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#### Abstract

In this paper, under growth conditions on the nonlinearity, we obtain the existence of at least three weak solutions for some singular elliptic problems involving the $p$-Laplacian, subject to Dirichlet boundary conditions in a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. The approach is based on variational methods and critical point theory.
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we want to investigate the following problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta_{p} u+\frac{|u|^{p-2} u}{|x|^{p}}=\lambda f(x, u), & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1}\\ u=0, & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$
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where $\lambda$ is positive parameter and $\Delta_{p} u:=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)$ denotes the $p$ Laplace operator, $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 2)$ containing the origin and with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega, 1<p<N$, and $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x, t)| \leq a_{1}+a_{2}|t|^{q-1}, \quad \forall(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some non-negative constants $a_{1}, a_{2}$ and $\left.q \in\right] 1, p^{*}[$, where

$$
p^{*}:=\frac{p N}{N-p} .
$$

Several results are known concerning the existence of solutions for singular elliptic problems, and we mention the works [5-10]. For example in [5], the authors obtained the existence of one solution for the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta_{p} u=\frac{|u|^{p-2} u}{|x|^{p}}+\lambda f(x, u), \quad \text { in } \Omega, \\
\left.u\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

based on variational methods and critical point theory. Also, in [11] the authors have considered the problem (1) and they have obtained the existence of two distinct weak solutions requiring that the continuous and subcritical nonlinear term $f$ satisfies the celebrated AmbrosettiRabinowitz condition.

Nonlinear singular elliptic equations are encountered in glacial advance, in transport of coal slurries down conveyor belts and in several other geophysical and industrial contents( see [3]).
In this work, our goal is to obtain the existence of at least three weak solutions for the problem (1), by using variational methods.
Recall that a function $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a Carathéodory function, if
$\left(C_{1}\right)$ the function $x \rightarrow f(x, t)$ is measurable for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$;
$\left(C_{2}\right)$ the function $t \rightarrow f(x, t)$ is continuous for a.e. $x \in \Omega$.

## 2 Preliminaries and Basic Definitions

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 2)$ containing the origin and with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$. Further, denote by $X$ the space $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$
endowed with the norm

$$
\|u\|:=\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(x)|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}
$$

Let $1<p<N$, we recall classical Hardy's inequality, which says that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x)|^{p}}{|x|^{p}} d x \leq \frac{1}{H} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(x)|^{p} d x, \quad(\forall u \in X) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H:=\left(\frac{N-p}{p}\right)^{p} ;($ see, for instance, the paper [6]). By the compact embedding $X \hookrightarrow L^{q}(\Omega)$ for each $q \in\left[1, p^{*}[\right.$, there exists a positive constant $c_{q}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)} \leq c_{q}\|u\|, \quad(\forall u \in X) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{q}$ is the best constant.
Let us define $F(x, \xi):=\int_{0}^{\xi} f(x, t) d t$, for every $(x, \xi)$ in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, we introduce the functional $I_{\lambda}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ associated with (1),

$$
I_{\lambda}(u):=\Phi(u)-\lambda \Psi(u), \quad(\forall u \in X)
$$

where

$$
\Phi(u):=\frac{1}{p}\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(x)|^{p} d x+\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x)|^{p}}{|x|^{p}} d x\right), \Psi(u):=\int_{\Omega} F(x, u(x)) d x .
$$

It is known that $\Phi, \Psi \in C^{1}\left(W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega), \mathbb{R}\right)$, and

$$
\Phi^{\prime}(u)(v)=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v d x+\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p}} u v d x
$$

and

$$
\Psi^{\prime}(u)(v)=\int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x)) v(x) d x
$$

for each $u, v \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$.
Now we present one proposition that will be needed to prove the main theorem of this paper.

Proposition 2.1. Let $T: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ be the operator defined by

$$
T(u)(v):=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u(x) \nabla v(x) d x+\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x)|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p}} u(x) v(x) d x
$$

for every $u, v \in X$. Then $T$ is strictly monotone.
Proof. Clearly $T$ is coercive. Taking into account (2.2) of [12] for $p>1$ there exists a positive constant $C_{p}$ such that if $p \geq 2$, then

$$
\left.\left.\langle | x\right|^{p-2} x-|y|^{p-2} y, x-y\right\rangle \geq C_{p}|x-y|^{p}
$$

if $1<p<2$, then

$$
\left.\left.\langle | x\right|^{p-2} x-|y|^{p-2} y, x-y\right\rangle \geq C_{p} \frac{|x-y|^{2}}{(|x|+|y|)^{2-p}}
$$

where $\langle.,$.$\rangle denotes the usual inner product in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Thus, it is easy to see that, if $p \geq 2$, then, for any $u, v \in X$, with $\quad u \neq v$,

$$
\langle T u-T v, u-v\rangle \geq C_{p} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(x)-\nabla v(x)|^{p} d x=C_{p}\|(u-v)\|^{p}>0
$$

and if $1<p<2$ then,

$$
\langle T u-T v, u-v\rangle \geq C_{p} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u(x)-\nabla v(x)|^{2}}{(|\nabla u|+|\nabla v|)^{2-p}} d x>0
$$

for every $u, v \in X$, which means that $T$ is strictly monotone. Moreover, by Theorem 3.1 of [4] and proposition $2.1, \Phi$ is weakly lower semicontinuous and $\Phi^{\prime}: W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \rightarrow\left(W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)^{*}$ is a homeomorphism. Condition $\left(f_{1}\right)$ and compact embedding $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q}(\Omega)$ imply that the functional $\Psi$ has compact derivative. From the Hardy's inequality (see (2)), it follows that

$$
\frac{\|u\|^{p}}{p} \leq \Phi(u) \leq\left(\frac{H+1}{p H}\right)\|u\|^{p}
$$

for every $u \in X$.
Fixing the real parameter $\lambda$, a function $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a weak solution of (1) if $u \in X$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u(x) \nabla v(x) d x+\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x)|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p}} u(x) v(x) d x \\
-\lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x)) v(x) d x=0
\end{gathered}
$$

for every $v \in X$. Hence, the critical points of $I_{\lambda}$ are exactly the weak solutions of (1).

Our main tools are the following critical point theorems.
Theorem 2.2 ( [2], Theorem 3.6). Let $X$ be a reflexive real Banach space, $\Phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a coercive, continuously Gâteaux differentiable and sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous functional whose Gâteaux derivative admits a continuous inverse on $X^{*}, \Psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuously Gâteaux differentiable functional whose Gâteaux derivative is compact such that

$$
\inf _{x \in X} \Phi(x)=\Phi(0)=\Psi(0)=0
$$

Assume that there exist $r>0$ and $\bar{x} \in X$, with $r<\Phi(\bar{x})$, such that:
$\left(a_{1}\right) \frac{\sup _{\Phi(x) \leq r} \Psi(x)}{r}<\frac{\Psi(\bar{x})}{\Phi(\bar{x})} ;$
( $a_{2}$ ) for each $\left.\lambda \in \Lambda_{r}:=\right] \frac{\Phi(\bar{x})}{\Psi(\bar{x})}, \frac{r}{\sup _{\Phi(x) \leq r} \Psi(x)}[$ the functional $\Phi-\lambda \Psi$ is coercive.

Then, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_{r}$, the functional $\Phi-\lambda \Psi$ has at least three distinct critical points in $X$.

Theorem 2.3 ( [1], Corollary 3.1). Let $X$ be a reflexive real Banach space, $\Phi: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex, coercive and continuously Gâteaux differentiable functional whose derivative admits a continuous inverse on $X^{*}, \Psi: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuously Gâteaux differentiable functional whose derivative is compact, such that

1. $\inf _{X} \Phi=\Phi(0)=\Psi(0)=0$;
2. for each $\lambda>0$ and for every $u_{1}, u_{2} \in X$ which are local minima for the functional $\Phi-\lambda \Psi$ and such that $\Psi\left(u_{1}\right) \geq 0$ and $\Psi\left(u_{2}\right) \geq 0$, one has

$$
\inf _{s \in[0,1]} \Psi\left(s u_{1}+(1-s) u_{2}\right) \geq 0
$$

Assume that there are two positive constants $r_{1}, r_{2}$ and $\bar{v} \in X$, with $2 r_{1}<\Phi(\bar{v})<\frac{r_{2}}{2}$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(b_{1}\right) \frac{\sup _{u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r_{1}[)} \Psi(u)}{r_{1}}<\frac{2}{3} \frac{\Psi(\bar{v})}{\Phi(\bar{v})} \\
& \left(b_{2}\right) \frac{\sup _{u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r_{2}[)} \Psi(u)}{r_{2}}<\frac{1}{3} \frac{\Psi(\bar{v})}{\Phi(\bar{v})} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, for each

$$
\lambda \in] \frac{3}{2} \frac{\Phi(\bar{v})}{\Psi(\bar{v})}, \min \left\{\frac{r_{1}}{\sup _{u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r_{1}[)} \Psi(u)}, \frac{\frac{r_{2}}{2}}{\sup _{u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r_{2}[)} \Psi(u)}\right\}[
$$

, the functional $\Phi-\lambda \Psi$ has at least three distinct critical points which lie in $\Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r_{2}[)$.

## 3 Main results

In this section we establish the main results of this paper. Now, fix $x_{0} \in \Omega$ and pick $D>0$ such that $B\left(x_{0}, D\right) \subset \Omega$ not containing origin, where $B\left(x_{0}, D\right)$ denotes the ball with center $x_{0}$ and radious $D$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Carathéodory function such that condition ( $\mathrm{f}_{1}$ ) holds. Moreover, assume that
( $\mathrm{f}_{2}$ ) there exist $\alpha \in[0,+\infty[$ and $1<\gamma<p$ such that

$$
F(x, t) \leq \alpha\left(1+|t|^{\gamma}\right),
$$

for each $(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$;
$\left(\mathrm{f}_{3}\right) F(x, t) \geq 0$ for each $(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{+} ;$
$\left(\mathrm{f}_{4}\right)$ there exist $r>0$ and $\delta>0$ with $r<\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{2 \delta}{D}\right)^{p} m\left(D^{N}-\left(\frac{D}{2}\right)^{N}\right)$ such that

$$
\bar{\omega}_{r}:=\frac{1}{r}\left(a_{1} c_{1}(p r)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\frac{a_{2}}{q}\left(c_{q}\right)^{q}(p r)^{\frac{q}{p}}\right)<\frac{p \inf _{x \in \Omega} F(x, \delta)}{\left(\frac{H+1}{H}\right)\left(\frac{2 \delta}{D}\right)^{p}\left(2^{N}-1\right)}
$$

where $c_{1}$ and $c_{q}$ are the best constants in (3).
Then, for each $\left.\lambda \in \Lambda_{r, \delta}=\right] \frac{\left(\frac{H+1}{H}\right)\left(\frac{2 \delta}{D}\right)^{p}\left(2^{N}-1\right)}{p \text { inf }_{x \in \Omega} F(x, \delta)}, \frac{1}{\bar{\omega}_{r}}[$, the problem (1) admits at least three weak solutions.

Proof. Our aim is to apply Theorem 2.2 to problem (1). To this end let $X:=W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ with the norm

$$
\|u\|:=\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(x)|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}
$$

and the functionals $\Phi, \Psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$
\Phi(u):=\frac{1}{p}\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(x)|^{p} d x+\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x)|^{p}}{|x|^{p}} d x\right),
$$

and

$$
\Psi(u):=\int_{\Omega} F(x, u(x)) d x,
$$

for all $u \in X$.
As seen before, the functionals $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ satisfy the regularity assumptions requested in Theorem 2.2. Now, let $\bar{v} \in X$ be defined by

$$
\bar{v}(x)= \begin{cases}0 & \mathrm{x} \in \Omega \backslash B\left(x_{0}, D\right) \\ \frac{2 \delta}{D}\left(D-\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right) & \mathrm{x} \in B\left(x_{0}, D\right) \backslash B\left(x_{0}, \frac{D}{2}\right), \\ \delta & \mathrm{x} \in B\left(x_{0}, \frac{D}{2}\right)\end{cases}
$$

where $|$.$| denotes the Euclidean norm on \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$. We have

$$
\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{2 \delta}{D}\right)^{p} m\left(D^{N}-\left(\frac{D}{2}\right)^{N}\right) \leq \Phi(\bar{v})
$$

$$
\leq\left(\frac{H+1}{p H}\right)\left(\frac{2 \delta}{D}\right)^{p} m\left(D^{N}-\left(\frac{D}{2}\right)^{N}\right)
$$

where $m:=\frac{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\frac{N}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2}\right)}$ is the measure of unit ball of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $\Gamma$ is the Gamma function. Thanks to $\left(f_{3}\right)$,

$$
\Psi(\bar{v}) \geq \int_{B\left(x_{0}, \frac{D}{2}\right)} F(x, \bar{v}(x)) d x \geq \inf _{x \in \Omega} F(x, \delta) m\left(\frac{D}{2}\right)^{N}
$$

and so

$$
\frac{\Psi(\bar{v})}{\Phi(\bar{v})} \geq \frac{p \inf _{x \in \Omega} F(x, \delta)}{\left(\frac{H+1}{H}\right)\left(\frac{2 \delta}{D}\right)^{p}\left(2^{N}-1\right)}
$$

From $r<\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{2 \delta}{D}\right)^{p} m\left(D^{N}-\left(\frac{D}{2}\right)^{N}\right)$, one has $r<\Phi(\bar{v})$. Bearing in mind define the functional $\Phi$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\left.\Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r\right]\right) & =\{u \in X ; \Phi(u) \leq r\} \\
& \subseteq\left\{u \in X ; \frac{\|u\|^{p}}{p} \leq r\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

So, the compact embedding $X \hookrightarrow L^{q}(\Omega)$ and $\left(f_{1}\right)$ imply that, for each $\left.\left.u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r\right]\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Psi(u) \leq a_{1} \int_{\Omega}|u(x)| d x+\frac{a_{2}}{q} \int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{q} d x \leq a_{1} c_{1}\|u\|+\frac{a_{2}}{q}\left(c_{q}\|u\|\right)^{q} \\
\leq a_{1} c_{1}(p r)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\frac{a_{2}}{q}\left(c_{q}\right)^{q}(p r)^{\frac{q}{p}}
\end{gathered}
$$

and so

$$
\frac{1}{r} \sup _{\Phi(u) \leq r} \Psi(u) \leq \frac{1}{r}\left(a_{1} c_{1}(p r)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\frac{a_{2}}{q}\left(c_{q}\right)^{q}(p r)^{\frac{q}{p}}\right)
$$

and so condition $\left(a_{1}\right)$ of Theorem 2.2 is verified. Now, let us introduce the integral functional related to problem (1)

$$
I_{\lambda}(.):=\Phi(.)-\lambda \Psi(.)
$$

and we prove that, for each $\lambda>0, I_{\lambda}$ is coercive. By arguments similar to those used before, we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{\gamma} d x \leq\left(c_{\gamma}\|u\|\right)^{\gamma}
$$

and so, for each $u \in X$ with $\|u\| \geq \max \left\{1, \frac{1}{c_{\gamma}}\right\}$, from $\left(f_{2}\right)$ one has

$$
\Psi(u)=\int_{\Omega} F(x, u(x)) d x \leq \int_{\Omega} \alpha\left(1+|u(x)|^{\gamma}\right) d x \leq \alpha\left(|\Omega|+\left(c_{\gamma}\|u\|\right)^{\gamma}\right) .
$$

This leads to

$$
I_{\lambda}(u) \geq \frac{1}{p}\|u\|^{p}-\lambda \alpha\left(|\Omega|+\left(c_{\gamma}\|u\|\right)^{\gamma}\right)
$$

and, since $\gamma<p$, coercivity of $I_{\lambda}$ is obtained. Taking into account that

$$
\left.\Lambda_{r, \delta} \subseteq\right] \frac{\Phi(\bar{v})}{\Psi(\bar{v})}, \frac{r}{\sup _{\Phi(u) \leq r} \Psi(u)}[,
$$

Theorem 2.2 ensures that, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_{r, \delta}$, the functional $I_{\lambda}$ admits at least three critical points in $X$ that are weak solutions of the problem (1).

Remark 3.2. In Theorem 3.1, if we consider $f(x, 0) \neq 0$, then we obtain the existence of at least three non-zero weak solutions.

Remark 3.3. According to the Sobolev embedding theorem there is a positive constant $c$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{p^{*}}(\Omega)} \leq c\|u\|, \quad(\forall u \in X) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The best approximation for constant $c$ in (4) is

$$
c:=\frac{1}{N \sqrt{\pi}}\left(\frac{N!\Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2}\right)}{2 \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{p}\right) \Gamma\left(N+1-\frac{N}{p}\right)}\right)^{1 / N} \eta^{1-\frac{1}{p}},
$$

where

$$
\eta:=\frac{N(p-1)}{N-p},
$$

(see, for instance, [13]). The consequences of using the Hölder's inequality, in (3), is as follows

$$
c_{q} \leq \frac{\operatorname{meas}(\Omega)^{\frac{p^{*}-q}{p^{*} q}}}{N \sqrt{\pi}}\left(\frac{N!\Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2}\right)}{2 \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{p}\right) \Gamma(N+1-N / p)}\right)^{1 / N} \eta^{1-1 / p}
$$

where meas $(\Omega)$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of the set $\Omega$.
Another the main result of this section is as follows.
Theorem 3.4. Let $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Carathéodory function with assumption $\left(f_{1}\right)$ that satisfies the condition $f(x, t) \geq 0$ for every $(x, t) \in$ $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, assume that there exist three positive constants $r_{1}, r_{2}$ and $\delta$ with
$r_{1}<\frac{1}{2 p}\left(\frac{2 \delta}{D}\right)^{p} m\left(D^{N}-\left(\frac{D}{2}\right)^{N}\right)$ and $2\left(\frac{H+1}{p H}\right)\left(\frac{2 \delta}{D}\right)^{p} m\left(D^{N}-\left(\frac{D}{2}\right)^{N}\right)<$ $r_{2}$. Furthermore, suppose that
$\left(B_{1}\right) \bar{\omega}_{r_{1}}:=\frac{1}{r_{1}}\left(a_{1} c_{1}\left(p r_{1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\frac{a_{2}}{q}\left(c_{q}\right)^{q}\left(p r_{1}\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}\right)<\frac{2}{3} \frac{p \inf _{x \in \Omega} F(x, \delta)}{\left.\left.\left(\frac{H+1}{H}\right)\left(\frac{2 \delta}{D}\right)\right)^{p}\left(2^{N}-1\right)\right)} ;$
$\left(B_{2}\right) \bar{\omega}_{r_{2}}:=\frac{1}{r_{2}}\left(a_{1} c_{1}\left(p r_{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\frac{a_{2}}{q}\left(c_{q}\right)^{q}\left(p r_{2}\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}\right)<\frac{1}{3} \frac{p \text { inf }_{x \in \Omega} F(x, \delta)}{\left.\left.\left(\frac{H+1}{H}\right)\left(\frac{2 \delta}{D}\right)\right)^{p}\left(2^{N}-1\right)\right)}$.
Then, for each $\lambda \in] \frac{3}{2} \frac{\left(\frac{H+1}{H}\right)\left(\frac{2 \delta}{D}\right)^{p}\left(2^{N}-1\right)}{p \inf _{x \in \Omega} F(x, \delta)}, \min \left\{\frac{1}{\bar{\omega}_{r_{1}}}, \frac{1}{2 \bar{\omega}_{r_{2}}}\right\}[$, the problem (1) admits at least three weak solutions $u_{i}$ for $i=1,2,3$, such that $\left\|u_{i}\right\|<\left(p r_{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$.

Proof. Take $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Our aim is to verify $\left(b_{1}\right)$ and $\left(b_{2}\right)$ in Theorem 2.3. To this end, choose $\bar{v}$ as given in Theorem 3.1. Using

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{2 \delta}{D}\right)^{p} m\left(D^{N}-\left(\frac{D}{2}\right)^{N}\right) \leq \Phi(\bar{v}) \leq \\
\left(\frac{H+1}{p H}\right)\left(\frac{2 \delta}{D}\right)^{p} m\left(D^{N}-\left(\frac{D}{2}\right)^{N}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and theorem data it is clear that we have $2 r_{1}<\Phi(\bar{v})<\frac{r_{2}}{2}$. Now we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\left.u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r_{1} \mid\right)} \Psi(u) \\
& r_{1}=\frac{\sup _{\left.u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r_{1}\right]} \int_{\Omega} F(x, u(x)) d x}{r_{1}} \\
& \leq \bar{\omega}_{r_{1}}<\frac{1}{\lambda}<\frac{2}{3} \frac{\Psi(\bar{v})}{\Phi(\bar{v})}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2 \sup _{u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r_{2}[)} \Psi(u)}{r_{2}} & =\frac{2 \sup _{u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r_{2}[)} \int_{\Omega} F(x, u(x)) d x}{r_{2}} \\
& \leq 2 \bar{\omega}_{r_{2}}<\frac{1}{\lambda}<\frac{2}{3} \frac{\Psi(\bar{v})}{\Phi(\bar{v})} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\left(b_{1}\right)$ and $\left(b_{2}\right)$ of Theorem 2.3 are established. Finally, we verify that $\Phi-\lambda \Psi$ satisfies the assumption 2. of Theorem 2.3. Let $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ be two local minima for $\Phi-\lambda \Psi$. Then $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are critical points for $\Phi-\lambda \Psi$, and so, they are weak solutions for the problem (1). We will show that they are nonnegative.

Let $\bar{u}$ be a weak solution of problem (1). Using the argument of contradiction, assume that the set $T=\{x \in \Omega: \bar{u}(x)<0\}$ is non-empty and of positive measure. Put $u^{*}(x)=\min \{0, \bar{u}(x)\}$ for all $x \in \Omega$. It is clear that, $u^{*} \in X$ and hence we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla \bar{u}(x)|^{p-2} \nabla \bar{u}(x) \nabla u^{*}(x) d x+\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\bar{u}(x)|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p}} \bar{u}(x) u^{*}(x) d x \\
-\lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x, \bar{u}(x)) u^{*}(x) d x=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, from our sign assumptions on the data, we have

$$
0 \leq \int_{T}|\nabla \bar{u}(x)|^{p} d x+\int_{T} \frac{|\bar{u}(x)|^{p}}{|x|^{p}} d x \leq 0 .
$$

Hence, $\bar{u}=0$ in $T$ and this is antithesis. Hence, $u_{1}(x) \geq 0$ and $u_{2}(x) \geq 0$ for every $x \in \Omega$. Thus, it follows that $s u_{1}+(1-s) u_{2} \geq 0$ for all $s \in[0,1]$, and that

$$
f\left(x, s u_{1}+(1-s) u_{2}\right) \geq 0,
$$

and consequently, $\Psi\left(s u_{1}+(1-s) u_{2}\right) \geq 0$, for every $s \in[0,1]$.
By using Theorem 2.3, for every

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda \in] \frac{3}{2} \frac{\left(\frac{H+1}{H}\right)\left(\frac{2 \delta}{D}\right)^{p}\left(2^{N}-1\right)}{p \inf _{x \in \Omega} F(x, \delta)}, \min \left\{\frac{1}{\bar{\omega}_{r_{1}}}, \frac{1}{2 \bar{\omega}_{r_{2}}}\right\}[\subseteq \\
] \frac{3}{2} \frac{\Phi(w)}{\Psi(w)}, \min \left\{\frac{r_{1}}{\sup _{u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r_{1}[)} \Psi(u)}, \frac{r_{2} / 2}{\sup _{u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r_{2}[)} \Psi(u)}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

the functional $\Phi-\lambda \Psi$ has at least three distinct critical points which are the weak solutions of the problem (1) and the proof is complete. To illustrate the Theorem 3.2, we provide an example.
Example 3.5. Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative continuous function with $F(t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(\xi) d \xi$ and $f(t) \leq t^{2}$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Also suppose that there exist positive constants $r_{1}, r_{2}$ and $\delta$ such that the following inequalities hold.
$\left(i_{1}\right) r_{1}<\min \left\{\delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \pi, \frac{4}{3\left(c_{3}\right)^{3}}\right\}, 4\left(\frac{H+1}{H}\right) \delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \pi<r_{2}<\frac{2}{3\left(c_{3}\right)^{3}}$
$\left(i_{2}\right) 3\left(\frac{H+1}{H}\right) \delta^{\frac{3}{2}}<\int_{0}^{\delta} f(\xi) d \xi$
where $c_{3}$ is best constant in (3).In this case problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta_{\frac{3}{2}} u+\frac{|u|^{\frac{-1}{2}} u}{|x|^{\frac{3}{2}}}=f(u), & \text { in } \Omega \\ u=0, & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ containing the origin and containing the ball with radious 2 not containing origin and with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$, has at least three weak solutions. To this end according to conditions $f(t) \leq|t|^{2}$ and $\left(f_{1}\right)$ we can consider $a_{1}=0, a_{2}=1$ and $q=3$. also consider $p=\frac{3}{2}$ and $D=2$. On the other hand, from $N=2$ we have $m=\pi$. Hence according to Theorem 3.2, it is enough to show that

$$
\lambda=1 \in] \frac{3}{2} \frac{\left(\frac{H+1}{H}\right)\left(\frac{2 \delta}{D}\right)^{p}\left(2^{N}-1\right)}{p \inf _{x \in \Omega} F(x, \delta)}, \min \left\{\frac{1}{\bar{\omega}_{r_{1}}}, \frac{1}{2 \bar{\omega}_{r_{2}}}\right\}[
$$

and this, according to the inequalities $\left(i_{1}\right)$ and $\left(i_{2}\right)$ can be easily researched.
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