

Foundations on G-Type Domains

A. R. Alizadeh Moghaddam

University of Yasouj

Abstract. In this article by using G-type domains, we introduce strong G-type domains and locally countable quotient rings(lcqr). Moreover, G-type ideals are classified. Finally some relations between prime ideals and G-type ideals in valuation rings have been investigated.

AMS Subject Classification: 13E05; 13A18; 16P50; 16P99

Keywords and Phrases: G-type domain, G-type ideal, strong G-type domain, countable quotient ring domain, locally countable quotient ring domain.

1. Mathematical Notations

Definition 1.1. A domain R with its quotient field Q is called a *G-type domain* if Q as a ring on R is countably generated (c.g.), i.e., there exists a countable multiplicative closed subset(**cmcs**) in R such that:

$$Q = S^{-1}R = R[1/S]$$

Note: An Ordinal number of λ is called the Caliber of R . It is denoted by: " $C_a(R) = \lambda$ ". For a multiplicative closed subset M of R , which is the smallest multiplicative closed subset generated by S , if $M^{-1}R = Q$ then $|S| = \lambda$. [9]

Lemma 1.2. A domain R is a **G-type domain** if and only if $C_a(R) \leq \aleph_0$.

Definition 1.3. A prime ideal P of domain R is called a **G-type ideal** if the quotient ring R/P is a G-type domain.

Theorem 1.4. [8] Let P be a prime ideal of R , the following statements are equivalent:

- i) P is a **G-type ideal** of R .
- ii) There exists a **cmcs** set S in R such that P is maximal with respect to having the empty intersection with S .
- iii) There is either only a countable number of prime ideals in R/P or any uncountable set of prime ideals properly containing P , say F , can be written in the form $F = \bigcup_{n \in \Lambda} F_n$, where Λ is a subset of the natural numbers, P is properly contained in $\bigcap_{Q \in F_n} Q$ for each $n \in \Lambda$ and some of the F_n are uncountable and $F_n = \{Q \in F : s_n \in Q\} \neq \emptyset$.

Definition 1.5. [9] Let R be a commutative domain and Q its quotient field. R is called a **strong G-type domain** if every overring R' of R is the form of $R[1/t_1, 1/t_2, 1/t_3, \dots]$, for some nonzero elements $t_i \in R$, in other words,

$$R' = R[1/S] = S^{-1}R$$

where $S = \langle \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n, \dots\} \rangle$ is a **cmcs** of R .

Definition 1.6. A quotient ring R' of a domain R is called a **countable quotient ring (cqr)** if $R' = R_S$, for a **cmcs**, $S = \langle \{t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots\} \rangle$ where $t_i \neq 0, \forall i \in I$.

Example 1.7. The Integral domain of \mathcal{Z} is a trivial sample for Definition 1.4.

Definition 1.8. R is called a **locally countable quotient ring (lcqr)** if for every prime ideal P of R , the localization of R_P is a **cqr** of R .

Lemma 1.9. Every strong **G-type domain** is a **lcqr**.

Proof. For each arbitrary prime ideal P of R , R_P is also an overring of R , so by the property of strong **G-type domains** and by Definition 1.4, there exists a **cmcs**, $S = \langle \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n, \dots\} \rangle$ of R , such that P with respect to inclusion satisfies the property of $P \cap S = \emptyset$.

Therefore we have:

$$R_P = S^{-1}R(= R_S). \quad \square$$

Lemma 1.10. *Every lcqr is a G-type domain.*

Proof. Since R is a domain, so $\{0\}$ is also prime ideal of R . Therefore, $R_{\{0\}}$ is a **cqr** i.e., there exists a **cmcs** set S of R such that $R_{\{0\}} = S^{-1}R$. Since R is a domain; therefore, $R_{\{0\}}$ is its quotient field “say, K ”. So

$$K = R_{\{0\}} = S^{-1}R.$$

Hence R is a **G-type domain**. \square

2. Properties of LCQR Domains

In this section, some key results have been drawn for the **lcqr** domains which are defined in §1. The importance of these results lies in the countability of the number of maximal ideals in these domains.

Theorem 2.1. *Let P be a prime ideal in a domain of R and S be a **mcs** (set of nonzero elements of R) such that $S \cap P = \emptyset$, then the following statements are equivalent:*

- i) $R_P = R_S(= S^{-1}R)$ is a **cqr** of R .
- ii) $S \cap (b) \neq \emptyset$ for every element b in $R \setminus P$.
- iii) If Q is a prime ideal not contained in P , then $S \cap Q \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. (i) \rightarrow (iii): Let $R_P = R_S$ and Q be a prime ideal of R which isn't contained in P , and $q \in Q \setminus P$, then $1/q \in R_P$. Therefore, $1/q = a/s$ for some $a \in R$ and $b \in S$. Hence $s = aq \in Q \cap S$, $S \cap Q \neq \emptyset$.

(iii) \rightarrow (ii): For $b \notin P$ and $S \cap (b) = \emptyset$ it reaches contradiction. By Cohen's theorem, there exists a prime ideal Q containing (b) such that $S \cap Q = \emptyset$, but $Q \not\subseteq P$, this is a contradiction by (iii).

(ii) \rightarrow (i): By the hypothesis since $S \cap P = \emptyset$, then $S \subseteq R \setminus P$ i.e., the quotient ring $R_S \subseteq R_P$. Now let $x = a/b \in R_P$ with $a, b \in R$ and $b \notin P$, then by (ii) it seems that $S \cap (b) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore for some $r \in R$, we can put $s = br \in S$. Hence, $x = a/b = ar/br \in R_S$. \square

Corollary 2.2. *A domain R with a countable number of prime ideals satisfies in the following properties:*

- i) R is a G -type domain.
- ii) R is an **lcqr**

Proof. It is obvious that R is a G -type domain, [8, Corollary 1.3].

Now let $\{P_1, P_2, \dots\}$ be the set of all prime ideals in R . Therefore, for each P in $Spec(R)$ it must be $P = P_i$, for some $i \in I$.

Now we are setting $F = \{P_j : P_j \not\subseteq P\}$. If $F = \emptyset$, then this means that R is local and P is its unique maximal ideal, Therefore, $S = R \setminus P$ consists of all the units of R , hence

$$R = R_P = S^{-1}R = R_1.$$

Thus we may assume that $F \neq \emptyset$ and hence for each $P_j \not\subseteq P$, take $a_j \in P_j \setminus P$ and put

$$T = \langle \{a_j : j \in J\} \rangle.$$

Clearly T is a **cmcs** in R . Obviously, for each $Q \not\subseteq P$, we have $T \cap Q \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, by parts (i) and (iii) of Theorem 2.1 $R_P = R_T$, hence the proof is completed. \square

Corollary 2.3. *Let R be a domain and R_P be a **cqr** of R , then P is a G -type ideal.*

Proof. R_P is a **cqr**. Therefore, there exists a **cmcs** set S in R such that

$$R_P = R_S (= S^{-1}R).$$

Now by [8, Definition 4.1], S is satisfying in property of $S \cap P = \emptyset$, now by part (iii) of Theorem 2.1, since for each $Q \supset P$, it's been $S \cap Q \neq \emptyset$; hence P is maximal with respect to its property ($S \cap P = \emptyset$).

Therefore, by Theorem 2.1, P is a G -type ideal of R . \square

The following is more immediate.

Corollary 2.4. *Every prime ideal in a **lcqr** domain is a G -type ideal.*

Proof. Let P be a prime ideal of R . By hypothesis R_P is a **cqr** of R . Hence, by Corollary 2.2, P is a G -type ideal of R . \square

Corollary 2.5. *Let P be a prime ideal of a domain R and suppose the localization R_P of R is a **cqr**, then $Y_P = \{Q \subseteq P : Q \in \text{Spec}(R)\}$ is an intersection of open subsets of $\text{Spec}(R)$ with the Zariski topology.*

Proof. By our hypothesis, there exists a **cmcs** set S in R such that

$$R_P = R_S (= S^{-1}R).$$

Now by Theorem 2.1 for each prime ideal Q , which is not contained in P and $Q \cap S \neq \emptyset$ (S is countable), there exists $\{t_1, t_2, \dots\} \subseteq S$ such that

$$Q \cap S = \{t_1, t_2, \dots\} (= S_Q), \quad \text{i.e.} \quad Y_P^c = \bigcup_Q V(S_Q).$$

Therefore $Y_P = (\bigcup_Q V(S_Q))^c$ and then $Y_P = \bigcup_Q D(S_Q)$,

where $D(S_Q)$ is an open subset of $X = \text{Spec}(R)$ with the Zariski topology. \square

Note. If R is a semilocal ring, i.e., let M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n be all maximal ideals of R , and for each i , ($1 \leq i \leq n$), if $A_i = \prod_{j \neq i} M_j$, then there have been finite numbers of ideals A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n such that for all i ,

$$A_i \not\subseteq M_i,$$

and for each prime ideal P of R , it must be satisfying $P \not\supseteq A_i$, for some $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Hence in extended position if R is a semilocal ring then there exists a finite number of ideals A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n “not necessary maximal” such that for each arbitrary prime ideal $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$, $P \not\supseteq A_i$ for some i .

Theorem 2.6. *Let R be a **lcqr** domain, then there exists only a finite number of maximal ideals M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n with the finite number of ideals A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n of R such that:*

$$M_i + A_i = R \quad , \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

and for each prime ideal $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$ there exists some i , such that $A_i \not\subseteq P$.

In other words, for each maximal M , we have $M + A_i = R$ for some i .

Proof. Let $X = \text{Spec}(R)$ and $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$ be the set of all maximal ideals in R . Then by Corollary 2.4, we have:

$$Y_{m_i} = \bigcap_{j \in F_i} G_j^i, \quad i \in I$$

where each G_j^i is an open set in the Zariski topology. Now we have:

$$X \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} G_j^i \implies X \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} Y_{M_i} \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} G_j^i.$$

Since X is compact, therefore:

$$X = G_j^{i_1} \cup G_j^{i_2} \cup \dots \cup G_j^{i_n} = D(A_{i_1}) \cup D(A_{i_2}) \cup \dots \cup D(A_{i_n}),$$

where $G_j^{i_k} = D(A_{i_k})$ and A_{i_k} is an ideal of R .

Therefore we have:

$$M_{i_1} \in G_j^{i_1} = D(A_{i_1}),$$

$$M_{i_1} \not\supseteq A_{i_1},$$

$$M_{i_1} + A_{i_1} = R,$$

.

.

.

$$M_{i_n} + A_{i_n} = R.$$

Now by the last note for each $P \in X$, for some k , we have

$$P \in G_j^{i_k} = D(A_{i_k}) \text{ and } P \not\supseteq A_{i_k}$$

The final part is evident. \square

Theorem 2.7. *In an lcqr domain, any descending chain of prime ideals is at most countable.*

Proof. If we suppose that $Q_1 \supset Q_2 \supset \dots \supset Q_\omega \supset Q_{(\omega+1)} \supset Q_{(\alpha)} \supset \dots$ is a strictly descending chain of uncountable number prime ideals of R , (ω is the first infinite ordinal and $\alpha < \omega_1$) and ω_1 is the first uncountable ordinal, then we seek a contradiction.

Now let P be an ideal with the following condition

$$P = \bigcap_{i \geq 1} Q_i.$$

Since P is also a prime ideal of R , so R_P is also **cqr** of R , then there exists a countable multiplicative closed subset **cmcs** $S \subseteq R$ such that $R_P = R_S$ and $P \cap S = \emptyset$, then by part (iii) of Theorem 2.1 for every $i \geq 1$ since $Q_i \not\subseteq P$ so we have

$$Q_i \cap S \neq \emptyset.$$

Now we may consider the following chain

$$S \cap Q_1 \supset S \cap Q_2 \supset \dots \supset S \cap Q_\omega \supset S \cap Q_{(\omega+1)} \supset \dots .$$

Since For each $i \geq 1$, $S \cap Q_i$ is a countable set, then we must have

$$S \cap Q_\beta = S \cap Q_i = S \cap Q_{i+1}, \quad \forall i \geq \beta.$$

For some $\beta < \omega_1$, we may take β to be the least ordinal with this property. Consequently, we have

$$\bigcap_{i \geq 1} (S \cap Q_i) = S \cap Q_\beta \neq \emptyset.$$

But it means that

$$\left(\bigcap_{i \geq 1} Q_i \right) \cap S = P \cap S = Q_\beta \cap S \neq \emptyset.$$

which is a contradiction. \square

Corollary 2.8. *Every quotient ring of an **lcqr** domain is also an **lcqr**.*

Proof. Let $R' = R_S$ be a quotient ring of an *lcqr* domain R with respect to a *cmcs* set S . It is obvious that by canonical homomorphism

$$\phi: R \longrightarrow S^{-1}R$$

with $\phi(r) = \frac{r}{1}$, every prime ideal P' of R' is the form of P^e which is the extension of prime ideal P of R such that $P \cap S = \emptyset$.

In addition, by [5], we have

$$R'_{P'} \simeq R_P = \frac{R_S}{P^e}.$$

Now since R is *lcqr*, there exists an *cmcs* T of R such that

$$T \cap P = \emptyset.$$

So we have $R_P = R_T$. Therefore $R'_{P'} \simeq R_P = R_T$, and hence the proof is completed. \square

Lemma 2.9. *Let $\{S_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a countable chain of *cmcs* sets in R . If $S = \bigcup_{i \in I} S_i$, which is a *cmcs* in R , then*

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} R_{S_i} \subseteq R_S.$$

Proof. It is obvious that $S \neq \emptyset$.

Now let $0 \neq \alpha \in \bigcap_{i \in I} R_{S_i}$ be an arbitrary element, then

$$\alpha \in R_{S_i} \quad , \quad \forall i \in I.$$

So there exists $s_i \in S_i$ and $a_i \in R$ such that

$$\alpha = a_i/s_i \quad , \quad \forall i \in I.$$

Now since for all $i \in I$, $S_i \subseteq S$, therefore $s_i \in S$ for each $i \in I$, and so we have

$$\alpha \in R_S.$$

Hence $\bigcap_{i \in I} R_{S_i} \subseteq R_S$. \square

Lemma 2.10. *Let $\{P_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a chain of prime ideals in R and suppose that for all $i \in I$, R_{P_i} is a **cqr** of R , then $R' = \bigcap_{i \in I} R_{P_i}$ is **cqr** of R .*

Proof. By Theorem 2.1, since for each $i \in I$, R_{P_i} is a **cqr** of R , then there exists a **cmcs**, S_i in R such that P_i with respect to inclusion is satisfying $S_i \cap P_i = \emptyset$ and we have

$$R_{P_i} = S_i^{-1}R = R\left[\frac{1}{S_i^{-1}}\right](= R_{S_i}), \quad \forall i \in I.$$

Then $\bigcap_{i \in I} R_{P_i} = \bigcap_{i \in I} R_{S_i}$.

Now if we define $S = \bigcup_{i \in I} S_i$, then by Lemma 2.1, S is a **cmcs** in R . Since for each $i \in I$, $P_i = R \setminus S_i$ with respect to inclusion is maximal which is satisfying $P_i \cap S_i = \emptyset$ then $\bigcap P_i = \bigcap (R \setminus S_i) = R \setminus (\bigcup S_i) = R \setminus S$ and so $S = R \setminus \bigcap P_i$, where S is a **cmcs** in R .

Therefore, $R_S = \bigcap_{i \in I} R_{P_i} = \bigcap_{i \in I} R_{S_i}$. \square

Note. $Gold(v)$, is defined as the set of all G-ideals of V .

Theorem 2.11. *Let V be a valuation ring. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

- i) V is a strong **G-type domain**.
- ii) V is an **lcqr domain**.
- iii) $Spec(V) = Gold(V)$.
- iv) For every prime ideal P of V either V/P has only a countable number of prime ideals or the set of all prime ideals properly containing P , say F , can be written in the form $F = \bigcup_{n \in T} F_n$, where T is a subset of Natural number and each F_n is a well-ordered set. (Note: Clearly some F_n is uncountable).

Proof. (i) \rightarrow (ii): It's the proof of lemma (1.3).

(ii) \rightarrow (iii): It's the corollary (2.3).

(iii) \rightarrow (iv) : The first part is the proof of (2 \implies 3) of Proposition 1.10 of [10]. For the second part, let $F = \bigcup_{n \in T} F_n$, and P be a prime ideal of R such that it's properly contained in $\bigcap_{Q \in F_n} Q$ for each $n \in T$, this means that $\bigcap_{Q \in F_n} Q$ equal to $Q' \neq 0$ is a prime ideal containing P .

Now if $F = \{Q \in Spec(V) : P \subset Q\}$, then

$$F_n = \{Q \in F : s_n \in Q\},$$

where $S = \langle \{s_1, s_2, \dots\} \rangle$ is a **mcs** set in V such that P is maximal with respect to having the empty intersection with S .

Consequently,

$$s_n \in \bigcap_{Q \in F_n} Q = Q',$$

that is $Q' \in F_n$, i.e., F_n is a well-ordered set.

(iv) \rightarrow (i): The proof is the same as proof of (3 \rightarrow 1) of Proposition 1.10 of [10]. \square

Example 2.12. By the following, we have presented a domain which is an "**lcqr**" but not a "**StrongG – Typedomain**".

It is well-known that the integer Number of \mathbb{Z} is a G -type domain but it is not a G -domain and each of its prime ideals " P " as the form of $\langle p \rangle$, where p is a prime number of \mathbb{Z} . So for every prime ideal of \mathbb{Z} say " P ", there exists a countable multiplicative closed subset " S " of \mathbb{Z} (which contains all prime elements of \mathbb{Z} except " p ") such that

$$\mathbb{Z}_{\langle p \rangle} = \mathbb{Z}_S.$$

This means that \mathbb{Z} is an "**lcqr**" domain.

Now if \mathbb{Z}' is an overring of \mathbb{Z} contained in \mathbb{Q} , then \mathbb{Z}' can be expressed as follows

$$\mathbb{Z}' = \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{p_1}, \frac{1}{p_2}, \dots, \frac{1}{p_k}\right]$$

where k is a finite number.

It seems that there does not exist any prime ideal of \mathbb{Z} which contains all prime elements of \mathbb{Z} except the prime elements p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k .

Therefore, \mathbb{Z} can't be a strong G -type domain.

Now we are producing a **G-type domain** which is not an "**lcqr**".

Example 2.13. Let V be a valuation **G-type domain**; constructed as follows,

suppose that V is an ordered group generated by lexicographic product

of Hahn groups as: $\mathcal{H}_{i \in I \cup \omega} \mathfrak{F}_i$, where I is an uncountable set and for each $i \in I$ and $\omega > i$, \mathfrak{F}_i is an ordered field of Real number, so V will be a **G-type domain** Now if we define:

$$\mathcal{H}_{i \in I \cup \omega} \mathfrak{F}_i / \mathcal{H}_{i \in I \setminus \omega} \mathfrak{F}_i \simeq \mathfrak{F}_\omega$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{i \in I \setminus \omega} \mathfrak{F}_i = P_\omega$, which is a prime ideal of V ; therefore, it could be V_{P_ω} not only isn't an "**lcqr**" but also it is not a domain [11].

Definition 2.14. *A domain R has the countable overring property if every overring of R is a countably generated ring over R .*

Theorem 2.15. *If a domain R has the countable overring property, then R is **lcqr***

Proof. Let P be an arbitrary prime ideal of R and let $R_P = R[a_1/b_1, \dots, a_n/b_n, \dots]$ with $a_i, b_i \in R$, we may write $a_i/b_i = r_i/t_i$ with $t_i \notin P$. If $T = \{t_i : i \in I\}$; clearly T is countable and it can generate an **mcs** set S in R such that it is maximal with respect to $S \cap P = \emptyset$. Now if q is any nonzero element of R such that it does not belong to P (i.e., $0 \neq q \in R \setminus P$) then by Cohn's theorem there exists a prime ideal Q in $\text{Spec}(R)$ such that it contains (q) ; now if $S \cap Q = \emptyset$; then by maximality of P with respect to this property, then $Q \subseteq P$ (i.e., $q \in P$) which is a contradiction. Therefore, $S \cap (q) \neq \emptyset$, and by part (iii) of Theorem 2.1 we have $R_P = R_S$, so R is **lcqr**. \square

The author suggests that further research in this direction which is likely to reveal additional properties of Noetherian **G-type domains** and thus may contribute to our understanding of how such structures may be defined on the underlying $G\lambda$ -type domains, where λ is any regular cardinal.

3. Acknowledgment

The author would like to express deep gratitude of his distinguished professor (Prof. O. A. S. Karamzadeh) and his dearest colleague (Dr. E. Momtahan) whose guidance and support were crucial for the successful completion of this article.

References

- [1] A. R. Alizadeh, Some properties of G -primary ideals, *Far East Journal of Mathematics Sciences*, 45 (1) (2010), 81-88.
- [2] E. Artin and J. T. Tate, A note on finite ring extensions, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 427 (3) (1951), 74-77.
- [3] A. J. Conway, Rings with a finitely generated total quotient ring, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 17 (1974), 1-4.
- [4] O. Endler, Valuation theory, *Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York and Heidelberg*, 50 (1972), 47-98.
- [5] R. W. Gilmer, Overring of domains, *J. Algebra*, 34 (4) (1966), 331-340.
- [6] I. Kaplansky, *Commutative Rings*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1974.
- [7] O. A. S. Karamzadeh, *On the classical Krull dimension of rings*, *Fund. Math.*, 117 (1983), 103-108.
- [8] O. A. S. Karamzadeh and B. Moslemi, On G -type domains, *Journal of Algebra and its Applications*, 11 (1) (2012), art. No. 1250005.
- [9] B. Moslemi, *G-Type Domains and G-Type Ideals*, Ph.D. Thesis, Ahvaz University, Iran, 2006.
- [10] R. Ramaswamy and T. M. Viswanathan, Overring properties of G -domains, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 58 (1976), 59-66.
- [11] P. Ribenboim, *Théorie des Valuations*, 2ième ed., multigraphiee, Séminaire de Mathématiques Supérieures, no. 9 (Été, 1964), Les Presses de l'Université de Montréal, Montréal, Qué., MR 40 "2670", 1968.

Ali Reza Alizadeh Moghaddam

Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Science

Assistant Professor of Mathematics

University of Yasouj

Yasouj, Iran

E-mail: aalizadeh@mail.yu.ac.ir