

Journal of Mathematical Extension
Vol. 15, No. 2, (2021) (12)1-11
URL: <https://doi.org/10.30495/JME.2021.1403>
ISSN: 1735-8299
Original Research Paper

On (n, m) -Jordan Homomorphisms on Algebras

M. Neghabi

Islamic Azad University of South Tehran

A. Bodaghi*

Islamic Azad University of Garmsar

A. Zivari-Kazempour

Ayatollah Borujerdi University

Abstract. In this paper, it is shown that every (n, m) -Jordan homomorphism between two commutative algebras is an (n, m) -homomorphism. For the non-commutative case, it is proved that every surjective $(2, m)$ -Jordan homomorphism from an algebra \mathcal{A} into a semiprime commutative algebra \mathcal{B} is a $(2, m)$ -homomorphism.

AMS Subject Classification: Primary 47B48; Secondary 46L05, 46H25

Keywords and Phrases: n -homomorphism, n -Jordan homomorphism, (n, m) -Jordan homomorphism, semisimple.

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be complex algebras and $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a linear map. Then, φ is called an n -homomorphism if for all $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\varphi(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n) = \varphi(a_1) \varphi(a_2) \cdots \varphi(a_n).$$

Received: September 2019; Accepted: February 2020

*Corresponding Author

The concept of an n -homomorphism was introduced and studied for complex algebras in [5] and [7]. Moreover, φ is called an n -Jordan homomorphism if

$$\varphi(a^n) = \varphi(a)^n, \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

This notion was introduced by Herstein in [8]. A 2-homomorphism (resp. 2-Jordan homomorphism) is called simply a homomorphism (resp. Jordan homomorphism). Obviously, every n -homomorphism is an n -Jordan homomorphism, but the converse is not valid in general. It is shown in [9] that some Jordan homomorphism on the polynomial rings can not be homomorphism. For Jordan homomorphisms on Banach algebras, Zelazko in [13] presented the following result (see also [11] for an alternative proof).

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a Banach algebra, which need not be commutative, and suppose that \mathcal{B} is a semisimple commutative Banach algebra. Then, each Jordan homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a homomorphism.*

Next, the above result has been proved by the third author in [14] for 3-Jordan homomorphism with the extra condition that the Banach algebra \mathcal{A} is unital. Recently, the second author and İnceboz extended Theorem 1.1 for $n \in \{3, 4\}$ in [4] without the condition that \mathcal{A} is unital by considering an alternative condition on n -Jordan homomorphisms. For the general case, G. An [1] extended the mentioned results for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and showed that for a unital ring \mathcal{A} and a ring \mathcal{B} with $\text{char}(\mathcal{B}) > n$, every n -Jordan homomorphism from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{B} is an n -homomorphism provided that every Jordan homomorphism from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{B} is a homomorphism. For different proofs of the case that \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are commutative algebras, we refer to [3], [6] and [10]. We also refer to [12] for characterization of mixed n -Jordan homomorphisms and pseudo n -Jordan homomorphisms.

In this paper, we show that every (n, m) -Jordan homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ between two commutative algebras is an (n, m) -homomorphism. In addition, we prove that every unital $(n+1, m)$ -Jordan homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is an (n, m) -Jordan homomorphism. As a result, every unital $(2, m)$ -Jordan homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a $(2, m^{n-1})$ -Jordan homomorphism, for $n \geq 2$. Furthermore, for an algebra \mathcal{A} and a semiprime

commutative algebra \mathcal{B} we show that each surjective $(2, m)$ -Jordan homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ can be a $(2, m)$ -homomorphism.

2 (n, m) -Jordan homomorphisms

Let $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ be fixed, \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be complex algebras and $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a linear map. Then, φ is called an (n, m) -homomorphism if for all $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\varphi(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n) = m \varphi(a_1) \varphi(a_2) \cdots \varphi(a_n).$$

Moreover, the mapping φ is said to be an (n, m) -Jordan homomorphism if

$$\varphi(a^n) = m \varphi(a)^n, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

The concept of (n, m) -Jordan homomorphism was recently introduced by the third author in [15]. Clearly, $(n, 1)$ -homomorphism and $(n, 1)$ -Jordan homomorphism coincide with the classical definitions of n -homomorphism and n -Jordan homomorphism, respectively.

Note that every n -Jordan homomorphism is not necessarily (n, m) -Jordan homomorphism for $m \neq 1$ and vice versa in general. For example, define $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2}x$. Then, φ is not n -Jordan homomorphism, but for $m = 2^{(n-1)}$ it is (n, m) -Jordan homomorphism. We bring the next example which is presented in [15].

Example 2.1. Let

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & Y \end{bmatrix} : X, Y \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) \right\},$$

and consider the mapping $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ defined through

$$\varphi \left(\begin{bmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & Y \end{bmatrix} \right) = \frac{1}{k} \begin{bmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & Y^T \end{bmatrix},$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where Y^T is the transpose of matrix Y . For all $U \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\varphi(U^n) - k^{(n-1)} \varphi(U)^n = \frac{1}{k} \begin{bmatrix} X^n & 0 \\ 0 & (Y^n)^T \end{bmatrix} - k^{(n-1)} \frac{1}{k^n} \begin{bmatrix} X^n & 0 \\ 0 & (Y^T)^n \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

Thus, φ is (n, m) -Jordan homomorphism for $m = k^{(n-1)}$ but not (n, m) -homomorphism.

In the sequel, $\binom{n}{k}$ is the binomial coefficient defined for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq k$ by $n!/(k!(n-k)!)$.

To achieve some main results in this section, we need the following lemma which is proved in [3, Lemma 2.1].

Lemma 2.2. *If $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}$, then*

$$\det \left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_1^2 & \cdots & x_1^n \\ x_2 & x_2^2 & \cdots & x_2^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_n & x_n^2 & \cdots & x_n^n \end{bmatrix} \right) = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{k=1}^n x_k \prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j).$$

For $m = 1$, the next result is Theorem 2.7 of [16]. Here, we generalize it for all $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$.

Theorem 2.3. *Every unital $(n+1, m)$ -Jordan homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is an (n, m) -Jordan homomorphism.*

Proof. We firstly have

$$\varphi \left((a + le)^{n+1} \right) = m (\varphi(a + le))^{n+1} \quad (1)$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, where l is an integer with $1 \leq l \leq n$ and e is the identity of \mathcal{A} . It follows from equality (1) and assumption that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n l^{n+1-i} \binom{n+1}{i} [\varphi(a^i) - m\varphi(a)^i] = 0, \quad (1 \leq l \leq n), \quad (2)$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. We can represent the equalities in (2) as the matrix form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 2^n & 2^{n-1} & \cdots & 2 \\ 3^n & 3^{n-1} & \cdots & 3 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ n^n & n^{n-1} & \cdots & n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_1(a) \\ \Gamma_2(a) \\ \Gamma_3(a) \\ \vdots \\ \Gamma_n(a) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\Gamma_i(a) = \binom{n+1}{i} [\varphi(a^i) - m\varphi(a)^i]$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Since the above square matrix is invertible (Lemma 2.2), $\Gamma_i(a)$ should be zero for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. In particular, $\Gamma_n(a) = 0$. This means that φ is a (n, m) -Jordan homomorphism. \square

The proof technique of the following theorem is taken from [3, Theorem 2.2]. We include some parts for the sake of completeness.

Theorem 2.4. *Every (n, m) -Jordan homomorphism between two commutative algebras \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} is an (n, m) -homomorphism.*

Proof. Assume that $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is an (n, m) -Jordan homomorphism. By assumption, we have $\varphi((x + ky)^n) = m(\varphi(x + ky))^n$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$, where k is an integer with $2 \leq k \leq n$. Thus

$$\varphi \left(\sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{k} k^j x^{n-j} y^j \right) = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{k} k^j m \varphi(x)^{n-j} \varphi(y)^j$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. Similar to the proof of [3, Theorem 2.2], one can show that $F_j(x, y) = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ and all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$, where $F_j(x, y) = \binom{n}{j} [\varphi(x^{n-j} y^j) - m(\varphi(x))^{n-j} (\varphi(y))^j]$. In particular, $F_{n-1}(x, y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence,

$$\varphi(xy^{n-1}) = m\varphi(x)(\varphi(y))^{n-1}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, similar to the second part of mentioned proof, one can conclude that

$$\varphi(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_l x_{l+1}^{n-l}) = m\varphi(x_1)\varphi(x_2)\cdots\varphi(x_l)\varphi(x_{l+1}^{n-l})$$

for all $1 \leq l \leq n-1$ and all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l, x_{l+1} \in \mathcal{A}$. This means that φ is an (n, m) -homomorphism. \square

Proposition 2.5. *Every $(2, m)$ -Jordan homomorphism φ between algebras \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} is (n, m^{n-1}) -Jordan homomorphism, for $n \geq 2$.*

Proof. We argue by induction on n . In other words, we suppose that φ is (k, m^{k-1}) -Jordan homomorphism, for $k \geq 2$ and then prove that it is

$(k+1, m^k)$ -Jordan homomorphism. By assumption, we have $\varphi(a^2) = m\varphi(a)^2$, for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Replacing a by $a+b$, we get

$$\varphi(ab+ba) = m(\varphi(a)\varphi(b) + \varphi(b)\varphi(a)). \quad (3)$$

Interchanging b by a^2 in (3), gives

$$\varphi(a^3) = m^2\varphi(a)^3$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, and so φ is $(3, m^2)$ -Jordan homomorphism. Substituting b by a^k in (3), we find

$$2\varphi(a^{k+1}) = m(\varphi(a)\varphi(a^k) + \varphi(a^k)\varphi(a)), \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}). \quad (4)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\varphi(a^k) = m^{k-1}\varphi(a)^k \quad (5)$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. By (4) and (5), we obtain $\varphi(a^{k+1}) = m^k\varphi(a)^{k+1}$. \square

The next corollary is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.3 and Proposition 2.5 and so we include it without proof.

Corollary 2.6. *Every unital $(2, m)$ -Jordan homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a $(2, m^{n-1})$ -Jordan homomorphism, for $n \geq 2$.*

The upcoming lemma help us to show that each surjective $(2, m)$ -Jordan homomorphism is a $(2, m)$ -homomorphism under some mild conditions on algebras.

Lemma 2.7. *Suppose that $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a $(2, m)$ -Jordan homomorphism. Then*

$$(1) \quad \varphi(aba) = m^2\varphi(a)\varphi(b)\varphi(a);$$

$$(2) \quad \varphi(abc+cba) = m^2(\varphi(a)\varphi(b)\varphi(c) + \varphi(c)\varphi(b)\varphi(a)),$$

for all $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. Let φ be a $(2, m)$ -Jordan homomorphism. Then, $\varphi(a^2) = m\varphi(a)^2$, for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Replacing a by $a + b$, we get

$$\varphi(ab + ba) = m(\varphi(a)\varphi(b) + \varphi(b)\varphi(a)), \quad (6)$$

for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. Interchanging a by a^2 in (6), we have

$$\varphi(a^2b + ba^2) = m^2(\varphi(a)^2\varphi(b) + \varphi(b)\varphi(a)^2), \quad (7)$$

for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. Once more, by switching b into $ab + ba$ in (6), we obtain

$$\varphi(a(ab + ba) + (ab + ba)a) = m(\varphi(a)\varphi(ab + ba) + \varphi(ab + ba)\varphi(a)),$$

and hence by (6), we arrive at

$$\varphi(a^2b + 2aba + ba^2) = m^2(\varphi(a)^2\varphi(b) + \varphi(b)\varphi(a)^2) + 2m^2\varphi(a)\varphi(b)\varphi(a), \quad (8)$$

for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. Subtraction (7) from (8), gives

$$\varphi(aba) = m^2\varphi(a)\varphi(b)\varphi(a). \quad (9)$$

Therefore, part (1) is shown. Now, let $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$ be arbitrary. We have

$$abc + cba = (a + c)b(a + c) - aba - cbc,$$

and so by (9), we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi(abc + cba) \\ &= \varphi((a + c)b(a + c)) - \varphi(aba) - \varphi(cbc) \\ &= m^2(\varphi(a + c)\varphi(b)\varphi(a + c) - \varphi(a)\varphi(b)\varphi(a) - \varphi(c)\varphi(b)\varphi(c)) \\ &= m^2([\varphi(a)\varphi(b) + \varphi(c)\varphi(b)]\varphi(a + c) - \varphi(a)\varphi(b)\varphi(a) - \varphi(c)\varphi(b)\varphi(c)) \\ &= m^2(\varphi(a)\varphi(b)\varphi(a) + \varphi(a)\varphi(b)\varphi(c) + \varphi(c)\varphi(b)\varphi(a) + \varphi(c)\varphi(b)\varphi(c) \\ &\quad - \varphi(a)\varphi(b)\varphi(a) - \varphi(c)\varphi(b)\varphi(c)) \\ &= m^2(\varphi(a)\varphi(b)\varphi(c) + \varphi(c)\varphi(b)\varphi(a)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\varphi(abc + cba) = m^2(\varphi(a)\varphi(b)\varphi(c) + \varphi(c)\varphi(b)\varphi(a))$, for all $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$, which completes the proof. \square

For a commutative ring \mathcal{R} , a proper ideal \mathcal{I} is a *semiprime* ideal if \mathcal{I} satisfies either of the following equivalent conditions:

- If x^k is in \mathcal{I} for some positive integer k and element x of \mathcal{R} , then x is in \mathcal{I} .
- If y is in \mathcal{R} but not in \mathcal{I} , all positive integer powers of y are not in \mathcal{I} .

A ring \mathcal{R} is called *semiprime* if the zero ideal is a semiprime ideal. A left ideal \mathcal{I} of an algebra \mathcal{A} is a modular left ideal if there exists $u \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\mathcal{A}(e_{\mathcal{A}} - u) \subseteq \mathcal{I}$, where $\mathcal{A}(e_{\mathcal{A}} - u) = \{x - xu : x \in \mathcal{A}\}$. The Jacobson radical $\text{Rad}(\mathcal{A})$ of \mathcal{A} is the intersection of all maximal modular left ideals of \mathcal{A} . An algebra \mathcal{A} is called *semisimple* whenever its Jacobson radical $\text{Rad}(\mathcal{A})$ is trivial.

It is known that every semisimple algebra is semiprime [2], but the converse is not generally true. For a semiprime algebra \mathcal{B} , the next result characterizes $(2, m)$ -Jordan homomorphisms.

Theorem 2.8. *Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra, and \mathcal{B} be a semiprime commutative algebra. Then, each surjective $(2, m)$ -Jordan homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a $(2, m)$ -homomorphism.*

Proof. Suppose that $t = \varphi(ab) - m\varphi(a)\varphi(b)$. By assumption, for any $y \in \mathcal{B}$ there exists $x \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $y = \varphi(x)$. By Lemma 2.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} tyt &= \varphi(ab)\varphi(x)\varphi(ab) + m^2\varphi(a)\varphi(b)\varphi(x)\varphi(a)\varphi(b) \\ &\quad - 2m\varphi(ab)\varphi(x)\varphi(a)\varphi(b) \\ &= \frac{1}{m^2}\varphi(abxab) + \varphi(a)\varphi(bxb)\varphi(a) - 2m\varphi(ab)\varphi(x)\varphi(a)\varphi(b) \\ &= \frac{1}{m^2}\varphi(abxab) + \frac{1}{m^2}\varphi(abxba) - 2m\varphi(ab)\varphi(x)\varphi(a)\varphi(b). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Similarly,

$$tyt = \frac{1}{m^2}\varphi(abxab) + \frac{1}{m^2}\varphi(baxab) - 2m\varphi(ab)\varphi(x)\varphi(a)\varphi(b). \quad (11)$$

Plugging (10) into (11), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
2tyt &= tyt + tyt \\
&= \frac{2}{m^2}\varphi(abxab) + \frac{1}{m^2}\varphi(abxba) + \frac{1}{m^2}\varphi(baxab) \\
&\quad - m[(\varphi(a)\varphi(b) + \varphi(b)\varphi(a))\varphi(x)\varphi(ab) \\
&\quad + \varphi(ab)\varphi(x)(\varphi(a)\varphi(b) + \varphi(b)\varphi(a))] \\
&= \frac{2}{m^2}\varphi(abxab) + \frac{1}{m^2}\varphi(abxba) + \frac{1}{m^2}\varphi(baxab) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{m^2}\varphi[(ab + ba)x(ab) + (ab)x(ab + ba)] \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $tyt = 0$. Since \mathcal{B} is semiprime, we have $t = 0$ and so $\varphi(ab) = m\varphi(a)\varphi(b)$ which finishes the proof. \square

Corollary 2.9. *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital algebra, and \mathcal{B} be a semiprime commutative algebra. If $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a surjective $(3, m^2)$ -Jordan homomorphism, then φ is either $(2, m)$ -homomorphism or $(2, -m)$ -homomorphism.*

Proof. The result follows immediately from Theorem 2.8 and Lemma 2.4 of [15]. \square

As a consequence of the preceding corollary, we have the next result.

Corollary 2.10. *Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a unital algebra and \mathcal{B} is a semiprime commutative algebra. Then, each surjective $(3, m^2)$ -Jordan homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a $(3, m^2)$ -homomorphism.*

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the reviewers for careful reading of the paper and giving some useful comments.

References

- [1] G. An, Characterization of n -Jordan homomorphism, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 66 (4) (2018), 671–680.

- [2] F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Complete normed algebra*, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1973).
- [3] A. Bodaghi and H. İnceboz, n -Jordan homomorphisms on commutative algebras, *Acta. Math. Univ. Comenianae.*, 87 (1), (2018), 141–146 .
- [4] A. Bodaghi and H. İnceboz, Extension of Zelazko’s theorem to n -Jordan homomorphisms, *Adv. Pure Appl. Math.*, 10 (2019), no. 2, 165-170.
- [5] J. Bračić and M. S. Moslehian, On automatic continuity of 3-homomorphisms on Banach algebras, *Bull. Malaysian Math. Sci. Soc.*, 30 (2), (2007), 195–200.
- [6] E. Gselmann, On approximate n -Jordan homomorphisms, *Annales Math. Silesianae.*, 28 (2014), 47–58.
- [7] Sh. Hejazian, M. Mirzavaziri and M. S. Moslehian, n -homomorphisms, *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.*, 31 (1), (2005), 13–23.
- [8] I. N. Herstein, Jordan homomorphisms, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 81 (1), (1956), 331–341.
- [9] N. Jacobson and C. E. Rickart, Jordan homomorphisms of rings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 69 (3), (1950), 479–502.
- [10] Y. H. Lee, Stability of n -Jordan homomorphisms from a normed algebra to a Banach algebra, *Abst. Appl. Anal.*, 2013, Article ID 691025, (2013), 5 pages.
- [11] T. Miura, S. E. Takahasi, and G. Hirasawa, Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Jordan homomorphisms on Banach algebras, *J. Ineq. Appl.*, 2005 (4), (2005), 435–441.
- [12] M. Neghabi, A. Bodaghi and A. Zivari-Kazempour, Characterization of mixed n -Jordan homomorphisms and pseudo n -Jordan homomorphisms, *Filomat*, 34 (2020), no. 6, 1989–2002.
- [13] W. Zelazko, A characterization of multiplicative linear functionals in complex Banach algebras, *Studia Math.*, 30 (1968), 83–85.

- [14] A. Zivari-Kazempour, A characterization of 3-Jordan homomorphism on Banach algebras, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 93 (2), (2016), 301–306.
- [15] A. Zivari-Kazempour, Characterization of (n, m) -Jordan homomorphisms, *Bull. TICMI*, 23 (1), (2019), 25–33.
- [16] A. Zivari-Kazempour, Automatic continuity of n -Jordan homomorphisms on Banach algebras, *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.*, 33 (1), (2018), 165–170.

Masoumeh Neghabi

Ph.D Student of Mathematics
Department of Mathematics
South Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University
Tehran, Iran
E-mail: m.neghabi114@gmail.com

Abasalt Bodaghi

Professor of Mathematics
Department of Mathematics
Garmsar Branch, Islamic Azad University
Garmsar, Iran
E-mail: abasalt.bodaghi@gmail.com

Abbas Zivari-Kazempour

Assistant Professor of Mathematics
Department of Mathematics
Ayatollah Borujerdi University
Borujerd, Iran
E-mail: zivari@abru.ac.ir