

## Axioms of (Extended) $d$ -homology Theory

M. Z. Kazemi Baneh

University of Kurdistan

**Abstract.** In this article, singular  $d$ -homology, singular extended  $d$ -homology, relative singular  $d$ -homology and relative singular extended  $d$ -homology functors are introduced and some axioms, such as dimension axiom, homotopy axiom, excision axiom and exactness axiom, of homology theory relative to these homologies are investigated and are proved to hold.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 18E10; 55N20

**Keywords and Phrases:** Singular (extended)  $d$ -homology, dimension axiom, homotopy axiom, excision axiom, exactness axiom

### 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

$d$ -homology and extended  $d$ -homology functors have been defined in more general categories than the abelian categories in [4, 9]; some related results and examples have been given as well. In [6], the homotopy and dimension axioms have been proved. Under certain conditions, exactness axiom has been investigated in [9].

In this section, the definitions of a kernel transformation and (extended)  $d$ -homology are recalled. In Section 2, first the definitions of singular (extended)  $d$ -homology are introduced; then a comparison of the singular homology and singular (extended)  $d$ -homology is given. Also the axioms of singular (extended)  $d$ -homology are investigated and established. Finally in Section 3, relative singular (extended)  $d$ -homology is defined and a comparison of the relative singular homology and relative

singular (extended)  $d$ -homology is given. Some axioms of relative singular (extended)  $d$ -homology are investigated and established as well.

Throughout the manuscript we let  $Rmod$  denote the category of  $R$ -modules over a principal ideal domain. To this end, for a pointed category  $\mathcal{C}$ , following the notation of [4], we recall:

- For  $f : A \rightarrow B$ , the maps  $K_f \xrightarrow{k_f} A$ ,  $B \xrightarrow{c_f} C_f$  and  $P_f \xrightarrow[\pi_2]{\pi_1} A$  are respectively the kernel, the cokernel and the kernel pair of  $f$  and the image  $I_f$  of  $f$  is the coequalizer of the kernel pair of  $f$ .  $f$  can be factored as  $f = m_f e_f$  that  $e_f$  is the coequalizer of the kernel pair of  $f$ .
- For a pair of maps  $A \xrightarrow[f]{g} B$ , the maps  $Equ(f, g) \xrightarrow{equ(f, g)} A$  and  $B \xrightarrow{coe(f, g)} Coe(f, g)$  are respectively the equalizer and the coequalizer of  $(f, g)$ .

For a category  $\mathcal{C}$  with a zero object, pullbacks and pushouts, let  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$  be the arrow category and  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$  be the pair-chain category of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $K : \bar{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be the kernel functor and  $I : \bar{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be the image functor.

- The natural transformation  $j : I \circ pr_1 \rightarrow K \circ pr_2 : \hat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  takes the object  $(f, g) \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}$  to  $j_{fg} : I_f \rightarrow K_g$ .
- The homology functor  $H^s$  that takes the object  $(f, g) \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}$  to  $H_{fg}^s = Coker(j_{fg})$  is called the standard homology functor. See [1, 10]
- Let  $S$  be the squaring functor. A kernel transformation in a category  $\mathcal{C}$  is a natural transformation  $d : S \circ K \rightarrow K : \bar{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  such that for all  $(f, g)$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ , the pullback,  $j_{fg}^* : R_{fg} \rightarrow K_g^2$ , of  $j_{fg}$  along  $d_g$  and the coequalizer of the pair  $j_1 = pr_1 \circ j_{fg}^*$  and  $j_2 = pr_2 \circ j_{fg}^*$  exist, where  $pr_1$  and  $pr_2$  are the projection maps.

Any kernel transformation in  $Rmod$  is of the form  $d = rpr_1 + spr_2$ , for some  $r, s \in R$ .

- The  $d$ -homology functor  $H^d : \hat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  takes  $(f, g) \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}$  to  $H_{fg}^d = Coe(j_1, j_2)$ .
- Let  $m : A \rightarrow C$  and  $j : B \rightarrow C$  be two maps in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Define  $A +_C B$  also denoted by  $A + B$  by the pushout of the pair  $(\alpha, \gamma)$  where is the pullback of  $(j, m)$ .

• Let  $d$  be a kernel transformation,  $(f, g) \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $\Delta$  be the diagonal map. We have  $m_{d_g \Delta_g} : I_{d_g \Delta_g} \rightarrow K_g$ , such that  $m_{d_g \Delta_g} e_{d_g \Delta_g} = d_g \Delta_g$ . Now in the following diagram since the outer square is a pullback and the inner square is a pushout, there is a unique map  $\beta : I_{d_g \Delta_g} + I_f \rightarrow K_g$  making the following diagram commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 P_{jm} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & I_{d_g \Delta_g} \\
 \gamma \downarrow & \text{po} & \downarrow h \\
 I_f & \xrightarrow{i} & I_{d_g \Delta_g} + I_f \\
 & \searrow j_{fg} & \downarrow \beta \\
 & & K_g
 \end{array}$$

$m_{d_g \Delta_g}$  (curved arrow from  $I_{d_g \Delta_g}$  to  $K_g$ )

The extended  $d$ -homology functor  $\bar{H}^d : \hat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  takes  $(f, g) \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}$  to  $\bar{H}_{fg}^d = C_\beta$ , the cokernel of  $\beta$ .

## 2. Axioms of Singular (Extended) $d$ -Homology

**Definition 2.1.** *Composition of the functors,*

$$\text{Top} \xrightarrow{S_{\Delta_*}} \text{Set}^{\Delta^{op}} \xrightarrow{F^{\Delta^{op}}} \text{Rmod}^{\Delta^{op}} \xrightarrow{C_*} C_* \text{Rmod} \xrightarrow{H_*^d} g\text{Rmod}$$

is called the singular  $d$ -homology functor, where  $\text{Top}$ ,  $\text{Set}^{\Delta^{op}}$ ,  $\text{Rmod}^{\Delta^{op}}$ ,  $C_* \text{Rmod}$  and  $g\text{Rmod}$  are respectively the categories of topological spaces, simplicial sets, simplicial  $R$ -modules, chain complexes of  $R$ -modules and graded  $R$ -modules and  $S_{\Delta_*}$ ,  $F^{\Delta^{op}}$ ,  $C_*$  and  $H_*^d$  are respectively the singular functor, the functor generated by the free functor  $F : \text{Set} \rightarrow \text{Rmod}$ , chain generating functor and the  $d$ -homology functor.

Similarly the composition of the functors,

$$\text{Top} \xrightarrow{S_{\Delta_*}} \text{Set}^{\Delta^{op}} \xrightarrow{F^{\Delta^{op}}} \text{Rmod}^{\Delta^{op}} \xrightarrow{C_*} C_* \text{Rmod} \xrightarrow{\bar{H}_*^d} g\text{Rmod}$$

is called the singular extended  $d$ -homology functor.

**Theorem 2.2.** [6, 7, 9]. *Let  $d$  be a kernel transformation in  $\mathcal{C}$ . There are pointwise regular epi natural transformations:*

(a)  $p : H^s \rightarrow H^d : \hat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ ;

$$(b) p : H^s \rightarrow \bar{H}^d : \hat{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}.$$

**Proposition 2.3.** [5]. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an abelian category. For  $(f, g) \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}$ ,  $p_{fg} : H_{fg}^s \cong \bar{H}_{fg}^d$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $I_{d_g \Delta_g}$  is a subobject of  $I_f$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** [9]. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an abelian category and  $d = rpr_1 + spr_2$ . If for all  $A$ ,  $r : A \rightarrow A$  or  $s : A \rightarrow A$  is monic, then  $H^d \cong_n \bar{H}^d$ .

**Theorem 2.5. Dimension Axiom:** Let  $X$  be a one point topological space. Then for a kernel transformation  $d = rpr_1 + spr_2$  we have:

$$(a) H_n^d(X) = \begin{cases} \frac{R}{(j_1 - j_2)K_0} & \text{for } n = 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } n \neq 0 \end{cases},$$

in which  $K_0 = Ker(d_0)$ ;

$$(b) \bar{H}_n^d(X) = \begin{cases} \frac{R}{(r+s)R} & \text{for } n = 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } n \neq 0 \end{cases}.$$

**Proof.** Since  $C_*(X)$  is the chain

and  $d_0(a, b) = ra + sb$ , the result follows, see [5].  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** [6]. If  $f_* \sim g_* : C_* \rightarrow D_*$  are homotopic chain maps, then:

$$(a) H^d(f_*) = H^d(g_*);$$

$$(b) \bar{H}^d(f_*) = \bar{H}^d(g_*).$$

**Lemma 2.7.** The functors

$$(a) S_{\Delta_*} : Top \rightarrow Set^{\Delta^{op}};$$

$$(b) F^{\Delta^{op}} : Set^{\Delta^{op}} \rightarrow Rmod^{\Delta^{op}};$$

$$(c) C_* : Rmod^{\Delta^{op}} \rightarrow C_*Rmod;$$

preserve homotopy.

**Proof.** (a) If  $H : X \times I \rightarrow Y$  is a homotopy from  $f$  to  $g$ , then  $h_i^n : Top(\Delta_n, X) \rightarrow Top(\Delta_{n+1}, Y)$  defined by  $h_i^n(k) = H \circ (k \times 1) \circ \langle \sigma_i, \pi_i \rangle$  is a homotopy from  $S_{\Delta_*}(f)$  to  $S_{\Delta_*}(g)$  in which  $\sigma_i(x_0, \dots, x_{n+1}) =$

$(x_0, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{n+1})$  and  $\pi_i(x_0, \dots, x_{n+1}) = \sum_{t=i}^n x_{t+1}$ .

(b) If  $h$  is a homotopy from  $f$  to  $g$  in  $Set^{\Delta^{op}}$ , then  $\bar{h}_i^n(\sum_{t=1}^m r_t x_t) = \sum_{t=1}^m r_t h_i^n(x_t)$  is a homotopy from  $F^{\Delta^{op}}(f)$  to  $F^{\Delta^{op}}(g)$ .

(c) If  $h$  is a homotopy from  $f$  to  $g$  in  $Rmod^{\Delta^{op}}$ , then  $h_n = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i h_i^n$  is a homotopy from  $C_*(f)$  to  $C_*(g)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.8. Homotopy Axiom:**[6, 7]. *If  $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$  are homotopic continuous maps, then:*

(a)  $H_*^d(f) = H_*^d(g) : H_*^d(X) \rightarrow H_*^d(Y)$ ;

(b)  $\bar{H}_*^d(f) = \bar{H}_*^d(g) : \bar{H}_*^d(X) \rightarrow \bar{H}_*^d(Y)$ .

**Proof.** Follows from 2.6 and 2.7.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.9.** *Regular epi natural transformations  $p : H^s \rightarrow H^d$  and  $\bar{p} : H^s \rightarrow \bar{H}^d$  induce regular epi natural transformations:*

(a)  $p : H_*^s \rightarrow H_*^d : Top \rightarrow gRmod$ ;

(b)  $\bar{p} : H_*^s \rightarrow \bar{H}_*^d : Top \rightarrow gRmod$ .

**Proof.** The proof of both parts follows from composing the natural transformations  $p$  with the functor  $C_* \circ F^{\Delta^{op}} \circ Set^{\Delta^{op}}$ .  $\square$

### 3. Relative Singular (Extended) $d$ -Homology

**Proposition 3.1.** *The functors*

(a)  $S_{\Delta_*} : Top \rightarrow Set^{\Delta^{op}}$ ;

(b)  $F^{\Delta^{op}} : Set^{\Delta^{op}} \rightarrow Rmod^{\Delta^{op}}$ ;

(c)  $C_* : Rmod^{\Delta^{op}} \rightarrow C_*Rmod$ ;

*preserve monomorphisms.*

**Proof.** Using the fact that the monomorphisms in  $Top$  are the one to one functions and in  $Set^{\Delta^{op}}$ ,  $Rmod^{\Delta^{op}}$  and  $C_*Rmod$  are pointwise monomorphisms, the proof follows by straightforward computations.  $\square$

Let  $Topp$  be the category of topological pairs whose objects are the pairs  $(X, A)$ , where  $A$  is a subspace of the topological space  $X$ ; and whose morphisms from  $(X, A)$  to  $(Y, B)$  are continuous maps  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  for which  $f(A) \subseteq B$ .

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $(X, A) \in Topp$ . Then the inclusion map  $i : A \hookrightarrow X$  induces the inclusion chain map  $i_* =: C_* \circ F^{\Delta^{op}} \circ S_{\Delta_*}(i) : C_*(A) \rightarrow C_*(X)$ .*

**Proof.** By 3.1, the composition  $C_* \circ F^{\Delta^{op}} \circ S_{\Delta_*}$  preserves monomorphisms. It then follows that  $i_*$  is isomorphic to the inclusion.  $\square$

By 3.2,  $C_*(A)$  can be regarded as a subchain of  $C_*(X)$ , so we have the quotient chain  $\frac{C_*(X)}{C_*(A)}$  and the quotient chain map  $q_* : C_*(X) \rightarrow \frac{C_*(X)}{C_*(A)}$ .

**Definition 3.3.** *The quotient chain  $\frac{C_*(X)}{C_*(A)}$  is denoted by  $C_*(X, A)$  and is called the relative singular chain of  $(X, A)$ .*

**Theorem 3.4.** *The mapping  $C_* : Topp \rightarrow C_*Rmod$  that sends  $(X, A)$  to  $C_*(X, A)$  is a functor.*

**Proof.** Follows from straightforward computations.  $\square$

The above functor  $C_* : Topp \rightarrow C_*Rmod$  is called the relative singular chain functor.

**Definition 3.5.** (a) *The relative singular  $d$ -homology functor  $H_*^d : Topp \rightarrow gRmod$  is the composition*

(b) *The relative singular extended  $d$ -homology functor  $\bar{H}_*^d : Topp \rightarrow gRmod$  is the composition*

**Definition 3.6.** *Two maps  $f, g : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$  are homotopic in  $Topp$  if there is a homotopy  $h$  from  $f$  to  $g$  in  $Top$  and  $h(A \times I) \subseteq B$ .*

**Corollary 3.7. Relative Homotopy Axiom:** *If  $f \sim g : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$  are homotopic in  $Topp$ , then:*

(a)  $H_*^d(f) = H_*^d(g);$

(b)  $\bar{H}_*^d(f) = \bar{H}_*^d(g).$

**Proof.** Since  $H^s(f) = H^s(g)$  (see [2, 3]), the result follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.8.** *Regular epi natural transformations  $p : H^s \rightarrow H^d$*

and  $p : H^s \rightarrow \bar{H}^d$  induce regular epi natural transformations:

(a)  $p : H_*^s \rightarrow H_*^d : Topp \rightarrow gRmod;$

(b)  $p : H_*^s \rightarrow \bar{H}_*^d : Topp \rightarrow gRmod.$

**Proof.** The proof of both parts follows from composing the natural transformations  $p$  with the functor  $C_* \circ F^{\Delta^{op}} \circ Set^{\Delta^{op}}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.9.** *In the category  $Rmod$ , if  $H_{fg}^s \cong H_{f'g'}^s$ , then:*

(a)  $\bar{H}_{fg}^d \cong \bar{H}_{f'g'}^d;$

(b)  $H_{fg}^d \cong H_{f'g'}^d.$

**Proof.** Let  $d = rpr_1 + spr_2$  and  $\psi : H_{fg}^s \cong H_{f'g'}^s$ . Let  $\mu : H_{fg}^s \rightarrow H_{fg}^s$  and  $\mu' : H_{f'g'}^s \rightarrow H_{f'g'}^s$  be the morphisms “multiplication by  $r + s$ ” i.e.  $\mu([x]) = (r + s)[x]$ . Since  $\psi \circ \mu([x]) = (r + s)\psi([x]) = \mu \circ \psi([x])$ ,  $(\psi, \psi) : \mu \rightarrow \mu'$  is an isomorphism and hence it induces an isomorphism  $\phi : C_\mu \cong C_{\mu'}$ . It is easy to see  $C_\mu = \frac{K_g/I_f}{(r+s)(K_g/I_f)} \cong \frac{K_g}{I_f+(r+s)K_g} = \bar{H}_{fg}^d$  and  $C_{\mu'} \cong \bar{H}_{f'g'}^d$ .

Let  $\nu : H_{fg}^s \rightarrow \bar{H}_{fg}^d$  and  $\nu' : H_{f'g'}^s \rightarrow \bar{H}_{f'g'}^d$  be the morphism multiplication by  $r$ . Again  $(\psi, \phi) : \nu \rightarrow \nu'$  is an isomorphism and hence it induces an isomorphism  $\eta : I_\nu \cong I_{\nu'}$ . Since

$$H_{fg}^d = coker(j_1 - j_2) = \frac{K_g}{(j_1 - j_2)(R_{fg})} = \{[a] | a \in K_g\},$$

where  $[a] = \{b \in K_g | r(a - b) \in (r + s)K_g + I_f\} = \{b \in K_g | s(a - b) \in (r + s)K_g + I_f\}$ , see [4], we have  $I_\nu = C_{k_\nu} \cong H_{fg}^d$  and  $I_{\nu'} = C_{k_{\nu'}} \cong H_{f'g'}^d$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.10** *For  $d = rpr_1 + spr_2$  we have:*

(a)  $\bar{H}^d \cong \frac{H^s}{(r+s)H^s};$

(b)  $H^d \cong \frac{H^s}{Ker(\nu)}.$

**Proof.** Follows from 3.9.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.11. Excision Axiom:** *Let  $(X, A) \in Topp$  and  $U \subseteq X$  be open such that  $cl(U) \subseteq int(A)$ . Then the excision map  $i : (X - U, A - U) \hookrightarrow (X, A)$  induces the following isomorphisms.*

(a)  $H_*^d(X - U, A - U) \cong H_*^d(X, A);$

(b)  $\bar{H}_*^d(X - U, A - U) \cong \bar{H}_*^d(X, A).$

**Proof.** Since  $H_*^s(X - U, A - U) \cong H_*^s(X, A)$ , the result follows from 3.10.  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an abelian category and  $d$  be a kernel transformation. For a  $\mathcal{C}$ -chain:

$$C_* : \dots \longrightarrow C_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\delta_{n+1}} C_n \xrightarrow{\delta_n} C_{n-1} \longrightarrow \dots$$

we establish the following notation.

$$k_n = k_{\delta_n} : K_n \longrightarrow C_n, I_n = I_{\delta_{n+1}}, \Delta_n : K_n \longrightarrow K_n^2$$

is the diagonal map.

**Lemma 3.12.** [9] *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an abelian category,  $d$  be a kernel transformation and the sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow C'_* \xrightarrow{\nu_*} C_* \xrightarrow{\pi_*} C''_* \longrightarrow 0$$

of  $\mathcal{C}$ -chains be short exact. Then:

(a) *With  $f_n = K(\nu_n, \nu_{n-1})$ , there is a unique map  $l_n I'_n + I_{d'_n \Delta'_n} I_n + I_{d_n \Delta_n}$  such that the following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I'_n + I_{d'_n \Delta'_n} \xrightarrow{\beta'_n} & K'_n & \\ l_n \downarrow & & \downarrow f_n \\ I_n + I_{d_n \Delta_n} \xrightarrow{\beta_n} & K_n & \end{array}$$

(b) *With  $f'_n = K(\pi_n, \pi_{n-1})$ , there is a unique map  $l'_n I_n + I_{d_n \Delta_n} I''_n + I_{d''_n \Delta''_n}$  such that the following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I_n + I_{d_n \Delta_n} \xrightarrow{\beta_n} & K_n & \\ l'_n \downarrow & & \downarrow f'_n \\ I''_n + I_{d''_n \Delta''_n} \xrightarrow{\beta''_n} & K''_n & \end{array}$$

**Theorem 3.13.** [9] *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an abelian category,  $d$  be a kernel transformation and the sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow C'_* \xrightarrow{\nu_*} C_* \xrightarrow{\pi_*} C''_* \longrightarrow 0$$

of  $\mathcal{C}$ -chains be short exact. If  $l'_n$  is epic, then for each  $n$ , we have the



following exact sequence.

$$\bar{H}_n^d \longrightarrow \bar{H}_n^d \longrightarrow \bar{H}_n^d \longrightarrow H_{n-1}^{r's} \longrightarrow H_{n-1}^s \longrightarrow H_{n-1}^{r''s}$$

**Corollary 3.14.** [8, 9] Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an abelian category and  $d = rpr_1 + spr_2$ ,  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If for all  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $r : A \rightarrow A$  for all  $A$ ,  $s : A \rightarrow A$  is monic, then for each short exact sequence of  $\mathcal{C}$ -chains:

$$0 \longrightarrow C'_* \xrightarrow{\nu_*} C_* \xrightarrow{\pi_*} C''_* \longrightarrow 0$$

for which  $l'_n$  is epic, there is the following exact sequence.

$$H_n^d \longrightarrow H_n^d \longrightarrow H_n^d \longrightarrow H_{n-1}^{r's} \longrightarrow H_{n-1}^s \longrightarrow H_{n-1}^{r''s}$$

**Proposition 3.15.** [2, 3] Let  $(X, A) \in \text{Topp}$ . Then the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow C_*(A) \xrightarrow{i_*} C_*(X) \xrightarrow{q_*} C_*(X, A) \longrightarrow 0$$

is a short exact sequence of chain complexes.

**Proof.** Since  $q_*$  and  $i_*$  are respectively quotient and inclusion chain maps and  $\text{Ker}(q_*) \cong C_*(A) \cong \text{Im}(i_*)$ , the result is obtained as desired.  $\square$

If we denote the category of short exact sequences of chain complexes by  $\text{Ses}C_*R\text{mod}$ , then we have:

**Proposition 3.16** The functor  $C_* : \text{Topp} \rightarrow C_*R\text{mod}$  induces a functor  $c : \text{Topp} \rightarrow \text{Ses}C_*R\text{mod}$ .

**Theorem 3.17. Exactness Axiom:** Let  $(X, A) \in \text{Topp}$  and  $d = rpr_1 + spr_2$ . If for each  $n$ ,  $l'_n : I_n + I_{d_n \Delta_n} \rightarrow I''_n + I_{d''_n \Delta''_n}$  is epic, then:

(a) there is the following exact sequence.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \bar{H}_n^d(A) & \longrightarrow & \bar{H}_n^d(X) & \longrightarrow & \bar{H}_n^d(X, A) & \xrightarrow{X_n} & H_{n-1}^s(A) \\ & & & & & \swarrow & \\ & & & & & H_{n-1}^s(X) & \longrightarrow & H_{n-1}^s(X, A) \end{array}$$

(b) If for all  $M \in R\text{mod}$ ,  $r : M \rightarrow M$  or  $s : M \rightarrow M$  is monic, then there is the following exact sequence.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H_n^d(A) & \longrightarrow & H_n^d(X) & \longrightarrow & H_n^d(X, A) & \longrightarrow & H_{n-1}^s(A) \\ & & & & & \swarrow & \\ & & & & & H_{n-1}^s(X) & \longrightarrow & H_{n-1}^s(X, A) \end{array}$$

**Proof.** Part (a) follows from the application of 3.15 in 3.13 and part (b) follows from the application of 3.15 in 3.14.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.18.** *Let  $(X, A) \in Topp$  and  $d = rpr_1 + spr_2$ . If for each  $n$ ,  $l'_n : I_n + I_{d_n\Delta_n} \rightarrow I''_n + I_{d''_n\Delta''_n}$  is epic, then there is the following chain that is exact at  $\bar{H}_n^d(X)$  for each  $n$ .*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & \bar{H}_n^d(A) & \longrightarrow & \bar{H}_n^d(X) & \longrightarrow & \bar{H}_n^d(X, A) \xrightarrow{\bar{\chi}_n} \bar{H}_{n-1}^d(A) \\ & & & & & & \swarrow \\ & & & & & & \bar{H}_{n-1}^d(X) \longrightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

**Proof.** The sequence is obtained by setting  $\bar{\chi}_n = p_{\delta_n}\delta_{n-1}\chi_n$ . The exactness at  $\bar{H}_n^d(X)$  corner follows from 3.17 (a).  $\square$

**Corollary 3.19.** *Let  $(X, A) \in Topp$  and  $d = rpr_1 + pr_2$  or  $d = pr_1 + rpr_2$ . Suppose that for each  $n$ ,  $l'_n : I_n + I_{d_n\Delta_n} \rightarrow I''_n + I_{d''_n\Delta''_n}$  is epic, then there is the following chain that is exact at  $H_n^d(X)$  for each  $n$ .*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & H_n^d(A) & \longrightarrow & H_n^d(X) & \longrightarrow & H_n^d(X, A) \xrightarrow{\bar{\chi}_n} H_{n-1}^d(A) \\ & & & & & & \swarrow \\ & & & & & & H_{n-1}^d(X) \longrightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

**Proof.** Follows from 3.18 and the fact that under these conditions,  $\bar{H}^d = H^d$ .  $\square$

Let  $Topt$  be the category of triples of topological spaces with objects  $(X, A, B)$  such that  $B \subseteq A \subseteq X$  and morphisms  $f : (X, A, B) \rightarrow (Y, C, D)$  in which  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous map,  $f(A) \subseteq C$  and  $f(B) \subseteq D$ . Then the inclusions  $B \hookrightarrow A \hookrightarrow X$  induce the inclusion  $j_* : C_*(A, B) \rightarrow C_*(X, B)$ . The cokernel of  $j_*$  up to isomorphism is  $q_* : C_*(X, B) \rightarrow C_*(X, A)$ .

**Proposition 3.20.** *Let  $(X, A, B) \in Topt$ . Then the sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow C_*(A, B) \xrightarrow{j_*} C_*(X, B) \xrightarrow{q_*} C_*(X, A) \longrightarrow 0$$

*is a short exact sequence of chain complexes.*

**Proof.** By the Third Isomorphism Theorem  $\frac{C_*(X, B)}{C_*(A, B)} = \frac{C_*(X)/C_*(B)}{C_*(A)/C_*(B)} \cong \frac{C_*(X)}{C_*(A)} = C_*(X, A)$ .  $\square$

So we have:

**Proposition 3.21.** *The functor  $C_* : Top \rightarrow C_*Rmod$  induces a functor  $c : Topt \rightarrow SesC_*Rmod$ .*

**Proof.** For a morphism  $f : (X, A, B) \rightarrow (Y, C, D)$  in  $Topt$  we have chain maps  $f_* : C_*(X) \rightarrow C_*(Y)$ ,  $f_*|A : C_*(A) \rightarrow C_*(C)$ ,  $f_*|B : C_*(B) \rightarrow C_*(D)$  which inducing chain maps  $\hat{f}_{*1} : C_*(X, A) \rightarrow C_*(Y, C)$ ,  $\hat{f}_{*2} : C_*(X, B) \rightarrow C_*(Y, D)$  and  $\hat{f}_{*3} : C_*(A, B) \rightarrow C_*(C, D)$ . Since  $q_*^X = coker(j_*^X)$ ,  $q_*^Y = coker(j_*^Y)$  and  $\hat{f}_{*2}|A = \hat{f}_{*3}$  and the left square of the following diagram commutes, the chain map  $\hat{f}_{*1}$  is that unique map in which the right square commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & C_*(A, B) & \xrightarrow{j_*} & C_*(X, B) & \xrightarrow{q_*} & C_*(X, A) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \hat{f}_{*3} \downarrow & & \hat{f}_{*2} \downarrow & & \hat{f}_{*1} \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & C_*(C, D) & \xrightarrow{j_*} & C_*(Y, D) & \xrightarrow{q_*} & C_*(Y, C) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \square
 \end{array}$$

**Theorem 3.22. Exactness Axiom for Triples:** *Let  $(X, A, B) \in Topt$  and  $d = rpr_1 + spr_2$ . If for each  $n$ ,  $l'_n : I_n + I_{d_n\Delta_n} \rightarrow I''_n + I_{d''_n\Delta''_n}$  is epic, then:*

1) *there is the following exact sequence.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \bar{H}_n^d(A, B) & \longrightarrow & \bar{H}_n^d(X, B) & \longrightarrow & \bar{H}_n^d(X, A) & \xrightarrow{\chi_n} & H_{n-1}^s(A, B) \\
 & & & & & \swarrow & \\
 & & & & & H_{n-1}^s(X, B) & \longrightarrow & H_{n-1}^s(X, A)
 \end{array}$$

2) *If for all  $M \in Rmod$ ,  $r : M \rightarrow M$  defined by  $r(m) = rm$  is monic, then there is the following exact sequence.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 H_n^d(A, B) & \longrightarrow & H_n^d(X, B) & \longrightarrow & H_n^d(X, A) & \longrightarrow & H_{n-1}^s(A, B) \\
 & & & & & \swarrow & \\
 & & & & & H_{n-1}^s(X, B) & \longrightarrow & H_{n-1}^s(X, A)
 \end{array}$$

**Proof.** Part (1) follows from the application of 3.20 in 3.13 and part (2) follows from the application of 3.20 in 3.14.  $\square$

### Acknowledgements

This paper is dedicated to my Master Kaka Sadi Ghoraihi.

## References

- [1] F. Borceux and D. Bourn, *MalCev, Protomodular, Homological and Semi-Abelian Categories*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2004.
- [2] S. Deo, *Algebraic Topology a Primer*, 2end edition, Hindustan Book Agency, 2018.
- [3] T. T. Dieck, *Algebraic Topology*, European Mathematical Society, 2008.
- [4] S. N. Hosseini and M. Z. Kazemi Baneh, Homology with respect to a Kernel Transformation, *Turk J. of Math.*, 35 (2011), 169-186.
- [5] S. N. Hosseini and M. Z. Kazemi Baneh, Extended  $d$ -homology, *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 38 (2017), 697-706.
- [6] M. Z. Kazemi-Baneh, Homotopic Chain Maps Have Equal  $s$ -Homology and  $d$ -Homology, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 2016 (2016), 1-5.
- [7] M. Z. Kazemi-Baneh, *Homotopic Chain Maps Have Equal Extended  $d$ -Homology*, 47th Annual Iranian Mathematics Conference, Kharazmi University, Karaj, Iran, August 2016, 28-31.
- [8] M. Z. Kazemi-Baneh and S. N. Hosseini, Comparison of Standard and (Extended)  $d$ -homologies, *U. P. B. Sci. Bull.*, A 80 (2) (2018), 205-214.
- [9] M. Z. Kazemi-Baneh and S. N. Hosseini, Exact Sequences of Extended  $d$ -Homology, *Algebraic Structures and Their Applications*, 3 (1) (2017), 25-38.
- [10] M. S. Osborne, *Basic Homological Algebra*, Springer-Verlag, 2000.

**Mohammad Zaher Kazemi Baneh**

Assistant Professor of Mathematics

Department of Mathematics

University of Kurdistan

Sanandaj, Iran

E-mail: [zaherkazemi@uok.ac.ir](mailto:zaherkazemi@uok.ac.ir)