

Journal of Mathematical Extension
Vol. 15, No. 4, (2021) (7)1-12
URL: <https://doi.org/10.30495/JME.2021.1634>
ISSN: 1735-8299
Original Research Paper

A Note on Lyapunov-Type Inequalities for Fractional Boundary Value Problems with Sturm-Liouville Boundary Conditions

A. Chavada

The Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda

N. Pathak*

The Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda

Abstract. In this note, we study Cauchy-Schwarz-type inequality for fractional Sturm-Liouville boundary value problem containing Caputo derivative of order α , $1 < \alpha \leq 2$. A lower bound for the smallest eigenvalue is determined using this inequality. We give a comparison between the smallest eigenvalue and its lower bound obtained from the Lyapunov-type and Cauchy-Schwarz-type inequalities which indicate the properties of eigenvalues.

AMS Subject Classification:34A08; 34A40; 26D10; 34C10; 33E12

Keywords and Phrases: Lyapunov inequality; Caputo fractional derivative; Cauchy-Schwarz inequality; Mittag-Leffler function.

1 Introduction

The Lyapunov inequality [10] has proved to be very useful in the study of spectral properties and oscillation theory of ordinary differential equations. This inequality can be stated as follows [1]:

Received: April 2020; Accepted: November 2020

*Corresponding Author

The nontrivial solution to the boundary value problem $u''(t) + q(t)u(t) = 0, a < t < b, u(a) = u(b) = 0$, exists, where $q : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, then

$$\int_a^b |q(s)| ds > \frac{4}{b-a}.$$

The research on Lyapunov-Type Inequalities (LTIs) for Fractional Boundary Value Problems (FBVPs) has begun since 2013. In [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [11], [12], [13] and [15], the authors have established LTIs for FBVPs of order α with different boundary conditions. In [12], Pathak obtained LTI for fractional boundary value problem with Hilfer derivative of order $\alpha, 1 < \alpha \leq 2$. Furthermore, the author applied LTI to obtain the lower bound for the smallest eigenvalue of corresponding eigenvalue problem. In addition, the Cauchy-Schwarz type inequality (CSI) is established to improve the lower bound estimation of the smallest eigenvalue and applied it to obtain intervals where certain Mittag-Leffler (M-L) function has no real zeros. The CSI provides better results than that of LTI. Motivated by the above work, we consider the following problem with Sturm-Liouville boundary conditions [8]:

$$({}^C D^\alpha u)(t) + q(t)u(t) = 0, \quad a < t < b, \quad 1 < \alpha < 2 \quad (1)$$

$$pu(a) - ru'(a) = u(b) = 0, \quad (2)$$

where $p > 0, r \geq 0$ and $q : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. In [8], Jleli and Samet established a Lyapunov-type inequality for FBVP (1)-(2) as follows:

For $\frac{r}{p} > \frac{b-a}{\alpha-1}$

$$\int_a^b |q(s)| ds \geq \left(1 + \frac{p}{r}(b-a)\right) \frac{\Gamma\alpha}{(b-a)^{\alpha-1}} \quad (3)$$

and for $0 \leq \frac{r}{p} \leq \frac{b-a}{\alpha-1}$

$$\int_a^b |q(s)| ds \geq \frac{\Gamma\alpha}{\max\{A(\alpha, \frac{r}{p}), B(\alpha, \frac{r}{p})\}}. \quad (4)$$

We establish CSI for FBVP (1)-(2). The outline of the paper is as follows: first, we provide some preliminaries in Section 2 which we will use in this paper. In section 3, we establish CSI for fractional Strum-Liouville boundary value problem containing Caputo derivative of order α , $1 < \alpha \leq 2$. We also give a comparison between the lower bound estimates of the smallest eigenvalue obtained from the LTI and CSI. In section 4, we use these inequalities to obtain an interval where a linear combination of certain Mittag- Leffler functions have no real zeros. Finally, a conclusion is given in Section 5.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some basic definitions which are further used in this paper.

Definition 2.1. The Caputo derivative of fractional order $\alpha > 0$ is defined by

$$({}^C D^\alpha f)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m - \alpha)} \int_a^t (t - s)^{m-\alpha-1} f^{(m)}(s) ds, t \in [a, b],$$

where m is the smallest integer greater of equal to α .

Definition 2.2. The two-parameter M-L function is defined by

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)}, (\alpha, \beta, z \in \mathbb{R}; \alpha, \beta > 0).$$

Definition 2.3. The Pfaff Transformation is defined as

$${}_2F_1(a, b; c; t) = (1 - t)^{-a} {}_2F_1\left(a, c - b; c; \frac{t}{t - 1}\right); |t| < \frac{1}{2},$$

where ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; t)$ is a hypergeometric function.

For more details, refer [9] and [14].

3 Main Result

The main result of this note is given in Theorem 3.3.

Lemma 3.1. *The FBVP (1)-(2) can be written in its equivalent integral form as [8]*

$$u(t) = \int_a^b G(t,s)q(s)u(s)ds, \quad t \in [a, b], \quad (5)$$

where G is the Green's function given by

$$G(t,s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \begin{cases} \frac{\left(\frac{r}{p} + t - a\right)(b-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\left(\frac{r}{p} + b - a\right)} - (t-s)^{\alpha-1}, & a \leq s \leq t \leq b, \\ \frac{\left(\frac{r}{p} + t - a\right)(b-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\left(\frac{r}{p} + b - a\right)}, & a \leq t \leq s \leq b. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Lemma 3.2. [12] *Let $u \in L^2[a, b]$, then the Cauchy-Schwarz-type inequality of FBVP (1)-(2) is given by*

$$1 \leq \left\{ \int_a^b \int_a^b |G(t,s)q(s)|^2 ds dt \right\}. \quad (7)$$

Proof. Taking the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in (5) we get,

$$|u(t)| \leq \left[\int_a^b |G(t,s)q(s)|^2 ds \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_a^b |u(s)|^2 ds \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Squaring and integrating from a to b w.r.to. t gives

$$\int_a^b |u(t)|^2 dt \leq \int_a^b \left\{ \left[\int_a^b |G(t,s)q(s)|^2 ds \right] \left[\int_a^b |u(s)|^2 ds \right] \right\} dt$$

$$\|u\|_2 \leq \int_a^b \int_a^b |G(t,s)q(s)|^2 ds dt \|u\|_2,$$

which proves the Lemma. \square

Now, we consider Fractional Sturm-Liouville eigen value problem (FEP):

$$\begin{cases} ({}^C D^\alpha u)(t) + \lambda u(t) = 0, & a < t < b, 1 < \alpha < 2 \\ pu(a) - ru'(a) = u(b) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

We are ready to state and prove our main results.

Theorem 3.3. *If a nontrivial continuous solution of the problem (8) exists, then for FEP (8) the CSI is*

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \geq & \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha))} \left\{ \frac{1}{(2\alpha - 1)\left(\frac{r}{p} + b - a\right)^2} \left[\left(\frac{r}{p} - a\right)^2 (b - a) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \left(\frac{r}{p} - a\right)(b^2 - a^2) + \frac{(b^3 - a^3)}{3} \right] + \frac{(b - a)^{2\alpha}}{2\alpha(2\alpha - 1)} \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{2\beta(1, \alpha)(b - a)^\alpha}{\left(\frac{r}{p} + b - a\right)} \int_a^b \left(\frac{r}{p} - a + t\right)(t - a)^\alpha \frac{{}_2F_1\left(1, 2\alpha, 1 + \alpha, \frac{a-t}{b-t}\right)}{(b - t)} dt \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where $\beta(m, n)$ is a Beta function.

Proof. Taking $q(t) = \lambda$ in (7) gives the inequality

$$\lambda \geq \left[\int_a^b \int_a^b |G(t, s)|^2 ds dt \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (10)$$

By substituting equation (6) in (10), after some simplifications we obtain (9), which concludes the proof. \square

We consider following two cases.

Case 1: Taking $a = 0, b = 1, p = 1$ and $r = 2$ in (8), we get the following FEP:

$$\left({}^C D^\alpha u\right)(t) + \lambda u(t) = 0, 0 < t < 1, 1 < \alpha < 2 \quad (11)$$

$$u(0) - 2u'(0) = u(1) = 0. \quad (12)$$

Case 2: Taking $a = 0, b = 1, p = 2$ and $r = 1$ in (8), gives the eigenvalue problem:

$$\left({}^C D^\alpha u\right)(t) + \lambda u(t) = 0, 0 < t < 1, 1 < \alpha < 2 \quad (13)$$

$$2u(0) - u'(0) = u(1) = 0. \quad (14)$$

Next, we give three methods to estimate the lower bound for the smallest eigenvalue of problems (11)-(12) and (13)-(14) by using the following definitions given in [12].

Definition 3.4. A Lyapunov-Type Inequality Lower Bound (LTILB) is defined as a lower bound estimate for the smallest eigenvalue obtained from Lyapunov-type inequalities given by (3) and (4).

We obtain a lower bound for the smallest eigenvalue of problem (11) with boundary conditions (12) is:

$$\lambda \geq \frac{3}{2}\Gamma(\alpha). \quad (15)$$

and for the problem (13)-(14) it is:

$$\lambda \geq \frac{\Gamma\alpha}{\max\{A(\alpha, \frac{1}{2}), B(\alpha, \frac{1}{2})\}}. \quad (16)$$

Definition 3.5. A Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality Lower Bound (CSILB) is defined as an estimate of the lower bound for the smallest eigenvalue obtained from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality of type given in equation (9).

We obtain the CSIs of problems (11)-(12) and (13)-(14), after some simplifications and using Pfaff transformation in (9) respectively as follows :

$$\lambda \geq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left\{ \frac{1}{(2\alpha - 1)} \left[\frac{19}{27} + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \right] - \frac{2}{3} \int_0^1 (2+t)t^\alpha \beta(1, \alpha) {}_2F_1(1 - \alpha, 1; \alpha + 1, t) dt \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}; \alpha > \frac{1}{2}, \quad (17)$$

$$\lambda \geq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left\{ \frac{1}{(2\alpha - 1)} \left[\frac{13}{27} + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \right] - \frac{4}{3} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{2} + t \right) t^\alpha \beta(1, \alpha) {}_2F_1(1 - \alpha, 1; \alpha + 1, t) dt \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \alpha > \frac{1}{2}. \quad (18)$$

In [2], eigenvalues $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ of problems (11)-(12) and (13)-(14) are the solutions of the linear combination of certain M-L functions are respectively as follows:

$$2E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda) + E_{\alpha,2}(-\lambda) = 0, \tag{19}$$

$$E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda) + 2E_{\alpha,2}(-\lambda) = 0. \tag{20}$$

Now, comparing the non-zero solutions of equations (19)-(20) for $1.5 < \alpha \leq 2$ with CSILB given by equations (17)-(18) and LTILB given by the equations (15)-(16) respectively, we get the following comparison figures.




Figure 1: Comparison of the lower bounds for λ obtained from Lyapunov-type and Cauchy-Schwarz inequalities with the lowest eigenvalue. (—○—: LTILB; —*—: CSILB; —×—:LE - the Lowest Eigenvalue λ))




Figure 2: Comparison of the lower bounds for λ obtained from Lyapunov-type and Cauchy-Schwarz inequalities with the lowest eigenvalue. (—○—: LTILB; —*—: CSILB; —×—:LE - the Lowest Eigenvalue λ))

These figures clearly demonstrates that between the two estimates considered here, the LTILB provides the worse estimate and the CSILB provide better estimate for the smallest eigenvalues of (11)-(12) (Figure 1) and (13)-(14) (Figure 2). We use MATHEMATICA and MATLAB codes to find the smallest eigenvalue of the M-L functions.

We consider the integer order case, i.e. $\alpha = 2$. For this case, the LTILB and CSILB for the smallest λ of (11)-(12) are given as 1.5 and 3.3310 and for (13)-(14), 2.6667 and 5.1117 respectively. (See equations (15), (16), (17) and (18)). For $\alpha = 2$, the problems (11)-(12) and (13)-(14) can be solved in closed form using the tools from integer order calculus. Results show, the smallest eigenvalues of (11)-(12) and (13)-(14) are the roots of equations (19) and (20) respectively, which give the smallest eigenvalues as 3.3731 and 5.2392. Comparing these λ with its

estimate above, it is clear that between LTILB and CSILB for the integer α the CSILB provides the best estimate for the smallest eigenvalue.

4 Applications

We now consider an application of the lower bounds for the smallest eigenvalues of FEPs (11)-(12) and (13)-(14) found in equations (15)-(20).

Theorem 4.1. *Let $1.5 < \alpha \leq 2$. The linear combination of certain Mittag-Leffler functions $2E_{\alpha,1}(-z) + E_{\alpha,2}(-z)$ have no real zeros in the following domains:*

LTILB:

$$z \in \left(-\frac{3}{2}\Gamma(\alpha), 0 \right] \tag{21}$$

CSILB:

$$z \in \left(-\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left\{ \frac{1}{(2\alpha - 1)} \left[\frac{19}{27} + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \right] - \frac{2}{3}C_1(\alpha) \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}, 0 \right], \tag{22}$$

where $C_1(\alpha) = \int_0^1 (2+t)t^\alpha \beta(1, \alpha) {}_2F_1(1 - \alpha, 1; \alpha + 1, t) dt$.

Proof. Let λ be the smallest eigenvalue of the equation (12), then $z = \lambda$ is the smallest value for which $2E_{\alpha,1}(-z) + E_{\alpha,2}(-z) = 0$. If there is another z smaller than λ for which $2E_{\alpha,1}(-z) + E_{\alpha,2}(-z) = 0$, then it will contradict that λ is the smallest eigenvalue. Therefore, $2E_{\alpha,1}(-z) + E_{\alpha,2}(-z)$ have no real zeros for $z \in (-\lambda, 0]$. Thus, $2E_{\alpha,1}(-z) + E_{\alpha,2}(-z)$ have no real zeros for

$$z \in \left(-\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left\{ \frac{1}{(2\alpha - 1)} \left[\frac{19}{27} + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \right] - \frac{2}{3}C_1(\alpha) \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}, 0 \right].$$

This proves the equation (22). Proof of equation (21) is given in [8].
□

Theorem 4.2. *Let $1.5 < \alpha \leq 2$. The linear combination of certain Mittag-Leffler functions $E_{\alpha,1}(-z) + 2E_{\alpha,2}(-z)$ have no real zeros in the*

following domains:

LTILB:

$$z \in \left(-\frac{\Gamma\alpha}{\max\{A(\alpha, \frac{1}{2}), B(\alpha, \frac{1}{2})\}}, 0 \right]. \quad (23)$$

CSILB:

$$z \in \left(-\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left\{ \frac{1}{(2\alpha-1)} \left[\frac{13}{27} + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \right] - \frac{4}{3} C_2(\alpha) \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}, 0 \right], \quad (24)$$

where $C_2(\alpha) = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{2} + t\right) t^\alpha \beta(1, \alpha) {}_2F_1(1 - \alpha, 1; \alpha + 1, t) dt$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1 . \square

5 Conclusion

In this note, we established Cauchy-Schwarz-type inequality for fractional Sturm-Liouville boundary value problem containing Caputo derivative of order α , $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ to determine a lower bound for the smallest eigenvalues. We give a comparison between the smallest eigenvalues and its lower bounds obtained from the Lyapunov-type and Cauchy-Schwarz-type inequalities. The results indicate that the Cauchy-Schwarz-type inequality gives better lower bound estimates for the smallest eigenvalues than the Lyapunov-type inequality. We then used these inequalities to obtain an interval where a linear combination of certain Mittag-Leffler functions have no real zeros.

References

- [1] R. C. BROWN AND D. B. HINTON, Lyapunov inequalities and thier applications in survey on classical inequalities, *Math.Appl.* **517**(2000), 1–25.
- [2] J. S. DUAN, Z. WANG, Y. L. LIU AND X. QIU, Eigenvalue problems for fractional ordinary differential equations, *Chaos,Solitons and Fractals.* **46**(2013), No.14, 46–53.
- [3] R. A. C. FERREIRA, A Lyapunov-type inequality for a fractional boundary value problem, *Fract.Calc.Appl.Anal.* **16**(2013), 978–984.
- [4] R. A. C. FERREIRA, On a Lyapunov-type inequality and the zeros of a certain Mittag-Leffler function, *J.Math.Anal.Appl.* **412**(2014), 1058–1063.
- [5] R. A. C. FERREIRA, Lyapunov-type inequalities for some sequential fractional boundary value problems, *Adv.Dyn.Syst.Appl.* **11**(2016), No.1, 33–43.
- [6] R. A. C. FERREIRA, Novel Lyapunov-type inequalities for sequential fractional boundary value problems, *Springer-Verlang Italia* (2017).
- [7] M. JLELI AND B. SAMET, A Lyapunov-type inequality for a fractional differential equations with mixed Boundary Condition, *Math.Inequal.Appl.* **18**(2015), No.2, 443–451.
- [8] M. JLELI AND B. SAMET, Lyapunov-type inequalities for fractional boundary-value problems, *Electron.J.Differ. Equ.* **88**(2015), 1–11.
- [9] A. A. KILBAS, H. M. SRIVASTAVA AND J. J. TRUJILLO, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 204, Elsevier, Amsterdam 2006.
- [10] A. M. LYAPUNOV, Problem general de la stabilite du mouvement (French Translation of a Russian paper dated 1893), *Ann.Fac.Sci.Univ.Toulouse.* **2**(1907), 203–407.

- [11] N. PATHAK, *Lyapunov-type Inequality and Eigenvalue Estimates for Fractional Problems*, Dissertation Doctor of Philosophy, Southern Illinois University USA, 2016.
- [12] N. PATHAK, Lyapunov-type inequality for fractional boundary value problems with Hilfer derivative, *Mathematical Inequalities and Applications* **21**(2018), No. 1, 179–200.
- [13] N. PATHAK, Lyapunov-type inequality and eigenvalue analysis for a fractional problems of order α , *IJRSET* **8**(2019), No. 2, 1391–1398.
- [14] I. PODLUBNY, *Fractional Differential Equation*, Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 1999.
- [15] J. RONG AND C. BAI, Lyapunov-type inequality for a fractional differential equation with fractional boundary conditions, *Adv.Difference Equ.* **82**(2015), 1–10.

Anil Chavada

Department of Applied Mathematics
Assistant Professor(Temporary)
The Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda
Vadodara, India
E-mail: anilc-appmath@msubaroda.ac.in

Nimisha Pathak

Department of Applied Mathematics
Assistant Professor
The Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda
Vadodara, India
E-mail: nimisha.pathak-appmath@msubaroda.ac.in