Changat, I. Peterin, S. Špacapan, P. Šparl and A. R. Subhamathi, Strongly distance-balanced graphs and graph products, *European J. Combin.*, **30** (2009), pp. 1048-1053.

[3] N. Biggs, *Algebraic Graph Theory*, second edition, Cambridge University Press, (1993).

[4] S. Cabello and P. Lukšič, The complexity of obtaining a distance-balanced graph, *Electron. J. Combin.*, **18** (1) (2011), Paper 49.

[5] D. Ž. Djokovič, Distance-preserving subgraphs of hypercubes, *J. Combin. Theory B.*, **14** (1973), pp. 263-267.

[6] I. Gutman, A formula for the Wiener number of trees and its extension to graphs containing cycles, *Graph Theory Notes.*, **27** (1994), pp. 9-15.

[7] K. Handa, Bipartite graphs with balanced (a, b)-partitions, *Ars Combin.*, **51** (1999), pp. 113-119.

[8] A. Ilić, S. Klavžar and M. Milanović, On distance-balanced graphs, *European J. Combin.*, **31** (2010), pp. 733-737.

[9] J. Jerebic, S. Klavžar and D. F. Rall, Distance-balanced graphs, *Ann. Comb.*, **12** (2008), pp. 71-79.

[10] K. Kutnar, A. Malnič, D. Marušič and Š. Miklavič, Distance-balanced graphs: Symmetry conditions, *Discrete Math.*, **306** (2006), pp. 1881-1894.

[11] K. Kutnar, A. Malnič, D. Marušič and Š. Miklavič, The strongly distance-balanced property of the generalized Petersen graphs, *Ars Math. Contemp.*, **2** (2009), pp. 41-47.

[12] K. Kutnar and Š. Miklavič, Nicely distance-balanced graphs, *European J. Combin.*, **39** (2014), pp. 57-67.

[13] Š. Miklavič and P. Šparl, On the connectivity of bipartite distance-balanced graphs, *European J. Combin.*, **33** (2012), pp. 237-247.

[14] M. Tavakoli, H. Yousefi-azari and A. R. Ashrafi, Note on edge distance-balanced graphs, *Transaction on Combinatorics.*, **1** (1) (2012), pp. 1-6.

Zohreh Aliannejadi
Department of Mathematics
Ph.D. Student of Mathematics
South-Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University

Tehran, Iran
E-mail: alian.zohreh64@gmail.com

Alireza Gilani
Department of Mathematics
Professor of Mathematics
South-Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University
Tehran, Iran
E-mail: a-gilani@azad.ac.ir

Mehdi Alaeiyan
Department of Mathematics
Full Professor of Mathematics
Iran University of Science and Technology
Tehran, Iran
E-mail: alaeiyan@iust.ac.ir

Jafar Asadpour
Department of Mathematics
Professor of Mathematics
South-Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University
Tehran, Iran
E-mail: jafar_ asadpour@yahoo.com