Journal of Mathematical Extension Vol. 16, No. 7, (2022) (1)1-8 URL: https://doi.org/10.30495/JME.2022.1395 ISSN: 1735-8299 Original Research Paper

# Some Cohomological Properties of Banach Algebras

#### M. Shams Kojanaghi

Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University

#### K. Haghnejad Azar<sup>\*</sup>

University of Mohaghegh Ardabili

#### M. R. Mardanbeigi

Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University

**Abstract.** In this manuscript, we investigate and study some cohomological properties of Banach algebras. Let A be a Banach algebra with a bounded left approximate identity, and let B be a Banach A – bimodule. We show that if  $AB^{**}$  and  $B^{**}A$  are subset of B, then  $H^1(A, B^{(2n+1)}) = 0$  for all  $n \ge 0$ , whenever  $H^1(A, B^*) = 0$ .

**AMS Subject Classification:** Primary 46L06; 46L07; 46L10; Secondary 47L25

**Keywords and Phrases:** Amenability, weak amenability, cohomological groups.

Received: September 2019; Accepted: June 2020 \*Corresponding Author

# 1 Introduction

Let B be a Banach A - bimodule. A derivation from A into B is a bounded linear mapping  $D: A \to B$  such that,

$$D(xy) = xD(y) + D(x)y, \ (x, y \in A).$$

The space of continuous derivations from A into B is denoted by  $Z^1(A, B)$ . Easy example of derivations are the inner derivations, which are given for each  $b \in B$  by

$$\delta_b(a) = ab - ba, \ (a \in A).$$

The space of inner derivations from A into B is denoted by  $N^1(A, B)$ . The first cohomology group of A with coefficients in B is defined to be the quotient space  $H^1(A, B) = Z^1(A, B)/N^1(A, B)$ . Thus  $H^1(A, B) = \{0\}$ if and only if each continuous derivation from A into B is inner. According to the classical definition, a Banach algebra A is amenable if and only if for each Banach A-bimodule B, every derivation from A into  $B^*$  is inner i.e. A is amenable if and only if  $H^1(A, B^*) = \{0\}$ , for every Banach A-bimodule B. The concept of amenability for a Banach algebra A, was introduced by Johnson in 1972, has proved to be of enormous importance problems in Banach algebra theory, see [4].

Let X, Y, Z be normed spaces and let  $m : X \times Y \to Z$  be a bounded bilinear mapping. Arens [1] offers two natural extensions  $m^{***}$  and  $m^{t***t}$ from  $X^{**} \times Y^{**}$  into  $Z^{**}$  that he called m is Arens regular whenever  $m^{***} = m^{t***t}$ , for more information see [1] or [7]. Regarding A as a Banach A - bimodule, the operation  $\pi : A \times A \to A$  extends to  $\pi^{***}$  and  $\pi^{t***t}$  defined on  $A^{**} \times A^{**}$ . These extensions are known, respectively, as the first (left) and the second (right) Arens products, and with each of them, the second dual space  $A^{**}$  becomes a Banach algebra. The regularity of a normed algebra A is defined to be the regularity of its algebra multiplication when considered as a bilinear mapping. Suppose that A is a Banach algebra and B is a Banach A - bimodule. Since  $B^{**}$ is a Banach  $A^{**} - bimodule$ , where  $A^{**}$  is equipped with the first Arens product, we define the topological center of the right module action of  $A^{**}$  on  $B^{**}$  as follows:

$$Z_{A^{**}}^{\ell}(B^{**}) = Z(\pi_r) = \{b'' \in B^{**} : \text{ the map } a'' \to \pi_r^{***}(b'', a'') : A^{**} \to B^{**} \text{ is weak}^* - \text{weak}^* \text{ continuous}\}.$$

In this way, we write  $Z_{B^{**}}^{\ell}(A^{**}) = Z(\pi_{\ell}), Z_{A^{**}}^{r}(B^{**}) = Z(\pi_{\ell}^{t})$  and  $Z_{B^{**}}^{r}(A^{**}) = Z(\pi_{r}^{t})$ , where  $\pi_{\ell} : A \times B \to B, \pi_{r} : B \times A \to B$  are the left and right module actions of A on B, for more information, see [3]. If we set B = A, we write  $Z_{A^{**}}^{\ell}(A^{**}) = Z_{1}(A^{**}) = Z_{1}^{\ell}(A^{**})$  and  $Z_{A^{**}}^{r}(A^{**}) = Z_{2}(A^{**}) = Z_{2}^{r}(A^{**})$ , for more information, see [5]. Let B be a Banach A-bimodule and  $n \geq 1$ . Suppose that  $B^{(n)}$  is an n-th dual of B. Then  $B^{(n)}$  is also Banach A-bimodule, that is, for every  $a \in A$ ,  $b^{(n)} \in B^{(n)}$  and  $b^{(n-1)} \in B^{(n-1)}$ , we define

$$\langle b^{(n)}a, b^{(n-1)} \rangle = \langle b^{(n)}, ab^{(n-1)} \rangle,$$
  
$$\langle ab^{(n)}, b^{(n-1)} \rangle = \langle b^{(n)}, b^{(n-1)}a \rangle.$$

Let  $A^{(n)}$  and  $B^{(n)}$  be n-th dual of A and B, respectively. By [8], for an even number  $n \ge 0$ ,  $B^{(n)}$  is a Banach  $A^{(n)} - bimodule$ . Then for  $n \ge 2$ , we define  $B^{(n)}B^{(n-1)}$  as a subspace of  $A^{(n-1)}$ , that is, for all  $b^{(n)} \in B^{(n)}$ ,  $b^{(n-1)} \in B^{(n-1)}$  and  $a^{(n-2)} \in A^{(n-2)}$  we define

$$\langle b^{(n)}b^{(n-1)}, a^{(n-2)} \rangle = \langle b^{(n)}, b^{(n-1)}a^{(n-2)} \rangle$$

If n is odd number, then for  $n \ge 1$ , we define  $B^{(n)}B^{(n-1)}$  as a subspace of  $A^{(n)}$ , that is, for all  $b^{(n)} \in B^{(n)}$ ,  $b^{(n-1)} \in B^{(n-1)}$  and  $a^{(n-1)} \in A^{(n-1)}$  we define

$$\langle b^{(n)}b^{(n-1)},a^{(n-1)}\rangle = \langle b^{(n)},b^{(n-1)}a^{(n-1)}\rangle.$$

and if n = 0, we take  $A^{(0)} = A$  and  $B^{(0)} = B$ . So we can define the topological centers of module actions of  $A^{(n)}$  on  $B^{(n)}$  similarly.

# 2 Cohomological Properties of Banach Algebras

Let A be a Banach algebra and  $n \ge 1$ . Then A is called n - weaklyamenable if  $H^1(A, A^{(n)}) = 0$ , and is called permanently weakly amenable when A is n - weakly amenable for each  $n \ge 1$ . In [2] Dales, Ghahramani, and Gronback introduced the notion of n-weak amenability for Banach algebras. They established some relations between m- and nweak amenability. In particular, they proved that, for every n, (n + 2)weak amenability always implies n-weak amenability.

#### 4 M. SHAMS, K. HAGHNEJAD AZAR AND M. R. MARDANBEIGI

**Theorem 2.1.** Let *B* be a Banach *A* – bimodule and let  $n \ge 1$ . If  $H^{1}(A, B^{(n+2)}) = 0$ , then  $H^{1}(A, B^{(n)}) = 0$ .

**Proof.** Let  $D \in Z^1(A, B^{(n)})$  and  $i : B^{(n)} \to B^{(n+2)}$  be the canonical linear mapping as A - bimodule homomorphism. Then  $\widetilde{D} = ioD$  can be viewed as an element of  $Z^1(A, B^{(n+2)})$ . Since  $H^1(A, B^{(n+2)}) = 0$ , there exists a  $b^{(n+2)} \in B^{(n+2)}$  such that

$$\widetilde{D}(a) = ab^{(n+2)} - b^{(n+2)}a, \ (a \in A).$$

Set a A - linear mapping P from  $B^{(n+2)}$  into  $B^{(n)}$  such that  $Poi = I_{B^{(n)}}$ . Then we have  $Po\widetilde{D} = (Poi)oD = D$ , and so  $D(a) = Po\widetilde{D}(a) = aP(b^{(n+2)}) - P(b^{(n+2)})a$ , for all  $a \in A$ . It follows that  $D \in N^1(A, B^{(n)})$ . Consequently  $H^1(A, B^{(n)}) = 0$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 2.2.** Let B be a Banach A-bimodule and  $D: A \to B^{(2n)}$  be a continuous derivation. Assume that  $Z^{\ell}_{A^{(2n)}}(B^{(2n)}) = B^{(2n)}$ . Then there is a continuous derivation  $\widetilde{D}: A^{(2n)} \to B^{(2n)}$  such that  $\widetilde{D}(a) = D(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ .

**Proof.** By [[2], Proposition 1.7], the linear mapping  $D'': A^{**} \to B^{(2n+2)}$ is a continuous derivation. Take  $X = B^{(2n-2)}$ . Since  $Z_{A^{(2n)}}(X^{**}) = Z_{A^{(2n)}}(B^{(2n)}) = B^{(2n)} = X^{**}$ , by [[2], Proposition 1.8], the canonical projection  $P: X^{(4)} \to X^{**}$  is a  $A^{**} - bimodule$  morphism. Set  $\widetilde{D} = PoD''$ . Then  $\widetilde{D}$  is a continuous derivation from  $A^{**}$  into  $B^{(2n)}$ , satisfying  $\widetilde{D}(a) = D(a), \ (a \in A)$ .  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 2.3.** Let B be a Banach A – bimodule and  $n \ge 1$ . If  $Z^{\ell}_{A^{(2n)}}(B^{(2n)}) = B^{(2n)}$  and  $H^1(A^{(2n+2)}, B^{(2n+2)}) = 0$ , then  $H^1(A, B^{(2n)}) = 0$ .

**Proof.** By [[2], Proposition 1.7] and preceding theorem the result follows.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 2.4.** [2]. Let A be a Banach algebra such that  $A^{(2n)}$  is Arens regular and  $H^1(A^{(2n+2)}), A^{(2n+2)}) = 0$  for each  $n \ge 1$ . Then A is 2n - weakly amenable.

Assume that A is Banach algebra and  $n \ge 1$ . We define  $A^{[n]}$  as the linear span of

$$\{a_1a_2...a_n: a_1, a_2, ..., a_n \in A\},\$$

in A.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let A be a Banach algebra and  $n \ge 0$ . Let  $A^{[2n]}$  be dense in A and suppose that B is a Banach A-bimodule. Assume that  $AB^{**}$ and  $B^{**}A$  are subsets of B. If  $H^1(A, B^*) = 0$ , then  $H^1(A, B^{(2n+1)}) = 0$ .

**Proof.** For n = 0 the result is clear. Let  $B^{\perp}$  be the space of functionals in  $B^{(2n+1)}$  which annihilate i(B) where  $i: B \to B^{(2n)}$  is the canonical mapping. Its easily verified that,

$$B^{(2n+1)} = i(B)^* \oplus B^{\perp}.$$

It follows that

$$H^1(A, B^{(2n+1)}) = H^1(A, i(B)^*) \oplus H^1(A, B^{\perp}).$$

Since  $i(B)^* \cong B^*$  and by assumption  $H^1(A, B^*) = 0$ , it is enough to show that  $H^1(A, B^{\perp}) = 0$ .

Now, take the linear mappings  $L_a$  and  $R_a$  from B into itself by  $L_a(b) = ab$  and  $R_a(b) = ba$  for all  $a \in A$ . Since  $AB^{**} \subseteq B$  and  $B^{**}A \subseteq B$ ,  $L_a^{**}(b'') = ab''$  and  $R_a^{**}(b'') = b''a$  for every  $a \in A$ , respectively. Consequently,  $L_a$  and  $R_a$  are weakly compact. It follows that for each  $a \in A$  the linear mappings  $L_a^{(2n)}$  and  $R_a^{(2n)}$  from  $B^{(n)}$  into  $B^{(n)}$  are weakly compact and for every  $b^{(2n)} \in B^{(2n)}$ , we have  $L_a^{(2n)}(b^{(2n)}) = ab^{(2n)} \in B^{(2n-2)}$  and  $R_a^{(2n)}(b^{(2n)}) = b^{(2n)}a \in B^{(2n-2)}$ . Set  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n \in A$  and  $b^{(2n)} \in B^{(2n)}$ . Then  $a_1a_2...a_nb^{(2n)}$  and  $b^{(2n)}a_1a_2...a_n$  are belong to B. Suppose that  $D \in Z^1(A, B^{\perp})$  and let  $a, x \in A^{[n]}$ . Then for every  $b^{(2n)} \in B^{(2n)}$ , since  $xb^{(2n)}, b^{(2n)}a \in B$ , we have the following equality

$$\langle D(ax), b^{(2n)} \rangle = \langle aD(x), b^{(2n)} \rangle + \langle D(a)x, b^{(2n)} \rangle$$
  
=  $\langle D(x), b^{(2n)}a \rangle + \langle D(a), xb^{(2n)} \rangle$   
= 0.

It follows that  $D \mid_{A^{[2n]}} = 0$ . Since  $A^{[2n]}$  dense in A, it follows that D = 0. Hence  $H^1(A, B^{\perp}) = 0$  and the result follows.  $\Box$ 

- **Corollary 2.6.** 1. Let A be a Banach algebra with a bounded left approximate identity, and let B be a Banach A bimodule. Suppose that  $AB^{**}$  and  $B^{**}A$  are subset of B. Then  $H^1(A, B^{(2n+1)}) = 0$  for all  $n \ge 0$ , whenever  $H^1(A, B^*) = 0$ .
  - 2. Let A be an amenable Banach algebra and B be a Banach A bimodule. If  $AB^{**}$  and  $B^{**}A$  are subset of B, then  $H^1(A, B^{(2n+1)}) = 0$ .

**Example 2.7.** Suppose that G is a compact group. We know that  $L^1(G)$  is M(G) - bimodule and  $L^1(G)$  is an ideal in the second dual  $M(G)^{**}$  of M(G). By [[6],corollary 1.2], we have  $H^1(L^1(G), M(G)^*) = 0$ . Then by corollary 2.6, for every  $n \ge 1$ , we have

$$H^{1}(L^{1}(G), M(G)^{(2n+1)}) = 0.$$

**Corollary 2.8.** Let A be a Banach algebra and let  $A^{[2n]}$  be dense in A. Suppose that  $AB^{**}$  and  $B^{**}A$  are subset of B. Then the following are equivalent.

- 1.  $H^1(A, B^*) = 0.$
- 2.  $H^1(A, B^{(2n+1)}) = 0$  for some  $n \ge 0$ .
- 3.  $H^1(A, B^{(2n+1)}) = 0$  for each  $n \ge 0$ .

**Proof.**  $3 \Rightarrow 1 \Rightarrow 2$  is trivial by Theorem 2.5.  $2 \Rightarrow 3$ : Suppose that  $H^1(A, B^{(2n_0+1)}) = 0$  for some  $n_0 \ge 0$ . Let  $D \in Z^1(A, B^*)$ . By considering the injective linear mapping

$$\iota: B^* \to (B^*)^{(2n_0)} = B^{(2n_0+1)}$$

with

$$\langle \iota(b'), b^{(2n_0)} \rangle = \langle b^{(2n_0)}, b' \rangle = b^{(2n_0)}(b'),$$

so  $B^* \cong \iota(B^*) \subseteq B^{(2n_0+1)}$  and D can be viewed as an element of  $Z^1(A, B^{(2n_0+1)})$ . By assumption, there is a  $f \in B^{(2n_0+1)}$  such that  $D(a) = \delta_f(a)$ , for each  $a \in A$ . Since  $B \cong \tau(B) \subseteq B^{(2n_0)}$ . Where  $\tau$  is the canonical embedding. We define  $f_0 = f|_B$ . Then  $f_0 \in B^*$  and

$$D(a) = D(a)|_B = \delta_f(a)|_B = \delta_{f_0}(a),$$

holds for each  $a \in A$ . It follows that  $H^1(A, B^*) = 0$ , and so by using Theorem 2.5, the result follows.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 2.9.** [2]. Let A be a weakly amenable Banach algebra such that A is an ideal in  $A^{**}$ . Then A is (2n + 1) – weakly amenable for each  $n \ge 0$ .

**Proof.** It follows by [[2], Proposition 1.3] and corollary 2.8.

# References

- R. Arens, The adjoint of a bilinear operation, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 2 (1951), 839-848.
- [2] H. G. Dales, F. Ghahramani, N. Grønbæk, Derivation into iterated duals of Banach algebras, *Studia Math.* **128** (1) (1998), 19-53.
- [3] H. G. Dales, A. Rodrigues-Palacios and M. V. Velasco, The second transpose of a derivation, J. London. Math. Soc. 64 (3) (2001) 707-721.
- [4] B. E. Johoson, Cohomology in Banach Algebra, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 127, 1972.
- [5] A. T. Lau, and A. Ülger, Topological center of certain dual algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 348 (1996), 1191-1212.
- [6] V. Losert, The derivation problem for group algebra, Annals of Mathematics. 168 (2008), 221-246.
- [7] S. Mohamadzadeh, H. R. E. Vishki, Arens regularity of module actions and the second adjoint of a derivation, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 77 (2008), 465-476.
- [8] Y. Zhang, Weak amenability of module extentions of Banach algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 354 (10) (2002), 4131-4151.

## Mostafa Shams Kojanaghi

PhD Student of Mathematics, Department of Mathematics,

## 8 M. SHAMS, K. HAGHNEJAD AZAR AND M. R. MARDANBEIGI

Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University Tehran, Iran E-mail: mstafa.shams99@yahoo.com

### Kazem Haghnejad Azar

Professor of Mathematics, Department of Mathematics, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Ardabil, Iran E-mail: haghnejad@uma.ac.ir

### Mohammad Reza Mardanbeigi

Associate Professor of Mathematics, Department of Mathematics, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University Tehran, Iran E-mail:mmardanbeigi@yahoo.com