Journal of Mathematical Extension

Vol. 15, No. 4, (2021) (1)1-15

URL: https://doi.org/10.30495/JME.2021.1574

ISSN: 1735-8299

Original Research Paper

Integral Closure of a Filtration Relative to an Injective Module

F. Dorostkar

University of Guilan

Abstract. In this paper we will introduce the integral closure of a filtration relative to an injective module.

AMS Subject Classification: 13B22.

Keywords and Phrases: Filtration, Reduction of a filtration, Noetherian filtration, Integral closure of a filtration.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper R denotes a commutative Noetherian ring with identity. Further \mathbf{N} and \mathbf{N}_0 will denote the set of natural integers and non-negative integers respectively. Also \mathbf{Z} will denote the set of integer numbers. Further E is an injective R-module.

The ideas of reduction and integral closure of an ideal in a commutative Noetherian ring R (with identity) were introduced by Northcott and Rees in [3]. It is appropriate for us to recall these definitions.

Let I and J be ideals of a commutative Noetherian ring R. The ideal I is a reduction of the ideal J if $I \subseteq J$ and there exists an integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $IJ^n = J^{n+1}$. Also an element x of R is said to be integrally

Received: March 2020; Accepted: November 2020

dependent on I if there exist a positive integer n and elements $c_k \in I^k$, k = 1, ..., n, such that

$$x^{n} + c_{1}x^{n-1} + \dots + c_{n-1}x + c_{n} = 0.$$

We know from [3], $x \in R$ is integrally dependent on I if and only if I is a reduction of the ideal I + Rx. Further, we know that the set of all elements of R which are integrally dependent on I is an ideal of R. This ideal is called the integral closure of I and is denoted by I^- .

Now let E be an injective R-module. In [2], H. Ansari Toroghy and R. Y. Sharp introduced integral closure of an ideal I of a commutative ring R relative to an injective R-module E.

Let I and J be ideals of R. The ideal I is said to be a reduction of the ideal J relative to E, if $I \subseteq J$ and there exists an integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(0:_E IJ^n) = (0:_E J^{n+1})$. Also an element x of R is said to be integrally dependent on I relative to an injective R-module E, if there exists a positive integer n such that

$$(0:_E \sum_{i=1}^n x^{n-i} I^i) \subseteq (0:_E x^n).$$

We know from [2], an element x of R is integrally dependent on I relative to an injective R-module E, if and only if I is a reduction of the ideal I + Rx relative to E. Moreover in [2], it is shown that the set of all elements of R which are integrally dependent on I relative to E is an ideal of R. This is denoted by $I^{*(E)}$ and is called the integral closure of I relative to E.

Here, we give some definitions and notations which will be helpful for us in the rest of the paper.

A filtration $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ on R is a descending sequence of ideals I_n of R such that $I_0 = R$ and $I_n I_m \subseteq I_{n+m}$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ and $\mathcal{G} = \{J_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ be two filtrations. We say $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ if $I_n \subseteq J_n$ for every n. Also the filtration $\{I_n J_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}$.

The integral closure of a filtration $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is defined in [4]. For every $n\geq 0$, let J_n be the set of all $x\in R$ such that x satisfies an equation

$$x^{k} + a_{1}x^{k-1} + \dots + a_{k-1}x + a_{k} = 0$$

for a positive integer k and $a_i \in I_{ni}$. Then $\mathcal{F}^- = \{J_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is a filtration such that $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}^-$. The filtration $\mathcal{F}^- = \{J_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is called the integral closure of the filtration $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n\geq 0}$.

In this paper we will introduce the integral closure of a filtration relative to an injective module and study some related topics.

2 Auxiliary results

In this section we define the concepts of reduction and integral closure of a filtration relative to injective modules and prove some of their properties. We begin to remind some definitions.

Definition 2.1. (See [5, 2.1.3].) Let $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ and $\mathcal{G} = \{J_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ be filtrations on R. \mathcal{F} is said to be a reduction of \mathcal{G} if $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ and there exists a positive integer d such that

$$J_n = \sum_{i=0}^{d} I_{n-i} J_i \quad \text{for every } n \ge 1.$$

Here, and throughout this paper, $I_i = R$ if $i \leq 0$.

Definition 2.2. (See [5, 2.1.4].) Let R be a Noetherian ring. A filtration $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ on R is Noetherian in case there exists a positive integer d such that

$$I_n = \sum_{i=0}^{d} I_{n-i} I_i$$
 for every $n \ge 1$.

Definition 2.3. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ and $\mathcal{G} = \{J_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ be filtrations on R. Then \mathcal{F} is said to be a reduction of \mathcal{G} relative to an injective R-module E if $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ and there exists a positive integer d such that

$$(0:_E J_n) = (0:_E \sum_{i=0}^d I_{n-i}J_i)$$
 for every $n \ge 1$.

Remark 2.4. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ and $\mathcal{G} = \{J_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ be filtrations on R. Let \mathcal{F} be a reduction of \mathcal{G} relative to an injective R-module E. Then

there exists a positive integer d such that

$$(0:_E J_n) = (0:_E \sum_{i=0}^d I_{n-i}J_i)$$
 for every $n \ge 1$.

Let d < d'. Since $\sum_{i=d+1}^{d'} I_{n-i} J_i \subseteq J_n$, we have

$$(0:_E J_n) = (0:_E \sum_{i=0}^d I_{n-i}J_i) \cap (0:_E \sum_{i=d+1}^{d'} I_{n-i}J_i) = (0:_E \sum_{i=0}^{d'} I_{n-i}J_i).$$

Theorem 2.5. (See [2, 1.3]). Let $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n\geq 0}$, $\mathcal{G} = \{J_n\}_{n\geq 0}$, $\mathcal{H} = \{H_n\}_{n\geq 0}$, and $\mathcal{K} = \{K_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ be filtrations on R and let E be an injective R – module.

- (a) If $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ and \mathcal{F} is a reduction of \mathcal{H} relative to E then \mathcal{G} is a reduction of \mathcal{H} relative to E.
- (b) If \mathcal{F} is a reduction of \mathcal{G} relative to E and \mathcal{G} is a reduction of \mathcal{H} relative to E then \mathcal{F} is a reduction of \mathcal{H} relative to E.
- (c) If \mathcal{F} is a reduction of \mathcal{G} relative to E and \mathcal{H} is a reduction of \mathcal{K} relative to E then \mathcal{FH} is a reduction of \mathcal{GK} relative to E.

Proof. (a) and (b) are clear.

(c) Since \mathcal{F} is a reduction of \mathcal{G} relative to E and \mathcal{H} is a reduction of \mathcal{K} relative to E then there are two positive integers d, d' such that for every $n \geq 1$,

$$(0:_E J_n) = (0:_E \sum_{i=0}^d I_{n-i}J_i)$$

and

$$(0:_E K_n) = (0:_E \sum_{t=0}^{d'} H_{n-t} K_t).$$

By Remark 2.4, we can assume d = d'. Then for every $n \ge 1$, we have

$$(0:_E J_n K_n) = ((0:_E J_n):_E K_n) = ((0:_E \sum_{i=0}^d I_{n-i} J_i):_E K_n)$$

$$= ((0:_E K_n):_E \sum_{i=0}^d I_{n-i}J_i)$$
$$= ((0:_E (\sum_{i=0}^d I_{n-i}J_i)(\sum_{t=0}^d H_{n-t}K_t)).$$

It is easy to see that $(\sum_{i=0}^d I_{n-i}J_i)(\sum_{t=0}^d H_{n-t}K_t) = \sum_{i=0}^d I_{n-i}H_{n-i}J_iK_i$. Thus we have

$$(0:_E J_n K_n) = (0:_E \sum_{i=0}^d I_{n-i} H_{n-i} J_i K_i)$$
 for every $n \ge 1$

and so \mathcal{FH} is a reduction of \mathcal{GK} relative to E. \square

Now we mention a useful notation from [2]. Let I be an ideal of R. For a subset \mathcal{P} of Spec(R), the notation $I(\mathcal{P})$ denotes (I if I = R and), if I is proper, the intersection of those primary terms in a minimal primary decomposition of I which are contained in at least one member of \mathcal{P} . We know $I(\mathcal{P}) = \bigcap_{P \in \mathcal{P}} I(\{P\})$ we shall abbreviate $I(\{P\})$ (for $P \in Spec(R)$) by I(P). Note that I(P) is just the contraction back to R of the extension of I to R_P under the natural ring homomorphism.

Remark 2.6. (See [2, 1.6].) Let $P \in Spec(R)$, I and J be ideals of R. Let E = E(R/P). Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) $(0:_E I) \subseteq (0:_E J)$;
- (b) $IR_P \subseteq JR_P$;
- (c) $I(P) \subseteq J(P)$.

Let $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ be a filtration on R. For every prime ideal P of R, $\{I_nR_P\}_{n\geq 0}$ is a filtration on R_P . We will denote this filtration on R_P by \mathcal{F}_P .

Lemma 2.7. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ be a Noetherian filtration on R. Then for every prime ideal P of R,

$$(\mathcal{F}_{P})^{-} = (\mathcal{F}^{-})_{P}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}^- = \{U_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ and $(\mathcal{F}_P)^- = \{H_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ where \mathcal{F}^- and $(\mathcal{F}^-)_P$ are filtrations on R and R_P respectively. Let $\frac{x}{1} \in U_n R_P$. Then there exist $u \in U_n$ and $t \in R \setminus P$ such that $\frac{x}{1} = \frac{u}{t}$. Thus there exists $s \in R \setminus P$ such that su = stx. Since $u \in U_n$ we have $u^k \in \sum_{i=1}^k u^{k-i} I_{ni}$ and so $(su)^k \in \sum_{i=1}^k (su)^{k-i} I_{ni}$ for a positive integer k. But su = stx implies that $(\frac{stx}{1})^k \in \sum_{i=1}^k (\frac{stx}{1})^{k-i} I_{ni} R_P$. Now by Remark 2.6 and $\sum_{i=1}^k (stx)^{k-i} I_{ni} \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^k x^{k-i} I_{ni}$, we have

$$(0:_{E(R/P)}\sum_{i=1}^{k}x^{k-i}I_{ni})\subseteq (0:_{E(R/P)}\sum_{i=1}^{k}(stx)^{k-i}I_{ni})\subseteq (0:_{E(R/P)}(stx)^{k}).$$

Now since $s, t \in R \setminus P$ we can see that

$$(0:_{E(R/P)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} x^{k-i} I_{ni}) \subseteq (0:_{E(R/P)} x^{k}).$$

So by Remark 2.6, $(\frac{x}{1})^k \in \sum_{i=1}^k (\frac{x}{1})^{k-i} I_{ni} R_P$. In other words $\frac{x}{1} \in H_n$ and so $(\mathcal{F}^-)_P \subseteq (\mathcal{F}_P)^-$.

Conversely, let $\frac{x}{1} \in H_n$. Then $(\frac{x}{1})^k \in \sum_{i=1}^k (\frac{x}{1})^{k-i} I_{ni} R_P$ for a positive integer k. Then there are $a_1 \in I_{n1}, \ldots, a_k \in I_{nk}$ and $s_1, \ldots, s_k \in R \setminus P$ such that

$$\left(\frac{x}{1}\right)^k + \frac{a_1}{s_1}\left(\frac{x}{1}\right)^{k-1} + \dots + \frac{a_{k-1}}{s_{k-1}}\left(\frac{x}{1}\right)^1 + \frac{a_k}{s_k} = 0.$$

Let $s = s_1 \dots s_k$. Then there exists $t \in R \setminus P$ such that

$$(tsx)^k \in \sum_{i=1}^k (tsx)^{k-i} I_{ni}.$$

This shows $tsx \in U_n$. But $\frac{x}{1} = \frac{tsx}{ts} \in U_nR_P$ and so $(\mathcal{F}_P)^- \subseteq (\mathcal{F}^-)_P$ and this completes the proof.

By well-known work of Matlis and Gabriel, We know for every injective R-module E, there is a family $\{P_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ of prime ideals of R such that $E = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E(R/P_{\lambda})$ (we use E(L) to denote the injective envelope of an R-module L). Further we know the set $\{P_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is the set of all associated prime ideals of R which is denoted by $Ass_{R}(E)$.

Remark 2.8. Let $E = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E(R/P_{\lambda})$ be an injective R-module. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a filtration on R. Let U_n be the set of all $x \in R$ such that

$$(0:_E \sum_{i=1}^k x^{k-i} I_{ni}) \subseteq (0:_E x^k)$$

for a positive integer k. Since R is a Noetherian ring, $Ass_R(E)$ is a finite set. We know

$$(0:_E \sum_{i=1}^k x^{k-i} I_{ni}) \subseteq (0:_E x^k)$$

for the positive integer k if and only if for every $P \in Ass_R(E)$,

$$(0:_{E(R/P)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} x^{k-i} I_{ni}) \subseteq (0:_{E(R/P)} x^{k}).$$

But by Remark 2.6, for every $P \in Ass_R(E)$,

$$(0:_{E(R/P)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} x^{k-i} I_{ni}) \subseteq (0:_{E(R/P)} x^{k})$$

for the positive integer k if and only if

$$(\frac{x}{1})^k \in \sum_{i=1}^k (\frac{x}{1})^{k-i} I_{ni} R_P.$$

By Lemma 2.7, we have $(\mathcal{F}_P)^- = (\mathcal{F}^-)_P$. Let $\mathcal{F}^- = \{J_n\}_{n\geq 0}$. Then we see $x \in U_n$ if and only if $\frac{x}{1} \in J_n R_P$ for every $P \in Ass_R(E)$. Since $(\mathcal{F}_P)^- = \{J_n R_p\}_{n\geq 0}$ is a filtration of ideals on R_P , it is easy to see that $\{U_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is a filtration of ideals on R.

Definition 2.9. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ be a filtration on R and let E be an injective R-module. For every $n\geq 0$, we assume that U_n contains all $x\in R$ such that

$$(0:_E \sum_{i=1}^k x^{k-i} I_{ni}) \subseteq (0:_E x^k)$$

for a positive integer k. By Remark 2.8, we know $\{U_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is a filtration on R. This filtration is denoted by $\mathcal{F}^{*(E)}$ and is called the integral closure of a filtration $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ relative to an injective R-module E. By Remark 2.8, we can see $(\mathcal{F}^{*(E)})_P = (\mathcal{F}^-)_P$ for every $P \in Ass_R(E)$.

For example, let I be an ideal of R and E be an injective R-module. For $\mathcal{F} = \{I^n\}_{n\geq 0}$ we have $\mathcal{F}^{*(E)} = \{(I^n)^{*(E)}\}_{n\geq 0}$ (please note, if I and J are two ideals of R and E is an injective R-module then we have $I^{*(E)}J^{*(E)}\subseteq (IJ)^{*(E)}$).

Theorem 2.10. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ be a filtration on R and let E be an injective R-module. Let $\mathcal{F}^{*(E)} = \{U_n\}_{n\geq 0}$. Further for a non negative integer n and $x\in R$, let $L_k=Rx^k+x^{k-1}I_{n1}+x^{k-2}I_{n2}+\cdots+xI_{n(k-1)}+I_{nk}$ and $H_k=I_{nk}$. Then $x\in U_n$ if and only if the filtration $\{H_k\}_{k\geq 0}$ is a reduction of filtration $\{L_k\}_{k\geq 0}$ relative to E.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let $x \in U_n$. Then there exists a positive integer k such that

$$(0:_E \sum_{i=1}^k x^{k-i} I_{ni}) \subseteq (0:_E x^k).$$

Since $x^{k-i}I_{ni} \subseteq H_{k-(k-i)}L_{k-i}$ for every $1 \le i \le k$,

$$(0:_E \sum_{i=0}^k H_{k-i}L_i) = (0:_E \sum_{i=0}^k H_{k-(k-i)}L_{k-i}) \subseteq (0:_E \sum_{i=1}^k x^{k-i}I_{ni}).$$

But $(0:_E \sum_{i=1}^k x^{k-i} I_{ni}) \subseteq (0:_E x^k)$ and so

$$(0:_E \sum_{i=0}^k H_{k-i}L_i) \subseteq (0:_E \sum_{i=0}^k x^{k-i}I_{ni})) = (0:_E L_k).$$

Also we know $\sum_{i=0}^{k} H_{k-i} L_i \subseteq L_k$. Then

$$(0:_E L_k) = (0:_E \sum_{i=0}^k H_{k-i}L_i).$$

Now, we will show that

$$(0:_E L_t) = (0:_E \sum_{i=0}^k H_{t-i}L_i)$$
 for every $t \ge 1$.

First let t < k. Since t < k,

$$(0:_E \sum_{i=0}^k H_{t-i}L_i) \subseteq (0:_E H_0L_t) = (0:_E L_t).$$

Also we know $\sum_{i=0}^{k} H_{t-i}L_i \subseteq L_t$. Thus we have

$$(0:_E L_t) = (0:_E \sum_{i=0}^k H_{t-i}L_i)$$
 for every $t < k$.

Now let t > k. This is clear that $\sum_{i=0}^{k} H_{t-i}L_i = \sum_{i=0}^{k} x^i I_{n(t-i)}$ and so

$$(0:_E \sum_{i=0}^k H_{t-i}L_i) = (0:_E \sum_{i=0}^k x^i I_{n(t-i)}).$$

Since $(0:_E \sum_{i=1}^k x^{k-i} I_{ni}) \subseteq (0:_E x^k)$, we can see that

$$(0:_E \sum_{i=1}^k x^{k-i} I_{n(r+i)}) \subseteq (0:_E x^{k+r}).$$

But by

$$(0:_E x^{k+r} I_{n(t-(k+r))}) \supseteq (0:_E \sum_{i=1}^k x^{k-i} I_{n(r+i)} I_{n(t-(k+r))})$$

$$\supseteq (0:_E \sum_{i=1}^k x^{k-i} I_{n(t-k+i)}) \supseteq (0:_E \sum_{i=0}^k x^i I_{n(t-i)})$$

we have

$$(0:_{E} L_{t}) = (0:_{E} \sum_{i=k+1}^{t} x^{i} I_{n(t-i)})) \cap (0:_{E} \sum_{i=0}^{k} x^{i} I_{n(t-i)})$$
$$= (0:_{E} \sum_{i=0}^{k} x^{i} I_{n(t-i)}) = (0:_{E} \sum_{i=0}^{k} H_{t-i} L_{i})).$$

Then

$$(0:_E L_t) = (0:_E \sum_{i=0}^k H_{t-i}L_i)$$
 for every $t \ge 1$.

 (\Leftarrow) Let $\{H_k\}_{k\geq 0}$ be a reduction of filtration $\{L_k\}_{k\geq 0}$ relative to M. Then there exists a positive integer d such that

$$(0:_E L_k) = (0:_E \sum_{i=0}^d H_{k-i}L_i)$$
 for every $k \ge 1$.

Particularly, we have $(0 :_E L_{d+1}) = (0 :_E \sum_{i=0}^d H_{d+1-i}L_i)$. But by $\sum_{i=0}^d H_{d+1-i}L_i = \sum_{i=0}^d x^i I_{n(d+1-i)} \subseteq \sum_{i=0}^d x^i I_{n(d-i)}$ we have

$$(0:_E x^{d+1}) \supseteq (0:_E L_{d+1}) = (0:_E \sum_{i=0}^d H_{d+1-i}L_i) \supseteq (0:_E \sum_{i=0}^d x^i I_{n(d-i)}).$$

Hence $x \in U_n$.

The following theorem shows that $\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}^{*(E)}$, is a semi-prime operation.

Theorem 2.11. (See [4, 2.4].) Let $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ and $\mathcal{G} = \{J_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ be filtrations on R. Then for every injective R-module E, we have

- (a) $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}^{*(E)}$;
- (b) if $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ then $\mathcal{F}^{*(E)} \subseteq \mathcal{G}^{*(E)}$;
- (c) $(\mathcal{F}^{*(E)})^{*(E)} = \mathcal{F}^{*(E)}$:
- (d) $\mathcal{F}^{*(E)}\mathcal{G}^{*(E)} \subset (\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G})^{*(E)}$.

Proof. (a) and (b) are clear.

(c) By (a) and (b), we have $\mathcal{F}^{*(E)} \subseteq (\mathcal{F}^{*(E)})^{*(E)}$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n>0}$, $\mathcal{F}^{*(E)} = \{U_n\}_{n\geq 0}, \ \mathcal{F}^- = \{J_n\}_{n\geq 0} \text{ and } (\mathcal{F}^{*(E)})^{*(E)} = \{K_n\}_{n\geq 0}. \text{ Let}$ $x \in K_n$. By Lemma 2.7 and Remark 2.8, we have

$$((\mathcal{F}^{*(E)})^{*(E)})_P = ((\mathcal{F}^{*(E)})^-)_P = ((\mathcal{F}^{*(E)})_P)^-$$
$$= ((\mathcal{F}^-)_P)^- = ((\mathcal{F}^-)^-)_P$$

for every $P \in Ass_R(E)$. Also we know from [4, 2.4.3], $(\mathcal{F}^-)^- = \mathcal{F}^-$. Thus there are $a \in J_n$ and $s \in R \setminus P$ such that $\frac{x}{1} = \frac{a}{s}$. Hence tsx = tafor $t \in R \setminus P$. Since $tsx = ta \in J_n$, there exists a positive integer k such that $(tsx)^k \in \sum_{i=1}^k (tsx)^{k-i} I_{ni}$. Now since $ts \in R \setminus P$, it is easy to see that

$$(0:_{E(R/P)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} x^{k-i} I_{ni}) \subseteq (0:_{E(R/P)} x^{k})$$

for every $P \in Ass_R(E)$. Then $x \in U_n$ and so $(\mathcal{F}^{*(E)})^{*(E)} \subseteq \mathcal{F}^{*(E)}$. This

(d) Let $\mathcal{F}^{*(E)} = \{U_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ and $\mathcal{G}^{*(E)} = \{V_n\}_{n\geq 0}$. Let $x \in U_n$ and $y \in V_n$. Further let $P \in Ass_R(E)$. We have

$$(\mathcal{F}^{*(E)})_P(\mathcal{G}^{*(E)})_P = (\mathcal{F}^-)_P(\mathcal{G}^-)_P = (\mathcal{F}^-\mathcal{G}^-)_P.$$

But by [4, 2.4.4], we know $\mathcal{F}^-\mathcal{G}^-\subseteq (\mathcal{FG})^-$. This shows if $(\mathcal{FG})^-=$ $\{H_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ then $\frac{x}{1}\frac{y}{1}\in H_nR_P$. Thus there are $a\in H_n$ and $s,t\in R\setminus P$ such that tsxy = ta. Since $ta \in H_n$, there is a positive integer k such that $(stxy)^k \in \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} (tsxy)^{k-i} I_{ni} J_{ni}$. This implies that

$$(0:_{E(R/P)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} (xy)^{k-i} I_{ni} J_{ni}) \subseteq (0:_{E(R/P)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} (tsxy)^{k-i} I_{ni} J_{ni})$$

$$\subseteq (0:_{E(R/P)} (tsxy)^k).$$

Since $s, t \in R \setminus P$, for every $P \in Ass_R(E)$ we have

$$(0:_{E(R/P)}\sum_{i=1}^{k}(xy)^{k-i}I_{ni}J_{ni})\subseteq (0:_{E(R/P)}(xy)^{k}).$$

Then
$$(0 :_E \sum_{i=1}^k (xy)^{k-i} I_{ni} J_{ni}) \subseteq (0 :_E (xy)^k)$$
 and so if $(\mathcal{FG})^{*(E)} = \{W_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ then $xy \in W_n$. \square

3 Main results

Let I be an ideal of R and E be an injective R-module. In [2], it is shown that $I^{*(E)} = I^{-}(Ass_{R}(E))$. In this section we will prove a similar theorem for the integral closure of a filtration \mathcal{F} relative to an injective R-module E. First we introduce the following notation.

Let $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ be a filtration on R and let \mathcal{P} be a subset of Spec(R). For every $P \in \mathcal{P}$, we have

$$I_n(\mathcal{P})I_m(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq I_n(P)I_m(P) = (I_nR_P)^c(I_mR_P)^c \subseteq (I_nI_mR_P)^c$$
$$\subseteq (I_{n+m}R_P)^c = I_{n+m}(P).$$

Then

$$I_n(\mathcal{P})I_m(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq \bigcap_{P \in \mathcal{P}} I_{n+m}(P) = I_{n+m}(\mathcal{P}).$$

This shows that $\{I_n(\mathcal{P})\}_{n\geq 0}$ is a filtration on R. We denote this filtration by $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P})$.

Now we are ready to prove the main proposition of this section.

Theorem 3.1. (See [2, 2.6].) Let $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a filtration on R and let E be an injective R-module. Then $\mathcal{F}^{*(E)} = \mathcal{F}^{-}(Ass_R(E))$.

Proof. Let
$$\mathcal{F}^{*(E)} = \{U_n\}_{n>0}$$
 and $(\mathcal{F})^- = \{J_n\}_{n>0}$. We will show

$$U_n = J_n(Ass_R(E))$$
 for every n .

Let $x \in U_n$. Then for every $P \in Ass_R(E)$, $\frac{x}{1} \in J_nR_P$. Let $J_n =$ $Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_k$ be a minimal primary decomposition of J_n . Let

$$\sqrt{Q_i} \cap P = \emptyset$$
 for every $1 \le i \le l$

and

$$\sqrt{Q_i} \cap P \neq \emptyset$$
 for every $l+1 \leq i \leq k$.

Then $\frac{x}{1} \in J_n R_P = (Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_l) R_P$ and so there are $y \in Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_l$ and $s,t \in R \setminus P$ such that $stx = sy \in Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_l$. Since $st \notin \sqrt{Q_i}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq l$, $x \in Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_l$ and so $x \in J_n(P)$ for every $P \in Ass_R(E)$. Hence $x \in J_n(Ass_R(E))$ and so $U_n \subseteq J_n(Ass_R(E))$. For converse inclusion, let $x \in J_n(P)$ for every $P \in Ass_R(E)$. Then $\frac{x}{1} \in$ $J_n R_P$ for every $P \in Ass_R(E)$. Hence there are $y \in J_n$ and $s, t \in R \setminus P$ such that $stx = sy \in J_n$. Then there is a positive integer k such that $(stx)^k \in \sum_{i=1}^k (tsx)^{k-i} I_{ni}$. By $s,t \in R \setminus P$ we can see

$$(0:_{E(R/P)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} x^{k-i} I_{ni}) \subseteq (0:_{E(R/P)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} (tsx)^{k-i} I_{ni})$$
$$\subseteq (0:_{E(R/P)} (stx)^{n})$$
$$\subseteq (0:_{E(R/P)} x^{n}).$$

Thus we have

$$(0:_{E(R/P)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} x^{k-i} I_{ni}) \subseteq (0:_{E(R/P)} x^{n})$$

for every $P \in Ass_R(E)$. Thus $x \in U_n$ and so $J_n(Ass_R(E)) \subseteq U_n$. This follows $U_n = J_n(Ass_R(E))$.

Definition 3.2. (See [4, 3.1(2)].) Let $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ be a filtration on Rand $\mathcal{F}^- = \{J_n\}_{n>0}$. Members of

$$A^{-}(\mathcal{F}) = \{P : P \in Ass(R/J_n) \text{ for some } n \ge 1\}$$

are called the asymptotic prime divisors of \mathcal{F} .

Let E be an injective R-module. We know from [1, 2.2], for each ideal I of R, the module $(0:_E I)$ has a secondary representation, and so we can form the finite set of prime ideals $Att_R(0:_E I)$. In fact,

$$Att_R(0:_E I) = \{P' \in ass(I)) : P' \subseteq P \text{ for some } P \in Ass_R(E)\}.$$

Definition 3.3. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ be a filtration on R and E be an injective R-module. Let $\mathcal{F}^{*(E)} = \{U_n\}_{n\geq 0}$. We will show the set

$$\{P: P \in Att(0:_E U_n) \text{ for some } n \geq 1\}$$

by $At^*(\mathcal{F}, E)$.

Theorem 3.4. (See [2, 3.2].) Let $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ be a Noetherian filtration on R. Let E be an injective R-module. Then $At^*(\mathcal{F}, E)$ is a finite set.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}^{*(E)} = \{U_n\}_{n>0}$ and $\mathcal{F}^- = \{J_n\}_{n>0}$. By Note 3, we know

$$At^*(\mathcal{F}, E) = \{P' \in ass(U_n) : P' \subseteq P \text{ for some } P \in Ass_R(E)\}.$$

But we know from Theorem 3.1, $\mathcal{F}^{*(E)} = \mathcal{F}^{-}(Ass_{R}(E))$. Then

$$At^*(\mathcal{F}, E) = \{ P' \in ass(J_n(Ass_R(E)) : P' \subseteq P \text{ for some } P \in Ass_R(E) \}$$

$$= \{ P' \in A^{-}(\mathcal{F}) : P' \subseteq P \text{ for some } P \in Ass_{R}(E) \}.$$

Now the proof is completed by [4, 3.3].

References

- [1] H. Ansari Toroghy and R. Y. Sharp, Asymptotic behaviour of ideals relative to injective modules over commutative Noetherian rings, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.*, **34**(1991), 155-160.
- [2] H. Ansari Toroghy and R. Y. Sharp, Integral closure of ideals relative to injective modules over commutative Noetherian rings, *Quart. J. Math.*, (2) **42**(1991), 393-402.

- [3] D. G. Northcott and D. Rees, Reductions of ideals in local rings, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., **50**(1954), 145-158.
- [4] J. S. Okon and L. J. Ratliff, Jr., Filtrations, closure operations, and prime divisors, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos Soc., 104(1988), 31-46.
- [5] J. S. Okon and L. J. Ratliff, Jr., Reductions of filtrations, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, (1) 144 (1990), 137-154.

Farhad Dorostkar

Assistant Professor of Mathematics Department of Pure Mathematics Faculty of Mathematical Sciences University of Guilan Rasht, Iran

E-mail: dorostkar@guilan.ac.ir