

Journal of Mathematical Extension  
Vol. 16, No. 1, (2022) (2)1-11  
URL: <https://doi.org/10.30495/JME.2022.1371>  
ISSN: 1735-8299  
Original Research Paper

## $\alpha$ -Prime Ideals

I. Akray \*

Soran University

H. M. Mohammed-Salih

Soran University

**Abstract.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity. We give a new generalization to prime ideals called  $\alpha$ -prime ideal. A proper ideal  $P$  of  $R$  is called an  $\alpha$ -prime ideal if for all  $a, b$  in  $R$  with  $ab \in P$ , then  $a \in P$  or  $\alpha(b) \in P$  where  $\alpha \in \text{End}(R)$ . We study some properties of  $\alpha$ -prime ideals analogous to prime ideals. We give some characterizations for such generalization and we prove that the intersection of all  $\alpha$ -primes in a ring  $R$  is the set of all  $\alpha$ -nilpotent elements in  $R$ . Finally, we give new versions of some famous theorems about prime ideals including  $\alpha$ -integral domains and  $\alpha$ -fields.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 13A15; 13C99; 13G05

**Keywords and Phrases:**  $\alpha$ -Prime ideal;  $\alpha$ -primary ideal;  $\alpha$ -nilradical;  $\alpha$ -integral domain;  $\alpha$ -field

## 1 Introduction

Throughout this article  $R$  will be a commutative ring with nonzero identity and  $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$  a fixed endomorphism on  $R$ . The notion of a prime ideal plays a key role in the theory of commutative algebra, and it has

---

Received: August 2019; Accepted: April 2020

\*Corresponding Author

been widely studied. Recall from [4] that a prime ideal  $P$  of  $R$  is a proper ideal  $P$  with the property that for  $a, b \in R$ ,  $ab \in P$  implies  $a \in P$  or  $b \in P$ . Recently many generalizations of prime ideals were introduced and studied (see for example [1], [3] and [5]). The radical of an ideal  $I$  of a ring  $R$  is defined to be  $\sqrt{I} = \{r \in R : r^n \in I \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . A proper ideal  $P$  is called primary if  $ab \in P$  implies  $a \in P$  or  $b \in \sqrt{P}$  [6]. An integral domain is referred to us a commutative ring with identity which has no non-zero divisors. For any other concepts see [7]. In this paper, we introduce the notion of  $\alpha$ -prime ideal, and establish some characterizations of it. We prove and generalize some results of  $\alpha$ -prime ideals that are analogous to prime ideals.

## 2 Main results

Let  $R$  be a ring and let  $\alpha \in \text{End}(R)$  be a fixed endomorphism. A proper ideal  $P$  of a ring  $R$  is called an  $\alpha$ -prime ideal of  $R$  if for all  $r, s \in R$ ,  $rs \in P$  implies that  $r \in P$  or  $\alpha(s) \in P$ . The definition is equivalent to say  $rs \in P$  implies that either  $\alpha(r) \in P$  or  $s \in P$ . In view of the definition of an  $\alpha$ -prime ideal, we see that in the case when  $\alpha$  is the identity map,  $\alpha$ -prime ideal will be a prime ideal. So  $\alpha$ -prime ideals are considered as a generalization of prime ideals. For an  $\alpha$ -prime  $P$ , if  $r \in P$ , then  $\alpha(r) \in P$  since  $r = 1 \cdot r \in P$  implies  $1 \in P$  or  $\alpha(r) \in P$ . Hence we can assume  $\alpha(P) \subseteq P$ . Also in the case when  $\alpha(I) = I$ , for all ideals  $I$  of  $R$ , then the prime ideals and  $\alpha$ -prime ideals will be again the same. Note that every prime ideal is an  $\alpha$ -prime ideal, where  $\alpha$  is the identity map. However, the converse is not true in general as shown in the following example.

**Example 2.1.** Consider the ideal  $P = \langle 2x \rangle$  in the ring  $R = \mathbb{Z}_4[x]$  with endomorphism  $\alpha$  on  $R$  defined by  $\alpha(f(x)) = f(0)$ . Then  $P$  is  $\alpha$ -prime but not prime, since  $2 \cdot x \in P$  and  $2, x \notin P$  whereas  $\alpha(x) = 0 \in P$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $P$  be an  $\alpha$ -prime. Then so is  $\sqrt{P}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $xy \in \sqrt{P}$ , for  $x, y \in R$ . Then  $(xy)^n = x^n y^n \in P$  and  $P$  being  $\alpha$ -prime implies  $x^n \in P$  or  $\alpha(y^n) = (\alpha(y))^n \in P$  which means that  $x \in \sqrt{P}$  or  $\alpha(y) \in \sqrt{P}$ . Hence  $\sqrt{P}$  is  $\alpha$ -prime.  $\square$

For a ring  $R$  and an  $\alpha$ -prime ideal  $P$  of  $R$ , we define a subset  $S_P$  of  $R$  as  $S_P = \{r \in R : \alpha(r) \in P\}$ . Clearly,  $S_P$  is an ideal of  $R$  containing  $P$ . The following is a direct consequence and can be proved easily and so the proof is omitted.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Assume  $P$  is an  $\alpha$ -prime ideal of a ring  $R$ . Then  $S_P$  is an  $\alpha$ -prime ideal of  $R$ .*

**Lemma 2.4.** *Suppose  $P$  is  $\alpha$ -prime and maximal with respect to the property that  $r \in P$  implies  $\alpha(r) \in P$ . Then  $P$  is prime.*

**Proof.** By contrast, suppose  $P$  is not prime and so there exist  $a, b \in R$  with  $ab \in P$  such that  $a \notin P$  and  $b \notin P$ . Consider the ideal  $(P, a) = \{m + ra : m \in P, r \in R\}$  and take  $x \in (P, a)$ . Then  $x = m + ra$  and  $xb = mb + rab \in P$ . Hence  $\alpha(x) \in P \subseteq (P, a)$ . So by hypothesis,  $(P, a) = P$  and hence  $a \in P$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore  $P$  is prime.  $\square$

Now we give a characterization of an  $\alpha$ -prime ideal.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $P$  a proper ideal of  $R$ . Then  $P$  is  $\alpha$ -prime if and only if for any two ideals  $I, J$  of  $R$  such that  $IJ \subseteq P$ ,  $I \subseteq P$  or  $\alpha(J) \subseteq P$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $P$  be an  $\alpha$ -prime ideal and  $IJ \subseteq P$  with  $I \not\subseteq P$ . Then there exists  $a$  in  $I$  such that  $a \notin P$ . For every  $b \in J$ ,  $ab \in IJ \subseteq P$ , but  $a \notin P$  so  $\alpha(b) \in P$ , that is,  $\alpha(J) \subseteq P$ . Conversely, let  $ab \in P$ , which implies that  $\langle a \rangle \langle b \rangle \subseteq P$ . Hence we have  $\langle a \rangle \subseteq P$  or  $\alpha(\langle b \rangle) \subseteq P$ . Therefore  $a \in P$  or  $\alpha(b) \in P$  and  $P$  is  $\alpha$ -prime.  $\square$

Let  $J$  be a subset of a ring  $R$ . We show that the  $\alpha$ -primeness of an ideal  $P$  implies the  $\alpha$ -primeness of the ideal  $(P : J)$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** *If  $P$  is an  $\alpha$ -prime ideal of a ring  $R$  and  $I$  a subset of  $R$ , then so is  $(P : I)$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose  $ab \in (P : I)$  for  $a, b \in R$ . Then  $b \in (P : aI) = (P : a) \cup (P : I)$ . Thus  $ba \in P$  or  $b \in (P : I)$ , that is,  $b \in P$  or  $\alpha(a) \in P$  or  $b \in (P : I)$ . Therefore  $\alpha(a) \in (P : I)$  or  $b \in (P : I)$  and  $(P : I)$  is  $\alpha$ -prime ideal.  $\square$

**Remark 2.7.** We note that for an  $\alpha$ -prime ideal  $P$  of a ring  $R$  and  $r \in R$ , if  $r^n \in P$ , then  $\alpha(r) \in P$ . Thus if we put  $r = \alpha(x)$ , then  $(\alpha(x))^n \in P$  implies that  $\alpha \circ \alpha(x) \in P$ .

Let  $R$  be a ring. An element  $a \in R$  is called  $\alpha$ -nilpotent if  $\alpha(a^n) = 0$  for some positive integer  $n$ . We call the set of  $\alpha$ -nilpotent elements in a ring  $R$  the  $\alpha$ -nilradical of  $R$  and denote by  $\mathcal{N}_\alpha$ . We know that if  $x$  is a nilpotent element in a ring  $R$ , then  $1 - x$  is a unit in  $R$ . This result can be extended as follows: For an  $\alpha$ -nilpotent element  $r$  in  $R$ ,  $1 - \alpha(r)$  is a unit in  $R$ . In the sight of the definition of  $\alpha$ -nilpotent elements we can define the  $\alpha$ -radical of an ideal  $I$  to be  $\sqrt[\alpha]{I} = \{a \in R : \alpha(a^n) \in I \text{ for some positive integer } n\}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{N}_\alpha = \sqrt[\alpha]{0}$  and clearly  $I \subseteq \sqrt[\alpha]{I}$ . Now, we are in a position to characterize the set  $\mathcal{N}_\alpha$  as an ideal. First, we have to prove the ideality of  $\mathcal{N}_\alpha$ .

**Proposition 2.8.** *The set of all  $\alpha$ -nilpotent elements  $\mathcal{N}_\alpha$  is an ideal of  $R$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{N}_\alpha$ . Then  $\alpha(x^n) = \alpha(y^m) = 0$  for some positive integers  $n, m$  and by the binomial theorem  $(\alpha(x) + \alpha(y))^{n+m-1}$  is a sum where all its monomials contain the product  $(\alpha(x))^r(\alpha(y))^s$  with  $r + s = m + n - 1$ . So the case when  $r < n$  and  $s < m$  is excluded. Hence each of these monomials is zero. So  $(\alpha(x + y))^{n+m-1} = (\alpha(x) + \alpha(y))^{n+m-1} = 0$  and  $x + y \in \mathcal{N}_\alpha$ . Also, for every  $r \in R$ , we have  $\alpha((rx)^n) = \alpha(r^n) \cdot \alpha(x^n) = 0$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{N}_\alpha$  is an ideal of  $R$ .  $\square$

Now, we give one of our main results that characterizes the ideal  $\mathcal{N}_\alpha$  and it is a generalization of Proposition 1.8 of the Atiyah's book [4]. For this reason we need the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 2.9.** *For  $\alpha \in \text{End}(R)$ , the kernel of  $\alpha$  is in the intersection of all  $\alpha$ -prime ideals.*

**Proof.** Suppose  $x \in \text{Ker}\alpha$ . Then  $\alpha(x) = 0$  belongs to every  $\alpha$ -prime ideal  $P$  of  $R$ . So,  $x$  belongs to the inverse image of every  $\alpha$ -prime ideal which is again an  $\alpha$ -prime ideal by Proposition 2.21. Therefore  $\text{Ker}\alpha \subseteq \bigcap_{P \text{ is } \alpha\text{-prime in } R} P$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.10.** *Assume that  $R$  is an integral domain and  $\alpha \in \text{End}(R)$ . Then the kernel of  $\alpha$  is a prime ideal in  $R$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose  $xy \in \text{Ker}\alpha$  for  $x, y \in R$ . Then  $\alpha(xy) = \alpha(x)\alpha(y) = 0$  and  $R$  being an integral domain implies  $\alpha(x) = 0$  or  $\alpha(y) = 0$ , that is,  $x \in \text{Ker}\alpha$  or  $y \in \text{Ker}\alpha$ . Therefore  $\text{Ker}\alpha$  is a prime ideal in  $R$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.11.** *The  $\alpha$ -nilradical  $\mathcal{N}_\alpha$  of an integral domain  $R$  is the intersection of all the  $\alpha$ -prime ideals of  $R$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose  $x$  is  $\alpha$ -nilpotent. Then  $\alpha(x^n) = 0$  and  $x^n \in \text{Ker}\alpha$ . Lemma 2.10 implies that  $x \in \text{Ker}\alpha$  and Lemma 2.9 gives us

$x \in \bigcap_{P \text{ is } \alpha\text{-prime in } R} P$ . Thus  $\mathcal{N}_\alpha \subseteq \bigcap_{P \text{ is } \alpha\text{-prime in } R} P$ . For the reverse inclusion, let  $x$  be non  $\alpha$ -nilpotent and define a set  $S = \{I : I \text{ an ideal of } R \text{ and } \alpha(x^n) \notin I \text{ for all } n > 0\}$ . Clearly 0 belong to  $S$  and so  $S$  is nonempty. Order  $S$  by inclusion and let  $\{I_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a chain of ideals of in  $S$ . Then  $I_i \subseteq I_j$  or  $I_j \subseteq I_i$  for each pair of indices  $i$  and  $j$ . Set  $I = \bigcup_i I_i$ , so that it is an ideal in  $S$  and becomes an upper bound of the chain. Therefore by Zorn's lemma,  $S$  has a maximal element, say  $J$ . Now to prove that  $J$  is  $\alpha$ -prime, let  $\alpha(a), \alpha(b) \notin J$ . Then  $J \subset J + R\alpha(a)$ ,  $J \subset J + R\alpha(b)$  and so they are not elements of  $S$ . Thus there exist positive integers  $m, n$  such  $\alpha(x^m) \in J + R\alpha(a)$ ,  $\alpha(x^n) \in J + R\alpha(b)$ . So  $\alpha(x^{n+m}) \in J + R\alpha(ab)$ . It follows  $J + R\alpha(ab) \notin S$  and  $\alpha(ab) = \alpha(a)\alpha(b) \notin J$ . Therefore by Remark 2.7,  $ab \notin J$  and  $J$  is an  $\alpha$ -prime ideal in which  $\alpha(x^n) \notin J$ , that is  $x \notin J$  and so  $x \notin \mathcal{N}_\alpha$ .  $\square$

By taking the quotient ring  $R/I$  instead of  $R$  in Theorem 2.11 we conclude the following.

**Corollary 2.12.** *For an integral domain  $R$  and an ideal  $I$  of  $R$ , the  $\alpha$ -radical of  $I$  is equal to the intersection of all the  $\alpha$ -prime ideals of  $R$  which contains  $I$ .*

Here are some properties of the  $\alpha$ -radical of an ideal, which are extended from those of the usual radical of an ideal.

**Proposition 2.13.** *Suppose  $I$  and  $J$  are two ideals of a ring  $R$ . Then the following are true.*

1. If  $I \subseteq J$ , then  $\sqrt[\alpha]{I} \subseteq \sqrt[\alpha]{J}$
2.  $\sqrt[\alpha]{IJ} = \sqrt[\alpha]{I \cap J} = \sqrt[\alpha]{I} \cap \sqrt[\alpha]{J}$ .
3. If  $\alpha(1) = 1$ , then  $\sqrt[\alpha]{I} = R$  if and only if  $I = R$ .

$$4. \sqrt[\alpha]{I+J} \subseteq \sqrt[\alpha]{\sqrt[\alpha]{I} + \sqrt[\alpha]{J}}$$

5. If  $I$  is an  $\alpha$ -prime ideal of  $R$ , then  $\sqrt[\alpha]{I^n} = \sqrt[\alpha]{I}$ , for all positive integer  $n$ .

**Proof.**

1. The proof is clear.
2. To prove the first equality we have  $IJ \subseteq I \cap J$ , so  $\sqrt[\alpha]{IJ} \subseteq \sqrt[\alpha]{I \cap J}$ . For the reverse inclusion, let  $x \in \sqrt[\alpha]{I \cap J}$ . Then  $\alpha(x^n) \in I \cap J$  for some positive integer  $n$  and so  $\alpha(x^{2n}) \in IJ$ . Hence  $x \in \sqrt[\alpha]{IJ}$ . Now to prove the last equality, we have from  $I \cap J \subseteq I$  and  $I \cap J \subseteq J$  that  $\sqrt[\alpha]{I \cap J} \subseteq \sqrt[\alpha]{I} \cap \sqrt[\alpha]{J}$ . For other side, let  $y \in \sqrt[\alpha]{I} \cap \sqrt[\alpha]{J}$ . Then  $\alpha(y^r) \in I$  and  $\alpha(y^s) \in J$  for some positive integers  $r, s$ . Hence  $\alpha(y^k) \in I \cap J$ , for  $k = \max\{r, s\}$ . Thus  $y \in \sqrt[\alpha]{I \cap J}$  and the equality holds.
3. Suppose  $\sqrt[\alpha]{I} = R$ . Then  $1 \in \sqrt[\alpha]{I}$  implies that  $\alpha(1^n) = \alpha(1) = 1 \in I$  which means that  $I = R$ . The other implication is obvious.
4. The two inclusions  $I \subseteq \sqrt[\alpha]{I}$  and  $J \subseteq \sqrt[\alpha]{J}$  together imply that  $\sqrt[\alpha]{I+J} \subseteq \sqrt[\alpha]{\sqrt[\alpha]{I} + \sqrt[\alpha]{J}}$ .
5. The proof follows from part (2), namely that  $\sqrt[\alpha]{I^n} = \sqrt[\alpha]{I.I \dots I} = \sqrt[\alpha]{I} \cap \sqrt[\alpha]{I} \cap \dots \cap \sqrt[\alpha]{I} = \sqrt[\alpha]{I}$ .

□

The equality of part (4) is not true in general as it is the case of usual radical. The only thing that we can say is  $\alpha(\sqrt[\alpha]{\sqrt[\alpha]{I} + \sqrt[\alpha]{J}}) \subseteq \sqrt[\alpha]{I+J}$ .

**Proposition 2.14.** *Let  $f : R \rightarrow S$  be a ring homomorphism and assume that  $\alpha \in \text{End}(R) \cap \text{End}(S)$  commutes with  $f$ . Let  $P$  and  $\bar{P}$  be two ideals of  $R$  and  $S$  respectively. Then*

1.  $f(\sqrt[\alpha]{P}) \subseteq \sqrt[\alpha]{f(P)}$ .
2.  $\sqrt[\alpha]{f^{-1}(\bar{P})} \subseteq f^{-1}(\sqrt[\alpha]{\bar{P}})$
3. If  $f$  is an isomorphism, then  $f(\sqrt[\alpha]{P}) = \sqrt[\alpha]{f(P)}$ .

**Proof.**

1. Let  $x \in f(\sqrt[\alpha]{P})$ . Then  $x = f(a)$  for some  $a \in \sqrt[\alpha]{P}$ . Since  $a \in \sqrt[\alpha]{P}$ , there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $\alpha(a^n) \in P$ . Now  $\alpha(x^n) = \alpha((f(a))^n) = \alpha(f(a^n)) = f(\alpha(a^n)) \in f(P)$ . So  $x \in \sqrt[\alpha]{f(P)}$ .
2. Let  $a \in \sqrt[\alpha]{f^{-1}(\bar{P})}$ . Then there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $\alpha(a^n) \in f^{-1}(\bar{P})$ . So  $f(\alpha(a^n)) \in \bar{P}$ . Since  $f$  and  $\alpha$  commute,  $\alpha(f(a^n)) \in \bar{P}$ . Hence  $a \in f^{-1}(\sqrt[\alpha]{\bar{P}})$ . Thus  $\sqrt[\alpha]{f^{-1}(\bar{P})} \subseteq f^{-1}(\sqrt[\alpha]{\bar{P}})$ .
3. The proof is obtained from part (1) and  $f$  being an isomorphism.

□

We know that a proper ideal  $P$  of a ring  $R$  is prime if and only if  $R/P$  has no zero divisors and that  $P$  is  $\alpha$ -prime if and only if every zero divisor of  $R/P$  is in  $\text{Ker}\alpha$ . Also, from Lemma 2.9 we have the isomorphism  $R/P \cong \frac{R/\text{Ker}\alpha}{P/\text{Ker}\alpha}$ . Hence  $P$  is  $\alpha$ -prime if and only if  $\frac{R/\text{Ker}\alpha}{P/\text{Ker}\alpha}$  has no zero divisors if and only if  $P/\text{Ker}\alpha$  is a prime ideal. Therefore we deduce the main connection between prime ideals and  $\alpha$ -prime ideals.

**Theorem 2.15.** *Let  $P$  be a proper ideal of  $R$ . Then  $P$  is an  $\alpha$ -prime ideal in  $R$  if and only if  $\frac{P}{\text{Ker}\alpha}$  is prime in  $\frac{R}{\text{Ker}\alpha}$ .*

A ring  $R$  is called an  $\alpha$ -integral domain if for all  $a, b \in R$  with  $ab = 0$ ,  $a = 0$  or  $\alpha(b) = 0$  for some endomorphism  $\alpha$  on  $R$ . It is clear that every integral domain is an  $\alpha$ -integral domain, but the converse is not true as shown in the following example.

**Example 2.16.** Consider the ring  $R = \frac{\mathbb{Z}[x]}{\langle x^2 - x \rangle}$  and endomorphism  $\alpha$  on  $R$  defined by  $\alpha(f(x)) = x \cdot f(x)$ . Then  $R$  is  $\alpha$ -integral domain but not integral domain, since  $x(x - 1) = 0$  and  $x, 1 - x \neq 0$  but  $\alpha(1 - x) = x(1 - x) = 0$

The next theorem characterizes  $\alpha$ -prime ideals in the sense of quotient rings.

**Proposition 2.17.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. Then  $P$  is an  $\alpha$ -prime ideal if and only if  $R/P$  is an  $\alpha$ -integral domain.*

**Proof.** Let  $R/P$  be an integral domain. Let  $a, b \in R$  such that  $ab \in P$ . Then  $ab + P = P$ . Since  $R/P$  is an  $\alpha$ -integral domain,  $a + P = P$  or  $\alpha(a + P) = P$ . So  $a \in P$  and  $\alpha(a) \in P$ . Thus  $P$  is an  $\alpha$ -prime ideal. Conversely, Let  $P$  be  $\alpha$ -prime ideal. Let  $a, b \in R$  with  $ab \in P$ . Then  $a \in P$  or  $\alpha(a) \in P$ . So  $a + P = P$  or  $\alpha(a) + P = P$ . Hence  $a + P = P$  or  $\alpha(a + P) = P$ . Therefore  $R/P$  is an  $\alpha$ -integral domain.

□

An  $\alpha$ -integral domain  $R$  is called an  $\alpha$ -field if  $\frac{R}{Ker\alpha}$  is a field. Clearly every field is an  $\alpha$ -field and the converse is true in the case where  $Ker\alpha = 0$ .

It is well-known that if  $K$  is a field, then  $K[x]$  is a principal ideal domain but not a field. Define a ring homomorphism  $\alpha : K[x] \rightarrow K[x]$  by  $\alpha(f(x)) = f(0)$ . Then  $Ker\alpha = \langle x \rangle$  and  $\frac{K[x]}{Ker\alpha} = \frac{K[x]}{\langle x \rangle} \cong K$  is a field. Similarly, for  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , we can define an endomorphism  $\alpha$  on  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  by  $\alpha(f(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = f(0, 0, \dots, 0)$ . So,  $\frac{K[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{Ker\alpha} = \frac{K[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle} \cong K$  is a field. Thus we conclude the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.18.** *For any field  $K$ , the polynomial ring  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  in  $n$  indeterminates is  $\alpha$ -field but not field.*

We know that every finite integral domain is a field. Here we generalize this result to  $\alpha$ -integral domains.

**Proposition 2.19.** *Every finite  $\alpha$ -integral domain is an  $\alpha$ -field*

**Proof.** Suppose  $R$  is a finite  $\alpha$ -integral domain, say  $R = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ . Then for any  $x$  in  $R$  with  $\alpha(x) \neq 0$ , the elements  $xx_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  are all distinct else for if  $xx_i = xx_j$ , then  $x(x_i - x_j) = 0$  and as  $R$  is  $\alpha$ -integral domain,  $x_i - x_j = 0$  or  $\alpha(x) = 0$ . Hence,  $\alpha(x) \neq 0$  implies  $x_i = x_j$  and as  $R$  has identity, there exists  $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $xx_s = 1$ . Therefore  $x$  has an inverse  $x_s$  and  $R$  is an  $\alpha$ -field. □

A proper ideal  $I$  of a ring  $R$  is called an  $\alpha$ -primary ideal if for all  $a, b \in R$  such that  $ab \in I$ ,  $a \in I$  or  $\alpha(b^n) \in I$  for some positive integer  $n$ . Clearly every  $\alpha$ -prime ideal is  $\alpha$ -primary. Now we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.20.** *If  $P$  is an  $\alpha$ -primary ideal of  $R$ , then  $\sqrt[\alpha]{P}$  is an  $\alpha$ -prime ideal.*

**Proof.** Assume  $ab \in \sqrt[\alpha]{P}$ . Then  $\alpha((ab)^n) = \alpha(a^n b^n) = \alpha(a^n)\alpha(b^n) \in P$ . As  $P$  is an  $\alpha$ -primary ideal,  $\alpha(a^n) \in P$  or  $\alpha(\alpha(b^n)) = \alpha([\alpha(b)]^n) \in P$ . Thus  $a \in \sqrt[\alpha]{P}$  or  $\alpha(b) \in \sqrt[\alpha]{P}$ . Therefore  $\sqrt[\alpha]{P}$  is an  $\alpha$ -prime ideal.  $\square$

From Atiyah's book [4], we know that the inverse image of a prime ideal under a ring homomorphism is again prime ideal. Next, we prove that the inverse image of an endomorphism of  $\alpha$ -prime ideal is  $\alpha$ -prime in a generalized form.

**Proposition 2.21.** *Let  $f : R \rightarrow S$  be a ring homomorphism and assume that  $\alpha \in \text{End}(R) \cap \text{End}(S)$  commutes with  $f$ . Then for any  $\alpha$ -prime ideal  $Q$  of  $S$ ,  $f^{-1}(Q)$  is an  $\alpha$ -prime ideal of  $R$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $Q$  be an  $\alpha$ -prime ideal of  $S$ . Then for any two elements  $a$  and  $b$  in  $R$  with  $ab \in f^{-1}(Q)$ , we have  $f(a)f(b) \in Q$  and  $Q$  being an  $\alpha$ -prime ideal implies that  $f(a) \in Q$  or  $\alpha(f(b)) = f(\alpha(b)) \in Q$ , that is,  $a \in f^{-1}(Q)$  or  $\alpha(b) \in f^{-1}(Q)$  and this is what we want to prove.  $\square$

A subset  $S$  of a ring  $R$  is called an  $\alpha$ -multiplicative system if  $a\alpha(b) \in S$  for all  $a, b \in S$ . Thus from this definition we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.22.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity. Then  $P$  is an  $\alpha$ -prime ideal if and only if  $R - P$  is an  $\alpha$ -multiplicative system .*

**Proposition 2.23.** *Suppose  $S$  is a multiplicative subset of a ring  $R$  and  $\bar{\alpha} : S^{-1}R \rightarrow S^{-1}R$  is the induced map of  $\alpha$ . Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between  $\alpha$ -prime ideals  $P$  of  $R$  with  $S \cap P = \emptyset$  and  $\alpha$ -prime ideals of  $S^{-1}R$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose  $P$  is an  $\alpha$ -prime ideal in  $R$  and  $(\frac{a}{s})(\frac{b}{t}) \in S^{-1}P$  for  $\frac{a}{s}, \frac{b}{t} \in S^{-1}R$ . Then there exists  $u \in S$  such that  $uab \in P$ . So  $ua \in P$  or  $\alpha(b) \in P$ . Thus,  $\frac{ua}{us} = \frac{a}{s} \in S^{-1}P$  or  $\frac{\alpha(b)}{t} \in S^{-1}P$ , that is,  $\frac{a}{s} \in S^{-1}P$  or  $\bar{\alpha}(\frac{b}{t}) \in S^{-1}P$ . Hence  $S^{-1}P$  is  $\bar{\alpha}$ -prime ideal in  $S^{-1}R$ . The other side is obtained from Proposition 2.21.  $\square$

From Proposition 2.21 and Proposition 2.23, we can conclude the following.

**Proposition 2.24.** *Let  $f : R \rightarrow S$  be a ring homomorphism and assume that  $\alpha \in \text{End}(R) \cap \text{End}(S)$  commutes with  $f$ . Then an ideal  $I$  containing  $\text{Ker}\alpha$  is an  $\alpha$ -prime ideal if and only if  $f(I)$  is an  $\alpha$ -prime ideal.*

**Corollary 2.25.** *Let  $I$  and  $J$  be two ideals of a ring  $R$  such that  $I \subseteq J$ . Then  $J/I$  is  $\bar{\alpha}$ -prime ideal in  $R/I$  if and only if  $J$  is  $\alpha$ -prime in  $R$ , where  $\bar{\alpha}$  is the induced map on  $R/I$  from  $\alpha$ .*

## References

- [1] I. Akray, I-prime ideals, *Journal of Algebra and related topics*, 4(2) (2016), 41–47.
- [2] F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, *Rings and Categories of Modules*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992.
- [3] D. D. Anderson and E. Smith, Weakly prime ideals, *Houston J. Math.*, 29 (2003), 831–840.
- [4] M. F. Atiyah and I. G. Macdonald, *Introduction to Commutative Algebra*, Addison-Wesley Publishing company, 1969.
- [5] S. M. Bhatwadekar and P. K. Sharma, Unique factorization and birth of almost primes, *Comm. Algebra*, 33 (2005), 43–49.
- [6] R. Gilmer and J. Ohm, Primary ideals and valuation ideals, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 117 (1965), 237–250.
- [7] I. Kaplansky, *Commutative Rings, Revised Edition*, Chicago, University of Chicago press, 1974.

### Ismael Akray

Department of Mathematics  
Associate Professor of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science, Soran University  
Erbil city, Kurdistan region, Iraq  
E-mail: ismael.akray@soran.edu.iq

### Haval Mahmood Mohammed Salih

Department of Mathematics

Associate Professor of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science, Soran University  
Erbil city, Kurdistan region, Iraq  
E-mail: [havalmahmood07@gmail.com](mailto:havalmahmood07@gmail.com)