

## Stability of Special Functional Equations on Banach Lattices

H. Behroozizadeh

South Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University

H. Azadi Kenary\*

Yasouj University

**Abstract.** In this paper, using direct method, we prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the some functional equations on Banach lattices.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 47H10; 39B72

**Keywords and Phrases:** Stability, Banach space, mixed type additive-quadratic and cubic, functional equation, direct mehtod, lattice

### 1. Introduction

We say that a functional equation  $Q$  is stable if any function  $g$  satisfying the equation  $Q$  approximately is near to true solution of  $Q$ .

In 1940, S. M. Ulam [8], while he was giving a talk before the mathematics club of the University of Wisconsin, he proposed a number of important unsolved problems. One of the problems is the stability of functional equations. In the last five decades the problem was tackled by numerous authors [3, 6]

---

Received: September 2018; Accepted: March 2019

\*Corresponding author

Its solutions via various forms of functional equations like additive, quadratic, Cubic and quartic and its mixed forms were discussed.

Ulam's stability problem states as follows:

Let  $G$  be a group and let  $H$  be a metric group with metric  $d(., .)$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  dose exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that if a function  $f : G \rightarrow H$  satisfies the inequality  $d(f(xy), f(x)f(y)) < \delta$  for all  $x, y \in G$ , then there exists a homomorphism  $A : G \rightarrow H$  with  $d(f(x), A(x)) < \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in G$ ?

In 1941, Hyers [6] gave a first affirmative answer to the question of Ulam for Banach spaces as follows:

**Theorem 1.1.** [4](Hyers) *Let  $E, E'$  be Banach spaces and let  $f : E \rightarrow E'$  be a mapping satisfying:*

$$\|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varepsilon \quad (1)$$

for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  and all  $x, y \in E$ . Then the limit  $A(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}$  exists for all  $x \in E$  and  $A : E \rightarrow E'$  is the unique additive mapping satisfying:

$$\|f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \varepsilon \quad (2)$$

for all  $x \in E$ . Moreover, If  $f(tx)$  is continuous in  $t$  for each fixed  $x \in E$ , then  $A$  is linear.

**Proof.** See [4].  $\square$

In 1983, Skof proved the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability problem for quadratic of the following functional equation:

$$f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y) \quad (3)$$

for a class of functions  $f : A \rightarrow B$  where  $A$  is a normed space and  $B$  is a Banach space ([1, 7]).

In 1994, a generalization of the Rassias's theorem was obtained by Gavruta [5] by replacing the unbounded Cauchy difference by a general control function in the spirit of Rassias approach.

In 2003, Cadariu and Radu applied the fixed point method to the investigation of the Jensen functional equation [3]. They could present a

short and simple proof (different of the *direct method*, initiated by Hyers in 1941 ) for the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of Jensen functional equation [3], for Cauchy functional equation [2].

In this paper, using direct method we investigate the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} a) f(x+2y) - f(x-2y) &= 2(f(x+y) - f(x-y)) + 2f(3y) - 6f(2y) + 6f(y), \\ b) f(3x+y) + f(3x-y) &= 3(f(x+y) + f(x-y)) + 48f(x). \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 1.2.** *The additive Cauchy equation  $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$  is said to have the Hyers-Ulam stability on  $(E, E')$  if for every  $f : E \rightarrow E'$  satisfying the inequality (1) for some  $\varepsilon \geq 0$  and for all  $x, y \in E$ , there exists an additive function  $A : E \rightarrow E'$  such that  $f - A$  is bounded on  $E$  [4].*

An ordered set  $(M, \leq)$  is called a *lattice* if any two elements  $x, y \in M$  have a least upper bound denoted by  $x \vee y = \sup\{x, y\}$  and a greatest lower bound denoted by  $x \wedge y = \inf\{x, y\}$ .

Similarly, we denote the supremum and the infimum for arbitrary subsets. If  $v$  is the least upper bound of a subset  $A \subset M$ , then we will write

$$v = \sup(A) = \bigvee_{x \in A} x = \sup\{x : x \in A\}.$$

If  $u$  is the greatest lower bound of  $A$ , then we will write

$$u = \inf(A) = \bigwedge_{x \in A} x = \inf\{x : x \in A\}.$$

Of course, if  $\sup(A)$  exists, then  $A$  is bounded from above. To use the lattice notation, let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  ( $\mathbb{R}$  is a Banach lattice) then we have :

$$x + y = x \vee y + x \wedge y, \tag{4}$$

and

$$x - y = x \vee (-y) + x \wedge (-y). \tag{5}$$

and using Relation (4) and (5) we obtain that:

$$x = \frac{1}{2} \left( x \vee y + x \wedge y + x \vee (-y) + x \wedge (-y) \right), \quad (6)$$

and

$$y = \frac{1}{2} \left( x \vee y + x \wedge y + (-x) \vee y + (-x) \wedge y \right). \quad (7)$$

## 2. Main Results

In this section, we deal with prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the following a Mixed Type Additive, Quadratic, and Cubic functional equation in Banach lattices.

$$\begin{aligned} f(x+2y) - f(x-2y) &= 2 \left( f(x+y) - f(x-y) \right) \\ &\quad + 2f(3y) - 6f(2y) + 6f(y), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

By (4), (5), (6), (7), The above Mixed functional equation in the lattices form is the following:

$$\begin{aligned} &f \left( \frac{3}{2} (x \vee y + x \wedge y) - \frac{1}{2} (x \vee (-y) + x \wedge (-y)) \right) \\ &= 2 \left( f(x \vee y + x \wedge y) - f(x \vee (-y) + x \wedge (-y)) \right) \\ &\quad + 2f \left( \frac{3}{2} (x \vee y + x \wedge y + y \vee (-x) + y \wedge (-x)) \right) \\ &\quad - 6f \left( (x \vee y + x \wedge y + y \vee (-x) + y \wedge (-x)) \right) \\ &\quad + 6f \left( \frac{1}{2} (x \vee y + x \wedge y + y \vee (-x) + y \wedge (-x)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two Banach lattices and,  $f : X \longrightarrow Y$  define the difference operator  $D_f : X \times X \longrightarrow Y$  by

$$D_f(x, y) = f(x + 2y) - f(x - 2y) - 2(f(x + y) - f(x - y)) - 2f(3y) + 6f(2y) - 6f(y),$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . We consider the following functional inequality

$$\|D_f(x, y)\| \leq \phi(x, y),$$

for an upper bound  $\phi : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two Banach lattices and  $s \in \{-1, 1\}$  be fixed. Suppose that an even mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies  $f(0) = 0$  and*

$$\|D_f(x, y)\| \leq \phi(x, y), \tag{9}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . If the upper bound  $\phi : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , is a mapping such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 4^{si} \left( \phi(2^{-si}x, 2^{-si}x) + \frac{1}{2}\phi(0, 2^{-si}x) \right) < \infty,$$

and that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^{sn} (\phi(2^{-si}x, 2^{-si}y)) = 0,$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , the limit

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^{sn} f(2^{-si}x),$$

exists for all  $x \in X$ , and  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  is a unique quadratic function satisfying (8) and

$$\left\| \left( f(x) \vee (-Q(x)) + f(x) \wedge (-Q(x)) \right) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=(s+1)/2}^{\infty} 4^{si} \left( \phi(2^{-si}x, 2^{-si}x) + \frac{1}{2}\phi(0, 2^{-si}x) \right), \tag{10}$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

**Proof.** Let  $s = 1$ . putting  $x = 0$  in (9), we get

$$\left\| 2 \left( \left( f(3y) \vee (-3f(2y) + f(3y) \wedge (-3f(2y))) \vee (3f(y)) \right) + 2 \left( \left( f(3y) \vee (-3f(2y) + f(3y) \wedge (-3f(2y))) \wedge (3f(y)) \right) \right) \right\| \leq \phi(0, y),$$

for all  $y \in X$ . On the other hand by replacing  $y$  by  $x$  in (9), it follows that

$$\left\| \left( \left( -f(3y) \vee (4f(2y) + (-f(3y) \wedge (4f(2y)))) \vee (-7f(y)) \right) + \left( \left( -f(3y) \vee (4f(2y) + (-f(3y) \wedge (4f(2y)))) \wedge (-7f(y)) \right) \right) \right\| \leq \phi(y, y),$$

for all  $y \in X$ .

Let  $s = 1$ . By combining two equations obtained by putting  $x = 0$  in (9) and replacing  $y$  by  $x$  in (9), it follows that :

$$\left\| \left( 2f(2y) \vee (-8f(y)) + \left( 2f(2y) \right) \wedge (-8f(y)) \right) \right\| \leq \phi(0, y) + 2\phi(y, y), \quad (11)$$

for all  $y \in X$ . With the substitution  $y := \frac{x}{2}$  in (11) and then dividing both sides of inequality by 2, we get

$$\left\| \left( f(x) \vee \left( -4f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right) + \left( f(x) \right) \wedge \left( -4f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right) \right) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( 2\phi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) + \phi\left(0, \frac{x}{2}\right) \right). \quad (12)$$

Now, using methods similar, we can easily show that the function  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  defined by

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n f(2^{-n}x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ , is unique quadratic function satisfying in (8), (10). Let  $s = -1$ , replace  $2x$  by  $x$  and also dividing both sides of inequality by 4, using by (12), we have

$$\left\| \left( -f(x) \vee \left( \frac{f(2x)}{4} \right) + \left( -f(x) \right) \wedge \left( \frac{f(2x)}{4} \right) \right) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{8} \left( 2\phi(x, x) + \phi(0, x) \right),$$

for all  $x \in X$ . And analogously, as in the case  $s = -1$ , we can show that the function  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  defined by

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^{-n} f(2^n x)$$

is unique quadratic function satisfying in (8), (10).  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two Banach lattices. Function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfying in the following functional equation*

$$f(2x + y) + f(2x - y) = 2\left(f(x + y) + f(x - y)\right) + 12f(x), \quad (13)$$

*if and only if  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies in the functional equation*

$$f(mx + y) + f(mx - y) = m\left(f(x + y) + f(x - y)\right) + 2(m^3 - m)f(x),$$

*for any natural number  $m \geq 3$ .*

**Proof.** Let Function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies in (13). If we put  $x = y = 0$  in (13), we have  $f(0) = 0$ , and if we put  $x = 0$  in (13), we get  $f(-y) = -f(y)$ , also we put  $y = 0$  in (13), and we have  $f(2x) = 8f(x)$ . Furthermore, replacing  $y$  by  $x$  and  $y$  by  $2x$  in (13), then we have  $f(3x) = 27f(x)$  and  $f(2x) = 8f(x)$ .

Then for all  $x, y \in X$ , all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , replacing  $y$  by  $x + y$  in (13), we get

$$f(3x + y) + f(x - y) = 2\left(f(2x + y) - f(y)\right) + 12f(x), \quad (14)$$

then replacing  $y$  by  $y - x$  in (13), for  $x, y \in X$ , we have

$$f(x + y) + f(3x - y) = 2\left(f(y) + f(2x - y)\right) + 12f(x). \quad (15)$$

Combining (14) and (15), we lead to

$$f(3x + y) + f(3x - y) = 3\left(f(x + y) + f(x - y)\right) + 48f(x).$$

So by this method we get

$$f(mx + y) + f(mx - y) = m\left(f(x + y) + f(x - y)\right) + 2(m^3 - m)f(x).$$

The converse of theorem is automatically consistent.  $\square$

Now, we prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the following Cubic functional equation in Banach lattice .

$$f(3x + y) + f(3x - y) = 3\left(f(x + y) + f(x - y)\right) + 48f(x),$$

The above Cubic functional equation in the lattices form is the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & f\left(\left(x \vee y + x \wedge y\right) - 2\left((-x \vee y) + (-x \wedge y)\right)\right) \\ & + f\left(\left(x \vee (-y) + x \wedge (-y)\right) + 2\left(x \vee y + x \wedge y\right)\right) \\ & = 3f\left(x \vee y + x \wedge y\right) + 3f\left(x \vee (-y) + x \wedge (-y)\right) \\ & + 48f\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(x \vee y + x \wedge y + x \vee (-y) + x \wedge (-y)\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two Banach lattices and  $\phi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function satisfying in equality:*

$$\Phi(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{27^i} \phi\left(\frac{3^i x}{3}, \frac{3^i y}{3}\right) < \infty$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and also,  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f\left(\left(x \vee y + x \wedge y\right) - 2\left((-x \vee y) + (-x \wedge y)\right)\right) \right. \\ & + f\left(\left(x \vee (-y) + x \wedge (-y)\right) + 2\left(x \vee y + x \wedge y\right)\right) \\ & - 3f\left(x \vee y + x \wedge y\right) - 3f\left(x \vee (-y) + x \wedge (-y)\right) \\ & \left. - 48f\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(x \vee y + x \wedge y + x \vee (-y) + x \wedge (-y)\right)\right) \right\| \\ & \leq \phi(x, y) \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$



then there exists an unique cubic function  $C : X \longrightarrow Y$  for all  $x, y \in X$  such that:

$$\left\| C(x) \vee (-f(x)) + C(x) \wedge (-f(x)) \right\| \leq \Phi(x, 0). \quad (17)$$

**Proof.** If we put  $y = 0$  in (16), since:

$$x \vee 0 = 0, \quad x \wedge 0 = x, \quad \text{for } x < 0$$

$$x \vee 0 = x, \quad x \wedge 0 = 0, \quad \text{for } x > 0,$$

for all  $x \in X$ , then we get:

$$\left\| f(3x) \vee (-27f(x)) + f(3x) \wedge (-27f(x)) \right\| \leq \phi(x, 0),$$

hence:

$$\left\| \frac{f(3x)}{27} \vee (-f(x)) + \frac{f(3x)}{27} \wedge (-f(x)) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{27} \phi(x, 0). \quad (18)$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $3x$  in (18) we get:

$$\left\| \frac{f(3^2x)}{27} \vee (-f(3x)) + \frac{f(3^2x)}{27} \wedge (-f(3x)) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{27} \phi(3x, 0),$$

therefor

$$\left\| \frac{f(3^2x)}{27^2} \vee \left(-\frac{f(3x)}{27}\right) + \frac{f(3^2x)}{27^2} \wedge \left(-\frac{f(3x)}{27}\right) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{27^2} \phi(3x, 0),$$

so we have

$$\left\| \frac{f(3^2x)}{27^2} \vee (-f(x)) + \frac{f(3^2x)}{27^2} \wedge (-f(x)) \right\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{27^i} \phi\left(\frac{3^i x}{3}, 0\right).$$

By induction on  $n$ , we will prove that

$$\left\| \frac{f(3^n x)}{27^n} \vee (-f(3x)) + \frac{f(3^n x)}{27^n} \wedge (-f(3x)) \right\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{27^i} \phi\left(\frac{3^i x}{3}, 0\right) \quad (19)$$

To prove (19), let (19) holds for each  $k \leq n$ , then we want to prove it for case  $n = k + 1$  is hold. For this replacing  $x$  by  $3x$  in (19) , then we have

$$\left\| \frac{f(3^{k+1}x)}{27^k} \vee (-f(3x)) + \frac{f(3^{k+1}x)}{27^k} \wedge (-f(3x)) \right\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{27^i} \phi\left(3^i x, 0\right)$$

The dividing both sides of the above by 27, we get

$$\left\| \frac{f(3^{k+1}x)}{27^{k+1}} \vee \left(-\frac{f(3x)}{27}\right) + \frac{f(3^{k+1}x)}{27^{k+1}} \wedge \left(-\frac{f(3x)}{27}\right) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{27} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{27^i} \phi\left(3^i x, 0\right),$$

therefor

$$\left\| \frac{f(3^{k+1}x)}{27^{k+1}} \vee (-f(3x)) + \frac{f(3^{k+1}x)}{27^{k+1}} \wedge (-f(3x)) \right\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{1}{27^i} \phi\left(\frac{3^i x}{3}, 0\right).$$

Now we show that  $\left\{ \frac{f(3^n x)}{27^n} \right\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Let  $n > m > 0$ , then:

$$\left\| \frac{f(3^n x)}{27^n} \vee \left(-\frac{f(3^m x)}{27^m}\right) + \frac{f(3^n x)}{27^n} \wedge \left(-\frac{f(3^m x)}{27^m}\right) \right\| \leq \sum_{i=m+1}^n \frac{1}{27^i} \phi\left(\frac{3^i x}{3}, 0\right) < \infty.$$

Taking the limit as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  yields:

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{f(3^n x)}{27^n} \vee \left(-\frac{f(3^m x)}{27^m}\right) + \frac{f(3^n x)}{27^n} \wedge \left(-\frac{f(3^m x)}{27^m}\right) \right\| = 0.$$

Then  $\left\{ \frac{f(3^n x)}{27^n} \right\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $Y$  for all  $x \in X$ , and since  $Y$  is Banach space, hence is converge to  $Y$  Let:

$$C(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(3^n x)}{27^n}.$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $3^n x$  and  $y$  by  $3^n y$  in (16), and Then dividing both sides of the obtained in equalities by  $27^n$  and finally taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

we have :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{27^n} f \left( 3^n (x \vee y + x \wedge y) - 2 \times 3^n ((-x \vee y) + (-x \wedge y)) \right) \right. \\
& + f \left( \frac{1}{9^n} (x \vee (-y) + x \wedge (-y)) + \frac{2}{9^n} (x \vee y + x \wedge y) \right) \\
& - 3f \left( \frac{1}{9^n} (x \vee y + x \wedge y) \right) - 3f \left( \frac{1}{9^n} (x \vee (-y) + x \wedge (-y)) \right) \\
& \left. - 48f \left( \frac{(x \vee y + x \wedge y + x \vee (-y) + x \wedge (-y))}{2 \times 9^n} \right) \right\| \\
& \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{27^n} \phi(3^n x, 3^n y),
\end{aligned}$$

and therefor, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& C \left( (x \vee y + x \wedge y) - 2(-x \vee y) + (-x \wedge y) \right) \\
& + C \left( (x \vee (-y) + x \wedge (-y)) + 2(x \vee y + x \wedge y) \right) \\
& - 3C(x \vee y + x \wedge y) - 3C(x \vee (-y) + x \wedge (-y)) \\
& - 48C \left( \frac{1}{2} (x \vee y + x \wedge y + x \vee (-y) + x \wedge (-y)) \right) \\
& = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Then  $C : X \rightarrow Y$  is a Cubic function. Let  $K : X \rightarrow Y$  is an another Cubic function with the property (17), then for all  $x \in X$  we have:

$$\left\| C(x) \vee (-K(x)) + C(x) \wedge (-K(x)) \right\| \leq 2 \times \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{27^{i+1}} \phi(3^i x, 0).$$

Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $C(x) = K(x)$ . Then  $C$  is the unique Cubic function satisfying in the inequality (17), which ends the proof.  $\square$

## References

- [1] *Fixed Point Methods for the Generalized Stability of Functional Equations in a Single Variable*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications 2008, Art. ID 749392 (2008).
- [2] L. Cadariu and V. Radu, Fixed points and the stability of *Jensen's* functional equation, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, 4 (2003), Article ID 4.
- [3] Y. J. Cho and R. Saadati, Lattice Non-Archimedean Random Stability of ACQ Functional Equation, *Advan. in Diff. Equat.*, 2011, 2011:31
- [4] P. Emyer-Nieberg, *Banach Lattices*, Springer-Verlag New York Berlin Heidelberg, (1991).
- [5] P. Gavruta, A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 184 (1994), 431-436.
- [6] D. H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., U.S.A.*, 27 (1941), 222-224.
- [7] S. M. Jung, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Nonlinear Analysis*, springer Optimization and its Applications, Vol. 48. springer, NewYork, 2011.
- [8] S. M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Science Ed., Wiley, New York, 1964.

### **Houshang Behroozizadeh**

Assistant Professor of Mathematics  
Department of Mathematics  
South Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University  
Tehran, Iran  
E-mail: behroozi\_2007@yahoo.com

### **Hassan Azadi Kenary**

Associate Professor of Mathematics  
Department of Mathematics, College of Science  
Yasouj University  
Yasouj, Iran  
E-mail: azadi@yu.ac.ir