

## Two Approximations for P-Norms in System of Independent Functions and Trigonometric Series

M. Ghanbari

Farahan Branch, Islamic Azad University

**Abstract.** The aim of this article is find approximations for p-norms of terms  $f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sin 2^n x; x \in (0, 2\pi)$  and  $P(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \psi_k(x); x$  in  $(0, 1)$ , in system of independent functions  $\{\psi_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ .

**AMS Subject Classification:** 42A05; 42A10

**Keywords and Phrases:** Independent functions, orthonormal system, rademacher system, trigonometric system

### 1. Introduction

In this article we introduce some propositions on a sequence of independent functions that will be needed. In other hand we intend to study a particular series, which is not only interesting in itself, but provide examples illuminating many points of the general theory of trigonometric series.

**Definition 1.1.** ([3]) *A set of real measurable functions  $\{f_n(x)\}_{n=1}^N$  with domain  $(0,1)$  is a set of independent functions if for every interval  $I_n, n = 1, \dots, N$ , the following condition is satisfied:*

$$m\{x \in (0, 1) : f_n(x) \in I_n, n = 1, \dots, N\} = \prod_{n=1}^N m\{x \in (0, 1) : f_n(x) \in I_n\}. \quad (1)$$

An infinite sequence of functions  $\{f_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a *sequence (or system) of independent functions* (S.I.F) if the set  $\{f_n(x)\}_{n=1}^N$  is a set of independent

functions for every  $N=1,2,3,\dots$

If the measure of the set  $G$  on which functions  $f_n(x)$  are defined is not 1 (but finite and positive), the definition of independence takes the following form:

$\{f_n(x)\}_{n=1}^N$  is a set of independent functions if

$$m\{x \in G; f_n(x) \in I_n, n = 1, 2, \dots, N\} = [m(G)]^{-N+1} \prod_{n=1}^N m\{x \in G; f_n(x) \in I_n\},$$

for every interval  $I_n, n = 1, 2, \dots, N$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** ([3]) *An S.I.F.  $\{\psi_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty, x \in (0, 1)$ , is an orthonormal system if it satisfies, for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , the conditions*

$$\int_0^1 (\psi_n(x)) dx = 0; \quad \int_0^1 \psi_n^2(x) dx = 1.$$

**Theorem 1.3.** ([3]) *The following inequality holds for every set  $\{\psi_n(x)\}_{n=1}^N$  of independent functions which satisfy*

$$\|\psi_n\|_2 = 1, \quad \|\psi_n\|_\infty \leq M, \quad \int_0^1 \psi_n(x) dx = 0; \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad (2)$$

$$\lambda(t) = m\{x \in (0, 1) : \left| \sum_{n=1}^N a_n \psi_n(x) \right| > t \left( \sum_{n=1}^N a_n^2 \right)^{1/2}\} \leq 2e^{-t^2/4M^2},$$

for every  $t \geq 0$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** ([3,5]) *(Khinchin's inequality). For all numbers  $p > 2$  and  $M \geq 1$  there exist constants  $C_{p,M}$  such that, for every polynomial  $f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n \psi_n(x)$  in an S.I.F.  $\{\psi_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  that satisfies (2), the inequality*

$$\|f\|_p \leq C_{p,M} \|f\|_2 = C_{p,M} \left( \sum_{n=1}^N a_n^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

will be satisfied.

**Definition 1.5.** ([1,3]) *For  $n=1,2,3,\dots$ , the  $n$ th Rademacher function is defined by*

$$r_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i \text{ odd and } x \in ((i-1)/2^n, i/2^n) = \Delta_n^i; \\ -1, & \text{if } i \text{ even and } x \in ((i-1)/2^n, i/2^n) = \Delta_n^i. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

In addition, it will be convenient to suppose in what follows that  $r_0(x) = 1$  for  $x \in (0, 1)$  and that  $r_n(i/2^n) = 0$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2^n$ ;  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ . Then we can give a more compact definition of the Rademacher functions by the formula

$$r_n(x) = \text{sgn} \sin 2^n \pi x, x \in [0, 1], n = 0, 1, \dots \quad (4)$$

**Theorem 1.6.** ([3]) *The functions  $\{r_n(x)\}_{n=0}^\infty; x \in [0, 1]$ , form an S.I.F.*

**Theorem 1.7.** ([4]) *Let  $(\Omega; \nu), \nu(\Omega) \leq 1$ , be a measurable space, and let  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$  satisfy the inequality*

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega; \nu)} \leq c \log(p+2), \quad p = 1, 2, \dots, \quad c > 0.$$

*Then the following inequality holds*

$$\int_{\Omega} \exp(\exp(\frac{|f(x)|}{c\lambda_1})) d\nu(x) \leq \lambda_2.$$

**Theorem 1.8.** ([3]) *Let  $f \in L^1(0, 1)$ , and for  $t \in R^1$  let*

$$\lambda_f(t) = m\{x \in (0, 1) : |f(x)| > t\}; \tilde{\lambda}_f(t) = m\{x \in (0, 1) : f(x) > t\}.$$

*Then*

$$\int_0^1 f(x) dx = - \int_{-\infty}^\infty t d\tilde{\lambda}_f(t),$$

*and if  $f \in L^p(0, 1), 0 < p < \infty$ , then*

$$\int_0^1 |f(x)|^p dx = - \int_0^\infty t^p d\lambda_f(t) = p \int_0^\infty t^{p-1} \lambda_f(t) dt.$$

## 2. Main Results

**Theorem 2.1.** For any even number  $p > 2$  and for every series  $P(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \psi_k(x); x \in (0, 1)$ , in an S.I.F.  $\{\psi_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  whose components satisfy the conditions (2), the inequalities

$$\|P\|_p \leq 2M\sqrt{p} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1/k)^2 \right)^{1/2} \text{ and } \int_0^1 \exp(\exp(\lambda_1 |P(x)|)) dx \leq \lambda_2 ,$$

will be satisfied, that  $M, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$  are constants.

**Proof.** Let  $\lambda(t) = m\{x \in (0, 1); |P(x)| > t\}$ , by Theorem 1.2,  $\lambda(t) \leq 2\exp(-t^2/4M^2)$ , therefore according to Theorem 1.6

$$\|P\|_p = \left\{ p \int_0^{\infty} t^{p-1} \lambda(t) dt \right\}^{1/p} \leq \left\{ 2p \int_0^{\infty} t^{p-1} \exp(-t^2/4M^2) dt \right\}^{1/p}.$$

In other hand

$$\int_0^{\infty} t^{p-1} \exp(-t^2/4M^2) dt = 2^{p-1} M^p \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-u) u^{p/2-1} du = 2^{p-1} M^p \Gamma(p/2),$$

then

$$\|P\|_p \leq \left\{ 2^p M^p p \Gamma(p/2) \right\}^{1/p} = \left\{ 2^p M^p p (p/2-1)! \right\}^{1/p} = \frac{1}{2} 2M(p)^{1/p} (p-2)^{1/p} (p-4)^{1/p} \dots 1 ,$$

and it is trivial that

$$\|P\|_p \leq M \cdot 2 \cdot (p^{1/p})^{p/2} = 2M\sqrt{p} \leq 2M\sqrt{p} \left( \sum_{k=1}^N (1/k)^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq 2M\sqrt{p} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1/k)^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

For other inequality can be written that

$$P(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \psi_k(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} \frac{1}{k} \psi_k(x) ,$$

and let

$$P_n(x) = \frac{1}{2^n} \psi_{2^n}(x) + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}-1} \psi_{2^{n+1}-1}(x).$$

It is clear that

$$\|P_n(x)\|_p \leq \|P_n(x)\|_\infty \leq \frac{M}{2^n} \cdot 2^n = M.$$

In other hand

$$\|P_n(x)\|_p \leq 2M\sqrt{p} \cdot \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{2^n}\right)^2 + \dots + \left(\frac{1}{2^{n+1}-1}\right)^2} \leq 2M\sqrt{p} \cdot \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{2^n}\right)^2 \cdot 2^n} = \sqrt{\frac{c_1 p}{2^n}},$$

then  $\|P_n(x)\|_p \leq \min\{M, \sqrt{\frac{c_1 p}{2^n}}\}$  therefore

$$\|P(x)\|_p \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \min\{M, \sqrt{\frac{c_1 p}{2^n}}\} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{M + \sqrt{\frac{c_1 p}{2^n}} - |M - \sqrt{\frac{c_1 p}{2^n}}|}{2} \right),$$

with separate of last summation, it can be obtained

$$\|P_n(x)\|_p \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\lfloor \log_2^{c_1 p} \rfloor} \frac{M + \sqrt{\frac{c_1 p}{2^n}} + M - \sqrt{\frac{c_1 p}{2^n}}}{2} + \sum_{n=\lfloor \log_2^{c_1 p} \rfloor + 1}^{\infty} \frac{M + \sqrt{\frac{c_1 p}{2^n}} - M + \sqrt{\frac{c_1 p}{2^n}}}{2},$$

and finally

$$\|P_n(x)\|_p \leq M \log_2^{c_1 p} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{c_1 p}} \leq c_2 \log(p) < c_2 \log(p + 2).$$

Now by Theorem 1.5 can be written that  $\int_0^1 \exp(\exp(\lambda_1 |P(x)|)) dx \leq \lambda_2$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.** *For series  $P(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} r_k(x)$  the following inequalities will be satisfied*

$$\|P\|_p \leq c\sqrt{p} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1/k)^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^1 \exp(\exp(\lambda_1 |P(x)|)) dx \leq \lambda_2,$$

that  $\{r_n(t)\}$  is the Rademacher system,  $p \geq 2$  is an even integer and  $c, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$  are constants.

Now consider the system  $\{\phi_n(x)\} = \{\sin(2^n x)\}$  over  $[0, 2\pi]$ . With a simple change of variable it can be obtained the system  $\{\phi_n(t)\} = \{\sin(2^{n+1}\pi t)\}$  over  $[0, 1]$ , ( $x \rightarrow 2\pi t$ ).

**Theorem 2.3.** *If the series  $\sum a_n^2 < \infty$ , the function*

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \sin(2^{k+1}\pi t), t \in [0, 1] \quad , \quad (5)$$

or equivalently

$$g(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \sin(2^k t), t \in [0, 2\pi] \quad , \quad (6)$$

belongs to  $L^q$  for every  $q > 0$ .

**Proof.** It is sufficient to prove the theorem for  $q = 2, 4, 6, \dots$ . We shall show that

$$\int_0^1 f^{2k}(t) dt \leq M_k \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^2 \right)^k; k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad , \quad (7)$$

or equivalently

$$\int_0^{2\pi} g^{2k}(t) dt \leq M_k \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^2 \right)^k; k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad , \quad (8)$$

where  $M_k$  is a constant depending only on  $k$ .

Denoting by  $S_n(t)$  and  $S_n^*(t)$ , the partial sums of the series (5) and the partial sums of the series (6) respectively.

So

$$\int_0^1 S_n^{2k}(t) dt = \sum A_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r} a_{m_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots a_{m_r}^{\alpha_r} \int_0^1 \sin^{\alpha_1}(2^{m_1+1}\pi t) \dots \sin^{\alpha_r}(2^{m_r+1}\pi t) dt \quad ,$$

or equivalently

$$\int_0^{2\pi} (S_n^*)^{2k}(t) dt = \sum A_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r} a_{m_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots a_{m_r}^{\alpha_r} \int_0^{2\pi} \sin^{\alpha_1}(2^{m_1}t) \dots \sin^{\alpha_r}(2^{m_r}t) dt \quad ,$$

where

$$A_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r} = \frac{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_r)!}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2! \dots \alpha_r!}$$

and the summations on the right are taken over the set

$$\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r\} \quad ,$$

defined by the relations:

$$0 \leq m_i \leq n, 0 \leq \alpha_i \leq 2k; i = 1, 2, \dots, r; 1 \leq r \leq 2k; \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_r = 2k.$$

Now it is easily verified that the integrals on the right vanish unless  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r$  are all even, that in this case they are less than or equal 1. Thus the right side of above relations are less than or equal of the following term

$$\sum A_{2\beta_1, \dots, 2\beta_r} a_{n1}^{2\beta_1} \dots a_{nr}^{2\beta_r}.$$

Observing that

$$\sum A_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_r} a_{m1}^{2\beta_1} a_{m2}^{2\beta_2} \dots a_{mr}^{2\beta_r} = (a_0^2 + a_1^2 + \dots + a_n^2)^k.$$

It can be obtained (7) and (8) with  $S_n(t)$  and  $S_n^*(t)$  replaced by  $f(t)$  and  $g(t)$  respectively,  $M_k$  being now the upper bound of the ratio

$$A_{2\beta_1, \dots, 2\beta_r} / A_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_r}.$$

Notice

$$M_k \leq (2k)! / 2^k k! = (k+1) \dots 2k / 2^k \leq k^k \tag{9}$$

Since  $S_n(t) \rightarrow f(t)$  and  $S_n^*(t) \rightarrow g(t)$  for almost every  $t$ , finally with use Fatous lemma the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** *The function  $\exp(\mu f^2(t))$  is integrable for  $\mu > 0$ .*

**Corollary 2.5.** *For any even number  $p \geq 2$  and series*

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sin 2^n x; \quad x \in (0, 2\pi),$$

*the inequalities*

$$\|f\|_p \leq C \sqrt{p} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1/n)^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^{2\pi} \exp(\exp(\lambda_1 |f(x)|)) dx \leq \lambda_2, \quad ,$$

*will be satisfied, that  $C, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$  are constants.*

**Proof.** According to (9) the following inequality is satisfied:

$$\|f\|_p \leq \{M_{\frac{p}{2}}(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\frac{1}{n})^2)^{\frac{p}{2}}\}^{1/p} \leq \{(\frac{p}{2})^{\frac{p}{2}}(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\frac{1}{n})^2)^{\frac{p}{2}}\}^{1/p} = C\sqrt{p}(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\frac{1}{n})^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

For other inequality it can be written  $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(x)$  such that  $f_n(x) = \sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} \frac{1}{k} \sin 2^k x$  therefore

$$\|f_n(x)\|_p \leq \|f_n(x)\|_{\infty} \leq \sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} \frac{1}{k} |\sin 2^k x| \leq \frac{2^n}{2^n} = 1 .$$

In other hand

$$\|f_n(x)\|_p \leq C\sqrt{p} \sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} \frac{1}{k^2} \leq C\sqrt{p} \frac{2^n}{(2^n)^2} = \frac{C\sqrt{p}}{2^n} ,$$

then  $\|f_n(x)\|_p \leq \min\{1, \frac{C\sqrt{p}}{2^n}\}$  therefore

$$\|f(x)\|_p \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \min\{1, \frac{C\sqrt{p}}{2^n}\} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\frac{1 + \frac{C\sqrt{p}}{2^n} - |1 - \frac{C\sqrt{p}}{2^n}|}{2}).$$

With separate of last summation, it can be obtained

$$\|f(x)\|_p \leq \sum_{n=0}^{[\log_2^{C\sqrt{p}}]} \frac{1 + \frac{C\sqrt{p}}{2^n} + 1 - \frac{C\sqrt{p}}{2^n}}{2} + \sum_{n=[\log_2^{C\sqrt{p}}]+1}^{\infty} \frac{1 + \frac{C\sqrt{p}}{2^n} - 1 + \frac{C\sqrt{p}}{2^n}}{2} ,$$

and finally

$$\|f(x)\|_p \leq \log_2^{C\sqrt{p}} + 1 + C\sqrt{p}(\frac{1}{2C\sqrt{p}}) = \log_2^{C\sqrt{p}} + \frac{3}{2} \leq \alpha \log(p+2) ,$$

that  $\alpha$  is a constant. Now by Theorem 1.5 can be written

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \exp(\exp(\lambda_1 |f(x)|)) dx \leq \lambda_2. \quad \square$$



## References

- [1] G. A. Karagulyan, On the order of growth  $o(\log \log n)$  of the partial sums of Fourier-Stieltjes series of random measures, in: *Russian Acad. Sci. sb. Math.*, 78 (1994), 11-33.
- [2] B. S. Kashin and A. A. Saakyan, *Orthogonal Series*, Transl. Mth. Monographs, 75, 2005.
- [3] E. M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis: Real Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1993.
- [4] F. Sukochev and D. Zanin, Khinchin inequality and Banach-Saks type properties in rearrangement-invariant spaces, *Studia Math.*, 191 (2009), 101-122.
- [5] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometrical series*, .3rd. Ed., Vol 2. New York, Cambridge university press, 2002.

### **Mojtaba Ghanbari**

Department of Mathematics  
Assistant Professor of Mathematics  
Farahan Branch, Islamic Azad University  
Farahan, Iran  
E-mail: m.ghanbari@iau-farahan.ac.ir