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#### Abstract

This paper is mainly devoted to studying operator Jensen inequality. More precisely, a new generalization of Jensen inequality and its reverse version for convex (not necessary operator convex) functions have been proved. Several special cases are discussed as well.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be the $C^{*}$-algebra of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. As customary, we reserve $m, M$ for scalars and $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}$ for the identity operator on $\mathcal{H}$. A self-adjoint operator $A$ is said to be positive (written $A \geq 0$ ) if $\langle A x, x\rangle \geq 0$ holds for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$ also an operator $A$

[^0]is said to be strictly positive (denoted by $A>0$ ) if $A$ is positive and invertible. If $A$ and $B$ are self-adjoint, we write $B \geq A$ in case $B-A \geq 0$. The Gelfand map $f(t) \mapsto f(A)$ is an isometrical $*$-isomorphism between the $C^{*}$-algebra $C(\sigma(A))$ of continuous functions on the spectrum $\sigma(A)$ of a selfadjoint operator $A$ and the $C^{*}$-algebra generated by $A$ and the identity operator $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}$. If $f, g \in C(\sigma(A))$, then $f(t) \geq g(t)(t \in \sigma(A))$ implies that $f(A) \geq g(A)$.

For $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), A \oplus B$ is the operator defined on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$ by $\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & 0 \\ 0 & B\end{array}\right)$. A linear map $\Phi: \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ is positive if $\Phi(A) \geq 0$ whenever $A \geq 0$. It's said to be unital if $\Phi\left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}\right)=\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{K}}$. A continuous function $f$ defined on the interval $J$ is called an operator convex function if $f((1-v) A+v B) \leq(1-v) f(A)+v f(B)$ for every $0 \leq v \leq 1$ and for every pair of bounded self-adjoint operators $A$ and $B$ whose spectra are both in $J$.

Hansen et al. [5] showed if $f: J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an operator convex function, $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ are self-adjoint operators with the spectra in $J$, and $\Phi_{1}, \ldots, \Phi_{n}: \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ are positive linear mappings such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}\right)=\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{K}}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(f\left(A_{i}\right)\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Though in the case of convex function the inequality (1) does not hold in general, we have the following estimate [4, Lemma 2.1]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right) x, x\right\rangle\right) \leq\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(f\left(A_{i}\right)\right) x, x\right\rangle \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any unit vector $x \in \mathcal{K}$. For recent results treating the Jensen operator inequality, we refer the reader to $[6,8,9,10]$.

Remark 1.1. It is shown in $[12$, Theorem 3] that if $\Phi: \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ is a unital positive linear map, $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a positive operator with the

Cartesian decomposition $A=B+i C$, then for any unit vector $x \in \mathcal{K}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(\langle\Phi(A) x, x\rangle) & \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f\left(\left(\left\langle\Phi(B)^{2} x, x\right\rangle+\langle\Phi(C) x, x\rangle^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
f\left(\left(\langle\Phi(B) x, x\rangle^{2}+\left\langle\Phi(C)^{2} x, x\right\rangle\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)
\end{array}\right. \\
& \leq\langle\Phi(f(A)) x, x\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f$ is a non-negative function on $[0, \infty)$, such that $g(t)=f(\sqrt{t})$ is convex.
As noticed by Dragomir [3], if $A$ is a positive operator, then $C=0$ and $B=A$. Thus, the above-mentioned inequality will be reduced to

$$
f(\langle\Phi(A) x, x\rangle) \leq\langle\Phi(f(A)) x, x\rangle .
$$

Of course, this is also true if $A$ is self-adjoint. The following result provides the analogue of [12, Theorem 3] in the case of $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is an arbitrary operator. Let the assumptions above hold. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(|\langle\Phi(A) x, x\rangle|^{2}\right) & =g\left(\langle\Phi(B) x, x\rangle^{2}+\langle\Phi(C) x, x\rangle^{2}\right) \\
& \leq g\left(\left\langle\Phi(B)^{2} x, x\right\rangle+\langle\Phi(C) x, x\rangle^{2}\right) \\
& \leq g\left(\left\langle\Phi(B)^{2}+\Phi(C)^{2} x, x\right\rangle\right) \\
& \leq g\left(\left\langle\Phi\left(B^{2}\right)+\Phi\left(C^{2}\right) x, x\right\rangle\right) \\
& =g\left(\left\langle\Phi\left(B^{2}+C^{2}\right) x, x\right\rangle\right) \\
& =g\left(\left\langle\Phi\left(\frac{|A|^{2}+\left|A^{*}\right|^{2}}{2}\right) x, x\right\rangle\right) \\
& \leq\left\langle\Phi\left(g\left(\frac{|A|^{2}+\left|A^{*}\right|^{2}}{2}\right)\right) x, x\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

where the first and the second inequality follows from the CauchySchwarz inequality and the third inequality obtained from the Kadison's
inequality [1, 2.3.2 Theorem]. So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(|\langle\Phi(A) x, x\rangle|^{2}\right) & \leq g\left(\left\langle\Phi(B)^{2} x, x\right\rangle+\langle\Phi(C) x, x\rangle^{2}\right) \\
& \leq\left\langle\Phi\left(g\left(\frac{|A|^{2}+\left|A^{*}\right|^{2}}{2}\right)\right) x, x\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

On account of the assumption on $f$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(|\langle\Phi(A) x, x\rangle|) & \leq f\left(\left(\left\langle\Phi(B)^{2} x, x\right\rangle+\langle\Phi(C) x, x\rangle^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
& \leq\left\langle\Phi\left(f\left(\left(\frac{|A|^{2}+\left|A^{*}\right|^{2}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right) x, x\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(|\langle\Phi(A) x, x\rangle|) & \leq f\left(\left(\langle\Phi(B) x, x\rangle^{2}+\left\langle\Phi(C)^{2} x, x\right\rangle\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
& \leq\left\langle\Phi\left(f\left(\left(\frac{|A|^{2}+\left|A^{*}\right|^{2}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right) x, x\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

In the current paper extensions of Jensen-type inequalities for the continuous function of self-adjoint operators on complex Hilbert spaces are given. We obtain some interesting inequalities which generalize the results in [7]. We emphasize that our method in this paper is entirely different from that appeared in [13] and [14].

## 2 Main Results

Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a self-adjoint operator with $\sigma(A) \subseteq[m, M]$, and let $f$ be a convex function on $[m, M]$, then from [11], we have for any unit vector $x \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$
f(\langle A x, x\rangle) \leq\langle f(A) x, x\rangle .
$$

Replace $A$ with $\Phi(A)$, where $\Phi: \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ is a unital positive linear map, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\langle\Phi(A) x, x\rangle) \leq\langle f(\Phi(A)) x, x\rangle \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any unit vector $x \in \mathcal{K}$. Assume that $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ are self-adjoint operators on $\mathcal{H}$ with spectra in $J$ and $\Phi_{1}, \ldots, \Phi_{n}: \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ are positive linear maps with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}\right)=\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{K}}$. Now apply inequality (3) to the self-adjoint operator $A$ on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{H}$ defined by $A=A_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus A_{n}$ and the positive linear map $\Phi$ defined on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{H})$ by $\Phi(A)=\Phi_{1}\left(A_{1}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \Phi_{n}\left(A_{n}\right)$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right) x, x\right\rangle\right) \leq\left\langle f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)\right) x, x\right\rangle . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

More generalization is discussed as follows:
Lemma 2.1. Let $f: J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex and differentiable function on ${ }_{J}^{o}$ (the interior of $J$ ) whose derivative $f^{\prime}$ is continuous on ${ }^{o} J$, let $A_{i} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ self-adjoint operators with the spectra in $[m, M] \subset{ }_{J}^{o}$ for $(i=1, \ldots, n)$, and let $\Phi_{1}, \ldots, \Phi_{n}: \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ be positive linear mappings such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}\right)=\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{K}}$. Then for any unit vector $x \in \mathcal{K}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(f\left(A_{i}\right)\right) x, x\right\rangle-f\left(\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right) x, x\right\rangle\right) \\
& \leq\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(f^{\prime}\left(A_{i}\right) A_{i}\right) x, x\right\rangle \\
&-\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right) x, x\right\rangle\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(f^{\prime}\left(A_{i}\right)\right) x, x\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Since $f$ is convex and differentiable on $\stackrel{o}{J}$, then we have for any $t, s \in[m, M]$,

$$
f^{\prime}(s)(t-s) \leq f(t)-f(s) \leq f^{\prime}(t)(t-s) .
$$

It is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(s) t-f^{\prime}(s) s \leq f(t)-f(s) \leq f^{\prime}(t) t-f^{\prime}(t) s . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we fix $s \in[m, M]$ and apply the continuous functional calculus for $A_{i}$ $(i=1, \ldots, n)$, we get

$$
f^{\prime}(s) A_{i}-f^{\prime}(s) s \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}} \leq f\left(A_{i}\right)-f(s) \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}} \leq f^{\prime}\left(A_{i}\right) A_{i}-s f^{\prime}\left(A_{i}\right) .
$$

Applying the positive linear mappings $\Phi_{i}$ and summing on i from 1 to $n$, this implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime}(s) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)-f^{\prime}(s) s \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{K}} & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(f\left(A_{i}\right)\right)-f(s) \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{K}} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(f^{\prime}\left(A_{i}\right) A_{i}\right)-s \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(f^{\prime}\left(A_{i}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, for any unit vector $x \in \mathcal{K}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{\prime}(s)\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right) x, x\right\rangle-f^{\prime}(s) s \\
& \leq\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(f\left(A_{i}\right)\right) x, x\right\rangle-f(s) \\
& \leq\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(f^{\prime}\left(A_{i}\right) A_{i}\right) x, x\right\rangle-s\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(f^{\prime}\left(A_{i}\right)\right) x, x\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}\right)=\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\sigma\left(A_{i}\right) \subseteq[m, M]$, then $\sigma\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)\right) \subseteq$ $[m, M]$. Thus, by substituting $s=\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right) x, x\right\rangle$, we deduce the desired result.

We now have all the tools needed to write the proof of the first theorem.

Theorem 2.2. Let all the assumptions of Lemma 2.1 hold. Then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(f\left(A_{i}\right)\right) \leq f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)\right)+\delta \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{K}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta= & \sup _{\substack{x \in \mathcal{K} \\
\|x\|=1}}\left\{\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(f^{\prime}\left(A_{i}\right) A_{i}\right) x, x\right\rangle-\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right) x, x\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.\times\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(f^{\prime}\left(A_{i}\right)\right) x, x\right\rangle\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. It follows from the assumptions that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \leq & \left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(f\left(A_{i}\right)\right) x, x\right\rangle-f\left(\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right) x, x\right\rangle\right) \\
\leq & \left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(f^{\prime}\left(A_{i}\right) A_{i}\right) x, x\right\rangle \\
& -\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right) x, x\right\rangle\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(f^{\prime}\left(A_{i}\right)\right) x, x\right\rangle \\
\leq & \sup _{x \in \mathcal{K}}\left\{\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(f^{\prime}\left(A_{i}\right) A_{i}\right) x, x\right\rangle-\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right) x, x\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.\times\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(f^{\prime}\left(A_{i}\right)\right) x, x\right\rangle\right\} \\
= & \delta,
\end{aligned}
$$

thanks to Lemma 2.1. Therefore,

$$
\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(f\left(A_{i}\right)\right) x, x\right\rangle \leq f\left(\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right) x, x\right\rangle\right)+\delta
$$

for any unit vector $x \in \mathcal{K}$.

Now we can write,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(f\left(A_{i}\right)\right) x, x\right\rangle & \leq f\left(\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right) x, x\right\rangle\right)+\delta \\
& \leq\left\langle f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)\right) x, x\right\rangle+\delta \quad(\text { by }(4)) \\
= & \left\langle f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)\right) x, x\right\rangle+\delta\langle x, x\rangle \\
& (\text { since }\|x\|=1) \\
= & \left\langle f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)\right) x, x\right\rangle+\left\langle\delta \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{K}} x, x\right\rangle \\
= & \left\langle f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)\right)+\delta \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{K}} x, x\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

for any unit vector $x \in \mathcal{K}$.
By replacing $x$ by $\frac{y}{\|y\|}$ where $y$ is any vector in $\mathcal{K}$, we deduce the desired inequality.

A kind of a converse of Theorem 2.2 can be considered as follows.
Theorem 2.3. Let all the assumptions of Lemma 2.1 hold with the additional condition that $f$ is increasing. Then

$$
f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(f\left(A_{i}\right)\right)+\zeta \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{K}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta= & \sup _{\substack{x \in \mathcal{K} \\
\|x\|=1}}\left\{\left\langle f^{\prime}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right) x, x\right\rangle-\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right) x, x\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.\times\left\langle f^{\prime}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)\right) x, x\right\rangle\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Fix $t \in[m, M]$. Since $[m, M]$ contains the spectra of the $A_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}\right)=\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{K}}$, so the spectra of $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)$ is
also contained in $[m, M]$. Then we may replace $s$ in the inequality (5) by $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)$, via a functional calculus to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)\right)-f(t) \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{K}} \leq & f^{\prime}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right) \\
& -t f^{\prime}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This inequality implies, for any $x \in \mathcal{K}$ with $\|x\|=1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)\right) x, x\right\rangle-f(t) \\
& \leq\left\langle f^{\prime}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right) x, x\right\rangle-t\left\langle f^{\prime}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)\right) x, x\right\rangle . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting $t$ with $\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right) x, x\right\rangle$ in (6). Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \leq & \left\langle f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)\right) x, x\right\rangle-f\left(\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right) x, x\right\rangle\right)(\text { by }(4)) \\
\leq & \left\langle f^{\prime}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right) x, x\right\rangle \\
& -\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right) x, x\right\rangle\left\langle f^{\prime}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)\right) x, x\right\rangle \\
\leq & \sup _{x \in \mathcal{K}}\left\{\left\langle f^{\prime}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right) x, x\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.-\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right) x, x\right\rangle\left\langle f^{\prime}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)\right) x, x\right\rangle\right\} \\
= & \zeta
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)\right) x, x\right\rangle \\
& \leq f\left(\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right) x, x\right\rangle\right)+\zeta \\
& \leq\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(f\left(A_{i}\right)\right) x, x\right\rangle+\zeta \quad(\text { by }(2))
\end{aligned}
$$

for any unit vector $x \in \mathcal{K}$, and the proof is complete.

## 3 Some Applications

In this section, we collect some consequences of Theorems 2.2 and 2.3. (I) By setting $f(t)=t^{p}(p \geq 1)$ in Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 we find that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}^{p}\right) \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)\right)^{p}+p \delta \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{K}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta= & \sup _{\substack{x \in \mathcal{K} \\
\|x\|=1}}\left\{\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}^{p}\right) x, x\right\rangle-\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right) x, x\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.\times\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}^{p-1}\right) x, x\right\rangle\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)\right)^{p} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}^{p}\right)+p \zeta \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{K}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta & =\sup _{\substack{x \in \mathcal{K} \\
\|x\|=1}}\left\{\left\langle\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)\right)^{p} x, x\right\rangle-\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right) x, x\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.\times\left\langle\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)\right)^{p-1} x, x\right\rangle\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

whenever $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ are positive operators and $\Phi_{1}, \ldots, \Phi_{n}$ : $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ positive linear mappings such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{i}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}\right)=\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{K}}$. If the operators $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ are strictly positive, then (7) and (8) are also true for $p<0$.
(II) Assume that $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}$ are positive scalars such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}=1$. If we apply Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 for positive linear mappings $\Phi_{i}$ : $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ determined by $\Phi_{i}: T \mapsto w_{i} T(i=1, \ldots, n)$, we get

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} f\left(A_{i}\right) \leq f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} A_{i}\right)+\delta \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta= & \sup _{\substack{x \in \mathcal{H} \\
\|x\|=1}}\left\{\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} f^{\prime}\left(A_{i}\right) A_{i} x, x\right\rangle-\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} A_{i} x, x\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.\times\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} f^{\prime}\left(A_{i}\right) x, x\right\rangle\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} A_{i}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} f\left(A_{i}\right)+\zeta \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta= & \sup _{\substack{x \in \mathcal{H} \\
\|x\|=1}}\left\{\left\langle f^{\prime}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} A_{i}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} A_{i} x, x\right\rangle-\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} A_{i} x, x\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.\times\left\langle f^{\prime}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} A_{i}\right) x, x\right\rangle\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Choi's inequality [2, Proposition 4.3] says that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(B) \Phi(A)^{-1} \Phi(B) \leq \Phi\left(B A^{-1} B\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $B$ is self-adjoint and $A$ is positive invertible. We shall show the following complementary inequality of (9):

Proposition 3.1. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $B$ is self-adjoint and $A$ is positive invertible, and let $\Phi: \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ be a unital positive linear mapping. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(B A^{-1} B\right) \leq \Phi(B) \Phi(A)^{-1} \Phi(B)+2 \delta \Phi(A) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta= & \sup _{\substack{x \in \mathcal{K} \\
\|x\|=1}}\left\{\left\langle\Phi(A)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Phi\left(B A^{-1} B\right) \Phi(A)^{-\frac{1}{2}} x, x\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.-\left\langle\Phi(A)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Phi(B) \Phi(A)^{-\frac{1}{2}} x, x\right\rangle^{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.2 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi\left(T^{2}\right) \leq \Psi(T)^{2}+2 \delta \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{K}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\delta=\sup \left\{\left\langle\Psi\left(T^{2}\right) x, x\right\rangle-\langle\Psi(T) x, x\rangle^{2}: x \in \mathcal{K} ;\|x\|=1\right\} .
$$

To a fixed positive $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ we set

$$
\Psi(X)=\Phi(A)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Phi\left(A^{\frac{1}{2}} X A^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \Phi(A)^{-\frac{1}{2}}
$$

and notice that $\Psi: \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ is a unital linear map. Now, if $T=A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, we infer from (11) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(A)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Phi\left(B A^{-1} B\right) \Phi(A)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq & \Phi(A)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Phi(B) \Phi(A)^{-1} \Phi(B) \Phi(A)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\
& +2 \delta \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{K}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta= & \sup _{\substack{x \in \mathcal{K} \\
\|x\|=1}}\left\{\left\langle\Phi(A)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Phi\left(B A^{-1} B\right) \Phi(A)^{-\frac{1}{2}} x, x\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.-\left\langle\Phi(A)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Phi(B) \Phi(A)^{-\frac{1}{2}} x, x\right\rangle^{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By multiplying from the left and from the right with $\Phi(A)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ we obtain (10).

The parallel sum of two positive operators $A, B$ is defined as the operator

$$
A: B=\left(A^{-1}+B^{-1}\right)^{-1}
$$

A simple calculation shows that (see, e.g., [1, (4.6) and (4.7)])

$$
\begin{equation*}
A: B=A-A(A+B)^{-1} A=B-B(A+B)^{-1} B . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\Phi$ is any positive linear map, then (see [1, Theorem 4.1.5])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(A: B) \leq \Phi(A): \Phi(B) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following result gives a reverse of inequality (13).
Proposition 3.2. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ positive invertible operators and let $\Phi: \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ be unital positive linear mapping. Then

$$
\Phi(A): \Phi(B) \leq \Phi(A: B)+2 \delta \Phi(A+B)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta= & \sup \left\{\left\langle\Phi(A+B)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Phi\left(A(A+B)^{-1} A\right) \Phi(A+B)^{-\frac{1}{2}} x, x\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.-\left\langle\Phi(A+B)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Phi(A) \Phi(A+B)^{-\frac{1}{2}} x, x\right\rangle^{2}: x \in \mathcal{K} ;\|x\|=1\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Proposition 3.1 easily implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(A(A+B)^{-1} A\right) \leq \Phi(A) \Phi(A+B)^{-1} \Phi(A)+2 \delta \Phi(A+B) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta= & \sup \left\{\left\langle\Phi(A+B)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Phi\left(A(A+B)^{-1} A\right) \Phi(A+B)^{-\frac{1}{2}} x, x\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.-\left\langle\Phi(A+B)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Phi(A) \Phi(A+B)^{-\frac{1}{2}} x, x\right\rangle^{2}: x \in \mathcal{K} ;\|x\|=1\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(A): \Phi(B) & =\Phi(A)-\Phi(A)(\Phi(A)+\Phi(B))^{-1} \Phi(A) \quad(\text { by }(12)) \\
& =\Phi(A)-\Phi(A) \Phi(A+B)^{-1} \Phi(A)
\end{aligned}
$$

(by the linearity of $\Phi$ )

$$
\leq \Phi(A)-\Phi\left(A(A+B)^{-1} A\right)+2 \delta \Phi(A+B)
$$

(by (14))

$$
=\Phi\left(A-A(A+B)^{-1} A\right)+2 \delta \Phi(A+B)
$$

(by the linearity of $\Phi$ ) $=\Phi(A: B)+2 \delta \Phi(A+B)$.

Hence the conclusions follow.
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