

(φ, ψ) – Biprojective Banach Algebras

Z. Ghorbani*

Jahrom University

J. Baradaran

Jahrom University

Abstract. The article studies the concept of a (φ, ψ) – biprojective and (φ, ψ) –pseudo amenable Banach algebra A , where φ is a continuous homomorphism on A and $\psi \in \Phi_A$. We show if A is (φ, ψ) – contractible, then A is (φ, ψ) – biprojective. The converse holds, whenever A is either unital or commutative and there exists $a_0 \in A$ such that $\varphi(a_0) = a_0$.

AMS Subject Classification: 46H25; 47B47

Keywords and Phrases: Banach algebra, (φ, ψ) – amenable, (φ, ψ) –biprojective

1. Introduction

Amenable Banach algebra was introduced by Johnson in [6]. He showed that A is amenable Banach algebra if and only if A has a approximate diagonal that is, a bounded net (m_α) in $(A \hat{\otimes} A)$ such that $m_\alpha a - am_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ and $\pi(m_\alpha)a \rightarrow a$ for every $a \in A$. The notion of a biflat and biprojective Banach algebra was introduced by Helemskii [4, 5]. Indeed, A is called biprojective if there is a bounded A -bimodule map $\theta : A \rightarrow A \hat{\otimes} A$ such that $\pi \circ \theta = id_A$.

He considered a Banach algebra A is amenable if A biflat and has a bounded approximate identity [3, 5]. In fact, A is called biflat if there exists a bounded A -bimodule map $\theta : (A \hat{\otimes} A)^* \rightarrow A^*$ such that $\theta \circ \pi^* = id_{A^*}$.

Given a continuous homomorphism φ from A into A , authors in [9, 10] are defined and studied φ -derivations and φ -amenability.

Received: January 2019; Accepted: May 2019

*Corresponding author

Recall that a character on A is a non-zero homomorphism from A into the scalar field. The set of all characters on A is called the character space of A and is denoted by Φ_A .

This article studies (φ, ψ) - contractible Banach algebras, where φ is a continuous homomorphism on A and $\psi \in \Phi_A$. We show that if A is (φ, ψ) - contractible, then A is (φ, ψ) - bijective. The converse holds, whenever A is either unital or commutative and there exists $a_0 \in A$ such that $\varphi(a_0) = a_0$.

2. (φ, ψ) - Biprojective Banach Algebras

Suppose that A is a Banach algebra. Let $Hom(A)$ denotes the set of all continuous homomorphisms from A into itself.

Definition 2.1. Let A be a Banach algebra, $\varphi \in Hom(A)$ and $\psi \in \Phi_A$. We say that A is (φ, ψ) - bijective if there exists a bounded A -bimodule map $\theta : A \longrightarrow (A \hat{\otimes} A)$, where $\psi \circ \pi \circ \theta \circ \varphi = \psi \circ \varphi$.

If A is a bijective Banach algebra, then A is a (φ, ψ) - bijective Banach algebra for every $\varphi \in Hom(A)$ and $\psi \in \Phi_A$.

Theorem 2.2. Suppose that A is a (φ, ψ) - bijective Banach algebra. If I is a closed ideal of A with one sided bounded approximate identity and $\varphi(I) \subset I$. Then I is $(\varphi|_I, \psi|_I)$ - bijective.

Proof. Assume that $\theta : A \longrightarrow (A \hat{\otimes} A)$ is a continuous A -bimodule map such that $\psi \circ \pi \circ \theta \circ \varphi(a) = \psi \circ \varphi(a)$ ($a \in A$). Let $\iota : I \hookrightarrow A$ be the inclusion map. Then $\theta|_I = \theta \circ \iota : I \longrightarrow (A \hat{\otimes} A)$ is I -bimodule homomorphism. If I^3 denotes $\text{span} \{abc : a, b, c \in I\}^-$, then $I^3 = I$, because I has a one sided bounded approximate identity and

$$\begin{aligned} \theta|_I &= \theta(I) \\ &= \theta(I^3) \\ &\subseteq \text{span}\{a \cdot \theta(b) \cdot c\}^- \\ &\subseteq \text{span}\{a \cdot m \cdot c : a, c \in I, m \in A \hat{\otimes} A\}^- \subseteq I \hat{\otimes} I. \end{aligned}$$

So for every $a \in I$,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi \circ \pi \circ \theta|_I \circ \varphi(a) &= \psi \circ \pi(\theta(\varphi(a))) \\ &= \psi \circ \varphi(a). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.3. Let A be a (φ_A, ψ_A) - bijective Banach algebra, and let B be a (φ_B, ψ_B) - bijective Banach algebra with $\varphi_A \in Hom(A)$,

$\psi_A \in \Phi_A$, $\varphi_B \in \text{Hom}(B)$ and $\psi_B \in \Phi_B$. Then $A \hat{\otimes} B$ is $(\varphi_A \otimes \varphi_B, \psi_A \otimes \psi_B)$ -biprojective.

Proof. There exists an A -bimodule map $\theta_1 : A \rightarrow (A \hat{\otimes} A)$ with $\psi_A \circ \pi_A \circ \theta_1 \circ \varphi_A = \psi_A \circ \varphi_A$ and B -bimodule map $\theta_2 : B \rightarrow (B \hat{\otimes} B)$ with $\psi_B \circ \pi_B \circ \theta_2 \circ \varphi_B = \psi_B \circ \varphi_B$. Let $\theta_0 : (A \hat{\otimes} A) \hat{\otimes} (B \hat{\otimes} B) \rightarrow (A \hat{\otimes} B) \hat{\otimes} (A \hat{\otimes} B)$ be the isometric isomorphism given by $(a_1 \otimes a_2) \otimes (b_1 \otimes b_2) \mapsto (a_1 \otimes b_1) \otimes (a_2 \otimes b_2)$ ($a_1, a_2 \in A$, $b_1, b_2 \in B$). We let $\theta = \theta_0 \circ (\theta_1 \otimes \theta_2) : A \hat{\otimes} A \rightarrow (A \hat{\otimes} B) \hat{\otimes} (A \hat{\otimes} B)$. Then for $a \otimes b \in A \hat{\otimes} B$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{A \hat{\otimes} B} \circ \theta \circ (\varphi_A(a) \otimes \varphi_B(b)) &= \pi_{A \hat{\otimes} B} \circ \theta_0 \circ (\theta_1 \otimes \theta_2) \circ (\varphi_A(a) \otimes \varphi_B(b)) \\ &= \pi_A \otimes \pi_B \circ (\theta_1 \otimes \theta_2) (\varphi_A(a) \otimes \varphi_B(b)) \\ &= \pi_A \circ \theta_1 \circ \varphi_A(a) \otimes \pi_B \circ \theta_2 \circ \varphi_B(b). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(\psi_A \otimes \psi_B) \circ \pi_{A \hat{\otimes} B} \circ \theta \circ (\varphi_A(a) \otimes \varphi_B(b)) = \psi_A \circ \pi_A \circ \theta_1 \circ \varphi_A(a) \otimes \psi_B \circ \pi_B \circ \theta_2 \circ \varphi_B(b) = (\psi_A \circ \varphi_A) \otimes (\psi_B \circ \varphi_B)(a \otimes b) = (\psi_A \circ \varphi_A)(a) (\psi_B \circ \varphi_B)(b) = (\psi_A \otimes \psi_B) \circ (\varphi_A \otimes \varphi_B)(a \otimes b)$.

Therefore, $A \hat{\otimes} B$ is $(\varphi_A \otimes \varphi_B, \psi_A \otimes \psi_B)$ -biprojective. \square

The proof of the following result is similar to that of Proposition 2.3.

Proposition 2.4. *Let A be a (φ_A, ψ_A) -biprojective Banach algebra, and let B be a (φ_B, ψ_B) -biprojective Banach algebra with $\varphi_A \in \text{Hom}(A)$, $\psi_A \in \Phi_A$, $\varphi_B \in \text{Hom}(B)$ and $\psi_B \in \Phi_B$. Then $A \oplus B$ is a $(\varphi_A \oplus \varphi_B, \psi_A \oplus \psi_B)$ -biprojective.*

Proposition 2.5. *Let A be a unital Banach algebra, and B be a Banach algebra containing a non-zero idempotent b_0 . If $A \hat{\otimes} B$ is $(\varphi_A \otimes \varphi_B, \psi_A \otimes \psi_B)$ -biprojective, then A is (φ_A, ψ_A) -biprojective.*

Proof. There is an $A \hat{\otimes} B$ -bimodule $\theta : A \hat{\otimes} B \rightarrow (A \hat{\otimes} B) \hat{\otimes} (A \hat{\otimes} B)$ with $(\psi_A \otimes \psi_B) \circ \pi_{A \hat{\otimes} B} \circ \theta \circ (\varphi_A \otimes \varphi_B) = (\psi_A \otimes \psi_B) \circ (\varphi_A \otimes \varphi_B)$. We regard $A \hat{\otimes} B$ as an A -bimodule with the actions given by

$$a_1 \cdot (a_2 \otimes b) = a_1 a_2 \otimes b, \text{ and } (a_2 \otimes b) \cdot a_1 = a_2 a_1 \otimes b \quad (a_1, a_2 \in A, b \in B)$$

Then for $a_1, a_2 \in A$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(a_1 a_2 \otimes b_0) &= \theta((a_1 \otimes b_0)(a_2 \otimes b_0)) \\ &= (a_1 \otimes b_0) \cdot \theta((a_2 \otimes b_0)) \\ &= a_1 \cdot (e_A \otimes b_0) \cdot \theta((a_2 \otimes b_0)) \\ &= a_1 \cdot \theta((e_A \otimes b_0) \cdot (a_2 \otimes b_0)) \\ &= a_1 \cdot \theta(e_A \cdot a_2 \otimes b_0^2) \\ &= a_1 \cdot \theta(a_2 \otimes b_0). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show a right-module version of this equation. Hence we get

$$\theta(a_1 a_2 \otimes b_0) = a_1 \cdot \theta(a_2 \otimes b_0) = \theta(a_1 \otimes b_0) \cdot a_2 \quad (a_1, a_2 \in A).$$

Let $\psi_B(b_0) = 1$ and we define

$$\rho : (A \hat{\otimes} B) \hat{\otimes} (A \hat{\otimes} B) \longrightarrow (A \hat{\otimes} A), \quad (a_1 \otimes b_1) \otimes (a_2 \otimes b_2) \mapsto \psi_B(b_1 b_2) a_1 \otimes a_2,$$

where $a_1, a_2 \in A$ and $b_1, b_2 \in B$. Clearly ρ is a bounded linear operator.

We now define $\tilde{\theta} : A \longrightarrow (A \hat{\otimes} A)$ by

$$\tilde{\theta}(a) = \rho \circ \theta(a \otimes \varphi_B(b_0)) \quad (a \in A).$$

Then $\tilde{\theta}$ is an A -bimodule morphism. It follows from the identity

$$\pi_A \circ \rho = (id_A \otimes \psi_B) \circ \pi_{A \hat{\otimes} B}.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_A \circ \pi_A \circ \tilde{\theta} \circ \varphi_A(a) &= \psi_A \circ \pi_A \circ \rho \circ \theta(\varphi_A(a) \otimes \varphi_B(b_0)) \\ &= \psi_A \circ (id_A \otimes \psi_B) \circ \pi_{A \hat{\otimes} B} \circ \theta(\varphi_A(a) \otimes \varphi_B(b_0)) \\ &= (\psi_A \otimes \psi_B) \circ \pi_{A \hat{\otimes} B} \circ \theta(\varphi_A(a) \otimes \varphi_B(b_0)) \\ &= (\psi_A \otimes \psi_B) \circ (\varphi_A \otimes \varphi_B)(a \otimes b_0) \\ &= (\psi_A \circ \varphi_A)(a) (\psi_B \circ \varphi_B)(b_0) \\ &= (\psi_A \circ \varphi_A)(a). \end{aligned}$$

That is, A is (φ_A, ψ_A) -biprojective. \square

Definition 2.6. Let A be a Banach algebra, $\varphi \in Hom(A)$ and $\psi \in \Phi_A$. We say that A is (φ, ψ) -contractible if it has a central (φ, ψ) -diagonal, i.e., a (φ, ψ) -diagonal $m \in A \hat{\otimes} A$ satisfying $\varphi(a) \cdot m = m \cdot \varphi(a)$ for all $a \in A$ and also $\psi \circ \pi(m) = 1$.

Proposition 2.7. Let A be a Banach algebra, $\varphi \in Hom(A)$ and $\psi \in \Phi_A$. If A is (φ, ψ) -contractible, then A is (φ, ψ) -biprojective. The converse holds, whenever A is either unital or commutative and there is $a_0 \in A$ such that $\varphi(a_0) = a_0$.

Proof. Suppose that $m \in A \hat{\otimes} A$ is a central (φ, ψ) -diagonal for A . We define $\theta : A \longrightarrow A \hat{\otimes} A$ by $\theta(a) := a \cdot m$. Then for every $a \in A$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi \circ \pi \circ \theta \circ \varphi(a) &= \psi \circ \pi(\varphi(a) \cdot m) \\ &= \psi \circ (\varphi(a)) \psi \circ \pi(m) = \psi \circ (\varphi(a)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, A is (φ, ψ) -biprojective.

Conversely, since A is (φ, ψ) - biprojective, there is a bounded A - module morphism $\theta : A \longrightarrow A \hat{\otimes} A$ such that $\psi \circ \pi \circ \theta \circ \varphi(a) = \psi \circ (\varphi(a))$ ($a \in A$). Let e_A be an identity for A and let $m = \theta(e_A)$. Then m is a central (φ, ψ) -diagonal for A .

In the commutative case, let $a_o \in A$ be such that $\varphi(a_o) = a_o$. Suppose that $\psi(a_o) = 1$ and define $m = \theta(a_o)$, then m is a central (φ, ψ) -diagonal for A . Therefore, A is (φ, ψ) - contractible Banach algebra. \square

Example 2.8. Consider the semigroup \mathbb{N}_\wedge with the operation semigroup $m \wedge n = \min\{m, n\}$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. $\Phi_{l^1(\mathbb{N}_\wedge)} = \{\psi_n : l^1(\mathbb{N}_\wedge) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} | \psi_n(\sum_{i=1}^\infty c_i \delta_i) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty c_i, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then $l^1(\mathbb{N}_\wedge)$ is not biprojective [11]. But if we choose $\psi_1 \in \Phi_{l^1(\mathbb{N}_\wedge)}$, $\varphi \in Hom(l^1(\mathbb{N}_\wedge))$ and define $m = \delta_1 \otimes \delta_1$, then $\varphi(a) \cdot m = m \cdot \varphi(a)$ for all $a \in l^1(\mathbb{N}_\wedge)$ and also $\psi_1 \circ \pi(m) = 1$. Therefore $l^1(\mathbb{N}_\wedge)$ is a (φ, ψ_1) -contractible. By Proposition (2.7), $l^1(\mathbb{N}_\wedge)$ is a (φ, ψ_1) -biprojective.

Definition 2.9. Let A be a Banach algebra, $\varphi \in Hom(A)$ and $\psi \in \Phi_A$. A is called (φ, ψ) - biflat if there exists a bounded A -bimodule map $\theta : A \longrightarrow (A \hat{\otimes} A)^{**}$, where $\psi \circ \pi^{**} \circ \theta \circ \varphi = \psi \circ \varphi$.

i) Let A be a biflat Banach algebra. Then A is (φ, ψ) - biflat Banach algebra for every $\varphi \in Hom(A)$ and $\psi \in \Phi_A$.

ii) Let A be a (φ, ψ) -biprojective Banach algebra. Then A is (φ, ψ) - biflat Banach algebra for every $\varphi \in Hom(A)$ and $\psi \in \Phi_A$.

The following result can be found in [9].

Lemma 2.10. Let A be a Banach algebra. Then there exists an A -bimodule homomorphism $\gamma : (A \hat{\otimes} A)^* \longrightarrow (A^{**} \hat{\otimes} A^{**})^*$ such that for any functional $f \in (A \hat{\otimes} A)^*$, elements $\varphi, \psi \in A^{**}$ and nets $(a_\alpha), (b_\beta)$ in A with $w^* - \lim_\alpha a_\alpha = \varphi$ and $w^* - \lim_\beta b_\beta = \psi$ we have

$$\gamma(f)(\varphi \otimes \psi) = \lim_\alpha \lim_\beta f(a_\alpha \otimes b_\beta).$$

If $\psi \in \Phi_A$, then ψ has a unique extension on A^{**} which it by $\tilde{\psi}$ and defined by $\tilde{\psi}(F) = F(\psi)$ for every $F \in A^{**}$.

Theorem 2.11. Suppose that A is a Banach algebra, $\varphi \in Hom(A)$ and $\psi \in \Phi_A$. If A^{**} is $(\varphi^{**}, \tilde{\psi})$ -biprojective, then A is (φ, ψ) -biflat.

Proof. Let $\kappa : A \longrightarrow A^{**}$, $\kappa_1 : A^* \longrightarrow A^{***}$ and $\kappa_x : A^{**} \longrightarrow A^{****}$ denote the natural inclusions, π ($^{**}\pi$, respectively) the product maps on A (A^{**} , respectively) and let γ be defined as in Lemma 2.10. Then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A^* & \xrightarrow{\pi^*} & (A \hat{\otimes} A)^* \\
 \downarrow \kappa_1 & & \downarrow \gamma \\
 A^{***} & \xrightarrow{**\pi^*} & (A^{**} \hat{\otimes} A^{**})^*
 \end{array}$$

for each $a^* \in A^*$, elements $a_1^{**}, a_2^{**} \in A^{**}$ and nets $(a_\alpha), (b_\beta) \subset A$ with $w^* - \lim_\alpha a_\alpha = a_1^{**}, w^* - \lim_\beta b_\beta = a_2^{**}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\gamma(\pi^*(a^*))) (a_1^{**} \otimes a_2^{**}) &= \lim_\alpha \lim_\beta \pi^*(a^*)(a_\alpha \otimes b_\beta) \\
 &= \lim_\alpha \lim_\beta a^*(a_\alpha b_\beta) \\
 &= w^* - \lim_\alpha w^* - \lim_\beta \kappa(a_\alpha b_\beta)(a^*) \\
 &= \kappa_1(a^*)(a_1^{**} a_2^{**}) \\
 &= \kappa_1(a^*)(**\pi(a_1^{**} \otimes a_2^{**})) \\
 &= (**\pi^*(\kappa_1(a^*))) (a_1^{**} \otimes a_2^{**}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\gamma \circ \pi^* = **\pi^* \circ \kappa_1$. Hence $\pi^{**} \circ \gamma^* = \kappa_1^* \circ **\pi^{**}$. Since A^{**} is $(\varphi^{**}, \tilde{\psi})$ -biprojective, there is an A -bimodule map $\theta_0 : A^{**} \rightarrow (A^{**} \hat{\otimes} A^{**})$, such that $\tilde{\psi} \circ \pi \circ \theta_0 \circ \varphi^{**} = \tilde{\psi} \circ \varphi^{**}$. Putting $\theta := \gamma^* \circ \theta_0 \circ \kappa$, then for each $a \in A$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\psi} \circ \pi^{**} \circ \theta \circ \varphi(a) &= \tilde{\psi} \circ \pi^{**} \circ \gamma^* \circ \theta_0 \circ \kappa \circ \varphi(a) \\
 &= \tilde{\psi} \circ \kappa_1^* \circ \pi^{**} \circ \theta_0 \circ \kappa \circ \varphi(a) \\
 &= \tilde{\psi} \circ \kappa_1^* \circ \pi^{**} \circ \theta_0 \circ \varphi^{**}(a) \\
 &= \tilde{\psi} \circ \pi^{**} \circ \theta_0 \circ \varphi^{**}(a) = \psi \circ \varphi(a).
 \end{aligned}$$

That is, A is (φ, ψ) -biflat. \square

3. (φ, ψ) -Spseudo Amenable Banach Algebras

Suppose that A is a Banach algebra, $\varphi \in Hom(A)$ and $\psi \in \Phi_A$. Let X be a Banach A -bimodule. A linear operator $D : A \rightarrow X$ is a (φ, ψ) -derivation if it satisfies $D(ab) = D(a) \cdot \psi(b) + \varphi(a) \cdot D(b)$ for all $a, b \in A$. A (φ, ψ) -derivation D is (φ, ψ) -inner derivation if there is $x \in X$ such that $D(a) = \varphi(a) \cdot x - x \cdot \psi(a)$ for $a \in A$. Let $\mathcal{Z}_{(\varphi, \psi)}^1(A, X)$ be the set of all continuous (φ, ψ) -derivations and $\mathcal{N}_\varphi^1(A, X)$ be the set of all (φ, ψ) -inner derivations from A

into X . The first cohomology group $\mathcal{H}_{(\varphi, \psi)}^1(A, X)$ is defined the quotient space $\mathcal{Z}_{(\varphi, \psi)}^1(A, X)/\mathcal{N}_{(\varphi, \psi)}^1(A, X)$.

A Banach algebra A is called (φ, ψ) -amenable if $\mathcal{H}_{(\varphi, \psi)}^1(A, X^*) = \{0\}$, for all A -bimodules X .

Let A be a Banach algebra and X, Y be Banach A -bimodules. Then A -bimodule morphism from X to Y is a morphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\varphi(a \cdot x) = a \cdot \varphi(x), \quad \varphi(x \cdot a) = \varphi(x) \cdot a \quad (a \in A, x \in X)$$

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that A is a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity and $a \cdot b = \psi(a) \cdot b, \psi \circ \varphi(a) = 1$ for every $a, b \in A$. If A is (φ, ψ) -amenable, then A is a (φ, ψ) -biflat.*

Proof. Let (e_α) be a bounded approximate identity for A and E be a w^* -cluster point of $(\varphi(e_\alpha) \otimes \varphi(e_\alpha))$ in $(A \hat{\otimes} A)^{**}$. We define a (φ, ψ) - derivation $D : A \rightarrow (A \hat{\otimes} A)^{**}$ by $D(a) = \varphi(a) \cdot E - E \cdot \psi(a)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^{**}(D(a)) &= w^* - \lim_{\alpha} \pi[(\varphi(a)(\varphi(e_\alpha) \otimes \varphi(e_\alpha)) - (\varphi(e_\alpha) \otimes \varphi(e_\alpha))\psi(a)] \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} \varphi(a)\varphi(e_\alpha^2) - \varphi(e_\alpha^2)\psi(a) \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} \varphi(ae_\alpha^2) - \varphi(e_\alpha^2)\psi(a) \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} \psi(a)\varphi(e_\alpha^2) - \varphi(e_\alpha^2)\psi(a) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $D(A) \subseteq \ker(\pi^{**}) = (\ker \pi)^{**}$. So there exists $N \in (\ker \pi)^{**}$ such that $D(a) = \varphi(a) \cdot N - N \cdot \psi(a)$. Put $M = E - N$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi} \circ \pi^{**}(M) &= \tilde{\psi} \circ \pi^{**}(E - N) = \tilde{\psi} \circ \pi^{**}(E) \\ &= w^* - \lim_{\alpha} \tilde{\psi} \circ \pi(\varphi(e_\alpha) \otimes \varphi(e_\alpha)) \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} \psi \circ \varphi(e_\alpha^2) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

We now define $\theta : A \rightarrow (A \hat{\otimes} A)^{**}$ by $a \mapsto \psi(a) \cdot M$ ($a \in A$). Hence, for every $a \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi} \circ \pi^{**} \circ \theta \circ \varphi(a) &= \tilde{\psi} \circ \pi^{**}(\psi(\varphi(a)) \cdot M) \\ &= \psi(\varphi(a)). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.2. *Let A be a Banach algebra, $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(A)$ and $\psi \in \Phi_A$. We say that A is (φ, ψ) -approximate biprojective if there is a net $\theta_\alpha : A \rightarrow (A \hat{\otimes} A)(\alpha \in I)$ of continuous A -bimodule homomorphisms such that $\psi \circ \pi \circ \theta_\alpha \circ \varphi(a) \rightarrow \psi \circ \varphi(a)$.*

Let A be a biprojective Banach algebra. Then A is (φ, ψ) -approximate biprojective Banach algebra for every $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(A)$ and $\psi \in \Phi_A$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let A be a (φ, ψ) -approximate biprojective Banach algebra. If I is a closed ideal of A and $\varphi(I) \subset I$, then I is $(\varphi|_I, \psi|_I)$ -approximate biprojective.*

Proof. Suppose that $\theta_\alpha : A \longrightarrow (A \hat{\otimes} A)(\alpha \in I)$ satisfies $\psi \circ \pi_A \circ \theta_\alpha \circ \varphi(a) \mapsto \psi \circ \varphi(a)$ ($a \in A$) and $i_0 \in I$ such that $\psi(i_0) = 1$. Let $T : A \hat{\otimes} A \longrightarrow I \hat{\otimes} I$ be defined by $a \otimes b \mapsto ai_0 \otimes i_0b$ (since I is ideal, for every $a, b \in A$, then $ai_0, i_0b \in I$). We define $\rho_\alpha = T \circ \theta_\alpha|_I$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \psi \circ \pi_I \circ \rho_\alpha \circ \varphi(i) &= \psi \circ \pi_I \circ T \circ \theta_\alpha \circ \varphi(i) \\ &= \psi \circ \pi_A \circ \theta_\alpha \circ \varphi(i) \\ &\mapsto \psi \circ \varphi(i) \quad (i \in I). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the proof is completes. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose that A is a Banach algebra, $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(A)$ and $\psi \in \Phi_A$. If A is (φ, ψ) -biflat, then A is (φ, ψ) -approximate biprojective.*

Proof. Assume that $\theta : A \longrightarrow (A \hat{\otimes} A)^{**}$ is a continuous A -bimodule map such that $\tilde{\psi} \circ \pi_A^{**} \circ \theta \circ \varphi(a) = \psi \circ \varphi(a)$ ($a \in A$). By Goldstine's Theorem, there exists $(\theta_\alpha) \subset B(A, A \hat{\otimes} A)$ such that $\theta = w^* - \lim_\alpha \theta_\alpha$. For every $a \in A$ we have

$$w^* - \lim_\alpha \pi_A \circ \theta_\alpha \circ \varphi(a) = w^* - \lim_\alpha \pi_A^{**} \circ \theta_\alpha \circ \varphi(a) = \pi_A^{**} \circ \theta \circ \varphi(a).$$

So

$$\psi \circ \pi_A \circ \theta_\alpha \circ \varphi(a) \rightarrow \tilde{\psi} \circ \pi_A^{**} \circ \theta \circ \varphi(a).$$

Given $\varepsilon > 0$ and take $F = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r\} \subset A$. We put $M = \{\psi \circ \pi_A \circ T \circ \varphi(a_i) - \psi \circ \varphi(a_i) | T \in B(A, A \hat{\otimes} A)\}_{i=1, \dots, r}$. Applying Mazur's Theorem, we obtain a net $(\theta_{(F, \varepsilon)}) \subset B(A, A \hat{\otimes} A)$ such that

$$\psi \circ \pi_A \circ \theta_{(F, \varepsilon)} \circ \varphi(a) \longrightarrow \psi \circ \varphi(a)$$

So, A is (φ, ψ) -approximate biprojective. \square

Definition 3.5. *Let A be a Banach algebra, $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(A)$ and $\psi \in \Phi_A$. We say that A is (φ, ψ) -pseudo amenable if A admit a (φ, ψ) -approximate diagonal, i.e., there is a net $(m_\alpha) \subset A \hat{\otimes} A$ (not necessary bounded) such that $m_\alpha \cdot \varphi(a) - \varphi(a) \cdot m_\alpha \longrightarrow 0$ and $\psi \circ \pi(m_\alpha) \longrightarrow 1$ ($a \in A$).*

Theorem 3.6. *Suppose that A is a Banach algebra, $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(A)$ and $\psi \in \Phi_A$. If A^{**} is $(\varphi^{**}, \tilde{\psi})$ -pseudo amenable, then A is (φ, ψ) -pseudo amenable.*

Proof. Let (\tilde{m}_α) be a (φ, ψ) -approximate diagonal for A^{**} . Then for every $a \in A$ we have $(\tilde{m}_\alpha \cdot \varphi(a) - \varphi(a) \cdot \tilde{m}_\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ and $\psi \circ \pi(\tilde{m}_\alpha) \rightarrow 1$. By Goldstine's Theorem there is a net (\hat{m}_α) in $(A \hat{\otimes} A)$, and we can replace weak* convergence in the above two limit by weak convergence. This implies, by Mazur's Theorem, that A is (φ, ψ) - pseudo amenable. \square

Theorem 3.7. *Suppose that A is a Banach algebra with an approximate identity. Then A is (φ, ψ) - pseudo amenable if and only if A is φ - approximate biprojective.*

Proof. Let $(e_\beta)_{\beta \in I}$ be an approximate identity for A and suppose that $\theta_\alpha : A \rightarrow (A \hat{\otimes} A)$ ($\alpha \in \Delta$) satisfies $\psi \circ \pi \circ \theta_\alpha \circ \varphi(a) \rightarrow \psi \circ \varphi(a)$ ($a \in A$). Let $E = I \times \Delta^I$ be directed by the product ordering and for each $\lambda = (\beta, \alpha) \in E$, define $m_\lambda = \theta_\alpha(\varphi(e_\beta))$. Using the iterated limit theorem [7, Theorem 2.4], we get

$$\lim_{\lambda} (m_\lambda \cdot \varphi(a) - \varphi(a) \cdot m_\lambda) = 0 \quad (a \in A),$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\lambda} \psi \circ \pi(m_\lambda) &= \lim_{\lambda} \psi \circ \pi(\theta_\alpha(\varphi(e_\beta))) \\ &= \lim_{\lambda} \psi \circ \varphi(e_\beta) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

That is, A is (φ, ψ) - pseudo amenable. Conversely, let (m_β) be a (φ, ψ) -approximate diagonal for A and define $\theta_\beta : A \rightarrow (A \hat{\otimes} A)$ by $a \mapsto a \cdot m_\beta$. Then for every $a \in A$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi \circ \pi \circ \theta_\beta \circ \varphi(a) &= \psi \circ \pi \circ (\varphi(a) \cdot m_\beta) \\ &= \psi \circ \varphi(a) \psi \circ \pi(m_\beta) \\ &\rightarrow \psi \circ \varphi(a). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgements

We thank the referees for their time and comments.

References

[1] M. Ashraf and N.Rehman, On $(\sigma - \tau)$ derivations in prime rings, *Arch. Math. (BRNO)*., 38 (2002), 259-264.
 [2] H. G. Dales, *Banach Algebras and Automatic Continuity*, London Mathematical Society Monographs, 24 (Clarendon Press, Oxford), (2000).

- [3] A. Y. Helemskii, *Banach and Locally Convex Algebras*, Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, (1993).
- [4] A. Y. Helemskii, Flat Banach modules and amenable algebras (translated from the Russian). *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.*, 47 (1985), 199-224.
- [5] A. Y. Helemskii, *The Homology of Banach and Topological Algebras*, 41, of Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series), Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, (1989).
- [6] B. E. Johnson, Cohomology in Banach algebras, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 127, (1972).
- [7] E. Kaniuth, A. Lau, and J. Pym, On φ -amenability of Banach algebras, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, 144 (2008), 85-96.
- [8] J. L. Kelley, *General Topology*, D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc., New York, (1955).
- [9] M. Mirzavaziri and M. S. Moslehian, σ -derivations in Banach algebras, *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.*, (2006), 65-78.
- [10] M. S. Moslehian and A. N. Motlagh, Some notes on (σ, τ) -amenability of Banach algebras, *Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math.*, 53 (2008), 57-68.
- [11] P. Ramsden, Biflatness of semigroup algebras, *Semigroup Forum*, 79 (2009), 515-530.
- [12] V. Runde, *Lectures on Amenability*, Lecture notes in mathematics, 1774, Springer, (2002).

Zahra Ghorbani

Assistant Professor of Mathematics
Department of Mathematics
Jahrom University
P.O. Box 74135-111
Jahrom, Iran
E-mail: ghorbani@jahromu.ac.ir

Javad Baradaran

Assistant Professor of Mathematics
Department of Mathematics
Jahrom University
P.O. Box 74135-111
Jahrom, Iran
E-mail: baradaran@jahromu.ac.ir