

Journal of Mathematical Extension
Vol. 15, No. 4, (2021) (2)1-16
URL: <https://doi.org/10.30495/JME.2021.1589>
ISSN: 1735-8299
Original Research Paper

S^{JS} -Metric and Topological Spaces

I. Beg*

Lahore School of Economics

K. Roy

The University of Burdwan

M. Saha

The University of Burdwan

Abstract. We introduce the idea of S^{JS} -metric spaces which is a generalization of S -metric spaces. Next we study the properties of S^{JS} -metric spaces and prove several theorems. We also deal with abstract S^{JS} -topological spaces induced by S^{JS} -metric and obtain several classical results including Cantor's intersection theorem in this setting.

AMS Subject Classification: 54E35; 54A05; 46S99.

Keywords and Phrases: S^{JS} - metric space and S^{JS} - topological space; S -metric space; S_b -metric space; dislocated S_b -metric space; generalized metric; Cantor's intersection property.

1 Introduction

In 1906 Maurice Fréchet [6] introduced metric spaces in his seminal work "Sur quelques points du calcul fonctionnel". A metric space is a set together with a metric (a real valued distance function between points of the set) on the set and this metric also induces topological

Received: March 2020; Accepted: December 2020

*Corresponding Author

properties like open and closed sets, which lead to the study of more abstract topological spaces [11]. However soon after the publication of Fréchet's paper, researchers have started to generalize/extend his idea. There are two types of generalizations/extensions of a metric; replace real number set \mathbb{R} by some other larger set or relax one of the conditions in the definition of a metric. Menger [14] was the first to propose probabilistic metric spaces, a generalization of metric spaces. During the last six decades a lot of further generalizations/extension of metric spaces was introduced/proposed by the researchers; pseudometric spaces/dislocated metric spaces [7], partial metric spaces [3], modular metric space with the Fatou property [12], fuzzy metric spaces [10], cone metric spaces [8], b -metric spaces [4], generalized D -metric spaces [2, 5, 15], generalized cone metric spaces [1] and so on. Sedghi et al. [17] gave the concept of S -metric spaces by modifying D -metric and G -metric spaces. Following this Souayan and Mlaiki [18] proposed the concept of S_b -metric spaces as a generalization of S -metric spaces. Afterwards Rohen et al. [16] have given the definition of S_b -metric space in a more generalized way and they renamed the usual S_b -metric space as symmetric S_b -metric space. Recently Mehravaran et al. [13] have defined dislocated S_b -metric space and proved some fixed point theorems therein. In the year 2015, Jleli and Samet [9] introduced the idea of JS -metric spaces, which is one of the interesting generalization of usual metric spaces. They also showed that any standard metric space, b -metric space, dislocated metric space and modular metric space with the Fatou property are JS -metric space. In this paper we continue these efforts to further weaken the hypothesis of a metric. First we introduce S^{JS} -metric spaces with examples and study their properties. Next we discuss S^{JS} -topological spaces induced by S^{JS} -metric and prove several classical theorems including Cantor's intersection theorem in this setting.

2 Preliminaries

Let us recall some basic preliminaries here for subsequent use. Jleli and Samet [9] have given the following definitions regarding a generalized metric space.

Let A be a non-empty set and $d : A \times A \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be a mapping. For any $a \in A$, define the set

$$C(d, A, a) = \{\{a_n\} \subset A : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(a_n, a) = 0\}.$$

Definition 2.1. [9] Let $d : A \times A \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be a mapping which satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $d(a, b) = 0$ implies $a = b$ for all $a, b \in A$;
- (ii) for every $(a, b) \in A \times A$, we have $d(a, b) = d(b, a)$;
- (iii) if $(a, b) \in A \times A$ and $\{a_n\} \in C(d, A, a)$ then

$$d(a, b) \leq p \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(a_n, b), \text{ for some } p > 0.$$

The pair (A, d) is a generalized metric space, usually known as JS -metric space.

Jleli and Samet [9] observed that any metric space, b -metric space and dislocated metric space are JS -metric space. Our below example shows that a rectangular metric space [2] may not be a JS -metric space.

Example 2.2. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ and $d : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be defined as follows:

$d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for any $x, y \in X$, $d(x, y) = 0$ if $x = y$ and for $x \neq y$.

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n}, & \text{if } x = 1, y = 1 + \frac{1}{n} \text{ for any } n \geq 2 \\ \frac{1}{n^2}, & \text{if } x = 2, y = 1 + \frac{1}{n} \text{ for any } n \geq 2 \\ 3, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then it can be easily verified that (X, d) is a rectangular metric space but it is not a metric space, because

$$d(1, \frac{3}{2}) + d(\frac{3}{2}, 2) = \frac{3}{4} < 3 = d(1, 2)$$

Here we see that $\{1 + \frac{1}{n}\}_{n \geq 2} \in C(d, X, 1)$ but there exists no $p > 0$ for which

$$d(1, 2) \leq p \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(1 + \frac{1}{n}, 2).$$

Hence X is not a JS -metric space.

We now give the definitions of S -metric space, S_b -metric space and dislocated S_b -metric space.

Definition 2.3. [17] Let X be a non-empty set and $S : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying the following conditions, for each $x, y, z, w \in X$:

- (i) $S(x, y, z) = 0$ if and only if $x = y = z$;
- (ii) $S(x, y, z) \leq S(x, x, w) + S(y, y, w) + S(z, z, w)$.

The function S is called an S -metric and the pair (X, S) is called an S -metric space.

Definition 2.4. [16] Let X be a nonempty set and $s \geq 1$ be a given number. Also let a function $S_b : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfies the following conditions, for each $x, y, z, w \in X$:

- (i) $S_b(x, y, z) = 0$ if and only if $x = y = z$;
- (ii) $S_b(x, y, z) \leq s[S_b(x, x, w) + S_b(y, y, w) + S_b(z, z, w)]$.

The pair (X, S_b) is called an S_b -metric space.

A symmetric S_b -metric is a function which satisfies the conditions (i), (ii) and also the following condition:

$$S_b(x, x, y) = S_b(y, y, x)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 2.5. [13] Let X be a non-empty set and $S_d : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a mapping which satisfies the following conditions for all $x, y, z, w \in X$:

- (i) $S_d(x, y, z) = 0$ implies $x = y = z$;
- (ii) $S_d(x, y, z) \leq k[S_d(x, x, w) + S_d(y, y, w) + S_d(z, z, w)]$, where $k \geq 1$.

The function S_d is said to be a dislocated S_b -metric and the pair (X, S_d) is called a dislocated S_b -metric space. In the case when $k = 1$, S_d is known as the dislocated S -metric.

3 S^{JS} -metric spaces

Let X be a nonempty set and $J : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be a function. For any $x \in X$ define

$$S(J, X, x) = \{\{x_n\} \subset X : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J(x, x, x_n) = 0\}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Definition 3.1. Let X be a nonempty set and $J : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ satisfies the following conditions:

(J_1) $J(x, y, z) = 0$ implies $x = y = z$ for any $x, y, z \in X$;

(J_2) there exists some $b > 0$ such that for any $(x, y, z) \in X^3$ and $\{z_n\} \in S(J, X, z)$, we have

$$J(x, y, z) \leq b \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (J(x, x, z_n) + J(y, y, z_n))$$

Then the pair (X, J) is called an S^{JS} -metric space.

Additionally if J also satisfies

(J_3) $J(x, x, y) = J(y, y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$, then we call it a symmetric S^{JS} -metric space.

Example 3.2. Let $X = \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$ and $J : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be defined by $J(x, y, z) = |x| + |y| + t|z|$; $t > 0$ with $t \neq 2$ for all $x, y, z \in X$, then clearly (J_1) is satisfied. For any $z \neq 0$, $S(J, X, z) = \emptyset$. If $z = 0$ then for $\{z_n\} \in S(J, X, 0)$, we have

$$J(x, y, 0) \leq \frac{1}{2} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (J(x, x, z_n) + J(y, y, z_n))$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then (J_2) is also satisfied. So (X, J) is an S^{JS} -metric space but it is not symmetric.

Example 3.3. Let $X = \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$ and $J : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be defined by $J(x, y, z) = |x| + |y| + 2|z|$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. Clearly the conditions (J_1) and (J_3) are satisfied. Also one can check that for any $x, y, z \in X$

$$J(x, y, z) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (J(x, x, z_n) + J(y, y, z_n))$$

for any sequence $\{z_n\} \in S(J, X, z)$. Therefore (J_2) is also satisfied and hence X is a symmetric S^{JS} -metric space.

Remark 3.4. (1) Let (X, S) be an S -metric space (See Definition 2.3). Clearly S satisfies condition (J_1). Now let $(x, y, z) \in X^3$ and $\{z_n\}$ converges to z in (X, S) , then $S(z, z, z_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and from the condition (ii) we have

$$S(x, y, z) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (S(x, x, z_n) + S(y, y, z_n))$$

Therefore S satisfies (J_2) also. Hence X is an S^{JS} -metric space. It is also symmetric.

(2) Let (X, S_b) be an S_b -metric space with coefficient $s \geq 1$ (See Definition 2.4). Then clearly S_b satisfies (J_1) and it also satisfies (J_2) for $b = s$. So an S_b -metric space is an S^{JS} -metric space.

(3) If (X, S_d) is a dislocated S_b -metric space with coefficient $k \geq 1$ (See Definition 2.5), then clearly S_d satisfies the condition (J_1) and condition (J_2) for $b = k$. So a dislocated S_b -metric space is an S^{JS} -metric space.

Definition 3.5. Let (X, J) be an S^{JS} -metric space, then a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is said to be convergent to an element $x \in X$ if $\{x_n\} \in S(J, X, x)$.

Definition 3.6. Let (X, J) be an S^{JS} -metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is said to be Cauchy if $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} J(x_n, x_n, x_m) = 0$.

Definition 3.7. An S^{JS} -metric space is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent.

Definition 3.8. Let (X, J) be an S^{JS} -metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a self mapping. Then T is called continuous at $a \in X$ if for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $x \in X$, $J(Ta, Ta, Tx) < \epsilon$ whenever $J(a, a, x) < \delta$.

Theorem 3.9. In an S^{JS} -metric space (X, J) if $\{x_n\}$ converges to both x and y for $x, y \in X$, then $x = y$.

Proof. Now,

$$J(x, x, y) \leq b \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (2J(x, x, x_n)).$$

Since $x_n \rightarrow x$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J(x, x, x_n) = 0$, which implies $J(x, x, y) = 0$ that is $x = y$. \square

Theorem 3.10. Let (X, J) be an S^{JS} -metric space and $\{x_n\} \subset X$ converges to some $x \in X$. Then $J(x, x, x) = 0$.

Proof. Since $\{x_n\}$ converges to x it follows that $\{x_n\} \in S(J, X, x)$ and thus

$$J(x, x, x) \leq b \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (2J(x, x, x_n)),$$

which implies $J(x, x, x) = 0$. \square

Theorem 3.11. *In a symmetric S^{JS} -metric space (X, J) if a Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence then $\{x_n\}$ is also convergent in X .*

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ which converges to $x \in X$. Now since (X, J) is symmetric, we have

$$J(x, x, x_n) = J(x_n, x_n, x) \leq b \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} (2J(x_n, x_n, x_{n_k})).$$

Taking $n, k \rightarrow \infty$ we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J(x, x, x_n) = 0$. So $\{x_n\}$ converges to x . \square

Theorem 3.12. *In an S^{JS} -metric space (X, J) if T is continuous at $a \in X$ then for any sequence $\{x_n\} \in S(J, X, a)$ implies $\{Tx_n\} \in S(J, X, Ta)$.*

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Since T is continuous at a then for $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $J(a, a, x) < \delta$ implies $J(Ta, Ta, Tx) < \epsilon$.

As $\{x_n\}$ converges to a , so for $\delta > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $J(a, a, x_n) < \delta$ for all $n \geq N$. Therefore for any $n \geq N$, $J(Ta, Ta, Tx_n) < \epsilon$ and thus $Tx_n \rightarrow Ta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

4 S^{JS} -topological spaces

Definition 4.1. Let (X, J) be an S^{JS} -metric space. The open and closed ball of center $x \in X$ and radius $r > 0$ in X are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} B_J(x, r) &= \{y \in X : J(x, x, y) < r\}; \\ B_J[x, r] &= \{y \in X : J(x, x, y) \leq r\}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.2. It may happen that in an S^{JS} -metric space X , $x \notin B_J(x, r)$ for some $r > 0$ and $x \in X$. In Example 3.2 if we take $x = 1$, $r = 2$ and $t = 1$ then $J(1, 1, 1) = 3$ and therefore $1 \notin B_J(1, 2)$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let (X, J) be an S^{JS} -metric space. Let $\tau = \{\emptyset\} \cup \{U (\neq \emptyset) \subset X : \text{for any } x \in U \text{ there exists } r > 0 \text{ such that } B_J(x, r) \subset U\}$. Then τ forms a topology on X , called the topology induced by J and (X, τ) is said to be a S^{JS} -topological space.*

Proof. Clearly $X \in \tau$. Now let $\{G_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$, Λ being an indexing set, be a collection of members of τ and $G = \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} G_\alpha$. If $x \in G$ then there exists some $\beta \in \Lambda$ such that $x \in G_\beta$. So there exists $r > 0$ such that $B_J(x, r) \subset G_\beta \subset G$. Hence $G \in \tau$.

Also let $G, H \in \tau$ and $y \in G \cap H$. Then there exist $r_1, r_2 > 0$ such that $B_J(y, r_1) \subset G$ and $B_J(y, r_2) \subset H$. If we take $r = \min\{r_1, r_2\}$ then we have $B_J(y, r) \subset G \cap H$ and so $G \cap H \in \tau$. Therefore τ forms a topology on X . \square

Definition 4.4. Let (X, J) be an S^{JS} -topological space. and $F \subset X$. Then F is said to be closed if there exists an open set $U \subset X$ such that $F = U^c$.

Theorem 4.5. Let (X, J) be an S^{JS} -topological space and $F \subset X$ be closed. Let $\{x_n\} \subset F$ be such that $\{x_n\} \in S(J, X, x)$, then $x \in F$.

Proof. If possible let $x \notin F$. Then $x \in F^c = U$, where U is open. So there exists $r > 0$ such that $B_J(x, r) \subset U$. Now $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J(x, x, x_n) = 0$ so for $r > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $J(x, x, x_n) < r$ whenever $n \geq N$. Thus $x_n \in B_J(x, r) \subset U$ for all $n \geq N$, a contradiction. Hence $x \in F$. \square

Theorem 4.6. Let (X, J) be an S^{JS} -topological space and $F \subset X$ be closed. If X is complete then (F, J_F) is also complete.

Proof. Let $\{x_n\} \subset F$ be Cauchy in F . Since X is complete and $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in X also, there exists $z \in X$ such that $\{x_n\} \in S(J, X, z)$. As F is closed then by Theorem 4.5 we have $z \in F$. Thus $\{x_n\}$ is convergent in F . Therefore F is complete. \square

Theorem 4.7. Let (X, J) be an S^{JS} -topological space and T be continuous self mapping on X . Then for any open set U , $T^{-1}(U)$ is open.

Proof. Let U be any open set in X , if $T^{-1}(U) = \emptyset$ then we are done. So let $T^{-1}(U) \neq \emptyset$ and $a \in T^{-1}(U)$. Then $Ta \in U$ and since U is open there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_J(Ta, \epsilon) \subset U$. T is continuous at ' a ' so there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $J(x, x, a) < \delta$ implies $J(Tx, Tx, Ta) < \epsilon$. Therefore $T(B_J(a, \delta)) \subset B_J(Ta, \epsilon) \subset U$ implying that $B_J(a, \delta) \subset T^{-1}(U)$. Hence $T^{-1}(U)$ is open. \square

Definition 4.8. Let (X, J) be an S^{JS} -metric space and $A \subset X$. Then $diam(A) = \sup\{J(a, a, b) : a, b \in A\}$.

Definition 4.9. In an S^{JS} -topological space (X, J) , a sequence $\{F_n\}$ of subsets of X is said to be decreasing if $F_1 \supset F_2 \supset F_3 \supset \dots$.

The following theorem gives conditions under which the intersection of such a sequence is non empty.

Theorem 4.10. [Cantor's intersection property] Let (X, J) be a complete S^{JS} -metric space and $\{F_n\}$ be a decreasing sequence of nonempty closed subsets of X such that $diam(F_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then the intersection $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ contains exactly one point.

Proof. Let $x_n \in F_n$ be arbitrary for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\{F_n\}$ is decreasing, we have $\{x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots\} \subset F_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n, m \geq k$ we have $J(x_n, x_n, x_m) \leq diam(F_k)$, $k \geq 1$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists some $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $diam(F_p) < \epsilon$ since $diam(F_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. From this it follows that $J(x_n, x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$ whenever $n, m \geq p$. So $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in X . By the completeness of X there exists $z \in X$ such that $\{x_n\} \in S(J, X, z)$. Since $\{x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots\} \subset F_n$ and F_n is closed for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, using Theorem 4.5 we have $z \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$.

Next we prove the uniqueness of z . Let $y \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ be another point, then $J(z, z, y) > 0$. As $diam(F_n) \rightarrow 0$, there exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$diam(F_n) < J(z, z, y) \leq diam(F_n)$$

for all $n \geq N_0$, a contradiction. Hence $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = \{z\}$ and this completes the proof of our theorem. \square

Definition 4.11. Let (X, J) be an S^{JS} -metric space and $A (\neq \emptyset) \subset X$. Then a closed set F (if exists) is said to be the closure of A if it is largest which satisfies

$A \subset F \subset A \cup \{x \in X : \text{there exists } \{x_n\} \subset A \text{ such that } \{x_n\} \in S(X, J, x)\}$.

We denote F as \bar{A} .

Remark 4.12. If (X, J) is an S^{JS} -metric space and $A (\neq \emptyset) \subset X$ is closed then by Theorem 4.5 we have $\bar{A} = A$.

Theorem 4.13. *Let (X, J) be an S^{JS} -metric space and $A(\neq \emptyset) \subset X$. Then $\{x \in X : \text{there exists } \{x_n\} \subset A \text{ such that } \{x_n\} \in S(X, J, x)\} = \{x \in X : \text{for all } r > 0, B_J(x, r) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$.*

Proof. Let $y \in \{x \in X : \text{for all } r > 0, B_J(x, r) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$. Then $B_J(y, \frac{1}{n}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So there exists $y_n \in B_J(y, \frac{1}{n}) \cap A$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and we have, $\{y_n\} \in S(X, J, y)$. Thus $y \in \{x \in X : \text{there exists } \{x_n\} \subset A \text{ such that } \{x_n\} \in S(X, J, x)\}$.

Conversely let, $z \in \{x \in X : \text{there exists } \{x_n\} \subset A \text{ such that } \{x_n\} \in S(X, J, x)\}$. Then there exists $\{z_n\} \subset A$ such that $J(z, z, z_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let us choose a $r > 0$. Then there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $z_n \in B_J(z, r)$ for all $n \geq m$. So $B_J(z, r) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Hence $z \in \{x \in X : \text{for all } r > 0, B_J(x, r) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$. \square

Remark 4.14. Clearly from Theorem 4.3 we have $A \subset \bar{A} \subset A \cup \{x \in X : \text{for all } r > 0, B_J(x, r) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$.

Theorem 4.15. *Let (X, J) be an S^{JS} -metric space and A, B be two nonempty subsets of X with $A \subset B$. Then $\bar{A} \subset \bar{B}$.*

Proof. Clearly \bar{A} and \bar{B} are largest closed sets respectively satisfying the followings

$A \subset \bar{A} \subset A \cup \{x \in X : \text{there exists } \{x_n\} \subset A \text{ such that } \{x_n\} \in S(X, J, x)\}$,

$B \subset \bar{B} \subset B \cup \{x \in X : \text{there exists } \{x_n\} \subset B \text{ such that } \{x_n\} \in S(X, J, x)\}$.

Now, $A \cup B \subset \bar{A} \cup \bar{B} \subset (A \cup B) \cup (\{x \in X : \text{there exists } \{x_n\} \subset A \text{ such that } \{x_n\} \in S(X, J, x)\} \cup \{x \in X : \text{there exists } \{x_n\} \subset B \text{ such that } \{x_n\} \in S(X, J, x)\})$ implies that $B \subset \bar{A} \cup \bar{B} \subset B \cup \{x \in X : \text{there exists } \{x_n\} \subset B \text{ such that } \{x_n\} \in S(X, J, x)\}$. Since $\bar{A} \cup \bar{B}$ is closed, it follows that $\bar{A} \cup \bar{B} \subset \bar{B}$. Therefore we have $\bar{A} \cup \bar{B} = \bar{B}$ and thus $\bar{A} \subset \bar{B}$. \square

Theorem 4.16. *Let (X, J) be a symmetric S^{JS} -metric space and $A(\neq \emptyset) \subset X$ for which \bar{A} exists. Then $\text{diam}(\bar{A}) \leq L \text{diam}(A)$, where $L = \max\{1, 2b, 4b^2\}$.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in \bar{A}$. Then we have to consider three cases.

Case 1. If $x, y \in A$ then

$$J(x, x, y) \leq \text{diam}(A). \quad (1)$$

Case 2. If $x \in A$ and $y \in \{x \in X : \text{there exists } \{x_n\} \subset A \text{ such that } \{x_n\} \in S(X, J, x)\}$ then there exists a sequence $\{y_n\} \subset A$ such that $\{y_n\} \in S(X, J, y)$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(x, x, y) &\leq 2b \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} J(x, x, y_n) \\ &\leq 2b \operatorname{diam}(A). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Case 3. If $x, y \in \{p \in X : \text{there exists } \{p_n\} \subset A \text{ such that } \{p_n\} \in S(X, J, p)\}$ then there exists sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\} \subset A$ such that $\{x_n\} \in S(X, J, x), \{y_n\} \in S(X, J, y)$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(x, x, y) &\leq 2b \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} J(x, x, y_n) \\ &= 2b \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} J(y_n, y_n, x) \\ &\leq 2b \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (2b \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} J(y_n, y_n, x_m)) \\ &\leq 4b^2 \operatorname{diam}(A). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Therefore from (1), (2) and (3) we get $\operatorname{diam}(\overline{A}) \leq L \operatorname{diam}(A)$, $L = \max\{1, 2b, 4b^2\}$. \square

Theorem 4.17. (Converse of Theorem 4.10) *Let (X, J) be a symmetric S^{JS} -metric space in which every nonempty subset has a closure and $\{F_n\}$ be a decreasing sequence of nonempty closed subsets of X with $\operatorname{diam}(F_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ contains exactly one point then X is complete.*

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in X . Let us choose $G_n = \{x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots\}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence therefore $\operatorname{diam}(G_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Also $\{\overline{G_n}\}$ is a decreasing sequence of nonempty closed subsets of X (using Theorem 4.15) such that $\operatorname{diam}(\overline{G_n}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (from Theorem 4.16). Hence from the given condition we see that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{G_n} = \{z\}$, $z \in X$.

Now $J(z, z, x_n) \leq \operatorname{diam}(\overline{G_n}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So $\{x_n\}$ is convergent and X is complete. \square

Example 4.18. Let us consider the symmetric S^{JS} -metric space given in Example 3.3. Then we have for any $x \in X$ and for any $r > 0$

$$B_J(x, r) = \begin{cases} \emptyset, & \text{if } |x| \geq \frac{r}{2} \\ (-\frac{r}{2} - |x|, \frac{r}{2} - |x|), & \text{if } |x| < \frac{r}{2} \end{cases}$$

and

$$B_J[x, r] = \begin{cases} \emptyset, & \text{if } |x| > \frac{r}{2} \\ [-(\frac{r}{2} - |x|), (\frac{r}{2} - |x|)], & \text{if } |x| \leq \frac{r}{2} \end{cases}$$

Here we see that the topology τ is given by

$$\tau = \{\emptyset\} \cup \{B(\neq \emptyset) : B \subset X \setminus \{0\}\} \cup \{B(\neq \emptyset) : 0 \in B\}$$

and there exists $r > 0$ such that $(-\frac{r}{2}, \frac{r}{2}) \subset B$.

Clearly any nonempty subset of X containing 0 is closed.

If $A(\neq \emptyset) \subset X$, $0 \notin A$ and there does not exist a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset A$ converging to 0 in X then there must exist some $r > 0$ such that $0 \in (-\frac{r}{2}, \frac{r}{2}) \subset X \setminus A$ and therefore we have A is closed. If $A(\neq \emptyset) \subset X$ is not closed, $0 \notin A$ and there exists a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset A$ converging to 0 in X then $\overline{A} = A \cup \{0\}$. So in (X, J) any nonempty subset of X has closure.

Example 4.19. (Supporting example for Theorem 4.17) Let us consider the symmetric S^{JS} -metric space given in Example 3.3. Also let $\{F_n\}$ be a decreasing sequence of nonempty closed subsets of X such that $\text{diam}(F_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If $0 \notin F_m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $0 \notin F_k$ for all $k \geq m$. Now let $x_k \in F_k$ for all $k \geq m$. Then $\{x_m, x_{m+1}, \dots\} \subset F_m$ and also $J(x_k, x_k, x_k) \leq \text{diam}(F_k) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \leq k \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $|x_k| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and we get $J(0, 0, x_k) = 2|x_k| \rightarrow 0$ as $m \leq k \rightarrow \infty$. Since F_m is closed so by Theorem 4.15 we get $0 \in F_m$, a contradiction.

Therefore $0 \in F_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now if $t(\neq 0) \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ then $J(t, t, t) \leq \text{diam}(F_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ implying that $t = 0$, a contradiction. Therefore $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = \{0\}$. Here we see that (X, J) is complete.

The condition, S^{JS} -metric space X is symmetric is a sufficient condition in Theorem 4.17. Which can be shown from our next example.

Example 4.20. If we consider the S^{JS} -metric space given in Example 3.2 then it is not symmetric and the topology τ is given by $\tau = \{\emptyset\} \cup \{B(\neq \emptyset) : B \subset X \setminus \{0\}\} \cup \{B(\neq \emptyset) : 0 \in B \text{ and there exists } r > 0 \text{ such that } 0 \in (-r, r) \subset B\}$. Clearly any nonempty subset of X containing 0 is closed. If $A(\neq \emptyset) \subset X$, $0 \notin A$ and there does not exist a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset A$ converging to 0 in X then there must exist some $r > 0$

such that $0 \in (-r, r) \subset X \setminus A$ and therefore we have A is closed. If $A(\neq \emptyset) \subset X$ is not closed, $0 \notin A$ and there exists a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset A$ converging to 0 in X then $\bar{A} = A \cup \{0\}$.

So in (X, J) any nonempty subset of X has closure and we can prove that for any decreasing sequence $\{F_n\}$ of nonempty closed subsets of X such that $diam(F_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = \{0\}$, in a similar way as in Example 4.18.

Definition 4.21. Let (X, J) be an S^{JS} -metric space and $A(\neq \emptyset) \subset X$. Then $int(A)$ is the largest open set contained in A .

Definition 4.22. Let (X, J) be an S^{JS} -metric space. A subset A of X is said to be nowhere dense in X if \bar{A} exists and $int(\bar{A}) = \emptyset$.

Theorem 4.23. Let (X, J) be an S^{JS} -metric space and $A(\neq \emptyset) \subset X$. If \bar{A} exists then $int(X \setminus A) = X \setminus \bar{A}$.

Proof. Since \bar{A} exists then $A \subset \bar{A} \subset A \cup \{x \in X : \text{there exists } \{x_n\} \subset A \text{ such that } \{x_n\} \in S(X, J, x)\}$. Let us denote the set $\{x \in X : \text{there exists } \{x_n\} \subset A \text{ such that } \{x_n\} \in S(X, J, x)\}$ by A' . Then $(X \setminus A) \cap (X \setminus A') \subset X \setminus \bar{A} \subset X \setminus A$. Now $X \setminus \bar{A}$ is open so $X \setminus \bar{A} \subset int(X \setminus A)$. If $int(X \setminus A) = \emptyset$ then we are done. So let $int(X \setminus A) \neq \emptyset$ and $x \in int(X \setminus A)$. Then there exists some $r > 0$ such that $B_J(x, r) \subset int(X \setminus A) \subset X \setminus A$. So $B_J(x, r) \cap A = \emptyset$ and we have $x \in X \setminus A'$ (using Theorem 4.13). It implies that $x \in (X \setminus A) \cap (X \setminus A') \subset X \setminus \bar{A}$. Therefore $int(X \setminus A) \subset X \setminus \bar{A}$, which shows that $int(X \setminus A) = X \setminus \bar{A}$. \square

Theorem 4.24. Let (X, J) be an S^{JS} -metric space and $A(\neq \emptyset) \subset X$ be a nowhere dense set in X . Then for any open set $U \neq \emptyset$ there exists an open set $V(\neq \emptyset) \subset U$ such that $V \cap A = \emptyset$.

Proof. Since $int(\bar{A}) = \emptyset$ then $\bar{A} \neq X$. So $int(X \setminus A) = X \setminus \bar{A} \neq \emptyset$. Let U be a nonempty open set in X . Then $U \cap int(X \setminus A) \neq \emptyset$ because if $U \cap int(X \setminus A) = \emptyset$ then $U \cap (X \setminus \bar{A}) = \emptyset$ implying that $U \subset \bar{A}$, a contradiction. Let $V = U \cap int(X \setminus A)$. Then V is open and $V \subset int(X \setminus A) \subset X \setminus A$. Therefore $V \cap A = \emptyset$. \square

Definition 4.25. An S^{JS} -metric space (X, J) is said to have property (c) if every nonempty subset of X has a closure.

Conjecture: A complete S^{JS} -metric space (X, J) with property (c) is not expressible as a countable union of nowhere dense sets.

5 Conclusion

In this paper we initiated the study of S^{JS} - metric and topological spaces and proved several classical theorems. In future we plan to further investigate topological properties of these spaces. Proving Baire's Category Theorem in S^{JS} - metric spaces is still an open challenging problem. We also expect applications of these spaces in approximation theory, variational problems, fixed point theory, and optimization theory.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the editor and the reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions that have helped us to improve the paper significantly. Kushal Roy acknowledges financial support awarded by the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi, India, through research fellowship (Award No: 09/025(0223)/2017-EMR-I) for carrying out research work leading to the preparation of this manuscript.

References

- [1] I. Beg, M. Abbas and T. Nazir, Generalized cone metric spaces, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, **3**(1)(2010), 21-31.
- [2] A. Branciari, A fixed point theorem of Banach-Caccippoli type on a class of generalized metric spaces, *Publicationes Mathematicae*, **57** (2000), 31-37.
- [3] M. Bukatin, R. Kopperman, S. Mathews and H. Pajoohesh, Partial metric spaces, *Amer. Math. Mon.*, **116**(8)(2009)708-718.
- [4] S. Czerwik, Contraction mappings in b -metric spaces, *Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostrav.*, **1** (1993), 5-11.
- [5] B.C. Dhage, Generalized metric spaces and mapping with fixed point, *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.*, **84** (1992), 329-336.
- [6] M. Fréchet, Sur quelques points du calcul fonctionnel, *Rendic. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, **22** (1906) 1-74.

- [7] P. Hitzler, A.K. Seda, Dislocated topologies, *J. Electr. Eng.*, **51**(12) (2000), 3-7.
- [8] L. Huang and X. Zhang, Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **332**(2)(2007), 1468-1476.
- [9] M. Jleli, B. Samet, A generalized metric space and related fixed point theorems, *Fixed Point Theory and Appl.*, 2015 (2015), doi:10.1186/s13663-015-0312-7.
- [10] O. Kaleva and S. Seikkala, On fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **12**(3) (1984), 215-229.
- [11] J.L. Kelley, *General Topology*, Van Nostrand, NewYork ,1955.
- [12] W.M. Kozłowski, Moduler Function Spaces, *Monographs and Text-books in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **122** (1988), Dekker, New York.
- [13] H. Mehravaran, M. Khanehgir and R. Allahyari, Fixed point theorems for F -Contractions in dislocated S_b -metric spaces, *Journal of Mathematical Extension*, **13**(4) (2019), 69-89.
- [14] K. Menger, Probabilistic theories of relations, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA*, **37**(3) (1951), 178-180.
- [15] Z. Mustafa and B. Sim, A new approach to generalized metric spaces, *J. Nonlinear and Convex Anal.*, **7**(2) (2006), 289-297.
- [16] Y. Rohen, T. Došenović and S. Radenović, A note on the paper "A Fixed Point Theorem in S_b -Metric Spaces", *Filomat*, **31**(11) (2017), 3335-3346.
- [17] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe and A. Aliouche, A generalization of fixed point theorems in S -metric spaces, *Mat. Vesnik*, **64** (2012), 258-266.
- [18] N. Souayah, N. Mlaiki, A fixed point theorem in S_b -metric spaces, *J. Math. Computer Sci.*, **16** (2016), 131-139.

Ismat Beg

Centre for Mathematics and Statistical Sciences
Professor of Mathematics
Lahore School of Economics
Lahore 53200, Pakistan
E-mail: ibeg@lahoreschool.edu.pk

Kushal Roy

Department of Mathematics
The University of Burdwan
Purba Bardhaman-713104, West Bengal, India
E-mail: kushal.roy93@gmail.com

Mantu Saha

Department of Mathematics
Professor of Mathematics
The University of Burdwan
Purba Bardhaman-713104, West Bengal, India
E-mail: mantusaha.bu@gmail.com