

Journal of Mathematical Extension  
Vol. 16, No. 5, (2022) (8)1-13  
URL: <https://doi.org/10.30495/JME.2022.1513>  
ISSN: 1735-8299  
Original Research Paper

## Some Fixed Point Results for $\mathcal{F} - G$ -Contraction in $\mathcal{F}$ -Metric Spaces Endowed with a Graph

H. Faraji\*

Saveh Branch, Islamic Azad University

S. Radenović

University of Belgrade

**Abstract.** In this paper, we introduce the concept of  $\mathcal{F} - G$ -contraction mappings in  $\mathcal{F}$ -metric spaces endowed with a graph and give some fixed point results for such contractions. Our results are generalization of some famous theorem in metric spaces to  $\mathcal{F}$ -metric spaces endowed with a graph. Also, we give some examples that support obtained theoretical results.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 47H10; 54H25; 55M20

**Keywords and Phrases:** Fixed point;  $\mathcal{F}$ -Metric spaces;  $\mathcal{F} - G$ -contraction.

### 1 Introduction

Fixed point theory is one of the traditional theory in functional and nonlinear analysis. Fixed point theory has developed rapidly in various extensions of metric spaces (see e.g. [4, 6, 9, 11, 14, 15, 20, 21, 22, 25])

---

Received: January 2020; Accepted: September 2020.

\*Corresponding Author

and references therein). Jleli and Samet [24] introduced the concept of a  $\mathcal{F}$ -metric spaces as follows (see e.g. [18, 26] and references therein).

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of functions  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

- ( $\mathcal{F}_1$ )  $f$  is non-decreasing, i.e.,  $0 < s < t$  implies  $f(s) \leq f(t)$ .  
 ( $\mathcal{F}_2$ ) For every sequence  $\{t_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 0 \text{ if and only if } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(t_n) = -\infty.$$

**Definition 1.1.** [24] Let  $X$  be a (nonempty) set. A function  $D : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a  $\mathcal{F}$ -metric on  $X$  iff, there exists  $(f, \alpha) \in \mathcal{F} \times [0, \infty)$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$  the following conditions are satisfied:

- ( $D_1$ )  $D(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ .  
 ( $D_2$ )  $D(x, y) = D(y, x)$ .  
 ( $D_3$ ) For every  $N \in \mathbb{N}, N \geq 2$  and for every  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^N \subset X$  with  $(u_1, u_N) = (x, y)$ , we have

$$D(x, y) > 0 \text{ implies } f(D(x, y)) \leq f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} D(u_i, u_{i+1})\right) + \alpha.$$

The pair  $(X, D)$  is called a  $\mathcal{F}$ -metric space.

**Example 1.2.** [24] Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and  $D : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be defined as follows:

$$D(x, y) = \begin{cases} (x - y)^2 & (x, y) \in [0, 3] \times [0, 3], \\ |x - y| & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and let  $f(t) = \ln(t)$  for all  $t > 0$  and  $\alpha = \ln(3)$ . Then,  $D$  is a  $\mathcal{F}$ -metric on  $X$ . Since  $D(0, 3) = 9 \geq D(0, 1) + D(1, 3) = 5$ , then  $D$  is not a metric on  $X$ .

**Example 1.3.** [24] Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and  $D : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be defined as follows:

$$D(x, y) = \begin{cases} e^{|x-y|} & x \neq y, \\ 0 & x = y. \end{cases}$$

Then,  $D$  is a  $\mathcal{F}$ -metric on  $X$ . Since  $D(2, 4) = e^2 \geq D(2, 3) + D(3, 4) = 2e$ , so  $D$  is not a metric on  $X$ .

**Definition 1.4.** [24] Let  $(X, D)$  be an  $\mathcal{F}$ -metric space and  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$ .

- 1) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is called  $\mathcal{F}$ -convergent to  $x \in X$ , iff  $D(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .
- 2) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -Cauchy, iff  $D(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ .
- 3) A  $\mathcal{F}$ -metric space  $(X, D)$  is said to be  $\mathcal{F}$ -complete, if every  $\mathcal{F}$ -Cauchy sequence in  $X$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -convergent to some element in  $X$ .

**Theorem 1.5.** [24] Let  $(X, D)$  be an  $\mathcal{F}$ -complete  $\mathcal{F}$ -metric space and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a self-mapping satisfying

$$D(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda D(x, y), \tag{1}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  where  $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ . Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

Espinola and Kirk in 2006 published some useful results on combining fixed point theory and graph theory [12]. In 2008, Jachymski [23] proved the contraction Principal for mappings on a metric space with a graph. For some recent works in metric spaces endowed with graph the reader is referred to (see e.g. [1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 10, 13, 16, 17, 19, 28])

Let  $G = (V(G), E(G))$  be a directed graph such that  $V(G)$  is the set of vertices and  $E(G)$  is edges of  $G$ . Also  $\Delta \subset E(G)$  where  $\Delta = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$  and assume that  $G$  has no parallel edges. We denote the conversion of a graph  $G$  by  $G^{-1}$ , i.e., the graph obtained from  $G$  by reversing the direction of edges. Let  $\tilde{G}$  be the undirected graph obtained from  $G$  by ignoring the direction of edges, so we have  $E(\tilde{G}) = E(G) \cup E(G^{-1})$ . Let  $x$  and  $y$  are vertices in a graph  $G$ . A path in  $G$  from  $x$  to  $y$  of length  $m$  is a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^m$  of  $m + 1$  vertices such that  $x_0 = x, x_m = y$  and  $(x_{i-1}, x_i) \in E(G)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . A graph  $G$  is called connected if there is a path between any two vertices of  $G$  and graph  $G$  is weakly connected if  $\tilde{G}$  is connected. For  $x \in X$  we set  $[x]_{\tilde{G}}$  which is the equivalence class of the following relation  $R$  defined on  $V(G)$  by the rule:  $xRy$  if there is a path in  $G$  from  $x$  to  $y$ . Also, for  $x \in G$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , define

$$[x]_G^m = \{y \in X : \text{there is a directed path from } x \text{ to } y \text{ of length } m\}.$$

**Definition 1.6.** [27] Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a self-mapping. Then

- i)  $T$  is called a Picard operator (briefly PO), if  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $x^* \in X$  and  $T^n x \rightarrow x^*$  for each  $x \in X$ .
- ii)  $T$  is called a weakly Picard operator (briefly WPO) if the sequence  $\{T^n x\}$  converges to a fixed point of  $T$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 1.7.** [23] Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space endowed with a graph  $G$ . A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is called orbitally  $G$ -continuous on  $X$  if for all  $x, y \in X$  and all  $\{p_n\}$  of positive integers with  $(T^{p_n} x, T^{p_n+1} x) \in E(G)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , the convergence  $T^{p_n} x \rightarrow y$  implies  $T(T^{p_n} x) \rightarrow Ty$ .

Let  $T$  be a self mapping on  $X$ . We denote

$$X_T = \{x \in X | (x, Tx) \in E(G)\},$$

$$Fix(T) = \{x \in X | Tx = x\}.$$

## 2 Main Results

Now, we introduce one new type of contractive mappings in the context of  $\mathcal{F}$ -metric spaces endowed with a graph and prove the corresponding new result. We also prove and extend some the results of Jachymski [23] and Falahi et al. [13] to the context of  $\mathcal{F}$ -metric spaces. Throughout this section we assume that  $(X, D)$  is a  $\mathcal{F}$ -metric space endowed with directed graph  $G$ , which  $V(G) = X$  and  $\Delta \subset E(G)$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(X, D)$  be an  $\mathcal{F}$ -metric space and  $T$  be a self-mapping on  $X$ . We say that  $T$  is an  $\mathcal{F} - G$ -contraction if for every  $x, y \in X$ , we have

$$(x, y) \in E(G) \text{ implies } (Tx, Ty) \in E(G);$$

$$(x, y) \in E(G) \text{ implies } D(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda D(x, y);$$

where  $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ .

**Example 2.2.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  be an  $\mathcal{F}$ -metric space and  $G = (X, \Delta)$ . Then any self-mapping  $T$  on  $X$  is an  $\mathcal{F} - G$ -contraction.

**Example 2.3.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  be an  $\mathcal{F}$ -metric space. Then for any graph  $G = (X, E(G))$ , constant mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is a  $\mathcal{F} - G$ -contraction.

**Example 2.4.** Consider the  $\mathcal{F}$ -metric space given in Example 1.2. Define

$$Tx = \begin{cases} 3x & x > 2 \\ \frac{x}{2} & 0 \leq x \leq 2 \\ 0 & x < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then, for any  $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ , we have

$$D(T2, T3) = D\left(\frac{2}{3}, 9\right) = \left|\frac{2}{3} - 9\right| = \frac{25}{3} > \lambda = \lambda D(2, 3).$$

Then,  $T$  does not satisfy (1). Define  $G = (V(G), E(G))$ , where  $V(G) = \mathbb{R}$  and  $E(G) = \{(x, x) | x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Therefore,  $T$  is an  $\mathcal{F} - G$ -contraction mapping for any  $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ .

**Example 2.5.** Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$  be endowed with the  $\mathcal{F}$ -metric given in Example 1.3. Define  $T0 = T2 = 0, T1 = 2$ . Then, for any  $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ , we have

$$D(T1, T2) = e^{|T1 - T2|} = e^2 > \lambda e = \lambda D(1, 2).$$

Consequently,  $T$  does not satisfy (1). Define  $G = (V(G), E(G))$ , where  $V(G) = X$  and  $E(G) = \{(0, 0), (1, 1), (0, 2), (2, 2)\}$ . Then  $T$  is an  $\mathcal{F} - G$ -contraction mapping for any  $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** Let  $(X, D)$  be an  $\mathcal{F}$ -metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a  $\mathcal{F} - G$ -contraction. Then:

- (i)  $T$  is a  $\mathcal{F} - \tilde{G}$ -contraction and also a  $\mathcal{F} - G^{-1}$ -contraction.
- (ii)  $[x_0]_{\tilde{G}}$  is  $T$ -invariant and  $T|_{[x_0]_{\tilde{G}}}$  is a  $\mathcal{F} - \tilde{G}_{x_0}$ -contraction, where  $x_0 \in X$  and  $T(x_0) \in [x_0]_{\tilde{G}}$ .

**Proof.** (i) Since  $\mathcal{F}$ -metric is symmetric, then  $T$  is a  $\mathcal{F} - \tilde{G}$ -contraction and also a  $\mathcal{F} - G^{-1}$ -contraction.

(ii) Let  $x \in [x_0]_{\tilde{G}}$ . So there exists a path  $\{z_i\}_{i=0}^N$  in  $\tilde{G}$  from  $x$  to  $x_0$  which  $x = z_0$  and  $x_0 = z_N$  and  $(z_{i-1}, z_i) \in E(\tilde{G})$ . Since  $T$  is a

$\mathcal{F} - G$ -contraction, for all  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , we have  $(Tz_{i-1}, Tz_i) \in E(G)$ . Then  $Tx \in [Tx_0]_{\tilde{G}} = [x_0]_{\tilde{G}}$ , that is,  $[x_0]_{\tilde{G}}$  is  $T$ -invariant. Now, assume  $(x, y) \in E(\tilde{G}_{x_0})$ . Since  $T$  is a  $\mathcal{F} - G$ -contraction,  $(Tx, Ty) \in E(G)$ . Also,  $[x_0]_{\tilde{G}}$  is  $T$ -invariant, then  $(Tx, Ty) \in E(\tilde{G}_{x_0})$ . Since  $\tilde{G}_{x_0}$  is a subgraph of  $G$ , we obtain  $T|_{[x_0]_{\tilde{G}}}$  is a  $\mathcal{F} - \tilde{G}_{x_0}$ -contraction.  $\square$

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  be a  $\mathcal{F}$ -metric space. We say that sequences  $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$  are equivalent if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D(x_n, y_n) = 0$ , and they are called  $\mathcal{F}$ -Cauchy equivalent, if each of them is a  $\mathcal{F}$ -Cauchy sequence.

The following result extend the main one from [23].

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $(X, D)$  be an  $\mathcal{F}$ -metric space. The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $G$  is weakly connected.
- (ii) For any  $\mathcal{F} - G$ -contraction  $T : X \rightarrow X$ , given  $x, y \in X$ , the sequences  $\{T^n x\}$  and  $\{T^n y\}$  are equivalent.
- iii) For any  $\mathcal{F} - G$ -contraction  $T : X \rightarrow X$ ,  $\text{card}(\text{Fix}(T)) \leq 1$ .

**Proof.** First we prove that (i) implies (ii). Let  $x, y \in X$  and by hypothesis,  $[x]_{\tilde{G}} = X$ , then  $y \in [x]_{\tilde{G}}$ . So there exists a path  $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^N$  in  $\tilde{G}$  from  $x$  to  $y$  which  $x_0 = x$  and  $x_N = y$  and  $(x_{i-1}, x_i) \in E(\tilde{G})$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Using Proposition 2.6,  $T$  is an  $\mathcal{F} - \tilde{G}$ -contraction. Then, we have

$$(T^n x_{i-1}, T^n x_i) \in E(\tilde{G}),$$

consequently

$$D(T^n x_{i-1}, T^n x_i) \leq \lambda D(T^{n-1} x_{i-1}, T^{n-1} x_i),$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . Then, we get

$$D(T^n x_{i-1}, T^n x_i) \leq \lambda^n D(x_{i-1}, x_i), \quad (2)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . Now, let  $(f, \alpha) \in \mathcal{F} \times [0, +\infty)$  be such that  $(D_3)$  is satisfied and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be fixed. From  $(\mathcal{F}_2)$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$0 < t < \delta \text{ implies } f(t) < f(\varepsilon) - \alpha. \quad (3)$$

Using (2), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^N D(T^n x_{i-1}, T^n x_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda^n D(x_{i-1}, x_i) = \lambda^n \sum_{i=1}^N D(x_{i-1}, x_i).$$

Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^n \sum_{i=1}^N D(x_{i-1}, x_i) = 0$ , there exists some  $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$0 < \lambda^n \sum_{i=1}^N D(x_{i-1}, x_i) < \delta, \quad n \geq N_0.$$

Using (3) and  $(\mathcal{F}_1)$ , we obtain

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^N D(T^n x_{i-1}, T^n x_i)\right) \leq f\left(\lambda^n \sum_{i=1}^N D(x_{i-1}, x_i)\right) < f(\varepsilon) - \alpha, \quad (4)$$

for all  $n \geq N_0$ . Using  $(D_3)$  and (4), we have

$$f(D(T^n x, T^n y)) \leq f\left(\sum_{i=1}^N D(T^n x_{i-1}, T^n x_i)\right) + \alpha \leq f(\varepsilon) - \alpha + \alpha < f(\varepsilon),$$

for all  $n \geq N_0$ . Then, we get

$$D(T^n x, T^n y) < \varepsilon, \quad n \geq N_0.$$

So  $D(T^n x, T^n y) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , that is, the sequences  $\{T^n x\}$  and  $\{T^n y\}$  are equivalent.

Now, we shall prove that (ii) implies (iii). Let  $T$  be a  $\mathcal{F} - G$ -contraction and  $x, y \in \text{Fix}(T)$ . From (ii),  $\{T^n x\}$  and  $\{T^n y\}$  are equivalent. Then, we have  $D(x, y) = D(T^n x, T^n y) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , that is,  $x = y$ .

Finally we prove that (iii) implies (i). On the contrary, we assume that  $G$  is not weakly connected, that is,  $\tilde{G}$  is disconnected. Suppose that there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that both sets  $[x_0]_{\tilde{G}}$  and  $X - [x_0]_{\tilde{G}}$  are nonempty. Suppose  $y_0 \in X - [x_0]_{\tilde{G}}$  and define

$$Tx = x_0 \text{ if } x \in [x_0]_{\tilde{G}} \quad ; \quad Tx = y_0 \text{ if } x \in X - [x_0]_{\tilde{G}}.$$

Consequently,  $\text{Fix}(T) = \{x_0, y_0\}$ . Now, we show that  $T$  is a  $\mathcal{F} - G$ -contraction. Suppose  $(x, y) \in E(G)$ , so  $[x]_{\tilde{G}} = [y]_{\tilde{G}}$ , that is,  $x, y \in$

$[x_0]_{\tilde{G}}$ , or  $x, y \in X - [x_0]_{\tilde{G}}$ . Then, we have  $Tx = Ty$ , so  $(Tx, Ty) \in E(G)$ . Since  $\Delta \subset E(G)$  and  $D(Tx, Ty) = 0 \leq \lambda D(x, y)$  for any  $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ , we get  $T$  is a  $\mathcal{F} - G$ -contraction having two fixed points which violates assumption (iii).  $\square$

**Corollary 2.9.** *Let  $(X, D)$  be an  $\mathcal{F}$ -complete  $\mathcal{F}$ -metric space endowed with a graph weakly connected  $G$ . Then, for any  $\mathcal{F} - G$ -contraction  $T : X \rightarrow X$ , there is  $x^* \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x = x^*$  for all  $x \in X$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a  $\mathcal{F} - G$ -contraction and fix any point  $x \in X$ . Let  $m > n \geq 0$  and  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $G$  is a weakly connected, from Theorem 2.8, the sequences  $\{T^n x\}$  and  $\{T^n T^{m-n} x\}$  are equivalent. Then  $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} D(T^n x, T^m x) = 0$ , that is,  $\{T^n(x)\}$  is a  $\mathcal{F}$ -Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . Hence, there exists  $x^* \in X$  such that  $T^n x \rightarrow x^*$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Suppose  $y \in X$ , then by Theorem 2.8, sequences  $\{T^n x\}$  and  $\{T^n y\}$  are equivalent. Using  $(D_3)$ , we have

$$f(D(T^n y, x^*)) \leq f(D(T^n x, T^n y) + D(T^n x, x^*)) + \alpha,$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $D(T^n x, T^n y) + D(T^n x, x^*) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(D(T^n x, T^n y) + D(T^n x, x^*)) + \alpha = -\infty$ . Then  $D(T^n y, x^*) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.10.** *Let  $(X, D)$  be an  $\mathcal{F}$ -complete  $\mathcal{F}$ -metric space endowed with a graph  $G$  and  $T$  be a self-mapping on  $X$  such that  $T$  is a  $\mathcal{F} - G$ -contraction mapping. Then  $T|_{X_T}$  is a weakly Picard operator if one of the following conditions hold:*

- i)  $T$  is orbitally  $G$ -continuous on  $X$ .
- ii) If  $x_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $(x_{n_k}, x) \in E(G)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Moreover, if (i) or (ii) holds, then  $X_T \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $Fix(T) \neq \emptyset$ .

**Proof.** If  $X_T = \emptyset$ , then it is clear that there is nothing to prove. Let  $x \in X_T$ , then  $(x, Tx) \in E(G)$  and since  $T$  is an  $\mathcal{F} - G$ -contraction mapping, it following  $(Tx, T^2 x) \in E(G)$ , that is,  $Tx \in X_T$ . Thus,  $T$

maps  $X_T$  into  $X_T$ . Then, it follows by induction that  $(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) \in E(G)$  and

$$D(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) \leq \alpha^n D(x, Tx), \quad (5)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $(f, \alpha) \in \mathcal{F} \times [0, +\infty)$  be such that  $(D_3)$  is satisfied and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be fixed. Using  $(\mathcal{F}_2)$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$0 < t < \delta \text{ implies } f(t) < f(\varepsilon) - \alpha. \quad (6)$$

From (5), we have

$$\sum_{i=n}^m D(T^i x, T^{i+1} x) \leq \sum_{i=n}^m \lambda^i D(x, Tx) \leq \frac{\lambda^n}{1-\lambda} D(x, Tx),$$

for all  $m \geq n \geq 0$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{1-\lambda} D(x, Tx) = 0$ , there exists some  $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$0 < \frac{\lambda^n}{1-\lambda} D(x, Tx) < \delta, \quad n \geq N_0.$$

Using (6) and  $(\mathcal{F}_1)$ , we have

$$f\left(\sum_{i=n}^m D(T^i x, T^{i+1} x)\right) \leq f\left(\frac{\lambda^n}{1-\lambda} D(x, Tx)\right) < f(\varepsilon) - \alpha. \quad (7)$$

Then, from  $(D_3)$  and (7), we get

$$f(D(T^m x, T^n x)) \leq f\left(\sum_{i=n}^m D(T^i x, T^{i+1} x)\right) + \alpha < f(\varepsilon).$$

Using  $(\mathcal{F}_1)$ , we obtain

$$D(T^m x, T^n x) < \varepsilon, \quad m > n \geq N_0.$$

This prove that  $\{T^n x\}$  is a  $\mathcal{F}$ –Cauchy sequence. Since  $(X, D)$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ –complete, there exists  $x^* \in X$ , such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x = x^*. \quad (8)$$

Now, we show that  $x^*$  is a fixed point of  $T$ . To this end, if  $T$  is orbitally  $G$ -continuous on  $X$ , then  $T^{n+1}x \rightarrow Tx^*$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Because the limit of convergent sequence in a  $\mathcal{F}$ -metric space is unique, we get,  $Tx^* = x^*$ . Now, we suppose that condition (ii) holds. Then there exists a strictly increasing sequence  $\{n_k\}$  of positive integer such that  $(T^{n_k}x, x^*) \in E(G)$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . Then, from  $(D_3)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(D(Tx^*, x^*)) &\leq f(D(Tx^*, T^{n_k+1}x) + D(T^{n_k+1}x, x^*)) + \alpha \\ &\leq f(\lambda D(x^*, T^{n_k}x) + D(T^{n_k+1}x, x^*)) + \alpha \end{aligned}$$

Using  $(\mathcal{F}_2)$  and (8), we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(\lambda D(x^*, T^{n_k}x) + D(T^{n_k+1}x, x^*)) + \alpha = -\infty,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, we have  $D(Tx^*, x^*) = 0$ , i.e.  $Tx^* = x^*$ . Since  $Fix(T) \subset X_T$ , we have  $x^* \in X_T$ , that is,  $T|_{X_T}$  is a weakly Picard operator.  $\square$

In Theorem 2.10, if  $G = G_0$ , where  $G_0 = (X, X \times X)$ , then  $X_T = X$  and we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.11.** *Let  $(X, D)$  be a  $\mathcal{F}$ -complete  $\mathcal{F}$ -metric space and  $T$  be a self-mapping on  $X$  which satisfy (1). Then  $T$  is a Picard operator.*

## References

- [1] M. Abbas, T. Nazir and H. Aydi, Fixed points of generalized graphic contraction mappings in partial metric spaces endowed with a graph, *J. Adv. Math. Stud.*, 6(2), (2013), 130-139.
- [2] M. Abbas, T. Nazir, B. Popović and S. Radenović, On weakly commuting set-valued mappings on a domain of sets endowed with directed graph, *Results Math.*, 71(3-4), (2017), 1277-1295.
- [3] M. Abbas, T. Nazir, T. Aleksić Lampert and S. Radenović, Common fixed points of set-valued  $F$ -contraction mappings on domain of sets endowed with directed graph, *Comput. Appl. Math.*, 36(4), (2017), 1607-1622.

- [4] S. P. Acharya, Some results on fixed points in uniform spaces, *Yokohama Math. J.*, 22, (1974), 105-116.
- [5] S. M. A. Aleomraninejad, Sh. Rezapour and N. Shahzad, Some fixed point results on a metric space with a graph, *Topology Appl.*, 159(3) (2012), 659-663.
- [6] M. A. Alghamdi, S. Gulyaz-Ozyurt and E. Karapınar, A note on extended Z-contraction, *Mathematics*, 8(2) (2020), p. 195.
- [7] M. U. Ali, Fahimuddin, T. Kamran and E. Karapınar, Fixed point theorems in uniform space endowed with graph, *Miskolc Mathematical Notes*, 18(1) (2017), pp. 57-69.
- [8] A. Azam, N. Mehmood, T. Došenović and S. Radenović, Coincidence point of L-fuzzy sets endowed with graph, *RACSAM*, (2018), 112:915-931.
- [9] I. C. Chifu and E. Karapınar, Admissible Hybrid Z-Contractions in b-Metric Spaces, *Axioms*, 9(1), (2020), 2.
- [10] C. Chifu, E. Karapınar and G. Petrusel, Qualitative properties of the solution of a system of operator inclusions in  $b$ -metric spaces endowed with a graph, *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.*, 44(5) (2018), 1267-1281.
- [11] L. B. Ćirić, *Some Recent Results In Metrical Fixed Point Theory*, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Belgrade, Belgrade (2003).
- [12] R. Espinola and W.A. Kirk, Fixed point theorems in R-trees with applications to graph theory, *Topology Appl.*, 153, (2006), 1046-1055.
- [13] K. Fallahi and A. Aghanians, Fixed points for Chatterjea contractions on a metric space with a graph, *Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl.*, 7(2), (2016), 49-58.
- [14] H. Faraji, K. Nourouzi and D. O'Regan, A fixed point theorem in uniform spaces generated by a family of  $b$ -pseudometrics, *Fixed Point Theory*, 20(1), (2019), 177-183.

- [15] H. Faraji, D. Savić and S. Radenović, Fixed point theorems for Geraghty contraction type mappings in b-metric spaces and applications, *Axioms*, 8(1), (2019), 34.
- [16] R. George, H. A. Nabwey, R. Ramaswamy and S. Radenović, Some generalized contraction classes and common fixed points in b-metric space endowed with a graph, *Mathematics*, 7(8), (2019), 754; doi:10.3390/math7080754.
- [17] G. Gwozdz-Lukawska and J. Jachymski, IFS on a metric space with a graph structure and extensions of the Kelisky-Rivlin theorem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 356(2), (2009), 453-463.
- [18] A. Hussain and T. Kanwal, Existence and uniqueness for a neutral differential problem with unbounded delay via fixed point results, *Trans. A. Razmadze Math. Inst.*, 172(3), (2018), 481-490.
- [19] T. Kamran, M. Samreen and N. Shahzad, Probabilistic  $G$ -contractions, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 2013(1), (2013), 223, 14.
- [20] E. Karapinar and C. Chifu, Results in wt-Distance over b-Metric Spaces, *Mathematics*, 8(2), (2020), 220.
- [21] E. Karapinar, A. Fulga and A. Petrusel, On Istratescu Type Contractions in b-Metric Spaces, *Mathematics*, 8(3), (2020), 388.
- [22] W. Kirk and N. Shahzad, *Fixed Point Theory In Distances Spaces*, Springer: Berlin, Germany, (2014).
- [23] J. Jachymski, The contraction principal for mappings on a metric space with a graph, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 36(4), (2008), 1359-1373.
- [24] M. Jleli and B. Samet, On a new generalization of metric spaces, *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 20(3), (2018), Art. 128, 20 pp.
- [25] S.G. Matthews, *Partial Metric Topology*, Research Report 212, Dept. of Computer Science, University of Warwick, (1992).

- [26] Z. D. Mitrović, H. Aydi, N. Hussain and A. Mukheimer, Reich, Jungck, and Berinde common fixed point results on  $\mathcal{F}$ -metric spaces and an application, *Mathematics*, (2019), 7, 387.
- [27] A. Petrusel and I.A. Rus, Fixed point theorems in ordered  $L$ -spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 134(2), (2006), 411-418.
- [28] M. D. L. Sen, N. Nikolić, T. Došenović, M. Pavlović and S. Radenović, Some results on  $(sq)$ -graphic contraction mappings in  $b$ -metric-like spaces, *Mathematics*, 7(12), (2019), 1190; doi:10.3390/math7121190.

**Hamid Faraji**

Assistant Professor of Mathematics.  
Department of Mathematics  
College of Technical and Engineering  
Saveh Branch, Islamic Azad University  
Saveh, Iran.  
E-mail: faraji@iau-saveh.ac.ir

**Stojan Radenović**

Professor of Mathematics.  
Faculty of Mechanical Engineering  
University of Belgrade  
Kraljice Marije 16  
11120 Beograd 35, Serbia.  
E-mail: radens@beotel.rs