

The Product-Type Operators from the Besov Spaces into n th Weighted Type Spaces

E. Abbasi

Mahabad Branch, Islamic Azad University

Abstract. The main goal of this paper is the investigation of boundedness and compactness of a class of product-type operators $T_{u,v,\varphi}^m$ from Besov spaces into n th weighted type spaces.

AMS Subject Classification: 47B38; 30H25; 30H99

Keywords and Phrases: Besov spaces, boundedness, compactness, product-type operators, n th weighted type spaces.

1 Introduction

Let \mathbb{D} denote the open unit disc of the complex plane \mathbb{C} and $H(\mathbb{D})$ denotes the space of all analytic functions on \mathbb{D} . Let $u, v \in H(\mathbb{D})$, φ be an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} ($\varphi(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq \mathbb{D}$) and $m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. In [11] Stević and co-authors defined a new product-type operator $T_{u,v,\varphi}^m$ as follows

$$T_{u,v,\varphi}^m g(z) = u(z)g^{(m)}(\varphi(z)) + v(z)g^{(m+1)}(\varphi(z)), \quad g \in H(\mathbb{D}), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

When $m = 0$, we obtain the Stević-Sharma type operator and for $v \equiv 0$, we get the generalized weighted composition operators $D_{u,\varphi}^m$. For more

details about product-type operators, we refer the interested reader to [7, 8, 13].

Let $dA(z)$ be the normalized area measure on \mathbb{D} and $1 < p < \infty$. The Besov space B_p consists of all $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$b_p(g) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |g'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-2} dA(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

B_p is a Banach space with $\|g\|_{B_p} = |g(0)| + b_p(g)$ and it is a Möbius invariant space in the sense that $b_p(g \circ \psi) = b_p(g)$ for all $g \in B_p$ and $\psi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$, the Möbius group of \mathbb{D} (see [15, 17]).

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\mu(z)$ be a weight, positive and continuous function on \mathbb{D} . The n th weighted type space $\mathcal{W}_\mu^n(\mathbb{D}) = \mathcal{W}_\mu^n$, was introduced by Stević in [9], consists of all $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$b_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^n}(g) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |g^{(n)}(z)| < \infty.$$

This space is a Banach space with the following norm

$$\|g\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^n} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |g^{(i)}(0)| + b_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^n}(g).$$

Let $\alpha > 0$. Then $\mathcal{W}_{(1-|z|^2)^\alpha}^{(0)} = H^{-\alpha}$ (growth space), $\mathcal{W}_{(1-|z|^2)^\alpha}^{(1)} = \mathcal{B}^\alpha$ (Bloch type space) and $\mathcal{W}_{(1-|z|^2)^\alpha}^{(2)} = \mathcal{Z}^\alpha$ (Zygmund type space). Also $\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(0)} = H_\mu$ (weighted-type space), $\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(1)} = \mathcal{B}\mu$ (weighted Bloch space), $\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(2)} = \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ (weighted Zygmund space) and $\mathcal{W}_{(1-|z|^2) \log \frac{2}{1-|z|^2}}^{(1)}$ coincides with the logarithmic Bloch space \mathcal{B}_{\log} . More information about n th weighted type spaces can be found in [1, 2, 3, 9, 10, 12, 18].

Lemma 1.1 ([16], Proposition 8). *For any $g \in \mathcal{B}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\|g\|_{\mathcal{B}} \approx \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |g^{(i)}(0)| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2)^n |g^{(n)}(z)|.$$

Lemma 1.2 ([6], Lemma 2.1). *The sequence $\{z^j\}_1^\infty$ is bounded in \mathcal{B} and*

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|z^j\|_{\mathcal{B}} = \frac{2}{e}.$$

Lemma 1.3 ([4]). *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then for any $g \in B_p$*

$$\|g\|_{\mathcal{B}} \preceq \|g\|_{B_p}.$$

For $n, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $k \leq n$, the partial Bell polynomials are defined by

$$B_{n,k}(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-k+1}) = \sum \frac{n!}{j_1! j_2! \dots j_{n-k+1}!} \left(\frac{y_1}{1!}\right)^{j_1} \left(\frac{y_2}{2!}\right)^{j_2} \dots \left(\frac{y_{n-k+1}}{(n-k+1)!}\right)^{j_{n-k+1}},$$

where $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{n-k+1} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$j_1 + 2j_2 + \dots + (n-k+1)j_{n-k+1} = n \quad \text{and} \quad j_1 + j_2 + \dots + j_{n-k+1} = k.$$

More information about Bell polynomials can be found in [[5], pp 134]. From Lemma 4 of [10], we have the next lemma.

Lemma 1.4. *Let $g, \varphi, u, v \in H(\mathbb{D})$. Then for any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} (T_{u,v,\varphi}^m g)^{(n)}(z) &= \sum_{i=0}^n g^{(m+i)}(\varphi(z)) \sum_{l=i}^n \binom{n}{l} u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,i}(\varphi'(z), \dots, \varphi^{(l-i+1)}(z)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^n g^{(m+1+i)}(\varphi(z)) \sum_{l=i}^n \binom{n}{l} v^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,i}(\varphi'(z), \dots, \varphi^{(l-i+1)}(z)). \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity in calculation, we set

$$I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u}(z) := \begin{cases} \sum_{l=i}^n \binom{n}{l} u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,i}(\varphi'(z), \dots, \varphi^{(l-i+1)}(z)) & i, n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ and } i \leq n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

By applying the above notion, we can rewrite the previous lemma as follows

$$(T_{u,v,\varphi}^m g)^{(n)}(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} g^{(m+i)}(\varphi(z)) (I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-1,\varphi}^{n,v})(z). \quad (1)$$

Recently, Liu and Yu in [8] studied the boundedness and compactness of operator $T_{u,v,\varphi}^m$ from the Logarithmic Bloch spaces to Zygmund

type spaces. Also Zhu and the author of this paper, have found some characterizations for boundedness and compactness of $T_{u,v,\varphi}^0 : B_p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ in [19]. Motivated by previous works, in this paper some characterizations for boundedness and compactness of operator $T_{u,v,\varphi}^m : B_p \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\mu^n$ are given. As application some new characterizations for the boundedness, compactness of generalized weighted composition operators from Besov spaces into n th weighted type spaces are found.

Throughout this paper, if there exists a constant c such that $a \leq cb$ we use the notation $a \preceq b$. The symbol $a \approx b$ means that $a \preceq b \preceq a$.

2 Boundedness

In this section, some equivalent conditions for boundedness of the operator $T_{u,v,\varphi}^m : B_p \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\mu^n$ are obtained.

Lemma 2.1 ([19], Lemma 2.5). *Let $1 < p < \infty$. For any $a \in \mathbb{D}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, set*

$$f_{j,a}(z) = \left(\frac{1 - |a|^2}{1 - \bar{a}z} \right)^j, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (2)$$

Then $f_{j,a} \in B_p$ and $\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|f_{j,a}\|_{B_p} < \infty$.

By using the functions defined in (2), we get the next lemma. Since the proof of it resembles to the proof of Lemma 2.1 [1], hence it is omitted.

Lemma 2.2. *Let δ_{ik} be Kronecker delta. For any $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{D}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n+1\}$ there exists a function $g_{i,a} \in B_p$ such that*

$$g_{i,a}^{(m+k)}(a) = \frac{\delta_{ik} \bar{a}^{m+k}}{(1 - |a|^2)^{m+k}}.$$

In this case $g_{i,a}(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+2} c_j^i f_{j,a}(z)$, where $f_{j,a}$ are defined in (2) and c_j^i are independent of the choice of a .

Theorem 2.3. *Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 < p < \infty$, μ be a weight, $u, v \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and φ be an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} . The following assertions are pairwise equivalent.*

(a) The operator $T_{u,v,\varphi}^m : B_p \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\mu^n$ is bounded.

(b) If $p_j(z) = z^j$ then $\sup_{j \geq 1} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m p_j\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^n} < \infty$.

(c) For each $i \in \{0, \dots, n+1\}$,

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f_{i+1,a}\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^n} < \infty, \quad \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |(I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-1,\varphi}^{n,v})(z)| < \infty,$$

where $f_{i,a}$ are defined in (2).

(d) For each $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n+1\}$,

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(z) |(I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-1,\varphi}^{n,v})(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{i+m}} < \infty.$$

Proof. (b) \Rightarrow (c) For any $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n+1\}$ and $a \in \mathbb{D}$

$$f_{i+1,a}(z) = (1 - |a|^2)^{i+1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{i+j}{j} \bar{a}^j z^j.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f_{i+1,a}\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^n} &\leq (1 - |a|^2)^{i+1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{i+j}{j} |\bar{a}|^j \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m p_j\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^n} \\ &\leq 2^{i+1} \sup_{j \geq 1} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m p_j\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^n}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f_{i+1,a}\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^n} < \infty$.

Applying the operator $T_{u,v,\varphi}^m$ for $p_m(z) = z^m$, so by employing (1), we obtain

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |I_{0,\varphi}^{n,u}(z)| = \frac{1}{m!} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |(T_{u,v,\varphi}^m p_m)^{(n)}(z)| < \infty.$$

Now suppose that the following inequalities hold for $0 \leq i \leq j-1$,

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |(I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-1,\varphi}^{n,v})(z)| < \infty,$$

where $j \leq n + 1$. Applying the operator $T_{u,v,\varphi}^m$ for $p_{m+j}(z) = z^{m+j}$ and using (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) & \left| \frac{(m+j)!}{j!} \varphi^j(z) I_0^n(z) + \sum_{k=1}^j \frac{(m+j)!}{(j-k)!} (\varphi(z))^{j-k} (I_{k,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{k-1,\varphi}^{n,v})(z) \right| \\ & \leq \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m p_{m+j}\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^n} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varphi(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathbb{D}$, we obtain

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |(I_{j,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{j-1,\varphi}^{n,v})(z)| < \infty.$$

(c) \Rightarrow (d) For any $\varphi(a) \neq 0$ and $i \in \{0, \dots, n+1\}$, employing (1) and Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu(a) |\varphi(a)|^{m+i} |(I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-1,\varphi}^{n,v})(a)|}{(1 - |\varphi(a)|^2)^{m+i}} & \leq \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m g_{i,\varphi}(a)\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^n} \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n+2} |c_j^i| \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f_{j,a}\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^n} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

From the last inequality,

$$\sup_{|\varphi(a)| > \frac{1}{2}} \frac{\mu(a) |(I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-1,\varphi}^{n,v})(a)|}{(1 - |\varphi(a)|^2)^{m+i}} < \infty,$$

and from (c)

$$\sup_{|\varphi(a)| \leq \frac{1}{2}} \frac{\mu(a) |(I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-1,\varphi}^{n,v})(a)|}{(1 - |\varphi(a)|^2)^{m+i}} \leq \sup_{|\varphi(a)| \leq \frac{1}{2}} \mu(a) |(I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-1,\varphi}^{n,v})(a)| < \infty.$$

So, for any $i \in \{0, \dots, n+1\}$,

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(z) |(I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-1,\varphi}^{n,v})(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{m+i}} < \infty.$$

(d) \Rightarrow (b) Setting $g(z) = p_j(z) = z^j$ ($j \geq m + n + 1$) in (1), so from Lemmas 1.1 and 1.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mu(z)|(T_{u,v,\varphi}^m p_j)^{(n)}(z)| \leq \\
& \mu(z) \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \frac{j!}{(j-m-i)!} (1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^{i+m} |\varphi(z)|^{j-m-i} \frac{|(I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-1,\varphi}^{n,v})(z)|}{(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^{i+m}} \preceq \\
& \|z^j\|_{\mathcal{B}} \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(z)|(I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-1,\varphi}^{n,v})(z)|}{(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^{i+m}} \preceq \\
& \frac{2}{e} \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(z)|(I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-1,\varphi}^{n,v})(z)|}{(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^{i+m}}. \tag{3}
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for any $k < n$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(T_{u,v,\varphi}^m p_j)^{(k)}(0)| \leq \\
& \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \frac{j!}{(j-m-i)!} (1-|\varphi(0)|^2)^{i+m} |\varphi(0)|^{j-m-i} \frac{|(I_{i,\varphi}^{k,u} + I_{i-1,\varphi}^{k,v})(0)|}{(1-|\varphi(0)|^2)^{i+m}} \\
& \preceq \frac{2}{e} \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \frac{|(I_{i,\varphi}^{k,u} + I_{i-1,\varphi}^{k,v})(0)|}{(1-|\varphi(0)|^2)^{i+m}}. \tag{4}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by using (3) and (4), we get (b).

(d) \Rightarrow (a) From (1) and Lemmas 1.2, 1.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mu(z)|(T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f)^{(n)}(z)| \leq \\
& \mu(z) \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^{m+i} |f^{(m+i)}(\varphi(z))| \frac{|(I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-1,\varphi}^{n,v})(z)|}{(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^{m+i}} \preceq \\
& \|f\|_{B_p} \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(z)|(I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-1,\varphi}^{n,v})(z)|}{(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^{m+i}}. \tag{5}
\end{aligned}$$

Also for any $k < n$, with similar calculation in the (4), we obtain

$$|(T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f)^{(k)}(0)| \preceq \|f\|_{B_p} \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \frac{|(I_{i,\varphi}^{k,u} + I_{i-1,\varphi}^{k,v})(0)|}{(1-|\varphi(0)|^2)^{i+m}}. \tag{6}$$

From (5) and (6), we get (a).

(a) \Rightarrow (c) For each $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n+1\}$, from Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f_{i+1,a}\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^n} \leq \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m\|_{B_p \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\mu^n} \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|f_{i+1,a}\|_{B_p} < \infty.$$

For any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $z^j \in B_p$. So, the proof of the second part resembles to the proof of second part of (b) \Rightarrow (c) and hence it is dropped. The proof is completed. \square

3 Compactness

In this section, some new characterizations for compactness of the operator $T_{u,v,\varphi}^m : B_p \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\mu^n$ are given. The proof of the following lemma resembles to the proof of Lemma 2.10 [14], therefore it is dropped.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, μ be a weight and $S : B_p \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\mu^n$ be bounded. Then S is compact if and only if whenever $\{f_k\}$ is bounded in B_p and $f_k \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} ,*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|Sf_k\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^n} = 0.$$

Theorem 3.2. *Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 < p < \infty$, μ be a weight, $u, v \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and φ be an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} . Let the operator $T_{u,v,\varphi}^m : B_p \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\mu^n$ be bounded then the following assertions are pairwise equivalent.*

(a) *The operator $T_{u,v,\varphi}^m : B_p \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\mu^n$ is compact.*

(b) *If $p_j(z) = z^j$ then $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m p_j\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^n} = 0$.*

(c) *For each $i \in \{0, \dots, n+1\}$,*

$$\lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f_{i+1,a}\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^n} = 0,$$

where $f_{i,a}$ are defined in (2).

(d) *For each $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n+1\}$,*

$$\limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu(z) |(I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-1,\varphi}^{n,v})(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{i+m}} = 0.$$

Proof. (b) \Rightarrow (c) For given ϵ , there exists $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $j \geq M$,

$$\|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m p_j\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^n} < \epsilon.$$

Hence, for each $i \in \{0, \dots, n+1\}$

$$f_{i+1,a}(z) = (1 - |a|^2)^{i+1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \binom{i+k}{k} + \sum_{k=M}^{\infty} \binom{i+k}{k} \right) \bar{a}^k z^k.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f_{i+1,a}\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^n} \leq \\ & 2 \max\{\|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m p_j\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^n}\}_{j=0}^{M-1} (1 - |a|^2)^i (1 - |a|^M) \binom{i+M-1}{M-1} + 2^{i+1} \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\limsup_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f_{i+1,a}\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^n} \leq \epsilon.$$

Since ϵ is arbitrary, so $\limsup_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f_{i+1,a}\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^n} = 0$.

(c) \Rightarrow (d) Let $\{a_k\}$ be any sequence in \mathbb{D} , such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow 1} |\varphi(a_k)| =$

1. For each $i \in \{0, \dots, n+1\}$, applying (1) and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mu(a_k) |\varphi(a_k)|^{m+i} |(I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-1,\varphi}^{n,v})(a_k)|}{(1 - |\varphi(a_k)|^2)^{m+i}} \leq \sup_{\varphi(a_k) \in \mathbb{D}} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m g_{i,\varphi(a_k)}\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^n} \leq \\ & \sum_{j=1}^{n+2} |c_j^i| \sup_{\varphi(a_k) \in \mathbb{D}} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f_{j,\varphi(a_k)}\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^n}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit when $k \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\lim_{|\varphi(a)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu(a) |(I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-1,\varphi}^{n,v})(a)|}{(1 - |\varphi(a)|^2)^{m+i}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n+2} |c_j^i| \limsup_{|\varphi(a)| \rightarrow 1} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f_{j,\varphi(a)}\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^n} = 0.$$

(d) \Rightarrow (b) For given ϵ , there exists a $0 < \delta < 1$ such that

$$\sup_{\delta < |\varphi(z)| < 1} \frac{\mu(z) |(I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-1,\varphi}^{n,v})(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{m+i}} < \epsilon, \quad i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n+1\}. \quad (7)$$

Let $p_j(z) = z^j$ ($j \geq m + n + 1$). So from (1) and Lemmas 1.1, 1.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(z)|(T_{u,v,\varphi}^m p_j)^{(n)}(z)| &\leq \tag{8} \\ \mu(z) \sum_{i=m}^{m+n+1} \frac{j!}{(j-i)!} |\varphi(z)|^{j-i} |(I_{i-m,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-m-1,\varphi}^{n,v})(z)| &\leq \\ \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \sup_{|\varphi(z)| \leq \delta} \underbrace{\mu(z) \frac{j!}{(j-m-i)!} (1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^{i+m} |\varphi(z)|^{j-m-i} \frac{|(I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-1,\varphi}^{n,v})(z)|}{(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^{i+m}}}_{F_i} &+ \\ \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > \delta} \underbrace{\mu(z) \frac{j!}{(j-m-i)!} (1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^{i+m} |\varphi(z)|^{j-m-i} \frac{|(I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-1,\varphi}^{n,v})(z)|}{(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^{i+m}}}_{E_i}. & \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{p_j\}$ converges to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} , so $\{p_j^{(t)}\}$ converges to zero uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} , hence from Theorem 2.3, we get

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} F_i = 0. \tag{9}$$

From Lemmas 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 and (7)

$$E_i \leq \|z^j\|_{\mathcal{B}} \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > \delta} \frac{\mu(z)|(I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-1,\varphi}^{n,v})(z)|}{(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^{i+m}} \leq \frac{2(n+2)}{e} \epsilon.$$

Since ϵ is arbitrary, so

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} E_i = 0. \tag{10}$$

Also by simple calculation for each $k < n$, we have

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} |(T_{u,v,\varphi}^m p_j)^{(k)}(0)| = 0. \tag{11}$$

Therefore from (8), (9), (10) and (11), we obtain (b).

(d) \Rightarrow (a) For given ϵ , there exists a $0 < \delta < 1$ such that

$$\sup_{\delta < |\varphi(z)| < 1} \frac{\mu(z)|(I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-1,\varphi}^{n,v})(z)|}{(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^{m+i}} < \epsilon, \quad i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n+1\}. \tag{12}$$

Let $\{f_k\}$ be any bounded sequence in B_p such that converges to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} .

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(z) \left| \left(T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f_k \right)^{(n)}(z) \right| &\leq \mu(z) \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} |f_k^{(i+m)}(z)| |(I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-1,\varphi}^{n,v})(z)| \quad (13) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \underbrace{\sup_{|\varphi(z)| \leq \delta} \mu(z) |f_k^{(i+m)}(z)| |(I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-1,\varphi}^{n,v})(z)|}_{H_i} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \underbrace{\sup_{|\varphi(z)| > \delta} \mu(z) |f_k^{(i+m)}(z)| |(I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-1,\varphi}^{n,v})(z)|}_{L_i} \end{aligned}$$

Since $f_k \rightarrow 0$ converge to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} , so $f_k^{(t)} \rightarrow 0$ converge to zero uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} . Hence, from the boundedness of $T_{u,v,\varphi}^m : B_p \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\mu^n$ and Theorem 2.3, we obtain

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} H_i = 0, \quad i \in \{0, \dots, n+1\}. \quad (14)$$

Also by using Lemmas 1.1, 1.3 and (12), for any $i \in \{0, \dots, n+1\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_i &= \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > \delta} \mu(z) (1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{m+i} |f_k^{(i+m)}(z)| \frac{|(I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-1,\varphi}^{n,v})(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{m+i}} \\ &\preceq \epsilon \|f_k\|_{B_p} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} L_i = 0, \quad i \in \{0, \dots, n+1\}. \quad (15)$$

For any $j < n$, we get

$$|(T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f_k)^{(j)}(0)| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{j+1} |f_k^{(i+m)}(\varphi(0))| |(I_{i,\varphi}^{k,u} + I_{i-1,\varphi}^{k,v})(0)|.$$

Since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k^{(i+m)}(\varphi(0)) = 0$, so

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} |(T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f_k)^{(j)}(0)| = 0. \quad (16)$$

Therefore, from (13), (14), (15), (16) and Lemma 3.1, we have (a).

(a) \Rightarrow (c) Let $\{a_k\}$ be arbitrary sequence such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow 1} |a_k| = 1$. It is obvious that for each $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n+1\}$, $f_{i+1,a_k} \rightarrow 0$ converge to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} . So by using Lemmas 2.1 and 3.1, we get

$$\lim_{|a_k| \rightarrow 1} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f_{i+1,a_k}\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^n} = 0.$$

The proof is completed. \square

Remark 3.3. Setting $\mu(z) = (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha$ and $n = 1$ ($n = 2$) in Theorems 2.3 and 3.2, some equivalent conditions for boundedness and compactness of operator $T_{u,v,\varphi}^m : B_p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\alpha(T_{u,v,\varphi}^m : B_p \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^\alpha)$ are obtained.

Remark 3.4. Putting $n = 1$ and $\mu(z) = (1 - |z|^2) \log \frac{2}{1-|z|^2}$ in Theorems 2.3 and 3.2, similar results are given for operator $T_{u,v,\varphi}^m : B_p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\log}$.

Remark 3.5. Setting $v \equiv 0$ in Theorems 2.3 and 3.2, we have similar results for operator $D_{u,\varphi}^m : B_p \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\mu^n$.

Acknowledgment

The author would like to express their sincere gratitude to the referee for a very careful reading of the paper and for all the valuable suggestions, which led to improvement in this paper.

References

- [1] E. Abbasi and H. Vaezi, Estimates of essential norm of generalized weighted composition operators from Bloch type spaces to n th weighted type spaces, *Math. Slovaca*, 70(1) (2020), 71–80.

- [2] E. Abbasi, S. Li and H. Vaezi, Weighted composition operators from the Bloch space to n th weighted-type, *Turk. J. Math.*, 44(1) (2020), 108-117.
- [3] E. Abbasi, H. Vaezi and S. Li, Essential norm of weighted composition operators from H^∞ to n th weighted type spaces, *Mediterr. J. Math.*, 16 (2019), 133.
- [4] F. Colonna and S. Li, Weighted composition operators from the Besov spaces to the Bloch spaces, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.*, 36 (2013), 1027–1039.
- [5] L. COMTET, *Advanced Combinatorics*, D. Reidel, Dordrecht, (1974)
- [6] O. Hyvärinen and M. Lindström, Estimates of essential norms of weighted composition operators between Bloch-type spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 393 (2012), 38–44.
- [7] Y. Liu and Y. Yu, Products of composition, multiplication and radial derivative operators from logarithmic Bloch spaces to weighted-type spaces on the unit ball, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 423(1) (2015), 76–93.
- [8] Y. Liu and Y. Yu, The product-type operators from Logarithmic Bloch spaces to Zygmund-type spaces, *Filomat*, 33(12) (2019), 3639–3653.
- [9] S. Stević, Composition operators from the weighted Bergman space to the n th weighted spaces on the unit disc, *Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc.*, 2009 (2009), 11p.
- [10] S. Stević, Weighted differentiation composition operators from H^∞ and Bloch spaces to n th weighted-type spaces on the unit disk, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 216 (2010), 3634–3641.
- [11] S. Stević, A. Sharma and R. Krishan, Boundedness and compactness of a new product-type operator from general space to Bloch-type spaces, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, 2016(219) (2016), 32 p.

- [12] S. Stević, Essential norm of some extensions of the generalized composition operators between k -th weighted-type spaces, *J. Ineq. Appl.*, 2017(220) (2017), 13 p.
- [13] S. Stević and A. Sharma, On a product-type operator between Hardy and α -Bloch spaces of the upper half-plane, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, 2018 (273) (2018), 18 p.
- [14] M. Tjani, Compact composition operators on some Möbius invariant Banach space, PhD dissertation, Michigan State university, (1996).
- [15] K. Zhu, Analytic Besov spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 157(2) (1991), 318–336.
- [16] K. Zhu, Bloch type spaces of analytic functions, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 23(3) (1993), 1143–1177.
- [17] K. Zhu, *Operator Theory in Function Spaces*, Amer. Math. Soc., second edition, (2007)
- [18] X. Zhu, Weighted composition operators from the minimal möbius invariant space into n th weighted-type spaces, *Ann. Funct. Anal.*, 11 (2020), 379–390.
- [19] X. Zhu, E. Abbasi and A. Ebrahimi, A class of operator-related composition operators from the Besov spaces into the Bloch space, *Bull. Iran. Math. Soc.*, 47 (2021), 171–184.

Ebrahim Abbasi

Assistant Professor of Mathematics

Department of Mathematics

Mahabad Branch, Islamic Azad University

Mahabad, Iran

E-mail: ebrahimabbasi81@gmail.com, e.abbasi@iau-mahabad.ac.ir